Hidden spin current in doped Mott antiferromagnets

Wayne Zheng,¹ Zheng Zhu,² D. N. Sheng,³ and Zheng-Yu Weng^{1,4}

¹Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

²Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, California State University, Northridge, California 91330, USA

⁴Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

(Received 6 March 2018; revised manuscript received 10 September 2018; published 2 October 2018)

We investigate the nature of doped Mott insulators using exact diagonalization and density matrix renormalization group methods. Persistent spin currents are revealed in the ground state, which are concomitant with a nonzero total momentum or angular momentum associated with the doped hole. The latter determines a nontrivial ground state degeneracy. By further making superpositions of the degenerate ground states with zero or unidirectional spin currents, we show that different patterns of spatial charge and spin modulations will emerge. Such anomaly persists for the odd numbers of holes, but the spin current, ground state degeneracy, and charge/spin modulations completely disappear for even numbers of holes, with the two-hole ground state exhibiting a *d*-wave symmetry. An understanding of the spin current due to a many-body Berry-like phase and its influence on the momentum distribution of the doped holes will be discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.165102

I. INTRODUCTION

The low-energy physics of the interacting fermions is generally described as a Luttinger liquid (LL) [1,2] in one dimension (1D), characterized by gapless charge, neutral density wave, and current excitations [3,4]. In general, the LL theory breaks down in higher dimensions due to the absence of forbidden regions in the spectrum to protect the current excitations, with the exception for some fractional quantum Hall systems [5,6] in two dimensions (2D) where the gapless edges are protected by the gapped bulk. Another class of strongly interacting fermion systems is the doped Mott insulators, relevant to high-temperature superconducting cuprates [7,8], for which Anderson [7,9,10] was the first to suggest that doped holes may induce scattering singularities leading to LL-like behaviors in 2D. Its microscopic mechanism was attributed [9] to an unrenormalizable Fermi-surface phase shift generated by the doped holes, which was later identified with a many-body Berry-like phase in the t-J model known as the *phase string* [11-13]. The latter is responsible for the LL behaviors in the 1D t-J model as confirmed both analytically and numerically [12,14]. Then a natural question is if such an effect can lead to a current-carrying ground state [15,16] in the 2D doped Mott antiferromagnet to give rise to non-Fermi liquid (NFL) features.

In this paper we reveal unconventional properties of the doped Mott antiferromagnets based on exact diagonalization (ED) and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) simulations. For the odd numbers of doped holes, we identify the symmetry-protected degeneracy with nontrivial total momentum $\mathbf{K}^0 \neq 0$ or angular momentum $L_z \neq 0$ for the ground states, and more importantly, it is concomitant with permanent spin currents, as illustrated in Fig. 1 by taking one-hole ground state as an example. Such spin current pattern is robustly present in different sample sizes and parameter regimes, adapting to different geometries [e.g., under the

periodic boundary condition (PBC) in Fig. 1(a) and under open boundary condition (OBC) in Fig. 1(b)]. It indicates a nontrivial many-body Berry-like phase induced by the doped holes. In particular, by making superpositions of the degenerate ground states with diminished or unidirectional spin currents, we show that different patterns of the spatial charge and spin modulations emerge. In contrast, the degeneracy and its associated spin currents disappear simultaneously for the even numbers of holes, say, in the two-hole ground state, which exhibits a *d*-wave symmetry. Such even-odd effect persists over a few hole cases and may have important implications for finite doping, which is potentially relevant to the superconductivity and pseudogap physics in high- T_c cuprates [7,8,17].

We shall study the simplest model of a doped Mott insulator, i.e., the t-J model, which reads

$$H_{t} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}),$$

$$H_{J} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j} - \frac{1}{4} n_{i} n_{j} \right).$$
(1)

Here $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$ is the electron creation operator at site *i*, **S**_{*i*} is the spin operator, and n_i is the electron number operator, and the summation is over all the nearest-neighbor (NN) sites $\langle ij \rangle$. The Hilbert space is always constrained by the no-double-occupancy condition, i.e., $n_i \leq 1$. We use both ED [18] and DMRG [19,20] to study the ground states of Eq. (1) on a 2D lattice of size $N = N_x \times N_y$.

