

Optical conductivity and transparency in an effective model for graphene

Horacio Falomir,^{1,*} Marcelo Loewe,^{2,3,4,†} Enrique Muñoz,^{2,‡} and Alfredo Raya^{5,§}

¹*IFLP, CONICET - Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas de la Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Casilla de Correo 67, (1900) La Plata, Argentina*

²*Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago, Chile*

³*Centre for Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 770, South Africa*

⁴*Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso, CCTVAL, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

⁵*Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Edificio C-3, Ciudad Universitaria, Francisco J. Mújica s/n. Col. Felicitas del Río, Código Postal 58040, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico*



(Received 18 June 2018; revised manuscript received 5 September 2018; published 19 November 2018)

Motivated by experiments confirming that the optical transparency of graphene is defined through the fine structure constant and that it could be fully explained within the relativistic Dirac fermions in a two-dimensional picture, we investigate in this article how this property is affected by next-to-nearest-neighbor coupling in the low-energy continuum description of graphene. A detailed calculation within the linear response regime allows us to conclude that, somewhat surprisingly, the optical conductivity at zero temperature that determines the transparency remains robust up to this correction.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.195430](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.195430)

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene is a two-dimensional allotrope of carbon, arranged as a honeycomb lattice with a $C_{3v} \otimes Z_2$ symmetry [1] that determines its remarkable physical properties [2–5]. In particular, the electronic spectrum arising from an atomistic tight-binding model displays two nonequivalent points K_+ , K_- where the conduction and valence bands touch and in whose vicinity the dispersion relation is approximately linear. This leads to an effective, low-energy continuum model where the electronic properties of the material are well captured by those of relativistic Dirac fermions in two dimensions. Among the plethora of physical consequences of this fact that have been already predicted and measured [2–8], we noticed an interesting experiment that measures the optical transparency of single- and few-layer graphene [9]. The transparency is a physical property that is determined by the optical conductivity, i.e., the linear response to an external electromagnetic field. A variety of experiments confirm [9–13] that the measured transmittance is indeed compatible with the effective single-particle model of relativistic Dirac fermions in graphene. A number of different theoretical works have exploited this fact to calculate the light absorption rate in graphene from a “relativistic” quantum electrodynamics perspective [14–22].

An interesting question that remains open is up to what extent is this effective model valid in the representation of this optical property, since it arises from a tight-binding microscopic atomistic model that involves only the nearest-neighbor hopping. A number of possible physical effects may induce

deviations from this minimal model, and many of them have been thoroughly studied in the literature, such as electron-electron Coulomb interactions [7,23], lattice phonons [2,24–27], impurities [3,28,29], and different forms of quenched disorder [7,29]. In this article, we shall leave some of these phenomena aside, to be discussed in a future work. Instead, we shall focus on the contribution to the optical conductivity that arises from the next-to-nearest-neighbor coupling in the atomistic Hamiltonian, included as a quadratic correction to the kinetic energy operator within a continuum effective model for graphene. Such a model has been considered in Ref. [30] to fully account for the anomalous integer quantum Hall effect in this material and the underlying wave equation is referred to in the literature as the second-order Dirac equation [31]. Notice that this is an isotropic model in which the quadratic (anisotropic next-to-leading) term in the dispersion relation coming from the nearest-neighbor sites has been shown to give a vanishing contribution to the Hamiltonian spectrum at first order in perturbation theory, thus justifying the consideration of the quadratic (isotropic) leading contribution of next-to-nearest neighbors in the honeycomb array [30].

For our purposes, let us recall that within the linear response theory, general Kubo relations [32–34] allow us to express the transport coefficients in terms of retarded correlators [33], which for a pair of observables \hat{O}_1 , \hat{O}_2 are defined by ($\zeta = \pm$ for bosons and fermions, respectively)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\hat{O}_1, \hat{O}_2}^R(t-t') &= -i\theta(t-t')([\hat{O}_1(t), \hat{O}_2(t')]-\zeta) \\ &= -i\theta(t-t')\langle \hat{O}_1(t)\hat{O}_2(t') \rangle \\ &\quad - i\theta(t-t')\zeta \langle \hat{O}_2(t')\hat{O}_1(t) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

These retarded correlators differ from the usual time-ordered ones that, by construction, are obtained via functional differentiation of the standard generating functional constructed from a path-integral formulation in quantum field theory. This

*falomir@fisica.unlp.edu.ar

†mloewe@fis.puc.cl

‡munozt@fis.puc.cl

§raya@ifm.umich.mx




FIG. 1. Crystal structure of graphene. The honeycomb array is described in terms of two overlapping triangular sublattices.

rather technical inconvenience can be overcome by connecting the different propagators using a Lehmann representation, or alternatively to work in the Matsubara formalism at finite temperature and use analytic continuation *a posteriori* [33]. There is, however, a third and more direct alternative, which is to express the generating functional in the contour time path (CTP), also known as the Keldysh formalism in the condensed matter literature [34,35]. In this work, we choose the CTP formalism to explicitly calculate the polarization tensor as a retarded correlator of the current operators, which provides the correct definition of the optical conductivity within linear response theory.

With these ideas in mind, we have organized this article as follows: In Sec. II, we present the details of the model. In Sec. III we present the Keldysh formalism to calculate the current-current correlator and in Sec. V we obtain the optical conductivity from the vacuum polarization tensor. We discuss our findings in Sec. VI. Some calculational details are presented in the Appendix.

II. LAGRANGIAN, CONSERVED CURRENT, AND GENERATING FUNCTIONAL

Graphene consists of a one-atom-thick membrane of tightly packed carbon atoms in a honeycomb array. Its crystal structure, sketched in Fig. 1, is described in terms of two overlapping triangular (Bravais) sublattices so that for a given atom belonging to any of these sublattices, its nearest neighbors belong to the second sublattice, the next-to-nearest neighbors to the original sublattice, and so on. The band structure at the next-to-nearest approximation is of the form

$$E_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm t \sqrt{f(\mathbf{k})} - t' [f(\mathbf{k}) - 3], \quad (2)$$

where t and t' are the nearest and next-to-nearest hopping parameters and

$$f(\mathbf{k}) = 3 + 4 \cos\left(\frac{3k_x a}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y a}{2}\right) + 2 \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y a), \quad (3)$$

where $a \simeq 1.42 \text{ \AA}$ is the interatomic distance. The points K_+ and K_- at which $f(K_{\pm}) = 0$ define the so-called Dirac points.

