

- <sup>12</sup>K. A. Brueckner and D. T. Goldman, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 424 (1959).
- <sup>13</sup>H. S. Köhler, *Nucl. Phys.* **32**, 661 (1962); *Phys. Rev.* **137**, B1145 and **138**, B831 (1965).
- <sup>14</sup>B. H. Brandow, *Phys. Rev.* **152**, 863 (1966); *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39**, 771 (1967).
- <sup>15</sup>B. H. Brandow, in *Proceedings of the Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, edited by K. T. Mahanthappa (Gordon and Breach Inc., New York, 1969), Vol. XI.
- <sup>16</sup>B. H. Brandow, to be published.
- <sup>17</sup>R. L. Becker and B. M. Morris, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **13**, 1363 (1968); R. L. Becker, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **24**, 400 (1970); R. L. Becker and M. R. Patterson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Physics Division Annual Progress Report No. ORNL-4395, 1969 (unpublished), p. 107; R. L. Becker and K. T. R. Davies, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Properties of Nuclear States, Montreal, Canada, 1969*, edited by M. Harvey *et al.* (Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, 1969), contribution No. 5.7.
- <sup>18</sup>R. J. McCarthy, *Nucl. Phys.* **A130**, 305 (1969).
- <sup>19</sup>K. T. R. Davies and M. Baranger, preceding paper, [*Phys. Rev. C* **1**, 1640 (1970)].
- <sup>20</sup>H. S. Köhler, *Nucl. Phys.* **A128**, 273 (1969).
- <sup>21</sup>A. H. Wapstra, *Physica* **21**, 367 (1955).
- <sup>22</sup>H. R. Collard, L. R. B. Elton, and R. Hofstadter, in *Landolt-Börnstein Numerical Data and Functional Relationships in Science and Technology*, edited by K.-H. Hellwege and H. Schopper (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1967).
- <sup>23</sup>M. Baranger, in *Proceedings in the International Conference on Properties of Nuclear States, Montreal, Canada, 1969*, edited by M. Harvey *et al.* (Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, 1969), contribution No. 7.49; to be published.
- <sup>24</sup>M. Baranger, private communication.
- <sup>25</sup>R. L. Becker, K. T. R. Davies, and M. R. Patterson (to be published).
- <sup>26</sup>K. T. R. Davies and R. J. McCarthy (to be published).

PHYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 1, NUMBER 5

MAY 1970

## Inclusion of Nuclear-Structure Calculations in Nucleon-Nucleus Scattering

Manfred Weigel<sup>†</sup>

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720  
(Received 24 October 1969)

It is shown how one can use the results of a nuclear-structure calculation in the theory of nucleon-nucleus scattering. We restrict ourselves to the simple case of nucleon scattering on a hole nucleus. The correlations of the ground state have been included.

### INTRODUCTION

In a previous work<sup>1</sup> we have developed the general theory of nucleon-nucleus scattering on a hole nucleus in the framework of linear-response theory extending Migdal's approach<sup>2</sup> to the scattering problem. With a similar goal, the unrenormalized random-phase approximation (RPA) has been applied to the scattering problem, using a schematic model.<sup>3</sup> The details and restrictions of these methods can be found in Refs. 1 and 3, as well as in further references. It turns out that a calculation of the scattering process using an effective particle-hole interaction would be rather complicated, since one has to solve a complicated Fredholm problem.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, one has so far studied the problem only in the framework of a schematic model,<sup>1,3</sup> where the corresponding Fredholm determinant degenerates. But it is well known that the schematic model is only a poor approximation to the real situation (see for instance, Mikeska.<sup>4</sup>) For this reason we think one can obtain an improvement of the present status of the theory by

including the results of the nuclear-structure calculation obtained with a normal effective particle-hole interaction. The deviations from the nuclear-structure calculation – caused by the matrix elements of the interaction between continuum-bound and continuum-continuum single-particle states – will be treated in this work by a schematic approach. This implies that these special matrix elements can be approximately represented by a separable particle-hole force with the help of a fitting procedure to the real particle-hole force. One may get further improvement using perturbation theory for the difference of the particle-hole force and the separable force as a final step. In the first section, we give a short summary of the nucleon-nucleus scattering theory on a hole nucleus in terms of Migdal's renormalized quantities. The explicit treatment of the model will then be given in the second section.

