Ferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the filled skutterudite SrFe₄As₁₂ revealed by 75As NMR-NQR measurements

Q.-P. Ding,¹ K. Rana,¹ K. Nishine,² Y. Kawamura,² J. Hayashi,² C. Sekine,² and Y. Furukawa¹

¹*Ames Laboratory, U.S. DOE, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA* ²*Muroran Institute of Technology, Muroran, Hokkaido 050-8585, Japan*

(Received 18 August 2018; revised manuscript received 9 October 2018; published 31 October 2018)

⁷⁵As nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) measurements have been carried out to investigate the magnetic and electronic properties of the filled skutterudite metallic compound $SrFe₄A₅₁₂$. The temperature dependence of Knight shift *K* determined by the NQR spectrum under a small magnetic field (≤ 0.5 T) shows the similar temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility χ which exhibits a broad maximum at *T* [∗] ∼ 50 K. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate divided by temperature 1*/T*1*T* increases with decreasing temperature and exhibits a broad maximum at *T* ∼ 70 K, similar to the case of *χ*. The temperature dependence of *K* and $1/T_1T$ is reasonably explained by a simple model where we assume a concave-shaped band structure near the Fermi energy. Based on a Korringa ratio analysis using the T_1 and K data, ferromagnetic spin fluctuations are found to exist in $SrFe_4As_{12}$. These results indicate that $SrFe_4As_{12}$ can be characterized to be a metal with ferromagnetic correlations and also the peculiar band structure responsible for the suppression of $1/T_1T$ and *K* at low temperatures.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.155149](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.155149)

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of physical properties of filled skutterudite-structure compounds has a long history which started with the discovery of LaFe₄P₁₂ by Jeitschiko et al. in 1977 [\[1\]](#page-5-0). The compound crystallizes in a body-centered cubic structure with the space group *Im*3, and is now known to have a wide variety of compounds with the general formula AT_4X_{12} ($A =$ alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, lanthanide, and actinide; $T = \text{Fe}$, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Ir, and Pt; $X = P$, As, Sb, and Ge). These compounds have received much attention since they exhibit rich physical properties that include superconductivity, metal-insulator transition, ferromagnetism, antiferromagnetism, hybridization gaps (Kondo insulator behavior), non-Fermi-liquid behavior, quadrupolar ordering, and field-induced heavy-fermion states [\[2–15\]](#page-5-0), as well as excellent thermoelectric properties [\[16\]](#page-5-0).

These intriguing physical phenomena are mainly owing to *f* electrons in rare-earth or *d* electrons in transition metals hybridized with *p* electrons of *X* elements, as well as the characteristic cage structure in the compounds. Many studies in rare-earth-filled skutterudite compounds have been carried out to investigate the role of the *f* electrons on physical properties. Instead, few studies on *d* electron systems have been performed so far. Most studies on *d* electron systems focus on iron-antimony filled skutterudite compounds $AFe₄Sb₁₂$ and found the interesting magnetic properties which largely depend on the number of valence electrons of the *A* ions. In the case of $A =$ monovalent Na and K ions, a weak ferromagnetism with a Curie temperature of $T_C = 85$ K has been observed [\[5,17\]](#page-5-0), while no magnetic order has been reported for the case of divalent alkaline-earth ions such as Ca, Sr, and Ba, where ferromagnetic spin fluctuations are considered to play an important role $[6,18,19]$. On the other hand, the importance of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations are pointed out in the trivalent ion system of $LaFe₄Sb₁₂$ [\[6,20\]](#page-5-0). Although it would be important to systematically study these physical properties of *d* electron systems by changing *X* ions such as P and As for further deep understandings of the role of *d* electrons, not many studies have been carried out because of the difficulty in preparing those compounds.