II. ONE-HOLE CASE

A. Ground state degeneracy and hidden spin currents

We begin with the one-hole case, whose basic properties have been previously intensively investigated [21–24] by ED. The ground state has a total spin S = 1/2 and nonzero



FIG. 1. Neutral spin currents are revealed in the degenerate ground states of the one-hole-doped t-J model on square lattices: (a) On a torus (PBC) with c_x and c_y denoting two winding paths at momentum $\mathbf{K}^0 = (-\pi/2, -\pi/2)$ (cf. Table I). (b) The spin current pattern under the OBC (angular momentum $L_z = -1$). Here J/t = 0.3 with fixed $S^z = 1/2$.

momentum (or angular momentum) depending on the ratio J/t for a fixed spin \hat{z} -component $S^z = \pm 1/2$. For example, for $N = 4 \times 4$ and $N = 12 \times 4$ systems, ED and DMRG calculations show that the ground states have finite total momenta $\mathbf{K}^0 = (\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ at t/J > 1 with fourfold degeneracy [25]. Table I shows the details for the $N = 4 \times 4$ lattice under the PBC. In contrast, for a bipartite lattice under the OBC with $\pi/2$ rotational symmetry, a double degeneracy can be generally identified as characterized by angular momentum $L_z = \pm 1$ [26], with the sample size persisting from a 2×2 plaquette [27] up to 8×8 (see below).

Here the degenerate ground states associated with $\mathbf{K}^0 \neq 0$ or $L_z \neq 0$ imply that the doped hole acquires a nondissipative charge current flowing through a neutral spin background. One may further check the *neutral* spin current in the spin background, defined by

$$J_{ij}^{s} \equiv -i\frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | (S_{i}^{+}S_{j}^{-} - S_{i}^{-}S_{j}^{+}) | \psi \rangle$$
 (2)

on a given NN link *ij* with the ground state $|\psi\rangle$ labeled by quantum numbers *S* and *S^z*. Indeed *J^s* per link is found nonzero as illustrated in Fig. 1 for both PBC [Fig. 1(a)] and OBC [Fig. 1(b) with the arrow and thickness of each link denoting the current direction and amplitude]. The nontrivial

TABLE I. Momenta and spin currents of degenerate one-hole ground states on a 4×4 torus determined by ED.

J/t	(K^0_x, K^0_y)	$I_s^x \equiv \sum_{c_x} J_{ij}^s$	$I_s^y \equiv \sum_{c_y} J_{ij}^s$
0.3	$(0,\pi)$	0.0000	0.0000
	$(\pi, 0)$	0.0000	0.0000
	$(\pi/2, \pi/2)$	-0.0991	-0.0991
	$(\pi/2, -\pi/2)$	-0.0991	+0.0991
	$(-\pi/2, -\pi/2)$	+0.0991	+0.0991
	$(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$	+0.0991	-0.0991
3.0	$(\pi/2, 0)$	-0.0359	0.0000
	$(-\pi/2, 0)$	+0.0359	0.0000
	$(0, \pi/2)$	0.0000	-0.0359
	$(0, -\pi/2)$	0.0000	+0.0359
10	(0, 0)	0.0000	0.0000



FIG. 2. The neutral spin current patterns of J_{ij}^s with a hole projected onto a lattice site at J/t = 0.3. (a) For the 1D ground states of a N = 12 loop. (b) For the 2D ground states of $N = 4 \times 4$ lattice under the OBC. The dashed closed path circulating around the hole indicates a finite net spin current loop. (a) $K^0 = -\phi/2$ and (b) $L_z = -1$.