### I. GENERAL FORMALISM

It has been shown in Ref. 1, that the scattering

state in the shell-model representation is given by:

$$\langle \phi_{k,i}^{(-)} | \psi_{p,j}^{(+)} \rangle = (z_i z_r z_k z_p z_j)^{-1/2} \times \langle 0 | \left| \psi_i^\dagger \psi_k \frac{i\eta}{E-H+i\eta} \psi_p^\dagger \psi_j \right| 0 \rangle e^{i(\delta_p + \delta_k)}, \quad (\text{I.1})$$

and

$$\langle \phi_{r,i} | \psi_{p,j}^{(+)} \rangle = (z_i z_r z_p z_j)^{-1/2} \times \langle 0 | \left| \psi_i^\dagger \psi_r \frac{i\eta}{E-H+i\eta} \psi_p^\dagger \psi_j \right| 0 \rangle e^{i\delta_p}. \quad (\text{I.2})$$

Here,  $|0\rangle$  denotes the normalized ground state of the compound system,  $[H|0\rangle = E_0(A)|0\rangle$ ,  $E_0(A)=0$ ;  $E$  is by definition the energy of the scattering system ( $E := \epsilon_p - \epsilon_j$ ).  $\psi_\mu^\dagger$  and  $\psi_\mu$  are the Schrödinger creation and annihilation operators, respectively, of a nucleon with the quantum-number set  $\mu$  fixed by an independent-particle Hamiltonian  $H_S$ . Asymptotically they behave as standing waves. With  $z_\mu$  we denote Migdal's renormalization constant. If it is necessary, we will label the continuum states by  $p$  and  $k$ , the hole states by  $i$  and  $j$ , and the bound-particle states by  $r$  and  $s$  ( $\delta_r = \delta_s = 0$ ). The independent-particle-model states in (I.1) and (I.2) are defined by:

$$|\phi_{p,i}^{(+)}\rangle = e^{i\delta p} (z_i z_p)^{-1/2} \psi_p^\dagger \psi_i |0\rangle, \quad (\text{I.3})$$

and

$$|\phi_{r,i}\rangle = (z_i z_r)^{-1/2} \psi_r^\dagger \psi_i |0\rangle. \quad (\text{I.4})$$

By comparison with the definition of the renormalized response function,<sup>5</sup>

$$\tilde{L}_{\alpha\mu\lambda\nu}(\omega) := -(z_\alpha z_\mu z_\lambda z_\nu)^{-1/2} \times \langle 0 | [\psi_\lambda^\dagger \psi_\alpha(\omega - H + i\eta)^{-1} \psi_\nu^\dagger \psi_\mu - \psi_\nu^\dagger \psi_\mu(\omega + H - i\eta)^{-1} \psi_\lambda^\dagger \psi_\alpha] | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{I.5})$$

we obtained for (I.1) the following expression for the scattering states:

$$\langle S_0 | S \rangle = -i\eta \tilde{L}_{kji p}(E), \quad (\text{I.6})$$

$$\langle B_0 | S \rangle = -i\eta \tilde{L}_{rji p}(E). \quad (\text{I.7})$$

Here, we have introduced the abbreviations

$$|S\rangle := e^{-i\delta p} |\psi_{p,j}^{(+)}\rangle,$$

$$|S_0\rangle := e^{-i\delta k} |\phi_{k,i}^{(+)}\rangle,$$

and

$$|B_0\rangle := |\phi_{r,i}\rangle. \quad (\text{I.8})$$

Assuming that the zero-order response can be represented by the quasiparticle shell-model response and the effective particle-hole interaction ("irreducible vertex part") to be weakly energy-dependent in the considered energy region, we have de-

veloped the following equations<sup>1</sup> for  $\tilde{L}$ :

$$\tilde{L}_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}(\omega) = \tilde{L}_{\mu\beta}^s(\omega) [\delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi \sum_{\rho\sigma} \tilde{I}_{\mu\rho\beta\sigma}(\omega) \tilde{L}_{\sigma\alpha\rho\nu}(\omega)], \quad (\text{I.9})$$

or

$$\tilde{L}_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}(\omega) = \tilde{L}_{\nu\alpha}^s(\omega) [\delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi \sum_{\rho\sigma} \tilde{I}_{\mu\rho\beta\sigma} \tilde{I}_{\sigma\alpha\rho\nu}(\omega)].$$