Recently, new filled-skutterudite arsenide compounds $SrT₄As₁₂$ (*T* = Fe, Ru, Os) have been synthesized using a high-pressure synthesis technique [\[21\]](#page-5-0), which provides a new opportunity of systematic studies of the role of *d* electrons with different *X* ions as well as the effects from the different *d* electron of 3*d*, 4*d*, and 5*d*. For a 5*d* electron system, $SrOs₄As₁₂$ was found to be a new superconductor with a transition temperature of $T_c = 4.8$ K [\[21\]](#page-5-0). For 3*d* and 4*d* electron systems, on the other hand, $SrFe₄As₁₂$ and $SrRu₄As₁₂$ do not exhibit superconductivity down to 2 K, although the electrical resistivities show metallic behavior [\[21\]](#page-5-0). As for the magnetic properties for 3*d* systems, comparative studies have been performed. The static magnetic susceptibility χ of the isostructural compound BaFe₄As₁₂ shows a broad maximum at $T^* \sim 50$ K. Above T^* , χ follows the Curie-Weiss (CW) law with an effective magnetic moment μ_{eff} of 1.46 μ_{B} /Fe and a negative Weiss temperature θ of −57 K [\[22\]](#page-5-0). Together with the observation of a large Sommerfeld coefficient of the electronic specific heat (γ = 62 mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻²), Sekine *et al.* suggested that BaFe₄As₁₂ is a nearly ferromagnetic metal [\[22\]](#page-5-0). On the other hand, in the case of $SrFe₄As₁₂$, although the static magnetic susceptibility exhibits a broad maximum at $T^* \sim 50$ K and follows the CW law with $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.36 \ \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{Fe}$ very similar to the case of BaFe₄As₁₂, the positive Weiss temperature θ of 36 K has been reported, indicating a different magnetic spin correlations in comparison with the case of $BaFe₄As₁₂$. A large

 $\gamma = 58 \text{ mJ} \text{ mol}^{-1} K^{-2}$ is also observed in SrFe₄As₁₂ which suggests strong electron correlation effects, as well as the case of BaFe₄As₁₂.

Motivated by the reported interesting magnetic properties in $SrFe₄As₁₂$, we have carried out nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) measurements which are powerful techniques to investigate the magnetic and electronic properties of materials from a microscopic point of view. It is known that the temperature dependence of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $(1/T_1)$ reflects the wave vector *q*-summed dynamical susceptibility. On the other hand, NMR spectrum measurements, in particular the Knight shift K , give us information on static magnetic susceptibility χ . Thus from the temperature dependence of $1/T_1T$ and *K*, one can obtain valuable insights about magnetic fluctuations in materials. In this paper we report the results of 75As NMR and NQR measurements performed for investigating the spin fluctuations in $SrFe₄As₁₂$. Our analysis, based on the modified Korringa relation, reveals electron correlations enhanced around ferromagnetic (FM) wave number $q = 0$ in SrFe₄As₁₂. The characteristic temperature dependence of *K* and $1/T_1T$ was reasonably explained by a simple model where a concave-shaped band structure near the Fermi energy is assumed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Polycrystalline $SrFe₄As₁₂$ samples were prepared at high temperatures and high pressures using a Kawai-type doublestage multianvil high-pressure apparatus [\[21\]](#page-5-0). NMR and NQR measurements of ⁷⁵As (*I* = $\frac{3}{2}$, $\frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi}$ = 7.2919 MHz/T, *Q* = 0*.*29 barn) nuclei were conducted using a laboratory-built phase-coherent spin-echo pulse spectrometer. The ⁷⁵As-NMR spectra were obtained by sweeping the magnetic field *H* at a fixed frequency $f = 37$ MHz, while ⁷⁵As-NQR spectra were measured in steps of frequency by measuring the intensity of the Hahn spin echo. The 75 As nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $(1/T_1)$ was measured with a saturation recovery method. $1/T_1$ at each temperature (T) was determined by fitting the nuclear magnetization *M* versus time *t* using the exponential function $1 - M(t)/M(\infty) = e^{-3t/T_1}$ for ⁷⁵As NQR, where $M(t)$ and $M(\infty)$ are the nuclear magnetization at time *t* after the saturation and the equilibrium nuclear magnetization at $t \rightarrow \infty$, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. 75As NMR and NQR spectra

Figure 1 shows the field-swept 75 As-NMR spectrum in SrFe₄As₁₂ at $T = 4.3$ K. The typical NMR spectrum can be described by a nuclear spin Hamiltonian which is a sum of the nuclear Zeeman (\mathcal{H}_{M}) and electric quadrupole (\mathcal{H}_{O}) interactions:

$$
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{M} + \mathcal{H}_{Q},\tag{1}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{M}} = -\gamma \hbar (1 + K) H \left[\frac{1}{2} (I_{+} e^{-i\phi} + I_{-} e^{i\phi}) \sin \theta + I_{Z} \cos \theta \right]
$$
\n(2)

FIG. 1. Field-swept ⁷⁵As-NMR spectra of SrFe₄As₁₂ at $f =$ 37 MHz and $T = 4.3$ K. Black curve is the observed spectrum and red curve is the calculated spectrum with $v_O = 54.8$ MHz, $\eta = 0.4$. Blue dotted curve represents the calculated 75 As NMR spectrum $(v₀ = 23.5 MHz, \eta = 0)$ from the impurity phase [\[23\]](#page-5-0). The sum of the two calculated spectra is shown by the green area.

and

$$
\mathcal{H}_{Q} = \frac{h v_{Q}}{6} \bigg[3I_{Z}^{2} - I^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \eta (I_{+}^{2} + I_{-}^{2}) \bigg],
$$
 (3)

in the coordinate of the principal *X*, *Y* , and *Z* axes of electric field gradient (EFG). Here *H* is the applied field, *h* is Planck's constant, *ν*_O is nuclear quadrupole frequency defined by *v*_O = $eQV_{ZZ}/2h$ where Q is the quadrupole moment of the As nucleus, V_{ZZ} is the EFG at the As site, η is the asymmetry parameter of the EFG, and θ and ϕ are the polar and azimuthal angles between the direction of the applied field and the *Z* axis of EFG, respectively.