 \mathbf{K}^0 at J/t = 0.3 and J/t = 3.0 are always associated with nonzero spin currents, $I_s^{x(y)} \equiv \sum_{c_x(c_y)} J_{ij}^s$ (cf. Table I) along the closed path c_x or c_y defined in Fig. 1(a). At J/t = 0.3there are actually two more degenerate states at $\mathbf{K}^0 = (\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ with vanishing $I_s^{x(y)}$, which may be due to an additional special symmetry for the 4×4 lattice but not generic [22]. At J/t = 10, the nontrivial ground state degeneracy (for each fixed $S^z = \pm 1/2$) and the spin current are both absent, while the total momentum reduces to trivial $\mathbf{K}^0 = (0, 0)$.

Note that J^s in Eq. (2) only satisfies the continuity equation for the conserved S^z at half-filling. Upon doping, to satisfy the full continuity equation, one needs to also include a different contribution to the spin current at the links involving the hole(s) determined by the hopping term of the t-J model, which is also associated with the charge current of the doped hole (see the Appendix for details). Nonetheless, J^s in Eq. (2) measures the neutral spin current created in the spin background by the hopping term in Eq. (1). To see that such neutral spin current is separated from the hole, we may take the one-dimensional t-J chain as an example, in which the one-hole ground state has a double degeneracy at momenta $K^0 = \pm \pi/2$ (with the lattice size N = 12 and J/t = 0.3). By projecting the hole onto a given lattice site, the neutral spin current pattern is shown in Fig. 2(a) at $K^0 = -\pi/2$. Figure 2(b) further shows the neutral spin current pattern with a hole projected onto a specific site in an $N = 4 \times 4$ lattice under the OBC [cf. Fig. 1(b)].

The amplitude of I_s^x is nonuniversal and smoothly changes with J/t as illustrated in Fig. 3(a) for PBC, while the total momentum \mathbf{K}^0 jumps from $(+\pi/2, +\pi/2)$ to $(+\pi/2, 0)$ around $J/t \simeq 2$. Actually the spin current I_s^x and the ground state degeneracy simultaneously disappear at $J/t \simeq 7.0$ as indicated in the inset of Fig. 3 where \mathbf{K}^0 jumps from $(+\pi/2, 0)$ to (0, 0). Here one can clearly see that the novel ground state degeneracy and nonzero spin currents are concomitant. We also present larger system results as shown in Fig. 3(b) for OBC. The finite spin current regime corresponds to $L_z = \pm 1$ with the critical transition points identified at $J_{c1}/t \simeq 0.28$ and $J_{c2}/t \simeq 1.3$ for 4×4 and $J_{c1}/t \simeq 0.08$ and $J_{c2}/t \simeq 1.1$ for 6×6 , respectively. The critical points of $J_{c1}/t \simeq 0.02$ and



FIG. 3. (a) Spin current I_s^x for the single hole ground state of $N = 4 \times 4$ under PBC. The vertical dashed line marks the jump of the total momentum from $\mathbf{K}^0 = (+\pi/2, +\pi/2)$ to $\mathbf{K}^0 = (+\pi/2, 0)$. Inset: The spin current eventually disappears in the nondegenerate ground state with $\mathbf{K}^0 = 0$ at a larger J/t > 7. (b) The total spin currents summed over the outermost bonds of the 4×4 and 6×6 lattices under OBC, respectively, with the nonzero spin current regimes coinciding with $L_z = \pm 1$. The vertical arrows mark the critical points for the 8×8 lattice (see text).

 $J_{c2}/t \simeq 1.1 - 1.2$ for 8×8 are also determined by directly looking for the appearance/disappearance of the novel ground state degeneracy and nonzero spin currents. Clearly the spin current phase is robust for a wide range of parameter J/t for large systems. The current patterns for 6×6 and 8×8 under the OBC identified by the DMRG calculation at J/t = 1/3can be found in Fig. 5 and the Appendix, respectively.