Here,  $\tilde{I}$  is the effective particle-hole interaction (irreducible vertex in the particle-hole channel). The shell-model response is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_{\nu\mu}^s(\omega) := (n_\nu - n_\mu) \frac{n_\nu + n_\mu}{\omega + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)}, \quad (\text{I.11})$$

where  $n_\nu, n_\mu$  are the quasiparticle occupation numbers.  $\epsilon_\nu$  denotes the single-particle energy in the quantum state  $\nu$ . From the Eqs. (I.6), (I.7), (I.9), and (I.11) we can now deduce the equations for the scattering states:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} = (n_\nu - n_\mu) [E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)]^{-1} \times [\delta_{\rho\nu} \delta_{j\mu} (-i\eta) - 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}(\omega) \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,S}], \quad (\text{I.12})$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}$  is the matrix element of the quasiparticle density matrix

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = (z_\nu z_\mu)^{-1/2} \langle 0 | \psi_\mu^\dagger \psi_\nu | M \rangle. \quad (\text{I.13})$$

The knowledge of these matrix elements is equivalent to the knowledge of the states of the compound system. By  $|M\rangle$  we denote a scattering state  $|S\rangle$  as well as a bound state  $|B\rangle$ . Since we also want to calculate the effect of the continuum single-particle states on the bound states of the compound system, we have to give the system of equations for the matrix elements  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}$ .<sup>1,2</sup> We derive these equations by inserting the spectral representation of the renormalized response function

$$\tilde{L}_{\nu\sigma\mu\beta}(\omega) = - \sum_M \left( \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\sigma,M}^*}{\omega - E_M + i\eta} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{\sigma\beta,M} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}^*}{\omega + E_M - i\eta} \right) \quad (\text{I.14})$$

into Eq. (I.9) or (I.10) and taking the limit  $\omega \rightarrow \pm E_B$ . Then the pole terms give the following set of equations:

$$[E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)] \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = -(n_\nu - n_\mu) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha,B}, \quad (\text{I.15})$$

$$[-E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta] \tilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,B}^* = -(n_\nu - n_\mu) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha,B}^*, \quad (\text{I.16})$$

$$[E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)] \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}^* = -(n_\nu - n_\mu) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha,B}^* \tilde{I}_{\beta\mu\alpha\nu}, \quad (\text{I.17})$$

$$[-E_B + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)] \tilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,B} = -(n_\nu - n_\mu) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha\beta,B} \tilde{I}_{\beta\mu\alpha\nu}, \quad (\text{I.18})$$

which are equivalent to (I.12).  $\sum_{\alpha\beta}$  means summation over the discrete states as well as integration over the continuum states. Insertion of the spectral representation into the equation of motion also gives the completeness relation:

$$\sum_M (\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M} \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\alpha, M}^* - \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha\lambda, M} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M}^*) = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\alpha\mu}, \quad (\text{I.19})$$

which can be proved by complex integration.