Different from the case of a nuclear spin $I = 3/2$ with larger Zeeman interaction and small perturbed quadrupolar interaction where a central transition and two satellite peaks can be expected, a complex NMR spectrum is observed in $SrFe₄As₁₂$. This is due to a strong quadrupole interaction and a finite asymmetric parameter *η* of the EFG tensor at the As site. In order to reproduce the complex NMR spectrum, we calculated a powder-pattern NMR spectrum by diagonalizing exactly the nuclear spin Hamiltonian without using perturbation theory. The calculated spectrum with the NMR frequency $f = 37$ MHz, NQR frequency $v_O = 54.8$ MHz, and $\eta = 0.4$ reasonably reproduces the characteristic shape of the observed spectrum, as shown by the red curve in Fig. 1. However, we notice that, in addition to the calculated spectrum (red curve), there is another contribution (\sim 12% spectral weight) of ⁷⁵As NMR spectrum with $v_O = 23.6$ MHz and $\eta = 0$ to the total NMR spectrum. This contribution is assigned to the impurity phase of arsenic metal [\[23\]](#page-5-0).

The principal axis of EFG at the As site cannot be determined from NMR spectrum measurements on the powder compound. Tou *et al.* [\[26\]](#page-5-0) have determined the principal axis of the EFG at the Sb sites in the isostructural $Pros₄ Sb₁₂$ compound from NMR measurements using a single crystal,

FIG. 2. (a) *T* dependence of the 75 As-NQR spectra for SrFe₄As₁₂. (b) *T* dependence of ⁷⁵As-NQR frequency v_{NQR} in SrFe₄As₁₂. The inset shows the ⁷⁵As-NQR frequency v_{NQR} vs $T^{3/2}$ plot, indicating a monotonous decrease following *T* ³*/*² above 40 K.

which reports that, although there is one crystallographically equivalent Sb site in the filled skutterudite structure, there are three different Sb sites with the principal axis parallel to [100], [010], and [001] of the crystal, respectively, due to the local symmetry of the 24g site of the Sb ions. The same conclusion for the direction of the EFG at the Sb sites in $CeOs₄Sb₁₂$ has been reported from Sb NMR using an oriented powder sample [\[27\]](#page-5-0). Since the crystal structure of the Sb compounds is the same with that of $SrFe₄As₁₂$, we consider the directions of EFG at the As sites are the same.

In NQR spectrum under zero magnetic field for $I = 3/2$ (only H_Q in H), one expects a single transition line at a frequency of $v_{NQR} = v_Q \sqrt{1 + \eta^2/3}$. Using the $v_Q = 54.8$ MHz and $\eta = 0.4$ at $T = 4.3$ K estimated from the analysis of the NMR spectrum, one expects the NQR line at *f* ∼ 56*.*3 MHz which is actually observed as shown in Fig. $2(a)$. The temperature dependence of the NQR spectra from 4.3 to 300 K is also presented in the figure. Although the peak position slightly shifts to lower frequency with increasing temperature above ∼40 K, the linewidth of the NQR spectra (full-width at half-maximum, FWHM \sim 140 kHz) is nearly independent of temperature and no broadening or splitting has been observed. This indicates that there are no any structural or magnetic phase transitions from 4 to 300 K in $SrFe₄As₁₂$. The temperature dependence of *ν*_{NQR} determined from the peak positions of the NQR spectra is shown in Fig. 2(b). Similar temperature dependence of *ν*_{NOR} is observed in many filled skutterudite compounds [\[12,14,28–31\]](#page-5-0) where the temperature dependence at higher temperatures is found to obey an empirical relation $v_{NQR}(T) = v_{NQR}(0)(1 - \alpha_Q T^{3/2})$ with a fitting parameter α_0 . This temperature dependence is considered to be due to thermal lattice expansion [\[32\]](#page-5-0). As shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b), the temperature dependence of *ν*_{NOR} in SrFe₄As₁₂ also follows the relation with $\alpha_{\text{Q}} = 3.21 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-3/2}$. The origin of the deviation from the relation below ∼40 K is not clear at present, but one of the possible origins could be due to the quench of the isotropic lattice expansion, as has been discussed in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-5-0).