B. Charge/spin modulations

One may further construct a zero or unidirectional spin current state by proper superpositions of the current carrying states specified by the total momenta $\mathbf{K}^0 = (\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ discussed above. As illustrated by Fig. 4(a) for the case of $N = 4 \times 4$, by an equal weight superposition of all four states, the new state exhibits both charge and spin modulations on top of a uniform background. Furthermore, a stripelike charge/spin spatial distribution can be constructed in Fig. 4(b) as a superposition of two degenerate ground states with vanishing spin currents perpendicular to the stripe direction (while the quantized momentum remains along the stripe direction). Furthermore, an $N = 12 \times 4$ system calculated by DMRG shows the same fourfold degeneracy states at the same \mathbf{K}^0 , whose real wave function is a zero-current state with the similar charge (spin) modulations as illustrated in Fig. 4(c). Here the charge/spin modulations or nematicity as the "incipient" translational symmetry breaking [28] may be viewed as many-body quantum interference states, which are "dual" to the degenerate spin-current-carrying ground states.

III. A FEW HOLE CASES

Now let us examine the case when more holes are injected into the Mott insulator. We have seen that there is a ground state degeneracy associated with nonzero spin currents in the one-hole case. Surprisingly, the whole ground-state degeneracy and neutral spin currents disappear simultaneously in the



FIG. 4. Charge and spin density modulations $(\langle n_i^h \rangle$ and $\langle S_i^z \rangle$, respectively) emerge in the degenerate ground states with (a) a net zero spin-current state and (b) a "stripe" state with zero net spin current only along the perpendicular direction. (c) Charge density wave obtained by DMRG. Here J/t = 0.3 with $N = 4 \times 4$ in (a) and (b) and for $N = 12 \times 4$ in (c) under the PBC.

two-hole ground state. In particular, the total angular momentum becomes $L_z = \pm 2 \mod 4$ [26] at J/t = 0.3, which is consistent with the *d*-wave symmetry of two-hole pairing state (i.e., the wave function changes sign under a $\pi/2$ rotation). Note that previously a strong binding between the two holes has been indeed shown in the two- and four-leg ladders with $N = N_x \times 2$ and $N = N_x \times 4$ by DMRG for the same ratio of J/t [29].

However, once three holes are doped, the novel degeneracy and spin currents reemerge again in the ground states. In Fig. 5 both the spin and charge currents in the $N = 6 \times 6$ system are shown for (a) the one-hole case and (b) three-hole case as obtained by DMRG under the OBC. We find that charge currents show different microscopic patterns with a staggered current loop pattern in the background [30–32], and their amplitude distributions are correlated with the ones for the spin currents. We always find the disappearance of the degeneracy and spin currents for the even numbers of holes, while the irreducible double degeneracy (with a given $S^z \neq 0$) reemerges again when the number of doped hole is odd, where the nontrivial spin current persists up to an intermediate hole density for different system sizes and geometries as checked by DMRG. For example, for an $N = 6 \times 6$, we find the same



FIG. 5. Spin currents are present in the one-hole and three-hole ground states which are doubly degenerate (for a fixed $S^z = 1/2$) under the OBC with the angular momentum $L_z = \pm 1 \mod 4$. But the spin current is absent in the two-hole ground state, which is nondegenerate with $L_z = 2 \mod 4$ and S = 0 consistent with a *d*-wave symmetry. Here $N = 6 \times 6$ and J/t = 0.3 with the data obtained by DMRG. (a) one hole and (b) three holes.

degeneracy with nonzero spin current pattern still present for the hole number equal to 5 (i.e., corresponding to the hole doping concentration $5/36 \sim 14\%$).