Around K_+ ,

$$E_{\pm}(\mathbf{k} + K_+) = \pm t \left[\frac{3}{2} a |\mathbf{k}| - \frac{3}{8} a^2 \mathbf{k}^2 \sin(3\vartheta) \right] + t' \left[-\frac{9}{4} a^3 \mathbf{k}^2 + 3 \right] + \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{k}|^3), \quad (4)$$

with $\tan(\vartheta) = k_y/k_x$. Around K_- one merely has to replace $\vartheta \rightarrow -\vartheta$ in Eq. (4). The isotropic portion of the model in Eq. (4) was first considered in Ref. [30] as a natural framework to explain the anomalous integer quantum Hall effect in graphene. Moreover, as previously mentioned, the anisotropic quadratic term, so-called trigonal warping, in this effective dispersion relation was shown not to contribute to the energy spectrum at first order in perturbation theory [30], thus justifying the retention of just the isotropic terms up to this order in the pseudomomenta.

In the presence of electromagnetic interactions, the model is described by the Lagrangian [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &:= \frac{i}{2} [\psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi - \partial_t \psi^\dagger \psi] + \psi^\dagger e A_0 \psi - \frac{1}{2m} \{ [(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma})\psi]^\dagger \cdot [(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma})\psi] - 2\theta^2 \psi^\dagger \psi \} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [\psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi - \partial_t \psi^\dagger \psi] - \frac{1}{2m} \{ \nabla \psi^\dagger \cdot \nabla \psi + i \nabla \psi^\dagger \cdot (-e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma})\psi - i \psi^\dagger (-e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \cdot \nabla \psi + \psi^\dagger [(-e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2 - 2\theta^2] \psi \}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\theta = mv_F$ and $m = \pm 2/(9t'a^2)$, where the sign depends on each Dirac cone K_{\pm} . Here, the 3-momentum is $p^\mu = (p^0, \mathbf{p})$, with $\mathbf{p} = (p^1, p^2)$. The vector potential $\mathbf{A} = (A^1, A^2)$, whereas $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ are Pauli matrices. Here, ψ^\dagger and ψ are regarded as independent fields whose equations of motion are derived from the variation of the action with respect to these fields, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^\dagger} - \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \psi^\dagger)} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla \psi^\dagger)} \right) \\ = i \partial_t \psi - \frac{1}{2m} [(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A} + \theta\boldsymbol{\sigma})^2 - 2\theta^2] \psi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and similarly for ψ .

The Lagrangian in Eq. (5) remains invariant against the local change in the dynamical variables and the external electromagnetic field

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\rightarrow e^{ie\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \Rightarrow \delta\psi(x) = ie\alpha(x)\psi(x), \\ \psi^\dagger(x) &\rightarrow \psi^\dagger(x) e^{-ie\alpha(x)} \Rightarrow \delta\psi^\dagger(x) = -ie\alpha(x)\psi^\dagger(x), \\ A_\mu(x) &\rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \alpha(x), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

that is, it has a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. Noether's theorem leads to the existence of the locally conserved current

$$\alpha j^\mu := -\delta\psi^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi^\dagger)} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} \right) \delta\psi. \quad (8)$$

The corresponding charge density is

$$j^0 = e \psi^\dagger \psi \quad (9)$$




FIG. 2. Contour $\gamma = \gamma_+ \oplus \gamma_-$. The double folding of the time axis is displayed by showing that always two points t_- and t_+ , located in the time-ordered $t_- \in \gamma_-$ and anti-time-ordered $t_+ \in \gamma_+$ branches of the contour, correspond to the same chronological time instant t .

and the current density

$$j^k = \frac{e}{2m} \{i(\partial^k \psi^\dagger \psi - \psi^\dagger \partial^k \psi) + 2\psi^\dagger (-eA^k + \theta\sigma^k) \psi\}. \quad (10)$$

It is straightforward to verify, from the equations of motion, that j^μ is conserved,

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = \partial_t j^0 - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Notice also that we can write

$$j^\mu(x) = \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu(x)} \int \mathcal{L}(y) d^3y. \quad (12)$$

With these ingredients, we can formulate the corresponding current-current correlator.

III. GENERATING FUNCTIONAL IN THE CONTOUR TIME PATH.

We seek to calculate the polarization tensor, defined as a retarded current-current correlator that, in linear response, determines the optical conductivity. For that purpose, we choose to represent the field theory described in the previous section on the contour time path [34–36] (CTP). Also known as the Keldysh formalism [37] in the condensed matter community, the CTP is a versatile approach to calculate correlation functions in equilibrium as well as in nonequilibrium quantum systems [38–40]. It is particularly convenient to study transport coefficients in quantum many-body systems [36,40], both in the linear response regime as well as beyond, allowing to study non-linearities arising in strongly correlated heavy fermions such as the Kondo effect [39,41,42].

Let us define the contour $\gamma = \gamma_- \oplus \gamma_+$, where γ_- represents the time-ordered branch while γ_+ is the anti-time-ordered branch, as depicted in Fig. 2. Therefore, we define a contour evolution parameter $\tau \in \gamma$, such that [34]

$$\tau = \begin{cases} t_-, & \tau \in \gamma_-, \\ t_+, & \tau \in \gamma_+. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Also notice that, as depicted in Fig. 2, both t_+ and t_- have a unique correspondence to a given chronological instant of time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Correspondingly, for operators and fields defined with their time arguments along the CTP, we have the

definitions [34,36]