B. 75As Knight shift

Determination of the Knight shift *K* from the complex NMR spectrum shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) is relatively difficult due to the strong quadrupole interaction and relatively large asymmetric parameter η value, along with the presence of the impurity phase. Usually K is estimated from NMR spectrum which is performed under magnetic field large enough to the quadrupole interaction. In the case of SrFe₄As₁₂, *ν*_O at the As site is more than 50 MHz. This would require a magnetic field of more than ∼30 T (corresponding to the NMR frequency of ∼218 MHz) which is not easily accessible. In addition, small changes in v_0 and η produce a change in *K*, making the determination of *K* difficult from the simulation of NMR spectrum. Here, without using the usual method, we have succeeded in obtaining the Knight shift data from NQR spectrum under small magnetic fields lower than 0.5 T. A similar measurement has been performed on the filled skutteruride compounds AFe_4Sb_{12} ($A = Sr$, Ca) by Sakurai *et al.* [\[19\]](#page-5-0).

In the case of $\eta = 0$, the NQR resonance frequency $\nu_{NOR}(H)$ under a small magnetic field can be written by [\[33\]](#page-5-0)

$$
\nu_{NQR}(H) = \nu_{NQR}(0) \pm \frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi} (1 + K) HF(\theta), \tag{4}
$$

where $v_{NQR}(0)$ is v_{NQR} at $H = 0$ and $F(\theta) = \frac{\cos \theta}{2} [3 (4\tan^2\theta + 1)^{1/2}$. Under magnetic fields, the random distribution of θ produces the rectangular shape of the powderpattern spectrum where $\theta = 0$ (and also π) produce both higher- and lower-frequency edges. By measuring the external magnetic field dependence of the edge position of the NQR spectrum, one can determine the coefficient of the second term of Eq. (4), $\frac{y_N}{2\pi}(1 + K)$, and thus the Knight shift since the $\frac{y_N}{2\pi}$ value is known. In the case of $\eta \neq 0$, $\nu_{NQR}(H)$ is given by

$$
\nu_{\text{NQR}}(H) = \nu_{\text{NQR}}(0) \pm \frac{\gamma_{\text{N}}}{2\pi} A(\eta)(1+K)HF(\theta). \tag{5}
$$

Here one has a factor $A(\eta)$ in the second term, which depends on the value of η . $A(\eta)$ is not equal to unity due to the mixing of the eigenstates $|m\rangle$ and $|m \pm 2\rangle$ originating from the finite value of η in \mathcal{H}_0 . In order to determine *K*, one needs to know the value of $A(\eta)$. To determine the $A(\eta)$ value, we have calculated the resonance frequency corresponding to the lowerfrequency edge position f_L [see Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-3-0) under different magnetic fields by strictly diagonalizing H for $\eta = 0.4$. This calculation provides a value of $\frac{\gamma_N}{2\pi}A(\eta) = 7.1417$. Then we determined *A*(*η*) to be 0.9794 using $\gamma_N/2\pi = 7.2919 \text{ MHz/T}$ for 75 As nucleus.

Figure $3(a)$ shows typical NQR spectra observed at $H = 0$ and 0.5 T at $T = 4.3$ K where the rectangular shape of the powder pattern spectrum under $H = 0.5$ T is clearly seen. The small peaks (at ∼50 and 63 MHz) on both sides of the central rectangular spectrum are due to the mixing of states $|1/2\rangle$ and $|-1/2\rangle$ as a result of zero-order mixing effect [\[34\]](#page-5-0). In fact, these features of the observed spectrum are relatively well reproduced by the calculated powder-pattern spectrum with $v_0 = 54.8$ MHz, $\eta = 0.4$, and $H = 0.5$ T, as shown by the blue curve in Fig. $3(a)$. The inset of Fig. $3(a)$ shows a typical magnetic field dependence of f_L at the lower edge position (indicated by the black arrow), exhibiting a clear linear behavior. From the slope of −6*.*73 ± 0*.*18 MHz*/*T, the Knight shift *K* at 4.3 K was determined to be $-5.7 \pm 2.5\%$.

FIG. 3. (a) Representative ⁷⁵As-NQR spectra under zero and 0.5 T magnetic field at 4.3 K. The blue curve is a simulated powderpattern spectrum with $v_0 = 54.8$ MHz, $\eta = 0.4$, and $H = 0.5$ T. The arrow shows the position of the lower-frequency edge position (f_L) whose position can be calculated from $\theta = \pi$ (and also $\theta =$ 0). The inset shows the external magnetic field dependence of f_L . (b) *T* dependence of 75As Knight shift *K*. The solid curves are the calculated results (see text). The inset shows $K(T)$ versus magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$. The red dash line is a linear fit.

