Theory of the optical spin-polarization loop of the nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond

Gergő Thiering and Adam Gali^{*}

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 49, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary and Department of Atomic Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budafoki út 8, H-1111 Budapest, Hungary

(Received 13 March 2018; published 29 August 2018)

The nitrogen-vacancy (NV) center in diamond is of high importance in quantum information processing applications. The operation of the NV center relies on the efficient optical polarization of its electron spin. However, the full optical spin-polarization process, which involves the intersystem crossing between the shelving singlet state and the ground-state triplet, is not understood. Here we develop a detailed theory of this process which involves a combination of pseudo- and dynamic Jahn-Teller interactions together with spin-orbit interaction. Our theory provides an explanation for the asymmetry between the observed emission and absorption spectra of the singlet states. We apply density functional theory to calculate the intersystem crossing rates and the optical spectra of the singlets, and we obtain a good agreement with the experimental data. Since the NV center serves as a template for other solid-state-defect quantum bit systems, our theory provides a toolkit to study them that might help optimize their quantum bit operation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085207)

I. INTRODUCTION

The best-known point defect in diamond is the nitrogenvacancy (NV) center $[1]$, which acts as a quantum bit for solid-state quantum information processing applications [\[2–](#page-10-0) [6\]](#page-10-0). The NV center is a negatively charged complex which consists of a substitutional nitrogen next to a vacancy in diamond [see Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0). The defect has an $S = 1$ ground state with milliseconds coherence time at room temperature in 12 C-enriched diamond samples [\[7\]](#page-10-0) and can be optically excited in the visible [\[1\]](#page-10-0). Under illumination, the electron spin is preferentially populated at the $m_s = 0$ spin state over the $m_s = \pm 1$ states [\[8–12\]](#page-10-0). The robust spin-selective fluorescence [\[2\]](#page-10-0) and photocurrent [\[13\]](#page-10-0) are the most important features of this center that can be used for quantum bit initialization and readout schemes.

Group-theory considerations [\[14–16\]](#page-10-0) together with luminescence [\[17\]](#page-10-0) and absorption [\[18\]](#page-10-0) measurements imply that two singlet levels, ${}^{1}A_1$ and ${}^{1}E$, which are separated by 1.19 eV, reside between the ${}^{3}A_2$ ground-state and ${}^{3}E$ excited-state triplet levels. In the optical spin-polarization cycle, both singlet states play a role [see Fig. $1(c)$]. In the upper branch, a highly spin-selective intersystem crossing (ISC) occurs between the ${}^{3}E$ triplet and ${}^{1}A_1$ singlet caused by the phonon-mediated spin-orbit interaction. Combined photoluminescence excitation (PLE) measurements [\[19,20\]](#page-10-0) and perturbation theory on the ISC rates have been used to analyze this process in detail. We note here that the observed multiple rates between the ${}^{3}E$ triplet substates and the singlet ${}^{1}A_{1}$ go against the selection rules [\[15,16\]](#page-10-0) that would allow only a single scattering channel $[\Gamma_{A_1}$; i.e., purple dotted arrow in Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0). The multiple rates can be naturally explained by invoking the dynamic Jahn-Teller effect on the ${}^{3}E$ state, which can account well for the ratio of the observed ISC rates at cryogenic temperatures [\[21\]](#page-10-0). The dynamic Jahn-Teller effect is a special description of a strongly coupled electron-phonon system that mixes the pure electronic substates of ${}^{3}E$ with each other that results in vibronic states, which are labeled with a tilde in Fig. [1\(c\).](#page-1-0) The mixture of *A*¹ into $\widetilde{E}_{1,2}$ by phonons results in ISC from $\widetilde{E}_{1,2}$ toward ${}^{1}A_{1}$ [$\Gamma_{E_{1,2}}$; i.e., green dotted arrow in Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0).

However, the ISC process in the lower branch, i.e., between ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{3}A_2$, is still not understood. By considering the single determinant ${}^{1}E$ state built up from the *e* orbitals (see Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0) and Refs. [\[15,16\]](#page-10-0)), group theory indicates that no ISC is allowed between this singlet and the ${}^{3}A_2$ triplet. On the other hand, the measured lifetime of the ¹*E* state is $T_E = 371 \pm 6$ ns at cryogenic temperatures [\[12\]](#page-10-0) and ISC toward the $m_s = 0$ of ${}^{3}A_{2}$ [Γ_{z} ; blue dotted arrow in Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0) should be effective to observe spin polarization in the NV center. The measured T_E is temperature dependent and decreases down to ∼165 ns at room temperature [\[12\]](#page-10-0). The temperature dependence could be well understood by a stimulated phonon-emission process with an energy of 16.6 ± 0.9 meV [\[12\]](#page-10-0). By means of spin control and pulsed optical excitation of the NV center, the spin-dependent ISC rates of ${}^{1}E$ were extracted at room temperature [\[12\]](#page-10-0). Interestingly, it was deduced that the ISC rates from ${}^{1}E$ to $m_s = 0$ and $m_s = \pm 1$ [Γ_{\pm} and Γ_{\mp} ; red and orange dotted arrows in Fig. $1(c)$, respectively] are comparable. As the ISC is dominantly spin selective in the upper branch, this conclusion is not contradictory to the measured *>*90% optical spin polarization in the triplet ground state. From these experimental data, we may conclude that ¹*E* is linked to the $m_s = \pm 1$ in the ³ A_2 state by spin-flipping transitions (rates of Γ_{\pm} and Γ_{\mp}) and to the $m_s = 0$ by the rate of Γ_z , where $T_E^{-1} = \Gamma_z + \Gamma_{\pm} + \Gamma_z$ $\Gamma_{\mp} = 2.70 \text{ MHz}$ at cryogenic temperatures. In a previous measurement [\[22\]](#page-10-0), a similar value of $T_E^{-1} = 2.16 \text{ MHz}$ was deduced. We emphasize that understanding the mechanisms governing the ISC between ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{3}A_2$ is very important as this ISC is responsible for closing the optical spin-polarization loop