IV. LONG-RANGE ENTANGLEMENT DUE TO A MANY-BODY BERRY-LIKE PHASE

The nonzero spin current is a demonstration of a Berry-like phase hidden in the background, which is nonlocally entangled with a doped hole as clearly illustrated by, e.g., Fig. 2(b). In the following we provide a theoretical understanding of its microscopic origin. It has been previously predicted that in the t-J model a doped hole will generically pick up a Berry-like phase $\tau_c \equiv (-1)^{N_h^{\downarrow}(c)}$ after traversing the quantum spin background via a closed path c, which is known as the phase string effect [11–13,33]. Here $N_h^{\downarrow,\uparrow}(c)$ counts the total number of exchanges between the hole and \downarrow (\uparrow) spins in the background with $\tau_c = e^{\pm i \frac{\pi}{2} [N_h^{\uparrow}(c) + N_h^{\downarrow}(c)]} e^{\mp i \frac{\pi}{2} [N_h^{\uparrow}(c) - N_h^{\downarrow}(c)]}$. It is distinguished [34] from the so-called S^z string [8,21,35–37] as the transverse component of the defect created by hole hopping. We note that the first factor in τ_c will lead to $\mathbf{K}^0 =$ $(\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2) \neq 0$ while the second one will be responsible for generating the spin current as the residual fluctuations once the hopping t becomes dominant locally. Indeed, by turning off the phase string τ_c with replacing the hopping term H_t by $H_{\sigma \cdot t} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} \sigma(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.})$ in the so-called $\sigma \cdot t$ -J model [34], all the above novel features disappear and we find unique ground state as confirmed by both ED and DMRG calculations. With $\tau_c = 1$ and $\mathbf{K}^0 = (0, 0)$ or (π, π) , the ground state reduces to a trivial "quasiparticle" state without spin currents, and correspondingly it becomes nondegenerate and uniform at a given $S^z = \pm 1/2$.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this work we have firmly established an important effect of the doped Mott insulator by ED and DMRG, which has been overlooked in the previous studies. Namely, a single hole or odd number of holes exhibits a composite structure by generating independent spin currents in the background. The latter should carry away a partial momentum or angular momentum. In the one-hole ground state, for example, the total momentum $\mathbf{K}^0 = (\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ has been previously well established [8,15,21,24,38-41] in the t-J model and experimentally [42,43]. But the corresponding single-electron momentum distribution shows a much broadened feature (cf. Fig. 9 and the detailed discussion in the Appendix). In particular, in contrast to a pointlike quasiparticle without an internal degree of freedom, here the chirality of the spin current relative to the hole determines the sign of the total momentum/angular momentum and thus leads to a novel ground state degeneracy. The doped hole is no longer a Landau's quasiparticle carrying the total momentum/angular momentum satisfying the one-to-one correspondence principle. On the other hand, the degenerate ground states with the charge and spin modulations can be reconstructed from the currentcarrying states, with a period of doubled lattice constant in the one-hole case [cf. Fig. 4(a)], which is consistent with the observation in the neighborhood of a trapped charge state by a defect in an undoped cuprate [44]. Furthermore, the novel degeneracy, spin currents, and the charge/spin modulations all disappear in the case of even number of holes, indicating that the spin currents must play an important role to facilitate pairing. Finally, if one makes an extrapolation to a finite hole density in the thermodynamic limit, the even-odd effect of doped holes could have a profound implication. If these holes are indeed paired up in the ground state to form a d-wave superconducting state, then a novel "pseudogap" phase may be conjectured at finite temperature by the presence of a sufficient amount of unpaired single holes, where the finite spin and charge current loops as well as charge/spin modulations or nematicity are expected to coexist. In particular, the charge modulation period would be changed, depending on a Fermi surface (pockets or arcs) emergent at finite doping as evolving from the four points at \mathbf{K}^0 in the one-hole case. These are open questions to be explored in future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Stimulating discussions with L. Balents, S. Chen, F.D.M. Haldane, J. Ho, S. Kivelson, and J. Zaanen are acknowledged. This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of



FIG. 6. Currents of the one-hole ground state with $L_z = -1$ on a 4×4 lattice under the OBC with J/t = 0.3. (a) J^h ; (b) J^s ; (c) J^b ; and (d) $J^s_{tot} = J^s + J^b$.

China (CN), Grant No. 11534007 and MOST (CN), Grants No. 2015CB921000 and No. 2017YFA0302902. Work by DNS is supported by the DOE (US), through the Office of Basic Energy Sciences (US), Grant No. DE-FG02-06ER46305.

one-to-one correspondence conjecture will be discussed based on the momentum distribution function.