Although the error \sim 40% is relatively large, this is much better than the case of NMR spectrum from which we could not determine *K*. It is also noted that we did not include any anisotropy in the Knight shift in the calculated spectrum which reproduces the observed one as shown above. This suggests that, although one expects an anisotropic part in the Knight shift due to the local symmetry of the Fe ions (trigonal), the anisotropy is not significant and could not be detected within our experimental uncertainty. Therefore, the Knight shift discussed below is considered as an isotropic part of Knight shift.

Following the above method, we determined *K* for each temperature whose temperature dependence is shown in Fig. $3(b)$. The temperature dependence of *K* seems to be similar to that of *χ* which shows a broad maximum at T^* ~ 50 K. This maximum is observed as a minimum in the *K* data due to the negative hyperfine coupling constant as described below. The NMR shift consists of temperature dependent spin shift $K_s(T)$ and *T* independent orbital shift K_0 ; $K(T) =$ $K_s(T) + K_0$ where $K_s(T)$ is proportional to the spin part of magnetic susceptibility $\chi_s(T)$ via hyperfine coupling constant $A_{\text{hf}}, K_{\text{s}}(T) = \frac{\bar{z}A_{\text{hf}}\chi_{\text{s}}(T)}{N_{\text{A}}}$. Here N_{A} is Avogadro's number and $z = 2$ is the number of the nearest neighbor Fe ions at the As site. The hyperfine coupling constant is estimated to be $A_{\text{hf}} = -2.24 \pm 0.6$ kOe/ μ_{B} from the slope in the so-called $K-\chi$ plot shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b). The obtained A_{hf} is comparable to -1.87 kOe/ μ _B at the Sb site reported in $SrFe_4Sb_{12}$ and $CaFe_4Sb_{12}$ [\[19\]](#page-5-0). The transferred hyperfine coupling is due to the coupling between Fe-3*d* spins and As nucleus through the Fe-As covalent bond. K_0 is estimated to be $-3.3 \pm 1.1\%$. Here we assumed that the observed $\chi(T)$ is almost ascribed to the spin part $\chi_s(T)$ since the temperature independent part of the susceptibility χ_0 is estimated to be less

FIG. 4. Temperature dependencies of $1/T_1T$ in SrFe₄As₁₂. The solid line is the calculated result based on the simple band structure shown in the lower inset with a set of parameters of $\Delta = 88$ K, $W = 220$ K, and $r = D_1/D_0 = 0.38$. The upper inset shows the frequency dependence of $1/T_1T$ measured at $T = 4.3$ K and $H =$ 0*.*5 T, together with the spectrum at the same condition. No obvious change in the frequency dependence of $1/T_1T$ indicates that $1/T_1$ is nearly isotropic within the experimental uncertainty.

than 1% of $\chi(T)$ even at 300 K from the analysis based on a Curie-Weiss fitting of the *χ*(*T*) data.

C. ⁷⁵As spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$

Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the 75 As spin-lattice relaxation rate divided by temperature $(1/T_1T)$ measured at the peak positions of the NQR spectra under zero magnetic field. $1/T_1T$ increases gradually with decreasing temperature, and exhibits a broad maximum at $T \sim 70$ K, slightly higher than $T^* \sim 50$ K observed in *χ*. Below $T \sim$ 70 K, $1/T_1T$ decreases rapidly with decreasing temperature. Similar suppression of $1/T_1T$ at low temperatures is observed in many filled skutterudite compounds, which has been discussed in terms of pseudogap behavior at low temperatures [\[9,14,19,28,35\]](#page-5-0). In order to analyze the temperature dependence of $1/T_1T$, we calculated it based on a simple model where we adopt a concave-shaped band structure shown in the inset of Fig. 4. In this model, the Fermi energy (E_F) is assumed to be at the center of the dip, and Δ , *W*, the density of states \mathcal{D}_0 and \mathcal{D}_1 characterize the band structure near E_F . A similar calculation for CePt₄Ge₁₂ has been reported

previously [\[35\]](#page-5-0). Using the formula

$$
\frac{1}{T_1} \sim \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}^2(E)f(E)[1 - f(E)]dE,\tag{6}
$$