^{*}gali.adam@wigner.mta.hu

FIG. 1. Properties of the NV center in diamond. (a) Geometry structure showing the symmetry axis of the *C*3*^v* symmetry. The vacancy is the dotted circle, whereas the solid circles depict carbon atoms in the diamond lattice. (b) Calculated HSE06 Kohn-Sham levels in the diamond band gap between the valence (VB) and conduction (CB) bands. (c) Many-electron states are expressed in two-particle Slater determinants in the parentheses [see Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-2-0)–[\(6\)](#page-2-0)]. The many-electron levels are also depicted with the measured zero-phonon lines (ZPL). The zero-field splittings in the triplet manifolds are artificially scaled up by five orders of magnitude for the sake of clarity. We label the possible intersystem crossing rates (-'s) with colored dotted arrows that participate in the spin-polarization cycle. We label the radiative transitions in the aforementioned cycle with solid black arrows. We refer to the vibronic states (coupled electron-phonon wave functions) with tilde labels above the many-electron states. The nature of the vibronic ${}^{1}\widetilde{A}_{1}$ and ${}^{1}\widetilde{E}$ states is explained (blue and red solid curves and text) where *ee*, PJT, and DJT stands for electron-electron, pseudo-Jahn-Teller, and dynamic Jahn-Teller interactions, respectively.

of the NV center which is the base of quantum bit initialization and readout.

In this study, we derive the electron-phonon-assisted spinorbit interaction between the ${}^{1}E$ state and the ${}^{3}A_2$ state. We show that the nature of $\vert^1E\rangle$ is highly complex as it involves significant electron-phonon coupling to the $|{}^1A_1\rangle$ and electronelectron interaction to the $\vert^1E' \rangle$ manifold. The former interaction can be described in the frame of the pseudo-Jahn-Teller (PJT) effect [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0) and is responsible for closing the optical spin-polarization loop of the NV center. Consequently, the $|{}^1E\rangle$ and $|A_1\rangle$ are polaronic states and were labeled with a tilde in Fig. 1(c). The electron-electron correlation between $|{}^{1}E\rangle$ and $\vert {}^{I}E' \rangle$ brings a dynamic Jahn-Teller (DJT) character to the $|E\rangle$, and explains the observed ISC rate toward the $m_S = \pm 1$ states of the ${}^{3}A_2$ ground state. We identify an interplay between PJT and DJT interactions that determine the phonon sideband of the photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of the singlets [\[17\]](#page-10-0). Our results also explain the appearance of a feature in the PL phonon sideband upon the applied uniaxial stress [\[25\]](#page-10-0) and the asymmetry in the phonon sidebands of the PL [\[17\]](#page-10-0) and absorption [\[18\]](#page-10-0) spectrum of the singlets. We use *ab initio* wave functions and adiabatic potential-energy surfaces (APES) to quantify the strength of interactions and the corresponding temperature-dependent ISC rates, where the latter ones show good agreement with the experimental data.

The paper is organized as follows. Section Π describes the electronic structure of the NV center and establishes the nomenclature of the paper. Then we describe the *ab initio* methods in Sec. [III.](#page-2-0) We present the theory of the pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect and the dynamic Jahn-Teller effect brought by electron-electron correlation on the shelving singlet state in Sec. [IV,](#page-3-0) which contains the main idea of the paper. Section [V](#page-4-0) contains the main results of the paper, where we apply *ab initio* calculations to calculate the optical spectra and ISC rates based on the developed theories in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0) Finally, we summarize and conclude our results in Sec. [VI.](#page-8-0)

II. METHODOLOGY FOR ATOMISTIC SIMULATIONS

We apply *ab initio* wave functions and APES for determining the electron-phonon couplings, calculating the optical spectra and ISC rates in the framework of spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the VASP 5.4.1 code [\[26\]](#page-10-0). We use the HSE06 hybrid functional [\[27,28\]](#page-10-0) within DFT technique that reproduces the experimental band gap and the charge transition levels in group-IV semiconductors within 0.1 eV accuracy [\[29\]](#page-11-0). We converge the electronic structure with self-consistent cycles on Kohn-Sham orbitals with a low-energy cutoff (370 eV) within the applied projector augmented wave (PAW) method [\[30,31\]](#page-11-0). The total energies of the excited states were calculated within the Δ self-consistent field (ΔSCF) method [\[32\]](#page-11-0) that provides accurate zero-phononline (ZPL) energy and Stokes shift for the optical excitation spectra of the triplets of the NV center.