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \begin{cases} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t_-) \equiv \psi_-(\mathbf{x}, t), & \tau \in \gamma_-, \\ \psi(\mathbf{x}, t_+) \equiv \psi_+(\mathbf{x}, t), & \tau \in \gamma_+. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Then, the generating functional of (current) Green's functions of this two-dimensional system, defined on the CTP, reads [35,36]

$$Z_\gamma[A] = e^{i\Gamma_\gamma[A]} := \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \mathcal{D}\psi(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \times e^{i \int_\gamma d\tau \int d^2\mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}[\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, \tau), \psi(\mathbf{x}, \tau)]}, \quad (15)$$

where $\Gamma_\gamma[A]$ is the effective contribution to the action for the electromagnetic field. The path integral on the CTP induces by construction the contour ordering between the fields, defined by the operation \mathcal{T} between two operators $\hat{O}_1(\tau)$ and $\hat{O}_2(\tau)$ in the Heisenberg picture ($\zeta = \pm$ for bosons and fermions, respectively)

$$\langle \mathcal{T} \hat{O}_1(\tau_1) \hat{O}_2(\tau_2) \rangle = \theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \langle \hat{O}_1(\tau_1) \hat{O}_2(\tau_2) \rangle + \zeta \theta(\tau_2 - \tau_1) \langle \hat{O}_2(\tau_2) \hat{O}_1(\tau_1) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Here, we have defined the contour Heaviside function as [34]

$$\theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau_1 >_c \tau_2, \\ 0, & \tau_2 >_c \tau_1, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

with the symbol $>_c$ indicating the relation “later than in the contour”. In general physical situations where the sources and external fields do not break time-reversal invariance, $\psi_-(x, t) = \psi_+(x, t)$, and the CTP becomes just a useful trick to express at once all the different correlators. Consider for instance the contour-ordered correlator between two fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{x}_1, \tau_1; \mathbf{x}_2, \tau_2) &\equiv -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \tau_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, \tau_2) \rangle \\ &= \theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) (-i) \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \tau_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, \tau_2) \rangle \\ &\quad + \zeta \theta(\tau_2 - \tau_1) (-i) \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, \tau_2) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \tau_1) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This single definition, depending on the location of the parameters $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \gamma$, generates at once four different propagators [35,36]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{--}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_{1-}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_{2-}) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_-(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger_-(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \hat{T} \psi_-(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger_-(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \hat{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-+}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_{1-}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_{2+}) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_-(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger_+(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \zeta \langle \psi^\dagger_+(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \psi_-(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \rangle \\ &= -i \zeta \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{+-}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_{1+}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_{2-}) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_+(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger_-(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \psi_+(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger_-(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{++}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\
&= -i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_+(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi_+^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\
&= -i \langle \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \psi_+(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi_+^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle \\
&= -i \langle \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have defined the usual time-order $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and anti-time-order $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ operators. Notice that not all correlators are independent, since they satisfy [34–36]

$$\Delta_{+-}(x, y) + \Delta_{-+}(x, y) = \Delta_{--}(x, y) + \Delta_{++}(x, y). \quad (23)$$

It is customary to organize the correlators above in the matrix form [35,36]

$$\Delta(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{--}(x, y) & \Delta_{-+}(x, y) \\ \Delta_{+-}(x, y) & \Delta_{++}(x, y) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Using the definitions above, the retarded (R) and advanced (A) correlators can be expressed as linear combinations of the previous ones [35,36]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^A(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= i\theta(t_2 - t_1) \langle [\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1), \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2)]_{-\zeta} \rangle \\
&= \Delta_{--}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) - \Delta_{+-}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \\
&= \Delta_{-+}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) - \Delta_{++}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2), \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^R(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) &= -i\theta(t_1 - t_2) \langle [\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1), \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2)]_{-\zeta} \rangle \\
&= -i\theta(t_1 - t_2) \langle [\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \\
&\quad - \zeta \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \psi(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) \rangle] \rangle \\
&= \Delta_{--}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) - \Delta_{-+}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \\
&= \Delta_{+-}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2) - \Delta_{++}(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1; \mathbf{x}_2, t_2). \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

IV. APPLICATION OF THE CTP FORMALISM TO THE ANALYSIS OF THE OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITY IN GRAPHENE

From the CTP generating functional defined in Eq. (15), it is possible to generate the average current components

$$\begin{aligned}
-i \frac{\delta \ln Z_\gamma[A]}{\delta A_\mu(x)} &= \frac{1}{Z_\gamma[A]} \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi e^{i \int_V d^3y \mathcal{L}(y)} j^\mu(x), \\
&= \langle j^\mu(x) \rangle =: J^\mu[A](x), \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

while the second functional derivative gives the current-current correlation function,

$$\begin{aligned}
(-i)^2 \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z_\gamma[A]}{\delta A_\mu(x) \delta A_\nu(y)} &= -i \frac{\delta J^\mu[A](x)}{\delta A_\nu(y)} \\
&= -i \left\langle \frac{\delta j^\mu(x)}{\delta A_\nu(y)} \right\rangle + \langle \mathcal{T} j^\mu(x) j^\nu(y) \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle j^\mu(x) \rangle \langle j^\nu(y) \rangle, \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first term is the *diamagnetic contribution* [43]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{\delta j^\mu(x)}{\delta A_\nu(y)} \right\rangle &= \delta^{\mu k} \delta_k^\nu \left(-\frac{e^2}{m^2} \right) \langle \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) \rangle \delta^{(3)}(x - y) \\
&= -\frac{e}{m^2} \delta^{\mu k} \delta_k^\nu \langle j^0(x) \rangle \delta^{(3)}(x - y), \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

and the others are the *paramagnetic* ones.

We take the currents in normal order with respect to the fermionic field, so that $J^\mu[A=0] = 0$. The linear response of the system to the external electromagnetic field is described by the second derivative in Eq. (28) evaluated at $A_\mu = 0$ [43],

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{\mu\nu}(x, y) &= (-i)^2 \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z_\gamma[A]}{\delta A_\mu(x) \delta A_\nu(y)} \Big|_{A=0} = K^{\nu\mu}(y, x) \\
&= \langle \mathcal{T} j^\mu(x) j^\nu(y) \rangle_0. \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the density response is

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{00}(x, y) &= \langle \mathcal{T} j^0(x) j^0(y) \rangle_0 \\
&= e^2 \langle \mathcal{T} \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y) \rangle_0. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

The spatial components of the current are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
j^k(x)|_{A=0} &= \frac{e}{2m} \{ i \partial^k \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) - i \psi^\dagger(x) \partial^k \psi(x) \\
&\quad + 2\theta \psi^\dagger(x) \sigma^k \psi(x) \} \\
&= \psi^\dagger(x) \left(\frac{e}{2m} \{ -i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^k + 2\theta \sigma^k \} \right) \psi(x) \\
&\equiv \psi_a^\dagger(x) \hat{D}_{ab}^k \psi_b(x). \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we have defined the differential operators

$$\hat{D}_{ab}^k = \frac{e}{2m} \{ -i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^k \delta_{ab} + 2\theta [\sigma^k]_{ab} \}. \quad (33)$$