where $f(E)$ is the Fermi distribution function, we calculated $1/T_1T$ with a set of parameters of $\Delta = 88$ K, $W = 220$ K, and $r = D_1/D_0 = 0.38$, which reasonably reproduces the experimental data, as shown by the black curve in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) This indicates that the suppression of $1/T_1T$ below T^* can be explained by the rigid peculiar band structure modeled. We also calculated the temperature dependence of *K* using the same model. The solid line in Fig. $3(b)$ is the calculated result utilizing the same set of parameters used for the $1/T_1T$ fitting, where $K_0 = -3.3\%$ is used. Although the fit is not quite satisfactory, the model seems to capture the behavior of *K* qualitatively. It is noted that a slightly different set of parameters ($\Delta = 88$ K, $W = 100$ K, and $r = 0.38$) improves the fitting as shown by the green broken line in Fig. $3(b)$. At present, it is not clear the reason why the different sets of parameters are needed to fit each data set well. Since our model is very crude, one could improve the fit if more detailed band structure were available. Further studies, such as band calculation and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurement will be needed to confirm the peculiar band structure proposed from the present study and also obtain the detailed information of the band structure.

D. Ferromagnetic spin fluctuations

Now we discuss the magnetic fluctuations in $SrFe₄As₁₂$ based on the T_1 and the spin part of the Knight shift (K_s) data. Here we apply a Korringa ratio analysis to extract the character of spin fluctuations. Within a Fermi liquid picture, $1/T_1T$ is proportional to the square of the density of states at the Fermi energy $\mathcal{D}(E_F)$ and K_s is proportional to $\mathcal{D}(E_F)$, leading to the Korringa relation of $T_1 T K_s^2 = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi k_B} (\frac{\gamma_e}{\gamma_N})^2 =$ S. Here γ_e is the electron gyromagnetic ratio. For the ⁷⁵As nucleus, $S = 8.97 \times 10^{-6}$ K s. The Korringa ratio $K(\alpha) \equiv \frac{2S}{T_1 T K_s^2}$, which reflects the deviations from S, can reveal information about electron correlations in the material [\[36,37\]](#page-5-0). It is noted that we have included a factor of 2 in the Korringa ratio by taking the effect of the number of the nearest neighbor Fe irons into consideration. K(*α*) ∼1 represents the situation of uncorrelated electrons. However, enhancement of χ ($\mathbf{q} \neq 0$) increases $1/T_1T$ but has little or no effect on K_s , which probes only the uniform χ ($\mathbf{q} = 0$). Thus $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) > 1$ indicates antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin correlations. In contrast, FM spin correlations produces $K(\alpha) < 1$. Therefore, the predominant feature of magnetic correlations, whether AFM or FM, can be determined by the Korringa ratio $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$. Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the Korringa ratio $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$. $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ seems to be nearly temperature independent with most values around 0.02, although the value of $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ at 200 K is slightly higher than others. $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ values much smaller than unity clearly evidence dominant ferromagnetic fluctuations in SrFe₄As₁₂. A similar, but slightly smaller, value of $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ = 0.005 has been reported in $SrFe₄Sb₁₂$ and $CaFe₄Sb₁₂$ [\[19\]](#page-5-0). These results indicate that the change in ligand does not significantly affect the magnetic properties of the skutterudite

FIG. 5. *T* dependence of Korringa ratio $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ in SrFe₄As₁₂.

compounds, although the magnetic properties largely depend on the number of the valence electrons of the *A* ions in $AFe₄X₁₂$ as described in the Introduction.

In this analysis we have assumed that $1/T_1$ is isotropic. In order to check the anisotropy in $1/T_1$, we have measured the position dependence of $1/T_1$ in the As NQR spectrum under a magnetic field of 0.5 T. As shown in the upper inset of Fig. [4,](#page-3-0) we do not observe significant change in $1/T_1$ at different positions (i.e., different *θ*) of the spectrum within our experimental uncertainty, indicating that T_1 is nearly isotropic. In addition, it should be noted that the observed $1/T_1T$ is the sum of two contributions: the spin and orbital relaxation rates. As a result, the estimated values for $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ can be considered to be upper limits on $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, indicating even stronger FM correlations in SrFe₄As₁₂ than expected from the above $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ values.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we have carried out 75 As NMR and NQR measurements on the filled skutterudite $SrFe₄As₁₂$. No broadening or splitting of the NQR spectra observed around 56 MHz confirms no phase transition in $SrFe₄As₁₂$. Using the NQR spectra under small magnetic field, we have succeeded in determining Knight shift *K* whose temperature dependence shows a minimum at $T^* \sim 50$ K corresponding to the maximum in the magnetic susceptibility *χ*. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate divided by temperature $1/T_1T$ also exhibits a broad maximum at $T \sim 70$ K, slightly higher than T^* . Those temperature dependencies have been reasonably explained by the simple model in which a concaveshaped band structure near the Fermi energy is assumed. The Korringa ratio parameter $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ is found to be much smaller than unity, revealing the existence of ferromagnetic fluctuations. Our NMR data clearly indicate that $SrFe₄As₁₂$ is a metal with ferromagnetic spin correlations. Similar concave-shaped DOS has been observed in other skutterudite compounds which do not exhibit ferromagnetic order although strong ferromagnetic fluctuations have been revealed [\[14,28\]](#page-5-0). These results may suggest that the characteristic concave-shaped DOS would be relevant to the absence of ferromagnetic order in those systems. Finally, it is worth to mention that the isostructural compound $S_{\rm r}O_{\rm S4}As_{12}$ is a superconductor with a T_c of 4.8 K [21]. Quite recently a similar compound with the same structure $(CaOs_4P_{12})$ has been suggested to be a BCS-type superconductor with T_c = 2*.*5K[15]. It would be very interesting to perform NMR and NQR measurements on $S₁₂$ and $Ca₉₄P₁₂$ for investigating their magnetic fluctuations, as well as superconducting properties, from a microscopic point of view.