The negatively charged NV defect is modeled in a 512-atom supercell and the Γ point is applied to sample the Brillouin zone. We determine the equilibrium position of ions by minimizing the quantum mechanical forces acting on them below the threshold of 10^{-2} eV/Å. In the APES, the C_{1h} distorted geometries exhibit the deepest energy configurations. The APES around high- C_{3v} symmetry configurations toward the low- C_{1h} symmetry configurations is calculated. The corresponding normal modes of the *E*-symmetry phonons participating in the distortion are calculated in the ${}^{3}A_2$ ground state by using the quasiharmonic approximation and finite-difference method on the quantum mechanical forces.

In the calculation of ISC, the spin-orbit coupling should be determined between the corresponding states. In our previous work, we determined the *z* component of the spin-orbit coupling (λ_z) accurately by our DFT method [\[21\]](#page-10-0), which resulted in $\lambda_z = 15.78$ GHz. We also found that the calculation of the perpendicular component of the spin-orbit coupling (λ_{\perp}) requires approximations (see Ref. [\[21\]](#page-10-0) for discussion) that lead

to a significant overestimation in *λ*⊥. Therefore, we use *λ*[⊥] here as a parameter which should be greater than the calculated *λz*.

The phonon sideband in the absorption spectrum is de-scribed by Huang-Rhys (HR) theory [\[33\]](#page-11-0) that was previously implemented for DFT supercell methodology [\[34\]](#page-11-0). The contribution of the a_1 and e phonons in the phonon sideband of the PL spectrum for the triplets of the NV center was obtained by this methodology $[21]$, where the latter is responsible for the DJT effect in the ${}^{3}E$ excited state.

Finally, we note that our HSE06 DFT method cannot directly calculate the many-body ${}^{1}A_{1}$ and ${}^{1}E$ singlet states. Therefore, the energy gap between the ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{3}A_2$ states (Σ) is a parameter. The full *ab initio* description requires one to go beyond Kohn-Sham DFT that can describe strong correlation between localized electrons [\[35–37\]](#page-11-0). On the other hand, we will show that HSE06 DFT singlet states within the Δ SCF framework can be employed to derive the parameters for the Jahn-Teller Hamiltonians and estimate the strength of correlation between the ${}^{1}E'$ and ${}^{1}E$ states.

III. PRELIMINARIES

Here we define the basic nomenclature of the paper. We note that the orbitals and levels of the NV center from DFT calculations have already been published in several papers [\[35–](#page-11-0) [43\]](#page-11-0). Furthermore, the corresponding many-body states and the spin-orbit couplings between them were also thoroughly analyzed [\[15,16\]](#page-10-0). Instead of frequently referring to these papers, we rather explicitly write the corresponding wave functions and interactions that we use in the entire paper.

A. Electronic structure

The NV defect introduces an *a*¹ and a double-degenerate *e* level in the gap [*a* and *e* orbitals in Fig. [1\(b\)\]](#page-1-0) that are occupied by four electrons in the relevant negatively charged state. In the hole picture, two holes are left on the *e* orbital in groundstate electron occupation that we simply label as (*ee*). The many-body ground-state triplet state with labeling the m_S = {+*,* 0*,* −} spin projections can be described as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 3A_2^+ \\ 3A_2^0 \\ 3A_2^- \end{pmatrix} = \frac{|e_+e_-\rangle - |e_-e_+\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \begin{cases} | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| \uparrow \downarrow \rangle + | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle), \quad (1) \\ | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle \end{cases}
$$

where we introduced the $|e_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_x\rangle \pm i|e_y\rangle)$ complex combination of the $e_{\{x,y\}}$ real orbitals. In the *(ee)* electronic configuration, a double-degenerate 1E and a nondegenerate 1A_1 state appear as

$$
|{}^{1}E_{\mp}\rangle = \frac{|e_{+}e_{+}\rangle}{|e_{-}e_{-}\rangle} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) \tag{2}
$$

and

$$
|{}^{1}A_{1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_{+}e_{-}\rangle + |e_{-}e_{+}\rangle) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \tag{3}
$$

The optically allowed triplet ${}^{3}E$ excited state can be described as an electron promoted from the *a* to the *e* orbital in the spin minority channel [see the inclined arrow in Fig. [1\(b\)\]](#page-1-0), which can be given an (*ae*) configuration in the hole picture,

$$
|{}^{3}E_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_{+}a\rangle - |ae_{+}\rangle)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_{-}a\rangle - |ae_{-}\rangle)} \otimes \begin{cases} |{\uparrow \uparrow}\rangle & (\uparrow \downarrow \rangle + |{\downarrow \uparrow}\rangle).\\ |{\downarrow \downarrow}\rangle & (\downarrow \downarrow \rangle \end{cases}
$$

Beside the triplet state, a double-degenerate ${}^{1}E'$ state can be constructed as

$$
|{}^{1}E'_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_{+}a\rangle + |ae_{+}\rangle)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_{-}a\rangle + |ae_{-}\rangle)} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \quad (5)
$$

Both states are Jahn-Teller unstable because a single hole is left in the double-degenerate *e* orbital. We note that ${}^{1}E$ in the (*ee*) electronic configuration is *not* a Jahn-Teller system as closed-shell singlet states are formed in Eq. (2).