Applying Wick's theorem [34–36] on the CTP for the definition of the current correlator (correlators associated with disconnected diagrams vanish):

$$\langle \mathcal{T} j^k(x) j^l(y) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_a^\dagger(x) \hat{D}_{ab}^k \psi_b(x) \psi_c^\dagger(y) \hat{D}_{cd}^l \psi_d(y) \rangle = -\hat{D}_{ab}^k \hat{D}_{cd}^l \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_b(x) \psi_c^\dagger(y) \rangle \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_d(y) \psi_a^\dagger(x) \rangle. \quad (34)$$

The previous relation allows us to define the corresponding components of the polarization tensor in the CTP contour indices $\alpha, \beta = \pm$,

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^{kl}(x, y) = \langle \mathcal{T} j_\alpha^k(x) j_\beta^l(y) \rangle = -\hat{D}_{ab}^k \hat{D}_{cd}^l \Delta_{bc}^{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \Delta_{da}^{\beta\alpha}(y, x). \quad (35)$$

The retarded component of the polarization tensor is obtained following the general prescription explained in Eq. (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
K_R^{kl}(x, y) &= K_{--}^{kl}(x, y) - K_{-+}^{kl}(x, y) = \hat{D}_{ab}^k \hat{D}_{cd}^l \{ \Delta_{bc}^{--}(x, y) \Delta_{da}^{--}(y, x) - \Delta_{bc}^{+-}(x, y) \Delta_{da}^{+-}(y, x) \} \\
&= \hat{D}_{ab}^k \hat{D}_{cd}^l \{ \Delta_{bc}^F(x, y) \Delta_{da}^F(y, x) - [\Delta_{bc}^F(x, y) - \Delta_{bc}^R(x, y)] [\Delta_{da}^F(y, x) - \Delta_{da}^A(y, x)] \} \\
&= \hat{D}_{ab}^k \hat{D}_{cd}^l \{ \Delta_{bc}^F(x, y) \Delta_{da}^A(y, x) + \Delta_{bc}^R(x, y) \Delta_{da}^F(y, x) - \Delta_{bc}^R(x, y) \Delta_{da}^A(y, x) \}. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of Fourier transforms,

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3 p e^{-ipx} \tilde{\psi}(p), \quad \psi^\dagger(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3 p e^{ipx} \tilde{\psi}^\dagger(p), \quad (37)$$

we have

$$\Delta_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \equiv \Delta_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(x-y)p} \tilde{\Delta}_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}(p). \quad (38)$$

Here, the different propagators for the Hamiltonian model considered are, in Fourier space (F: Feynman, R: Retarded, A: Advanced),

$$\tilde{\Delta}^F(p) = \tilde{\Delta}_{--}(p) = i \frac{p_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{(p_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m})^2 - v_F^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + i\epsilon'} = i \frac{p_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{(p_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - v_F |\mathbf{p}|)(p_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + v_F |\mathbf{p}|)}, \quad (39)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}^R(p) = i \frac{p_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{(p_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m})^2 - v_F^2 \mathbf{p}^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}^A(p) = i \frac{p_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{(p_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m})^2 - v_F^2 \mathbf{p}^2}. \quad (41)$$

In particular, for the linear response theory [33–36,39,41], we need the retarded component of the polarization tensor

$$K_R^{\mu\nu}(x - y) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(x-y)p} \Pi_R^{\mu\nu}(p). \quad (42)$$

Here, after Eq. (36) the Fourier transform of the retarded component is given by

$$\Pi_R^{kl}(p) = \Pi_{FA}^{kl}(p) + \Pi_{RF}^{kl}(p) - \Pi_{RA}^{kl}(p), \quad (43)$$

where the different terms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{FA}^{kl}(p) &= \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \Gamma_{ab}^k(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{bc}^F(p + q) \Gamma_{cd}^l(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{da}^A(q), \\ \Pi_{RF}^{kl}(p) &= \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \Gamma_{ab}^k(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{bc}^R(p + q) \Gamma_{cd}^l(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{da}^F(q), \\ \Pi_{RA}^{kl}(p) &= \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \Gamma_{ab}^k(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{bc}^R(p + q) \Gamma_{cd}^l(p + 2q) \tilde{\Delta}_{da}^A(q), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

with the symbol

$$\Gamma_{ab}^k(p + 2q) = [\delta_{ab}(p + 2q)^k + 2\theta[\sigma^k]_{ab}], \quad (45)$$

and a similar expression for $\Gamma_{cd}^l(p + 2q)$. Below we obtain the polarization tensor explicitly.

V. POLARIZATION TENSOR

The polarization tensor $\Pi^{kl}(p)$ contains the information about the conductivity on the plane of this two-dimensional system and also about its properties of transmission of light through it [16,43]. We are interested in the consequences of the application of harmonic homogeneous electric fields which, in the temporal gauge, are related with the vector potential by $E^k = -\partial A^k / \partial t = i\omega A^k$. Since the conductivity is determined by the linear relation between the current and the applied electric field, $J_k = \sigma_{kl} E^l$, from Eqs. (27), (30), and (43), we can write for the conductivity as a function of the frequency [16,43]

$$\sigma_{kl}(\omega) = \left. \frac{\Pi_{kl}^R(p)}{p_0} \right|_{p \rightarrow (\omega, \mathbf{0})}. \quad (46)$$

So, in the following we evaluate $\Pi_{kl}^R(\omega, \mathbf{0})$ from Eq. (43), which hence requires the evaluation of the three integrals defined in Eq. (44). Let us start with $\Pi_{kl}^{FA}(p)$,

$$\Pi_{kl}^{FA}(p) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}\{[p_k + 2q_k + 2\theta\sigma_k] \Delta^F(p + q) [p_l + 2q_l + 2\theta\sigma_l] \Delta^A(q)\}. \quad (47)$$

Specializing this expression to the case $p = (\omega, \mathbf{0})$, we write

$$\Pi_{kl}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\text{Tr}\{A\}}{B^{FA}}, \quad (48)$$

with

$$A = [2q_k + 2\theta\sigma_k] \left[\omega + q_0 - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right] [2q_l + 2\theta\sigma_l] \left[q_0 - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m} + v_F \mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right],$$

$$B^{FA} = \left(\omega + q_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m} - v_F |\mathbf{q}| \right) \left(\omega + q_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m} + v_F |\mathbf{q}| \right) \left[\left(q_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m} \right)^2 - v_F^2 \mathbf{q}^2 \right]. \quad (49)$$

By writing $q_1 = Q \cos \varphi$ and $q_2 = Q \sin \varphi$, and noticing that the denominator is independent of φ , it is straightforward to get for the trace in the numerator integrated over φ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \text{Tr}\{A\} d\varphi = -8\pi (Q^2 + 2m^2 v_F^2) q_0^2 + 8\frac{\pi}{m} [Q^4 - \omega(mQ^2 + 2m^3 v_F^2) - 2m^2 Q^2 v_F^2] q_0$$

$$+ 2\frac{\pi}{m^2} Q^2 [2m\omega(Q^2 - 2m^2 v_F^2) + 2m^2 Q^2 v_F^2 - Q^4], \quad (50)$$

for $k, l = 1, 1$ or $2, 2$, and a vanishing result for $k, l = 1, 2$ or $2, 1$.