- [1] W. Jeitschko and D. Braun, [Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B](https://doi.org/10.1107/S056774087701108X) **[33](https://doi.org/10.1107/S056774087701108X)**, [3401](https://doi.org/10.1107/S056774087701108X) [\(1977\)](https://doi.org/10.1107/S056774087701108X).
- [2] B. C. Sales, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of the Rare Earths*, edited by K. A. Gschneidner Jr., J.-C. Bunzli, and V. K. Pecharsky (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2003), Vol. 33, Chap. 211, and references therein.
- [3] I. Shirotani, T. Uchiumi, K. Ohno, C. Sekine, Y. Nakazawa, K. Kanoda, S. Todo, and T. Yagi, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7866) **[56](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7866)**, [7866](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7866) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7866).
- [4] C. Sekine, T. Uchiumi, I. Shirotani, and T. Yagi, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3218)* **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3218)**, [3218](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3218) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3218).
- [5] A. Leithe-Jasper, W. Schnelle, H. Rosner, N. Senthilkumaran, A. Rabis, M. Baenitz, A. Gippius, E. Morozova, J. A. Mydosh, and Y. Grin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.037208) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.037208)**, [037208](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.037208) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.037208).
- [6] W. Schnelle, A. Leithe-Jasper, H. Rosner, R. Cardoso-Gil, R. Gumeniuk, D. Trots, J. A. Mydosh, and Y. Grin, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094421) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094421)**, [094421](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094421) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.094421).
- [7] K. Ishida, H. Murakawa, K. Kitagawa, Y. Ihara, H. Kotegawa, M. Yogi, Y. Kitaoka, B.-L. Young, M. S. Rose, D. E. MacLaughlin, H. Sugawara, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, and H. Harima, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024424) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024424)**, [024424](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024424) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.024424).
- [8] E. Matsuoka, K. Hayashi, A. Ikeda, K. Tanaka, T. Takabatake, and M. Matsumura, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1382) **[74](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1382)**, [1382](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1382) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1382).
- [9] M. Matsumura, G. Hyoudou, H. Kato, R. Nishioka, E. Matsuoka, H. Tou, T. Takabatake, and M. Sera, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.2205) **[74](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.2205)**, [2205](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.2205) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.2205).
- [10] Y. Nakai, K. Ishida, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.3370) **[74](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.3370)**, [3370](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.3370) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.3370).
- [11] M. Matsumura, H. Kato, T. Nishioka, E. Matsuoka, K. Hayashi, and T. Takabatake, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.228) **[310](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.228)**, [1035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.228) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.228).
- [12] M. Shimizu, H. Amanuma, K. Hachitani, H. Fukazawa, Y. [Kohori, T. Namiki, C. Sekine, and I. Shirotani,](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.104705) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[76](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.104705)**, [104705](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.104705) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.104705).
- [13] H. Tou, Y. Inaoka, M. Doi, M. Sera, K. Asaki, H. Kotegawa, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.074703) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.074703)**, [074703](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.074703) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.074703).
- [14] K. Magishi, R. Watanabe, A. Hisada, T. Saito, and K. Koyama, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.084712) **[83](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.084712)**, [084712](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.084712) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.084712).
- [15] Y. Kawamura, S. Deminami, L. Salamakha, A. Sidorenko, P. Heinrich, H. Michor, E. Bauer, and C. Sekine, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.024513) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.024513)**, [024513](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.024513) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.024513).
- [16] B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, and R. K. Williams, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5266.1325) **[272](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5266.1325)**, [1325](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5266.1325) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5266.1325).
- [17] A. Leithe-Jasper, W. Schnelle, H. Rosner, M. Baenitz, A. Rabis, A. A. Gippius, E. N. Morozova, H. Borrmann, U. Burkhardt, R. Ramlau, U. Schwarz, J. A. Mydosh, Y. Grin, V. Ksenofontov, and S. Reiman, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.214418) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.214418)**, [214418](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.214418) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.214418).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mamoru Yogi for helpful discussions. The research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering. Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. DOE by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. Part of this work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23340092.