We note that a high-energy A'_1 may also exist as follows:

$$
|{}^{1}A'_{1}\rangle = |aa\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \tag{6}
$$

We particularly focus on the interactions between the singlet states in which an alternative description of the states is useful. The three-dimensional $|{}^{1}E\rangle \oplus |{}^{1}A_{1}\rangle$ can be also expressed by these singlet wave functions,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n|xx\rangle &= |e_x e_x\rangle \\
|xy\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|e_x e_y\rangle + |e_y e_x\rangle] \\
|yy\rangle &= |e_y e_y\rangle\n\end{aligned}\n\otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow \uparrow\rangle), \quad (7)
$$

where $|x \rangle$ is a single Slater determinant and can be calculated by our HSE06 DFT method. Finally, the $|{}^1E\rangle$ and $|{}^1A_1\rangle$ in this basis are

$$
|{}^{1}E_{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|xx\rangle - |yy\rangle),
$$

\n
$$
|{}^{1}E_{y}\rangle = |xy\rangle,
$$

\n
$$
|{}^{1}A_{1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|xx\rangle + |yy\rangle),
$$
\n(8)

which are equivalent to Eqs. (2) and (3) .

The order of the corresponding levels can be correctly computed by means of configurational interaction or Hubbard Hamiltonian numerical methods $[35-37]$ that result in ${}^{3}A_{2}$, ${}^{1}E$, ${}^{1}A_{4}$, ${}^{3}F$ and ${}^{1}F'$ levels in ascending order. The ${}^{1}A'$ level resides A_1 , 3E , and ${}^1E'$ levels in ascending order. The ${}^1A'_1$ level resides far above that of ${}^{1}E'$. This agrees well with the experimental data $[17,18]$ and previous group-theory considerations $[14–16]$ too. The ZPL energies between the triplets and in-between singlets are at 1.945 and 1.19 eV, respectively, as known from PL experiments [\[17](#page-10-0)[,44\]](#page-11-0). The energy gap between the ${}^{3}E$ and ¹A₁ levels [∆ in Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0) is estimated to be \sim 0.4 eV from the combination of experimental data and theory on ISC [\[19,20\]](#page-10-0). That would result in $\Sigma \approx 0.4 \text{ eV}$ energy gap between the ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{3}A_2$ levels. Hubbard Hamiltonian calculations within the supercell method [\[37\]](#page-11-0), which could nearly reproduce the visible and near-infrared ZPL energies, indeed yielded about 0.4 eV gap between the singlet-triplet levels both in the upper and lower branches. This has been confirmed in a very recent study parallel to our work by means of a configurational interaction method embedded into the hybrid DFT framework [\[45\]](#page-11-0).

B. Spin-orbit coupling between the states

We introduce the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) between the electronic states that is responsible for ISC. The SOC matrix elements between the possible two-particle many-body states can be derived by combining the group theory and the twocomponent spin-orbit operator on the Slater determinants of orbitals, where the *λz* and *λ*[⊥] components of SOC correspond to the spin-projection conserving and flipping mechanisms, respectively. By following the convention in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-10-0), the ${}^{3}A_2$ states are linked to the ${}^{1}A_{1}$ and ${}^{1}E'$ states as follows:

$$
\hat{W} = 2\lambda_z |{}^1A_1\rangle\langle{}^3A_2^0|
$$

+ $\lambda_\perp[|{}^1E'_\perp\rangle\langle{}^3A_2^+| + |{}^1E'_\perp\rangle\langle{}^3A_2^-|] + \text{c.c.},$ (9)

where the triplet $m_s = \{0, +, -\}$ manifolds are labeled as a subscript in ${}^{3}A_2$. The most important conclusion is that ¹*E* in the (ee) electronic configuration is not linked to ${}^{3}A_2$. Thus, we seek a possible mechanism that mixes $|{}^1A_1\rangle$ and $|{}^1E'\rangle$ characters into $|{}^1E\rangle$; otherwise there would not be any allowed ISC from $|{}^1E\rangle$ to $|{}^3A_2\rangle$.

IV. THEORY OF THE NATURE OF THE SHELVING SINGLET STATE

In the next sections, we derive an approximate wave function of the $|{}^1E\rangle$ including the effects from electron-phonon coupling and many-body electron interaction. First, we derive the pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect between the ${}^{1}A_{1}$ and ${}^{1}E$ states in Sec. IV A. Next, we determine the dynamic electron-electron correlation between $\vert^1E\rangle$ and $\vert^1E'\rangle$ in Sec. IVB that induces a small but non-negligible dynamic Jahn-Teller effect in $|{}^{1}E\rangle$. We combine the two effects in Sec. [IVC.](#page-4-0) Despite the small DJT effect, we will demonstrate in Sec. [V](#page-4-0) that only the combination of PJT and DJT accounts for the near-infrared PL line shape of the NV center.

A. Pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect between the lowest-energy singlet states

Since the lowest-energy ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{1}A_1$ states have different irreducible representations, only the symmetry-distorting *E* vibration modes may couple the two states. This effect is known as the pseudo-Jahn-Teller (PJT) effect in the literature [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0). We work out the PJT Hamiltonian in the basis of $|x x\rangle$, $|x y\rangle$, and $|yy\rangle$ wave functions [see Eqs. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) and [\(8\)](#page-2-0)]. By assuming an electronic gap of Λ_e between the ¹*E* and ¹*A*₁ before turning on the electron-phonon interaction and setting the energy of E^1E to zero, we arrive at

$$
\hat{H} = \underbrace{\frac{\Lambda_e}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{= \hat{H}_e} + \hbar \omega_E \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \{x, y\}} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} + 1 \right) + \underbrace{\tilde{F} (\hat{\sigma}_z \hat{x} - \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{y})}_{= \hat{H}_{\text{PJT}}}, \quad (10)
$$