1. Spin and charge currents

APPENDIX: SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

In the Appendix we shall define the neutral spin current, backflow spin current, and charge current, respectively, and address the continuity conditions of the currents. The DMRG results of spin and charge currents for a 8×8 system doped by hole will be also shown. Finally, the violation of Landau's Based on the *t*-*J* model in Eq. (1), there are two globally conserved quantities, namely the hole number $N_h \equiv \sum_i (1 - n_i) = N - \sum_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$ and the total magnetization $S_{\text{tot}}^z = \sum_i S_i^z$ as $[H, N_h] = 0$ and $[H, S_{\text{tot}}^z] = 0$ in the restricted Hilbert space of $n_i \leq 1$. In the Heisenberg picture one has

$$\frac{d[1-n_i(\tau)]}{d\tau} = i[H, 1-n_i] = -i(-t) \sum_{\langle jk \rangle, \sigma} \left[c^{\dagger}_{j\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \text{H.c.}, \sum_{\eta} c^{\dagger}_{i\eta} c_{i\eta} \right] \equiv \sum_{j=NN(i)} J^h_{ij}, \tag{A1}$$

in which the hole current is identified by

$$J_{ij}^{h} = -\mathrm{i}t \sum_{\sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} - \mathrm{H.c.}) .$$
 (A2)

Similarly, for the local operator S_i^z ,

$$\frac{dS_i^z(\tau)}{d\tau} = i \left[H_t, S_i^z \right] + i \left[H_J, S_i^z \right] = i(-t) \sum_{jk,\sigma} \left[c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} + \text{H.c.}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} \eta c_{i\eta}^{\dagger} c_{i\eta} \right] + i J \sum_{jk} \left[\frac{1}{2} (S_j^+ S_k^- + \text{H.c.}), \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} \eta c_{i\eta}^{\dagger} c_{i\eta} \right] \\ \equiv \sum_{j=NN(i)} \left(J_{ij}^b + J_{ij}^s \right), \tag{A3}$$

where the backflow current J_{ij}^b associated with the hole hopping and the neutral spin current J_{ij}^s in the spin background are, respectively, defined as follows:

$$J_{ij}^{b} = i \frac{t}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} - \text{H.c.}), \qquad (A4a)$$

$$J_{ij}^{s} = -i \frac{J}{2} (S_{i}^{+} S_{j}^{-} - \text{H.c.}).$$
 (A4b)

In the main text, for simplicity, in calculating the neutral spin current J_{ij}^s we have set J = 1 in the definition of J_{ij}^s in Eq. (2). Note that in order to have conserved currents, one has to include both J^s and J^b to restore the continuity condition. As illustrated in Fig. 6, we compute J^h , J^s , J^b , and $J_{tot}^s \equiv J^s + J^b$ in the $L_z = -1$ state of the *t*-*J* model with J/t = 0.3 and $N = 4 \times 4$ under the OBC. We have checked that the total spin currents in Fig. 6(d) does exactly satisfy the continuity condition.

2. Neutral spin and charge currents at $N = 8 \times 8$ by DMRG

In the DMRG calculations, it is usually difficult to directly select a translational invariant state with a given momentum quantum number due to the algorithm using local basis states [19,20]. In our calculation we first calculate real wave functions which speed up the DMRG process. However, we can target different ground states and make superpositions of these states to form momentum or angular momentum eigenstates. For an open system, we first obtain the lowest two energy eigenstates, which are always degenerating with each other for the one-hole doped case with a suitable ratio of J/t. The complex superpositions of these two ground states $[(|\Psi_{01}\rangle \pm i |\Psi_{02}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}]$ will make up two angular momentum eigenstates with $L_z = \pm 1$, respectively. We then can measure the spin and charge currents from one of these states, whose patterns are shown in Fig. 7 for a lattice size $N = 8 \times 8$ for the *t*-*J* model at J/t = 0.3. We see that the spin and charge



FIG. 7. Spin and charge currents for the one-hole-doped *t*-*J* model on a 8×8 lattice under the OBC with J/t = 0.3. There are double degenerate ground states associated with $L^z = \pm 1$. (a) spin current and (b) charge current.

currents in the ground state remain robust from 4×4 to 8×8 , as well as 12×4 , which are tied up with the nontrivial exact ground state degeneracy at a fixed S^z . It is interesting to note that there is generally a staggered loop pattern in the background of the charge current shown in Fig. 7(b), which is consistent with that discussed in two-leg ladder systems [30–32]. Its details will be further discussed elsewhere.