- [18] W. Schnelle, A. Leithe-Jasper, M. Schmidt, H. Rosner, H. [Borrmann, U. Burkhardt, J. A. Mydosh, and Y. Grin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.020402) *Phys. Rev.* B **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.020402)**, [020402\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.020402) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.020402).
- [19] A. Sakurai, M. Matsumura, H. Kato, T. Nishioka, E. Matsuoka, K. Hayashi, and T. Takabatake, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.063701) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.063701)**, [063701](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.063701) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.063701).
- [20] A. Gippius, M. Baenitz, E. Morozova, A. Leithe-Jasper, W. Schnelle, A. Shevelkov, E. Alkaev, A. Rabis, J. Mydosh, Y. Grin, and F. Steglich, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.10.130) **[300](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.10.130)**, [e403\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.10.130) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.10.130).
- [21] [K. Nishine, Y. Kawamura, J. Hayashi, and C. Sekine,](https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.56.05FB01) Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **[56](https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.56.05FB01)**, [05FB01](https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.56.05FB01) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.56.05FB01).
- [22] C. Sekine, T. Ishizaka, K. Nishine, Y. Kawamura, J. Hayashi, K. Takeda, H. Gotou, and Z. Hiroi, [Phys. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.12.046) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.12.046)**, [383](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.12.046) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.12.046).
- [23] ⁷⁵As NQR measurements of the impurity phase show that NQR frequency is $v_0 = 23.6$ MHz, $\eta = 0$, and $1/T_1T \sim 0.5$ (s K)⁻¹ from 4.3 up to 150 K. Since these values are close to $v_0 =$ 22.757 MHz and $1/T_1T \sim 0.68$ (s K)⁻¹ in arsenic metal [24,25], the impurity phase could be assigned to arsenic metal.
- [24] T. J. Bastow, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/2/019) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/2/019)**, [569](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/2/019) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/11/2/019).
- [25] D. E. Jellison and P. C. Taylor, [Solid State Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(78)91030-X) **[27](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(78)91030-X)**, [1025](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(78)91030-X) [\(1978\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(78)91030-X).
- [26] H. Tou, M. Doi, M. Sera, M. Yogi, Y. Kitaoka, H. Kotegawa, G.-q. Zheng, H. Harima, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, [Physica B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.254) **[359-361](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.254)**, [892](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.254) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.254).
- [27] M. Yogi, H. Niki, M. Yashima, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.053703) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.053703)**, [053703](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.053703) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.053703).
- [28] M. Matsumura, G. Hyoudou, M. Itoh, H. Kato, T. Nishioka, E. [Matsuoka, H. Tou, T. Takabatake, and M. Sera,](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.084716) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[76](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.084716)**, [084716](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.084716) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.084716).
- [29] B. Nowak, O. Zogał, A. Pietraszko, R. E. Baumbach, M. B. Maple, and Z. Henkie, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.214411) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.214411)**, [214411](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.214411) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.214411).
- [30] B. Nowak, O. [Zogał, Z. Henkie, and M. B. Maple,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2011.01.012) Solid State Commun. **[151](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2011.01.012)**, [550](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2011.01.012) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2011.01.012).
- [31] [M. Yogi, N. Higa, H. Niki, T. Kawata, and C. Sekine,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012030) J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **[683](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012030)**, [012030](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012030) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012030).
- [32] S. Takagi, H. Muraoka, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, S. Kambe, R. E. Walstedt, E. Yamamoto, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.469) [73](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.469), [469](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.469) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.469).
- [33] C. Dean, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.1053) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.1053)**, [1053](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.1053) [\(1954\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.1053).
- [34] T. P. Das and E. L. Hahn, *Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance Spectroscopy in Solid State Physics, Supplement I* (Academic, New York, 1958).
- [35] M. Toda, H. Sugawara, K. Magishi, T. Saito, K. Koyama, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.124702) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.124702)**, [124702](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.124702) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.124702).
- [36] T. Moriya, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516) **[18](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516)**, [516](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516) [\(1963\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516).
- [37] A. Narath and H. T. Weaver, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.175.373) **[175](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.175.373)**, [373](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.175.373) [\(1968\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.175.373).