Since the previous result is a quadratic polynomial in q_0 , and the denominator in Eq. (49) is a quartic expression in the integration variable, the integral over q_0 can be done on the complex plane, taking into account the position of the simple poles of the integrand with respect to the real axis. The resulting integrand for the radial integral is a rather involved expression. Although the complete integral exists and gives a finite result, the individual terms in this expression lead to ultraviolet divergent integrals. So, we implement a ‘‘dimensional regularization’’ (formally going to dimension $d = 2 - s$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently large) which allows us to evaluate the integral of each term separately in an easier way, and then to sum up these partial results to get the final result as an analytic continuation to dimension 2 ($s = 0$), as described in detail in the Appendix. Of course, the expression of the polarization tensor so obtained is finite. As a result of this procedure one finds

$$\Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}. \quad (51)$$

A similar procedure, as described in the Appendix, leads to the corresponding expressions for the other two pieces of the retarded polarization tensor

$$\Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{2\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right. \\ \left. - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}, \quad (52)$$

$$\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}. \quad (53)$$

We notice that the three separate parts above, which together yield the retarded polarization tensor, display a pole at $s = 0$. However, when added together according to Eq. (43), the poles exactly cancel to yield a finite result

$$\Pi_{11}^R(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) + \Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) - \Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0})$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \frac{m^2 \omega}{4} = \frac{e^2 \omega}{16}, \quad (54)$$

independent of m . The result above must be multiplied by a factor of 2 due to the spin degeneracy, and another factor of 2 due to valley degeneracy. Thus, the final result of the optical conductivity is

$$\sigma_{11}(\omega) = 2 \times 2 \frac{\Pi_{11}^R(\omega, \mathbf{0})}{\omega} = \frac{e^2}{4}. \quad (55)$$

The previous result was expressed in natural units $\hbar = 1$, and in normal SI units it corresponds to $\sigma_{11}(\omega) = e^2/(4\hbar)$. Remarkably, this is the same value of the ac conductivity that is obtained for the usual linear dispersion approximation [44,45], which is verified experimentally [46]. Notice that our result indicates that the optical conductivity in the zero temperature limit is frequency independent. Moreover, the final result is independent of the m parameter and then *universal* in the sense that this magnitude is robust against quadratic perturbations as those here considered, and in agreement with experiment [46]. Therefore, we conclude that the optical conductivity, and hence the transparency in graphene, are not affected by next-to-nearest-neighbor contributions to the tight-binding microscopic model that translate into a quadratic correction to the kinetic energy, as considered in this work. We notice that analytical results at finite chemical potential and temperature have been reported in [47,48]. Another work that explores finite temperature effects is Ref. [49], which also coincides with our result in the low-temperature limit. Small corrections to the previous result, of the order of 0.01α (with α the finite structure constant) due to Coulomb interactions have been reported in the literature [50,51].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Among the many outstanding properties of graphene which can be described within the Dirac limit, its optical transparency is entirely explained in terms of the fine structure constant. A natural question is to ask the extent to which such a picture deviates from the experimental measurements. In this regard, in this article we considered the next-to-nearest-neighbor contribution which in the continuum corrects the kinetic term with a quadratic contribution. Introducing the CTP formalism, we calculate the linear response current-current correlator from which the optical conductivity is derived. Within this formalism, it is straightforward to obtain the retarded part of the polarization tensor after a dimensional regularization of the involved integrals. Remarkably and somehow unexpectedly, we found the conductivity of the Dirac limit to be robust against such quadratic corrections. This encouraging result opens the possibility of testing deviations of the Dirac limit in graphene in other physical phenomena. These results are currently under scrutiny and those results will be reported elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.F. and A.R. acknowledge PUC for its hospitality. H.F. thanks ANPCyT, CONICET, and UNLP, Argentina, for partial support through Grants No. PICT-2014-2304, No. PIP 2015-688 and Proy. Nro. 11/X748, respectively. M.L. acknowledges support from FONDECYT (Chile) under Grants No. 1170107, No. 1150471, No. 1150847, and Conicyt-PIA/BASAL (Chile) Grant No. FB0821. E.M. acknowledges support from FONDECYT (Chile) under Grant No. 1141146. A.R. acknowledges support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Mexico) under Grant No. 256494 and FONDECYT (Chile) under Grant No. 1150847.

APPENDIX: REGULARIZATION OF THE MOMENTUM INTEGRALS

In this Appendix, we present in detail the dimensional regularization method used to calculate the momentum integrals defined in the main text. Let us consider the term in Eq. (48). After taking the trace and performing the angular integral as shown in Eq. (50), we have to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = & \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dq_0}{B^{FA}} \left\{ -8\pi(Q^2 + 2m^2v_f^2)q_0^2 + 8\frac{\pi}{m}[Q^4 - \omega(mQ^2 + 2m^3v_f^2) - 2m^2Q^2v_f^2]q_0 \right. \\ & \left. + 2\frac{\pi}{m^2}Q^2[2m\omega(Q^2 - 2m^2v_f^2) + 2m^2Q^2v_f^2 - Q^4] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

with B^{FA} given in Eq. (49) with $|\mathbf{q}| = Q$. Clearly, on the q_0 plane, the integrand has three poles on the positive imaginary plane at $q_0^{(1,2)} = i\epsilon + \frac{Q^2}{2m} \pm v_F Q$ and $q_0^{(3)} = i\epsilon - \omega + \frac{Q^2}{2m} - v_F Q$, and a single pole on the negative imaginary plane at $q_0^{(4)} = -i\epsilon - \omega + \frac{Q^2}{2m} + v_F Q$. We evaluate the q_0 integral by means of the residue theorem, closing the contour on the lower plane. The result of this procedure can be expressed as

$$\Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Q P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)(\omega - 2v_F Q + 2i\epsilon)(iv_F Q + \epsilon)}. \quad (A2)$$