where \hat{H}_e , \hat{H}_{osc} , and \hat{H}_{PJT} are the electronic, harmonic oscillator, and linear PJT Hamiltonian, respectively. Parameter F is the cumulative electron-phonon coupling strength that $\frac{1}{2}$ induces the DIT instability to the system. We note that Λ is induces the PJT instability to the system. We note that Λ_e is not exactly the ZPL energy (Λ) between the singlets because that will be corrected by the vibronic energies coming from the electron-phonon interaction. The $\hat{\sigma}_z$ and $\hat{\sigma}_x$ operators

$$
\hat{\sigma}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)
$$

are a matrix representation of the $L = 1$ angular momentum pointing to the electronic degree of freedom in the PJT interaction. The E vibration mode is described by $a_{x,y}^{\dagger}$ creation and $a_{x,y}$ annihilation operators with ω_E frequency. For the sake of simplicity, we use dimensionless coordinates where $\hat{x} = (a_x^{\dagger} + a_x)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{y} = (a_y^{\dagger} + a_y)/\sqrt{2}$. We show below that other states contribute to the electron-phonon interaction in the ${}^{1}E$ state.

B. Dynamic electron-electron correlation between the | 1 *E***- and | 1** *E* **- states and the appearance of dynamic Jahn-Teller effect**

The electron-electron correlation is possible among the many-body states with the same irreducible representation as described in Eqs. (1) – (5) . Thus it might be possible that the $\vert A_1 \rangle$ and $\vert A_1' \rangle$ correlate at a certain degree, as well as the $|{}^1E\rangle$ and $|{}^1E'\rangle$ similarly. We focus on the mixture of $|{}^1E\rangle$ and $|E' \rangle$ as this would allow the $\Gamma_{\perp} = \Gamma_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\mp}$ ISC process between $|{}^1E\rangle$ and $|{}^3A_2^{\pm}\rangle$. The mixing coefficient *C* describes a multideterminant singlet state $(|^1 \bar{E} \rangle)$ as

$$
|{}^{1}\bar{E}\rangle = C|{}^{1}E\rangle + \sqrt{1 - C^{2}}|{}^{1}E'\rangle, \tag{12}
$$

where *C* can be chosen to be real without losing the generality. Since $|E'|\$ is an $E \otimes e$ DJT system, $|E'|\$ carries a DJT character by the extent of $(1 - C^2)$. We note that we will discuss the *C* mixing ratio further in Sec. [V A](#page-4-0) and compare to the results from a very recent multiconfigurational DFT study [\[45\]](#page-11-0). The DJT Hamiltonian of $|{}^1E'\rangle$ is

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{JT}} = F(\bar{\sigma}_z \hat{X} - \bar{\sigma}_x \hat{Y}),\tag{13}
$$

where the electronic degree of freedom is expressed by $\bar{\sigma}_z$ and $\bar{\sigma}_x$ Pauli matrices spanning the two-dimensional $|{}^1E'\rangle$ space that can be written as $\bar{\sigma}_z = |E'_x\rangle\langle E'_x| - |E'_y\rangle\langle E'_y|$ and $\bar{\sigma}_x = |E'_x\rangle\langle E'_y| + |E'_y\rangle\langle E'_x|$. *F* is the electron-phonon coupling or Jahn-Teller interaction strength. The effective DJT Hamiltonian in the basis of Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) used for the PJT Hamiltonian is

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{JT}}^{\text{eff}} = (1 - C^2) F(\bar{\sigma}_z \hat{X} - \bar{\sigma}_x \hat{Y}), \tag{14}
$$

with

$$
\bar{\sigma}_z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (15)

C. The effective electron-phonon Hamiltonian for the shelving singlet state and the vibronic wave functions

The PJT effect was developed for $|{}^1E\rangle$; however, we learned in Sec. IV B that the lowest-energy singlet is rather $|^{1}\bar{E}\rangle$, which will reduce \hat{H}_{PJT} by C^2 . Furthermore, one can estimate that the electron-phonon coupling in PJT (\tilde{F}) and in DJT (F) has a relation of $\tilde{F} \approx 2F$. This relation can be envisioned from the two-particle $|xx\rangle$ singlet wave function associated with its electron-phonon coupling \tilde{F} in which both orbitals are Jahn-Teller unstable, whereas only a single orbital is Jahn-Teller unstable in (*ae*) electronic configuration associated with its electron-phonon coupling *F*. As a consequence, the Jahn-Teller effect is twice as strong in the $|x x\rangle$ than that in the (*ae*) electronic configuration. The final effective electron-phonon Hamiltonian of the shelving singlet state is

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{el-ph}}^{\text{eff}} = C^2 2F(\hat{\sigma}_z \hat{X} - \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{Y}) + (1 - C^2)F(\bar{\sigma}_z \hat{X} - \bar{\sigma}_x \hat{Y}).
$$
\n(16)