3. Momentum distribution: The breakdown of the one-to-one correspondence principle

To further examine the physical implications of the presence of the neutral spin currents in the spin background, we study the *change* of the momentum distribution of the electrons upon doping:

$$\delta n(\mathbf{k}) \equiv n_0^e - n^e(\mathbf{k}) = 1 - \sum_{\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \qquad (A5)$$

where $n_0^e = 1$ denotes the electron momentum distribution at half-filling (the Mott antiferromagnet). So $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$ measures the *change* of the electron momentum distribution upon one hole doping with $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta n(\mathbf{k}) = 1$.

Let us consider, as an example, an $N = 12 \times 4$ lattice with one doped hole under the PBC, which can be shown to have fourfold degenerate ground states at four total momenta $\mathbf{K}^0 = (\pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$ by our DMRG calculation. A realwave-function ground state determined by DMRG exhibits the charge modulation as shown in Fig. 4, which is a superposition of the degenerate ground states of given \mathbf{K}^0 's. Correspondingly we examine the momentum distribution $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$ of such a ground state in the following.

As shown by Fig. 8, $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$ exhibits two major peaks located at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $(3\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$. The latter is equivalent to $(-\pi/2, -\pi/2)$ in the first Brillouin zone. However, $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$ clearly shows a continuum background, indicating that the individual electrons gain a broad range of momenta centered around the total \mathbf{K}^0 upon one hole doping. Figure 9 further illustrates the momentum distribution along the k_x axis for

given k_y 's. Both Figs. 8 and 9 directly indicate that the total momentum is no longer solely carried by a single charge carrier or quasiparticle. In other words, Landau's one-to-one correspondence principle, which is the basis for a Fermi liquid, is violated here.

The persistent spin currents in the spin background provide a microscopic mechanism for such a breakdown of the one-to-one correspondence. Indeed, the total momentum is associated with the translational symmetry of the *whole* many-body system, which includes both the doped hole and the background spins. On the other hand, the concomitant spin currents will carry away partial momentum and the momentum transfer between the two degrees of freedom is generally present. In other words, the hole is moving in a quantum spin background which is not translational invariant



FIG. 8. The *change* of the electron momentum distribution $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$, when one hole is injected into the Mott insulator, is obtained by DMRG with lattice size $N = 12 \times 4$ under the PBC with J/t = 0.3. Note that the corresponding ground state shows charge modulation as given in Fig. 3(c).



FIG. 9. $\delta n(\mathbf{k})$ vs k_x at fixed k_y 's for the same ground state as in Fig. 8. The vertical dashed lines mark the positions of the total momenta at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $(3\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$, by whose ground states the present degenerate state is superposed of. (a) $k_y = 0$; (b) $k_y = \pi/2$; (c) $k_y = \pi$; and (d) $k_y = 3\pi/2$.

as far as the doped charge is concerned. As a matter of fact, it has been shown in Fig. 3 that the strength of the spin currents is nonuniversal and smoothly changes with the coupling ratio J/t. As the consequence, it implies that the adiabatic continuity should no longer be valid here even though \mathbf{K}^0 is still well defined. A in-depth analysis of breakdown of the one-to-one correspondence for the two-leg ladder Mott insulators doped by a hole has been recently given in Ref. [28].