Here, we have defined the numerator as the polynomial function

$$\begin{aligned} P^{FA}(Q, \omega) = & (-16\pi^2 m^2 v_F^4 + 16\pi^2 m \omega v_F^2 + 32i\pi^2 m v_F^2 \epsilon - 8i\pi^2 \omega \epsilon + 8\pi^2 \epsilon^2) + Q(16\pi^2 m^2 \omega v_F^3 + 32i\pi^2 m^2 v_F^3 \epsilon) \\ & + Q^2(16\pi^2 m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 - 16i\pi^2 m^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon) + Q^3(-32\pi^2 m v_F^3 + 8\pi^2 \omega v_F + 16i\pi^2 v_F \epsilon) - 16Q^4(\pi^2 v_F^2). \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

By simply counting powers in numerator and denominator, it is clear that the remaining integral is divergent and needs regularization. For this purpose, we first perform a partial fraction decomposition of the denominator as follows:

$$\frac{1}{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)(\omega - 2v_F Q + 2i\epsilon)(iv_F Q + \epsilon)} = \frac{1}{2i\omega v_F^2(\omega + 2i\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{Q - Q_1} - \frac{1}{Q - Q_2} \right), \quad (A4)$$

with $Q_1 = i\epsilon/v_F$ and $Q_2 = (\omega + 2i\epsilon)/(2v_F)$. After this, the integral splits into two contributions:

$$\Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \frac{1}{2i\omega v_F^2(\omega + 2i\epsilon)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_1} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_2} \right\}. \quad (A5)$$

For each integral (i.e., $Q_j = Q_1, Q_2$ respectively), let us analyze the asymptotic behavior of the integrand at large momentum values, say for $Q > Q^*$, with Q^* an arbitrary but large momentum scale. In this regime,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} \sim & \frac{8\pi^2 Q_j}{Q^2} \left\{ Q_j^2 [-2m^2 v_F^4 + 2m v_F^2(\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] + 2m^2 Q_j v_F^3(\omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2im^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 \right. \\ & \left. + Q_j^3 v_F (-4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^4 v_F^2 \right\} \\ & + 8\pi^2 Q [-2m^2 v_F^4 + \omega(2m v_F^2 + Q_j v_F - i\epsilon) - 4m Q_j v_F^3 + 4im v_F^2 \epsilon - 2Q_j^2 v_F^2 + 2i Q_j v_F \epsilon + \epsilon^2] \\ & + \frac{8\pi^2}{Q} \left\{ Q_j^2 [-2m^2 v_F^4 + 2m v_F^2(\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] + 2m^2 Q_j v_F^3(\omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2im^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + Q_j^3 v_F (-4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^4 v_F^2 \} + 8\pi^2 \{ Q_j [-2m^2 v_F^4 + 2m v_F^2 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] \\
& + 2m^2 \omega v_F^3 + 4im^2 v_F^3 \epsilon + Q_j^2 v_F (-4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^3 v_F^2 \} + 8\pi^2 Q^2 v_F (-4m v_F^2 + \omega - 2Q_j v_F + 2i\epsilon) \\
& - 16\pi^2 q^3 v_F^2 + O[Q^{-3}] \\
& \equiv P_{FA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) + O[Q^{-3}], \tag{A6}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $P_{FA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j)$ as the polynomial obtained by truncating the asymptotic expansion above up to $O[Q^{-3}]$, for $Q > Q^*$. Therefore, using this expansion, we regularize each of the integrals using the prescription ($d = 2 - s$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} & \rightarrow \int_0^{Q^*} \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \left[\frac{P^{FA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} - P_{FA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) \right] \\
& + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} |m|^s Q^{1-s} P_{FA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j). \tag{A7}
\end{aligned}$$

After lengthy but straightforward algebra, we obtain in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\Pi_{11}^{FA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}. \tag{A8}$$

Let us now consider the expression for $\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0})$, as obtained after calculating the trace and angular integration according to Eq. (50)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) & = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dq_0}{B^{RF}} \left\{ -8\pi(Q^2 + 2m^2 v_f^2) q_0^2 + 8\frac{\pi}{m} [Q^4 - \omega(mQ^2 + 2m^3 v_f^2) - 2m^2 Q^2 v_f^2] q_0 \right. \\
& \left. + 2\frac{\pi}{m^2} Q^2 [2m\omega(Q^2 - 2m^2 v_f^2) + 2m^2 Q^2 v_f^2 - Q^4] \right\}, \tag{A9}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$B^{RF} = \left(q_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{Q^2}{2m} - v_F Q \right) \left(q_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{Q^2}{2m} + v_F Q \right) \left[\left(\omega + q_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{Q^2}{2m} \right)^2 - v_F^2 Q^2 \right]. \tag{A10}$$

In this case, on the q_0 plane the integrand has three poles on the negative imaginary plane, $q_0^{(1,2)} = -i\epsilon - \omega + Q^2/(2m) \pm v_F Q$, $q_0^{(3)} = -i\epsilon + Q^2/(2m) + v_F Q$, and a single pole on the positive imaginary plane at $q_0^{(4)} = i\epsilon + \frac{Q^2}{2m} - v_F Q$. Therefore, we calculate the integral over q_0 using the residue theorem by choosing a contour that closes on the upper complex plane. Thus,

$$\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{Q P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)(\omega - 2v_F Q + 2i\epsilon)(iv_F Q + \epsilon)}. \tag{A11}$$

The numerator of the resulting integrand is defined by the quartic polynomial function

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{RF}(Q, \omega) & = (16\pi^2 m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 - 16i\pi^2 m^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon) + Q(16\pi^2 m^2 \omega v_F^3 + 32i\pi^2 m^2 v_F^3 \epsilon) \\
& + Q^2(-16\pi^2 m^2 v_F^4 - 16\pi^2 m \omega v_F^2 - 32i\pi^2 m v_F^2 \epsilon - 8i\pi^2 \omega \epsilon + 8\pi^2 \epsilon^2) \\
& + Q^3(32\pi^2 m v_F^3 + 8\pi^2 \omega v_F + 16i\pi^2 v_F \epsilon) - 16Q^4(\pi^2 v_F^2), \tag{A12}
\end{aligned}$$

and then the integral is clearly divergent. A consistent regularization procedure is applied in this case as well. By performing the same partial fraction expansion of the denominator, as in Eq. (A4), we find that the integral splits into two pieces [$Q_1 = i\epsilon/v_F$, $Q_2 = (\omega + 2i\epsilon)/(2v_F)$]

$$\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \frac{1}{2i\omega v_F^2 (\omega + 2i\epsilon)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_1} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_2} \right\}. \tag{A13}$$