The full Hamiltonian for the ${}^1\tilde{E} \oplus {}^1\tilde{A}_1$ system is

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_e + \hat{H}_{\text{osc}} + \hat{H}_{\text{el-ph}}^{\text{eff}},\tag{17}
$$

which results in the following Ψ vibronic wave functions in the expension of E phanon modes. the expansion of *E* phonon modes:

$$
|\widetilde{\Psi}\rangle = \sum_{n,m}^{\infty} \left(c_{nm}^{xx} |xx\rangle \otimes |nm\rangle + c_{nm}^{xy} |xy\rangle \otimes |nm\rangle + c_{nm}^{yy} |yy\rangle \otimes |nm\rangle \right), \tag{18}
$$

where we limit the expansion in the Born-Oppenheimer basis $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nm}} (a_x^{\dagger})^n (a_y^{\dagger})^m |00\rangle$ up to a 10 phonon limit, $n + 1$ $m \leq 10$, which is numerically convergent in our particular case. We span the electronic degrees of freedom with $|x x\rangle$, $|xy\rangle$, $|yy\rangle$ as we defined in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0). In this form, one can express the combined $|\tilde{A}_1\rangle \oplus |\tilde{E}_\pm\rangle$ states which may transform as *E*, A_1 , and A_2 . The A_2 vibronic states do not play a significant role, and thus we only show the expressions for the ${}^{1}\tilde{E}_{\pm}$ and ${}^{1}\widetilde{A}_1$ vibronic states as follows:

$$
|\tilde{E}_{\pm}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [c_i|^1 \bar{E}_{\pm}\rangle \otimes |\chi_i(A_1)\rangle + d_i|^1 A_1\rangle \otimes |\chi_i(E_{\pm})\rangle
$$

+ $f_i|^1 \bar{E}_{\mp}\rangle \otimes |\chi_i(E_{\mp})\rangle + g_i|^1 \bar{E}_{\pm}\rangle \otimes |\chi_i(A_2)\rangle],$ (19a)

$$
|{}^{1}\widetilde{A}_{1}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[c_{i}'|{}^{1}A_{1}\rangle \otimes |\chi_{i}(A_{1})\rangle + \frac{d_{i}'}{\sqrt{2}}(|{}^{1}\bar{E}_{+}\rangle \otimes |\chi_{i}(E_{-})\rangle + |{}^{1}\bar{E}_{-}\rangle \otimes |\chi_{i}(E_{+})\rangle\right],
$$
\n(19b)

that govern the shape of the phonon sideband in the optical spectra. We label the symmetry-adapted vibrational wave functions, e.g., $|\chi_1(A_1)\rangle=|00\rangle$, or, in general, by $|\chi_i(\dots)\rangle$ in the rest of the paper.

We note that the g_i coefficients are generally tiny and will be ignored. On the other hand, the nonzero d_i and c'_i (f_i and d'_i) coefficients drive the Γ _z (Γ _⊥) ISC process, and they are also responsible for the shape of the PL spectrum of the singlets.

We further note that the analysis of strain dependence of the singlet states requires one to extend the effective electronphonon Hamiltonian [Eq. (16)] with a strain Hamiltonian that has similar matrix elements, such as the effective electronphonon Hamiltonian that may explain the significant strain interaction of the ${}^{1}\tilde{E}_{\pm}$ level [\[46\]](#page-11-0). A detailed investigation of the interaction of the L^2_{\pm} level [+0]. A detailed investigation of the interaction of the L^2_{\pm} level λ_1 vibronic levels with the strain is out of the scope of this study, and we rather concentrate on understanding the role of the singlet vibronic states in the intersystem crossing processes of the NV center.

V. APPLICATION OF *AB INITIO* **RESULTS ON THE THEORETICAL MODELS**

We first estimate the parameters in the developed electronphonon Hamiltonian from *ab initio* DFT calculations for the singlet shelving state in Sec. VA, in order to calculate the vibronic electronic structure. We then apply the resulting vibronic wave functions to calculate the PL and absorption spectra for the singlets that will verify our methodology in Sec. [VB.](#page-5-0) Finally, we determine the ISC rates between $|\tilde{E}\rangle$ into $\left| \frac{3A_2}{2} \right|$ in Sec. [VC](#page-7-0) that show good agreement with the experimental data, including the temperature dependence.

A. Derivation of the parameters of the electron-phonon Hamiltonian of the singlet states from DFT calculations and the resulting vibronic levels

The true many-body singlet eigenstates of the NV center cannot be exactly described by Kohn-Sham DFT methods. Nevertheless, the closed-shell $|x x\rangle$ state can be expressed. Furthermore, the open-shell $|a_1a_1e_{12}e_{11}e_{12}|\$ can also be calculated by the \triangle SCF Kohn-Sham DFT method. We calculated these singlet states by constraining the symmetry into C_{3v} starting from the optimized ${}^{3}A_2$ ground-state geometry. We found that the geometry did not practically change in the geometry optimization procedure of these singlet states, which strongly hints that the A_1 phonons do not contribute to the change of the geometry of the true ${}^{1}A_1$ and ${}^{1}E$ states with respect to that of ${}^{3}A_2$ ground state, and thus the symmetry-breaking *E* phonons play a role.