## II. TREATMENT OF THE MODEL

In our approach we want to take into account the solutions of a nuclear-structure calculation. These solutions are known for many forms of the particle-hole interactions. See for instance Brown, Costillejo, and Evans,<sup>6</sup> also Haug and Weigel.<sup>7</sup> In addition see Gillet.<sup>8</sup> They are the result of the diagonalization of Eqs. (I.15)-(I.18) in a finite space, since all single-particle quantum numbers are restricted to bound states only. We denote the states resulting from this procedure by  $|n\rangle$ , and the corresponding density matrix elements are  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}$ , where  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  belong to the discrete part of the spectrum of  $H_S$ . We assume in our further procedure that the  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}$  are known, since we can either obtain them by a standard procedure or we can use the results of a previous calculation. With the help of (I.19) we can express the unknown  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M}$  equivalently by the matrix elements  $\langle 0 | C_n^\dagger | M \rangle$  and  $\langle 0 | C_n | M \rangle$ , where  $C_n^\dagger$  creates the state  $|n\rangle$ .<sup>9</sup> The connecting relations are:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M} = \sum_n (\langle 0 | C_n^\dagger | M \rangle \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}^* + \langle 0 | C_n | M \rangle \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}), \quad (\text{II.1})$$

$$\langle 0 | C_n | M \rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} (n_\mu - n_\nu) \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}^* \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M}, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

$$\langle 0 | C_n^\dagger | M \rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} (n_\nu - n_\mu) \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M}, \quad (\text{II.3})$$

where we have defined restricted sums as follows

Since in the equations for  $\tilde{\rho}_{\rho i, B}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_{i\rho, B}$  only matrix elements of the form (II.8) are involved, we can further obtain, by using Eqs. (II.1) and (II.8-12), the following solutions for these amplitudes:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ki, B} = \frac{\lambda w_{ki}}{E_B - \epsilon_k + \epsilon_i + i\eta} F_B \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_B^2} \right), \quad (\text{II.13})$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ik, B} = \frac{-\lambda w_{ik}}{E_B + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_i} F_B \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_B^2} \right). \quad (\text{II.14})$$

Insertion of these solutions in the definition of  $F_B$  gives the wanted dispersion relation for  $E_B$ :

$$1 = \lambda \sum_{ki} |w_{ki}|^2 \left( \frac{1}{E_B - \epsilon_k + \epsilon_i + i\eta} - \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_i} \right) \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_B^2} \right). \quad (\text{II.15})$$

The amplitudes for the scattering states are derived from (I.12) by the same procedure. One obtains:

( $f_{\nu\mu}$  is an arbitrary function of  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ ):

$$\sum_{\mu\lambda} f_{\lambda\mu} := \sum_{r, i} (f_{ri} + f_{ir}), \quad (\text{II.4})$$

$$\sum_{\mu\lambda} f_{\lambda\mu} := \sum_{i, p} (f_{ip} + f_{pi}). \quad (\text{II.5})$$

From Eqs. (I.15), (I.18), or (I.15), (I.17), respectively, we obtain the following equations for the "deviation" matrix elements<sup>3</sup>:

$$(E_n + E_M) \langle 0 | C_n^\dagger | M \rangle = -2\pi \sum_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n} \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha, M}, \quad (\text{II.6})$$

$$(E_n - E_M) \langle 0 | C_n | M \rangle = -2\pi \sum_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}^* \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha, M}. \quad (\text{II.7})$$

According to our assumption, we approximate all matrix elements with at least one quantum number belonging to the continuum of  $H_S$  by a schematic force

$$2\pi \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} = \lambda w_{\nu\mu} w_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{II.8})$$

With this approximation the solutions of (II.6) and (II.7) are

$$\langle 0 | C_n^\dagger | M \rangle = -\lambda F_n^0 F_M \frac{1}{E_n + E_M}, \quad (\text{II.9})$$

and

$$\langle 0 | C_n | M \rangle = -\lambda F_n^{0*} F_M \frac{1}{E_n - E_M}, \quad (\text{II.10})$$

where:

$$F_n^0 := \sum_{\nu\mu} w_{\nu\mu} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, n}, \quad (\text{II.11})$$

and

$$F_M := \sum_{\nu\mu} w_{\nu\mu} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu, M}. \quad (\text{II.12})$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{kl,S} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kp} + \frac{\lambda F_S w_{kl}}{E_S + \epsilon_j - \epsilon_k + i\eta} \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_S^2} \right), \quad (\text{II.16})$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ik,S} = -\frac{\lambda F_S w_{ik}}{E_S + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_i} \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_S^2} \right), \quad (\text{II.17})$$

where

$$F_S = w_{pj} \left\{ 1 + \left[ \sum_{kl} \lambda |w_{kl}|^2 \left( \frac{1}{E_S + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_l} - \frac{1}{E_S - \epsilon_k + \epsilon_l + i\eta} \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_S^2} \right] \right\}^{-1}. \quad (\text{II.18})$$