- [1] J. M. Luttinger, J. Math. Phys. 4, 1154 (1963).
- [2] S.-I. Tomonaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. 5, 544 (1950).
- [3] F. D. M. Haldane, J. Phys. C 14, 2585 (1981).
- [4] M. Yamanaka, M. Oshikawa, and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1110 (1997).
- [5] C. L. Kane, R. Mukhopadhyay, and T. C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 036401 (2002).
- [6] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 89, 085101 (2014).
- [7] P. W. Anderson, *The Theory of Superconductivity in the High-T_c Cuprate Superconductors*, 1st ed. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997).
- [8] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 17 (2006), and references therein.
- [9] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1839 (1990).
- [10] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2306 (1990).
- [11] D. N. Sheng, Y. C. Chen, and Z. Y. Weng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5102 (1996).
- [12] Z. Y. Weng, D. N. Sheng, Y.-C. Chen, and C. S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3894 (1997).
- [13] K. Wu, Z. Y. Weng, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 77, 155102 (2008).
- [14] Z. Zhu, Q.-R. Wang, D. Sheng, and Z.-Y. Weng, Nucl. Phys. B 903, 51 (2016).
- [15] B. I. Shraiman and E. D. Siggia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 467 (1988).
- [16] Z.-Y. Weng, New J. Phys. 13, 103039 (2011).

- [17] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, Nature (London) 518, 179 (2015), and references therein.
- [18] M. Reuter, F. M. Gomes, and D. Sorensen, "BSD arpack++ package."
- [19] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2863 (1992).
- [20] U. Schollwöck, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 259 (2005), and references therein.
- [21] E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 763 (1994), and references therein.
- [22] Y. Hasegawa and D. Poilblanc, Phys. Rev. B 40, 9035 (1989).
- [23] P. Prelovsek and X. Zotos, Phys. Rev. B 47, 5984 (1993).
- [24] P. W. Leung and R. J. Gooding, Phys. Rev. B 52, R15711(R) (1995).
- [25] As shown in Table I, there are additional double degeneracies at 4×4 , which go away for larger systems [24].
- [26] The ground state with the angular momentum L_z will change by a phase factor $e^{i\phi L_z}$ under a ϕ rotation, and on a square lattice with the rotational symmetry, L_z is determined up to mod 4.
- [27] H. Yao, W.-F. Tsai, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B 76, R161104 (2007).
- [28] Z. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, and Z.-Y. Weng, Phys. Rev. B 98, 035129 (2018).
- [29] Z. Zhu, H.-C. Jiang, D. N. Sheng, and Z.-Y. Weng, Sci. Rep. 4, 5419 (2014).

- [30] D. J. Scalapino, S. R. White, and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B 64, 100506 (2001).
- [31] S. Nishimoto, E. Jeckelmann, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 79, 205115 (2009).
- [32] U. Schollwöck, S. Chakravarty, J. O. Fjaerestad, J. B. Marston, and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 186401 (2003).
- [33] J. Zaanen and B. J. Overbosch, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Sect. A 369, 1599 (2011).
- [34] Z. Zhu, H. C. Jiang, Y. Qi, C. S. Tian, and Z. Y. Weng, Sci. Rep. 3, 2586 (2013).
- [35] W. F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 2, 1324 (1970).
- [36] S. A. Trugman, Phys. Rev. B 37, 1597 (1988).
- [37] F. Grusdt, M. Kanasz-Nagy, A. Bohrdt, C. S. Chiu, G. Ji, M. Greiner, D. Greif, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. X 8, 011046 (2018).

- [38] S. Schmitt-Rink, C. M. Varma, and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2793 (1988).
- [39] C. L. Kane, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B 39, 6880 (1989).
- [40] G. Martinez and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B 44, 317 (1991).
- [41] Z. Liu and E. Manousakis, Phys. Rev. B 44, 2414 (1991).
- [42] B. O. Wells, Z. X. Shen, A. Matsuura, D. M. King, M. A. Kastner, M. Greven, and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 964 (1995).
- [43] F. Ronning, C. Kim, D. L. Feng, D. S. Marshall, A. G. Loeser, L. L. Miller, J. N. Eckstein, I. Bozovic, and Z.-X. Shen, Science 282, 2067 (1998).
- [44] C. Ye, P. Cai, R. Yu, X. Zhou, W. Ruan, Q. Liu, C. Jin, and Y. Wang, Nat. Commun. 4, 1365 (2013).