In particular, the $|x x\rangle$ is useful to derive the electronphonon Hamiltonian of the singlet states as this state appears in Eq. (16). By allowing free movement of atoms in the geometry optimization procedure, the $|x x\rangle$ state spontaneously reconstructs to C_{1h} symmetry with E_{JT} Jahn-Teller energy of 316 meV and an effective phonon mode $\hbar \omega_E = 66 \text{ meV}$, where the latter is the solution of the quasiharmonic oscillator in the APES of $|x x\rangle$. This $|x x\rangle$ state does not contain the strong electron-electron correlation, e.g., the electronic gap $\Lambda_e \approx \Lambda = 1.19 \text{ eV}$ between the ¹*E* and ¹*A*₁ states. It can be easily shown by perturbation theory (see Appendix [A\)](#page-9-0) that E_{JT} will be damped by Λ_e in the ¹*E* state [cf. Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-5-0) and $2(b)$]. The exact results come from solving the full electronphonon Hamiltonian in Eq. (16) . That requires one to identify parameter C^2 which is associated with the contribution of the E' state in the $\frac{1}{L}$ state.

We estimate parameter C^2 from the character of the Kohn-Sham wave functions of $|x x\rangle$ in the C_{1h} APES global min-

FIG. 2. (a) Jahn-Teller nature of the $|{}^1A_1\rangle \oplus |{}^1E\rangle$ states as can be calculated by means of Kohn-Sham DFT, which corresponds to $\Lambda_e = 0$ eV. The resulting Jahn-Teller energy $E_{\text{IT}} = 316 \text{ meV}$. (b) After $\Lambda_e \approx 1.13 \text{ eV}$ is switched on, the resulting Jahn-Teller energy is $E_{\text{FIT}} \approx 30 \text{ meV}$ according to the solution of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-0) that results in $\Lambda = 1.19 \text{ eV ZPL}$ energy. (c) The vibronic levels of $|^1\widetilde{E}$ and $|^1\widetilde{A}_1$. The selection rules for the photoluminescence spectrum are indicated. Here the ZPL energy of 1.19 eV between $\vert^{1}\tilde{E}\rangle$ and $\vert^{1}\tilde{A}_{1}\rangle$ is not scaled for the sake of clarity. (d) Experimental photoluminescence spectrum of the singlets at low (black solid line) and room (dotted black line) temperatures compared to the singlety. simulated spectrum from the *ab initio* solution (red curve). We note that the experimental spectra show a substantial and minor background at room and cryogenic temperature, respectively. The simulation curve does not include background signal. The ZPL energy is now set to zero in order to easily read out the position of vibration features in the spectrum. We used 2, 5, and 10 meV Gaussian smearing for the linewidth of the ZPL, first, and second vibronic emissions, respectively, where the width of the ZPL and vibration bands are read out from the experimental spectrum recorded at cryogenic temperature.

imum. Although we calculated $|x x\rangle$ by non-spin-polarized DFT, the symmetry distortion may result in the contribution of the *a* Kohn-Sham orbital in the two-particle wave functions. By labeling the Kohn-Sham orbital in the distorted geometry by ξ , and the contribution of e_x and *a* orbitals by *p* and *s*, respectively, one arrives at

$$
|\xi\xi\rangle = [p|e_x\rangle + s|a\rangle][p|e_x\rangle + s|a\rangle]
$$

= $p^2|e_x e_x\rangle + \sqrt{2}ps \frac{|ae_x\rangle + |ea\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + s^2 \frac{|aa\rangle}{|A_1\rangle},$ (20)

$$
\frac{|e_x\rangle}{|B_x\rangle}
$$

where $(1 - C^2) = 2p^2s^2$ can be read out. By using projector operators such as $s = \langle \xi | a \rangle$, we find that the contribution of $^{1}A'_{1}$ is minor and can be neglected; however, $(1 - C^{2}) = 0.10$ is significant and explains the Γ_{\perp} ISC processes (see Sec. III B). We note that our DFT results are in good agreement with the results in a very recently published multiconfigurational DFT approach (see Supplemental Information in Ref. [\[45\]](#page-11-0)) that yields $(1 - C^2) = 0.08 \dots 0.13$ depending on the distortion from the C_{3v} ground-state geometry.

This correlation effect also brings a DJT effect to the electron-phonon Hamiltonian. Thus, the calculated APES of $|x \rangle$ contains both PJT and DJT effects that should be separated. This is established in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-4-0) where the corresponding Jahn-Teller energy can be approximated by the sum of linear Jahn-Teller interaction terms as follows:

$$
E_{\rm IT} = \frac{[C^2 2F + (1 - C^2)F]^2}{2\hbar \omega_E}.
$$
 (21)

By using the previously determined E_{JT} , C^2 , and $\hbar \omega_E$ from DFT APES calculations of $|xx\rangle$, we obtain $F = 102$ meV. Thus, we have all the parameters but Λ_e to build up the full electron-phonon Hamiltonian of the singlet states.

The measured ZPL energy between the singlet states is 1.19 eV, which is the energy difference between the vibronic ground states of the singlets. We fit the value of Λ_e to obtain the experimental ZPL energy after diagonalizing the full electron-phonon Hamiltonian which resulted in Λ_e = 1129*.*4 meV. The vibronic levels are depicted in Fig. 2(c), where the corresponding coefficients of the vibronic states are listed in Table [I](#page-9-0) in Appendix \overline{B} . One can see interesting features in the calculated vibronic spectra: (i) the vibronic levels of $|^{1} \widetilde{E}\rangle$ are very far from the solution of a quasiharmonic oscillator and are very far from the solution of a quasiharmonic oscillator and show a very complex feature; (ii) the vibronic levels of $\left| \tilde{A}_1 \right\rangle$ are considered by a strategy of \mathbb{R}^n . equidistant; however, the PJT effect will increase the effective phonon mode ($\hbar \omega_{\text{eff}}$) of 66 to 91.8 meV.