For each integral (i.e., $Q_j = Q_1, Q_2$ respectively), we analyze the asymptotic behavior of the integrand at large momentum values, say for $Q > Q^*$. In this regime,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} & \sim 8\pi^2 \frac{Q_j}{Q^2} \left\{ -2im^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 + 2m^2 Q_j v_F^3 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) + Q_j^2 [-2m^2 v_F^4 - 2m v_F^2 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] \right. \\
& + Q_j^3 v_F (4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^4 v_F^2 \} + \frac{8\pi^2}{Q} \left\{ Q_j^2 [-2m^2 v_F^4 - 2m v_F^2 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] \right. \\
& \left. + 2m^2 Q_j v_F^3 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2im^2 \omega v_F^2 \epsilon + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 + Q_j^3 v_F (4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^4 v_F^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8\pi^2 \{ Q_j [-2m^2 v_F^4 - 2m v_F^2 (\omega + 2i\epsilon) + \epsilon(\epsilon - i\omega)] + 2m^2 \omega v_F^3 + 4im^2 v_F^3 \epsilon \\
& + Q_j^2 v_F (4m v_F^2 + \omega + 2i\epsilon) - 2Q_j^3 v_F^2 \} + 8\pi^2 Q [-2m^2 v_F^4 + \omega(-2m v_F^2 + Q_j v_F - i\epsilon) + 4m Q_j v_F^3 \\
& - 4im v_F^2 \epsilon - 2Q_j^2 v_F^2 + 2i Q_j v_F \epsilon + \epsilon^2] + 8\pi^2 Q^2 v_F (4m v_F^2 + \omega - 2Q_j v_F + 2i\epsilon) - 16\pi^2 Q^3 v_F^2 + O[Q^{-3}] \\
& \equiv P_{RF}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) + O[Q^{-3}], \tag{A14}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $P_{RF}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j)$ as the polynomial obtained by truncating the asymptotic expansion above up to $O[Q^{-3}]$, for $Q > Q^*$. Therefore, using this expansion, we regularize each of the integrals using the prescription ($d = 2 - s$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} & \rightarrow \int_0^{Q^*} \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \left[\frac{P^{RF}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} - P_{RF}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) \right] \\
& + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} |m|^s Q^{1-s} P_{RF}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j). \tag{A15}
\end{aligned}$$

After straightforward manipulations, we obtain in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\Pi_{11}^{RF}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}. \tag{A16}$$

Finally, let us consider the term in Eq. (44). After tracing and performing the angular integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) & = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dq_0}{B^{RA}} \left\{ -8\pi(Q^2 + 2m^2 v_f^2) q_0^2 + 8\frac{\pi}{m} [Q^4 - \omega(mQ^2 + 2m^3 v_f^2) - 2m^2 Q^2 v_f^2] q_0 \right. \\
& \left. + 2\frac{\pi}{m^2} Q^2 [2m\omega(Q^2 - 2m^2 v_f^2) + m^2 Q^2 v_f^2 - Q^4] \right\}, \tag{A17}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$B^{RA} = \left[\left(\omega + q_0 + i\epsilon - \frac{Q^2}{2m} \right)^2 - v_f^2 Q^2 \right] \left[\left(q_0 - i\epsilon - \frac{Q^2}{2m} \right)^2 - v_f^2 Q^2 \right]. \tag{A18}$$

Clearly, on the q_0 plane, the integrand has two poles on the positive imaginary plane at $q_0^{(1,2)} = i\epsilon + \frac{Q^2}{2m} \pm v_f Q$, and two poles on the negative imaginary plane at $q_0^{(3,4)} = -i\epsilon - \omega + \frac{Q^2}{2m} \pm v_f Q$. We evaluate the q_0 integral by the residue theorem, closing the contour on the upper plane. Thus,

$$\Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)(\omega - 2v_f Q + 2i\epsilon)(\omega + 2v_f Q + 2i\epsilon)}. \tag{A19}$$

The numerator of the resulting integrand is defined by the quartic polynomial function

$$P^{RA}(Q, \omega) = -16i\pi^2 [\omega^2(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q^2) + 2i\omega\epsilon(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q^2) - 2\epsilon^2(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q^2) - 4Q^2 v_F^2 (m^2 v_F^2 + Q^2)], \tag{A20}$$

and hence the diverging integral needs also a regularization. As in the former two cases, we first do a partial fraction decomposition of the denominator to obtain

$$\Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \frac{(Q_3 - Q_4)^{-1}}{-4v_F^2(\omega + 2i\epsilon)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_3} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_3} \right\}, \tag{A21}$$

where we have defined $Q_3 = (\omega + 2i\epsilon)/(2v_F)$, $Q_4 = -Q_3$. For each integral (i.e., $Q_j = Q_3, Q_4$ respectively), we analyze the asymptotic behavior of the integrand at large momentum values, say for $Q > Q^*$. In this regime,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} & \sim -16i\pi^2 \frac{Q_j}{Q^2} \{ \omega^2(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q_j^2) + 2i\omega\epsilon(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q_j^2) - 2[Q_j^2(2m^2 v_F^4 + \epsilon^2) + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 + 2Q_j^4 v_F^2] \} \\
& - 16i\pi^2 Q [-2(2m^2 v_F^4 + 2Q_j^2 v_F^2 + \epsilon^2) + \omega^2 + 2i\omega\epsilon] \\
& - \frac{16i\pi^2}{Q} \{ \omega^2(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q_j^2) + 2i\omega\epsilon(2m^2 v_F^2 + Q_j^2) - 2[Q_j^2(2m^2 v_F^4 + \epsilon^2) + 2m^2 v_F^2 \epsilon^2 + 2Q_j^4 v_F^2] \} \\
& - 16i\pi^2 Q_j [-2(2m^2 v_F^4 + 2Q_j^2 v_F^2 + \epsilon^2) + \omega^2 + 2i\omega\epsilon] + 64i\pi^2 v_F^2 Q^3 + 64i\pi^2 Q_j v_F^2 Q^2 + O[Q^{-3}] \\
& \equiv P_{RA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) + O[Q^{-3}], \tag{A22}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $P_{RA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j)$ as the polynomial obtained by truncating the asymptotic expansion above up to $O[Q^{-3}]$, for $Q > Q^*$. Therefore, using this expansion, we regularize each of the integrals using the prescription ($d = 2 - s$)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} \rightarrow \int_0^{Q^*} \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} Q \left[\frac{P^{RA}(Q, \omega)}{Q - Q_j} - P_{RA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j) \right] + \int_{Q^*}^\infty \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)^3} |m|^s Q^{1-s} P_{RA}^{\text{asympt}}(Q, \omega, Q_j). \quad (\text{A23})$$