Use of the normalization condition for the state  $|B\rangle$  gives  $F_B$  as follows:

$$F_B^2 = \lambda^{-2} \left\{ \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_B^2} \right)^2 \sum_{kl} |w_{kl}|^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_k + \epsilon_l} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{E_B + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_l} \right)^2 \right] \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{r,t} \left[ \left| \sum_n \left( \frac{F_n^0}{E_B + E_n} \tilde{\rho}_{ir,n} + \frac{F_n^{0*}}{E_B - E_n} \tilde{\rho}_{ri,n} \right) \right|^2 - \left| \sum_n \left( \frac{F_n^0}{E_B + E_n} \tilde{\rho}_{ri,n} + \frac{F_n^{0*}}{E_B - E_n} \tilde{\rho}_{ir,n} \right) \right|^2 \right] \right\}^{-1}. \quad (\text{II.19})$$

Now, all unknown quantities have been expressed in terms of the solutions of the nuclear-structure problem and the matrix elements between scattering-scattering states or scattering-bound states, respectively. The (complex) resonances are given by the solutions of Eq. (II.15). From (II.16) and (I.8) we can now immediately read off the wanted expressions for the S matrix and the T matrix obtaining:

$$S_{kl,pj}(E_{pj}) = e^{2i\delta_{\rho}} \delta_{kp} \delta_{ij} - 2\pi i \delta(E_{pj} - E_{kl}) T_{kl,pj}(E_{pj}) \quad (\text{II.20})$$

with

$$T_{kl,pj}(E) = e^{i(\delta_{\rho} + \delta_{\rho})} \lambda w_{pj} w_{kl} \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E^2} \right) \\ \times \left\{ 1 + \left[ \lambda \sum_{p',j'} |w_{p',j'}|^2 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{p'} - \epsilon_{j'} - E - i\eta} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{p'} - \epsilon_{j'} + E} \right) \right] \left( 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E^2} \right) \right\}^{-1}. \quad (\text{II.21})$$

Our formulas reduce to the corresponding ones of Ref. 3, where a different method has been used, if we neglect Migdal's renormalization and use a schematic model for the finite RPA, too. We are going to perform some calculations with the described method in the oxygen- and calcium-region using density-dependent forces for the bound-state

calculation.<sup>7</sup>

The author would like to thank Dr. L. Garside, Professor N. Glendenning, and Professor C. Shakin for helpful discussions. The Deutsche Forschungs-Gemeinschaft, Germany, supported this work by a grant.

\*Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

†On leave from the Sektion Physik der Universität München, Munich, Germany.

<sup>1</sup>M. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. **A137**, 629 (1969); University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-18907 (unpublished).

<sup>2</sup>A. B. Migdal, Theory of Finite Fermi Systems and Applications to Atomic Nuclei (Interscience, New York, 1967).

<sup>3</sup>K. Dietrich and K. Hara, Nucl. Phys. **A111**, 392 (1968); R. H. Lemmer and M. Veneroni, Phys. Rev. **170**, 883 (1968).

<sup>4</sup>H. J. Mikeska, Z. Physik **177**, 441 (1964).

<sup>5</sup> $\tilde{L}$  can be interpreted as the propagator for density

fluctuations. Furthermore, we mark all quantities describing Migdal quasiparticles by a tilde.

<sup>6</sup>G. E. Brown, L. Castillejo, and J. A. Evans, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 1 (1961).

<sup>7</sup>P. K. Haug and M. Weigel, Lettere Nuovo Cimento II, 799 (1969); P. Haug, thesis, University of Munich, 1969 (unpublished).

<sup>8</sup>V. Gillet, in Many-Body Description of Nuclear Structure and Reactions, Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi," Course XXXVI 1966, edited by C. Block (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1966).

<sup>9</sup>We neglect in this approach possible differences in the renormalization constants.