After lengthy but straightforward algebra, we obtain in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\Pi_{11}^{RA}(\omega, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{e^2}{4m^2} \left\{ i \frac{m^2 \omega}{2\pi s} - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[-\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] - i \frac{m^2 \omega}{4\pi} \ln \left[\frac{(\omega + 2i\epsilon)}{2|m|v_F} \right] \right\}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

Notice that the final result does not depend on either the arbitrary scale Q (as it must) or the sign of the m parameter.

-
- [1] P. R. Wallace, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 622 (1947).
- [2] E. Muñoz, in *Graphene Science Handbook, Vol. 3: Electrical and Optical Properties*, edited by M. Aliofkhaezrai, N. Ali, W. I. Milne, C. S. Ozkan, S. Mitura and J. L. Gervasoni (Taylor & Francis, London, 2016).
- [3] N. M. R. Peres, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2673 (2010).
- [4] M. O. Goerbig, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1193 (2011).
- [5] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, *Nature* **438**, 197 (2005).
- [6] M. A. H. Vozmediano, M. I. Katsnelson, and F. Guinea, *Phys. Rep.* **496**, 109 (2010).
- [7] S. Das Sarma, S. Adam, E. H. Hwang, and E. Rossi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 407 (2011).
- [8] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, *Science* **306**, 666 (2004).
- [9] R. R. Nair, P. Blake, A. N. Grigorenko, K. S. Novoselov, T. J. Booth, T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres, and A. K. Geim, *Science* **320**, 1308 (2008).
- [10] J. K. Wassei and R. B. Kaner, *Mater. Today* **13**, 52 (2010).
- [11] X. Ma and H. Zhang, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **8**, 440 (2013).
- [12] K.-F. Mak, M.-Y. Sfeir, J. A. Misewich, and T. F. Heinz, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 14999 (2010).
- [13] S.-E. Zhu, S. Yuan and G. C. A. M. Janssen, *Europhys. Lett.* **108**, 17007 (2014).
- [14] I. V. Fialkovsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **85**, 384 (2012).
- [15] C. G. Beneventano, I. V. Fialkovsky, E. M. Santangelo, and D. V. Vassilevich, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **87**, 50 (2014).
- [16] I. V. Fialkovsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, **31**, 1630047 (2016).
- [17] I. V. Fialkovsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **14**, 88 (2012).
- [18] I. V. Fialkovsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27**, 1260007 (2012).
- [19] I. V. Fialkovsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 442001 (2009).
- [20] D. Valenzuela, S. Hernández-Ortiz, M. Loewe, and A. Raya, *J. Phys. A* **48**, 065402 (2015).
- [21] S. Hernández-Ortiz, D. Valenzuela, A. Raya, and S. Sánchez-Madrigal, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **30**, 1650084 (2016).
- [22] D. J. Merthe and V. V. Kresin, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 205439 (2016).
- [23] V. N. Kotov, B. Uchoa, and V. M. Pereira, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1067 (2012).
- [24] E. H. Hwang and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 115449 (2008).
- [25] W.-K. Tse and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 236802 (2007).
- [26] E. Muñoz, *J. Phys. Condens. Matt.* **24**, 195302 (2012).
- [27] S. S. Kubakaddi, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 075417 (2009).
- [28] T. Ando, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75**, 074716 (2006).
- [29] A. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 109 (2009).
- [30] H. Falomir, J. Gamboa, M. Loewe, and M. Nieto, *J. Phys. A* **45**, 135308 (2012).
- [31] J. Luo, *arXiv:1303.7290*.
- [32] R. Kubo, M. Toda, and N. Hashitume, *Statistical Physics II* (Springer, New York, 1991).
- [33] X.-G. Wen, in *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [34] G. Stefanucci and R. van Leeuwen, *Nonequilibrium Many-Body Theory of Quantum Systems* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2013).
- [35] J. Rammer, *Quantum Field Theory of Non-equilibrium States* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2007).
- [36] A. Kamenev, *Field Theory of Non-equilibrium Systems* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2011).
- [37] L. V. Keldysh, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **20**, 1018 (1965).
- [38] L. M. Sieberer, M. Buchhold, and S. Diehl, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **79**, 096001 (2016).
- [39] E. Muñoz, C. J. Bolech and S. Kirchner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 016601 (2013).
- [40] J. Rammer and H. Smith, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58**, 323 (1986).
- [41] L. Merker, S. Kirchner, E. Muñoz, and T.A. Costi, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 165132 (2013).
- [42] S. Kirchner, F. Zamani, and E. Muñoz, in *New Materials for Thermoelectric Applications: Theory and Experiment*, edited by V. Zlatic and A. Hewson (Springer, New York, 2012).
- [43] A. Altland and B. Simons, *Condensed Matter Field Theory*, (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2010).
- [44] L. A. Fialkovsky and S. S. Pershoguba, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 153410 (2007).

- [45] A. B. Kuzmenko, E. van Heumen, F. Carbone, and D. van der Marel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 117401 (2008).
- [46] Z. Q. Li, E. A. Henriksen, Z. Jiang, Z. Hao, M. C. Martin, P. Kim, H. L. Stormer, and D. N. Basov, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 532 (2008).
- [47] V. P. Gusynin, S. G. Sharapov, and J. P. Carbotte, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 256802 (2006).
- [48] T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres, and A. K. Geim, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 085432 (2008).
- [49] I. V. Fialkovsky and A. A. Varlamov, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **56**, 281 (2007).
- [50] E. G. Mishchenko, *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 17005 (2008).
- [51] S. Teber and A. V. Kotikov, *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 57001 (2008).