It is important to highlight the complex interplay between the PJT and DJT effects in the final vibronic spectrum of $|^1\widetilde{E}$. Although the DJT effect is damped by the $(1 - C^2)^2$ factor, it still changes the spectrum at \approx 45 meV, and results in two split *E* levels that would not be there otherwise. In addition, it changes the character of these vibronic wave functions so that it increases the optical transition dipole moments with the ground-state vibronic state of $|\tilde{A}_1\rangle$. Our results demonstrate that the electron-electron correlation effect combined with the electron-electron correlation effect combined with electron-phonon couplings of different nature and involving three electronic states can only fully describe the electronphonon system of the singlet states in the NV center. We will show that this complex nature can only account for the measured optical spectra and ISC rates.

B. Vibronic sideband of the 1.19 eV photoluminescence and absorption spectrum

We discuss now the PL and absorption spectra of the singlets. Several features of these spectra can be understood by our vibronic wave functions that verify our method in the calculation of the ISC rates. The relative optical transition dipole strength between the vibronic ${}^1\widetilde{E}$ and ${}^1\widetilde{A}_1$ ground states is derived in Appendix [C.](#page-10-0)

We first discuss the luminescence spectrum at low temperatures, which is a radiative decay between the ${}^{1}A_{1}$ ground state

and the vibronic *n*th states of ${}^{1}\tilde{E}_x$ [see Eq. [\(C6\)](#page-10-0)], i.e.,

$$
I(\stackrel{1}{A}_{1} \to \stackrel{1}{E}^{(n)}) = |\langle \stackrel{1}{A}_{1}|\hat{d}_{x}|^{1} \stackrel{1}{E}^{(n)} \rangle|^{2}.
$$
 (22)

We found from direct calculation of the intensities in Eq. (22) that the optical transition to the first vibronic A_1 state of ${}^{1}\tilde{E}$
state is not allowed. However, there is a similar stational state is not allowed. However, there is a significant optical transition dipole toward the split *E* vibronic states around 45 meV. After switching off the small DJT effect in the electron-phonon Hamiltonian, only a single *E* mode appears with a smaller optical transition dipole moment. This clearly demonstrates that the small DJT effect does play an important role in understanding the optical features of the singlet states. The simulated PL spectrum from *ab initio* wave functions is shown in Fig. $2(d)$, which can be directly compared to the low-temperature experimental PL spectrum [\[17\]](#page-10-0). Clearly, the broad feature with the maximum intensity at \approx 43 meV can be reproduced (red curve). We find that the broad feature consists of two vibronic excited levels [see red text in Fig. $2(c)$]. The experimental intensity and the shape of this broad feature can be well reproduced by invoking our electron-phonon Hamiltonian (red curve). Our theory does not account for the features at 133 and 221 meV. These features seem to disappear at the room-temperature PL spectrum, and thus we conclude that they may not belong to the NV center. Our theory is further supported by a uniaxial stress experiment on the PL spectrum which showed the existence of a forbidden state at ≈ 14 meV [\[25\]](#page-10-0). This can be naturally explained by our calculated *A*¹ vibronic excited state [see green text in Fig. $2(c)$]. This A_1 state will play an important role in the temperature dependence of the ISC rate where the $\approx 16 \,\text{meV}$ phonon mode was deduced from the temperature-dependent ISC rate measurements in nonstressed diamond samples [\[12\]](#page-10-0) that should be identical with the optically forbidden vibronic mode.

Now we turn to the absorption spectrum which is very different from the PL spectrum [cf. Figs. $2(d)$ and $3(b)$]. We can explain this feature by the presence of simultaneous PJT and DJT effects. The PJT and the DJT effects separately create an axial symmetric APES about the symmetry axis of the defect; however, the DJT will create a barrier energy for the free rotation about the symmetry axis in the PJT APES. This can be readily observed by comparing Eqs. [\(11\)](#page-3-0) and [\(15\)](#page-3-0) corresponding to PJT and DJT effects, respectively, which differently combine the wave functions upon the same distortion. In the absorption process, we assume that the photon absorption is a faster process than the quantum mechanical tunneling between the global minima of APES. This can be described as the *Y* axis is frozen in Fig. $2(b)$ which leads to the APES in Fig. $3(a)$. The ground-state vibronic wave function becomes localized in one of the APES valleys at a distance of about 1.3 from the *C*3*^v*-symmetry position in the unit of the reduced coordinate of the harmonic oscillator. For the $|\tilde{A}_1\rangle$ excited state, the DFT APES predicted $\hbar\omega_E$ = For the $\frac{1}{11}$ exercistate, the *DTTATES* predicted $mg =$
66.1 meV; however, the solution of the full electron-phonon Hamiltonian revealed that the PJT effect increases this energy to 91.8 meV. Therefore, we also created the corresponding harmonic APES [see Fig. $3(a)$] and employed the Huang-Rhys theory, where $R = 1.3$ results in an $S \approx 0.84$ Huang-Rhys factor. This 91.8 meV is the separation energy of vibronic features in Fig. $3(a)$.

FIG. 3. (a) Calculated APES of singlet states of the NV center including the pseudo- and dynamic Jahn-Teller effects simultaneously but with $Y = 0$ constraint. Zero value at the configuration coordinates corresponds to C_{3v} symmetry. The configuration coordinates and distances are given in the unit of the reduced coordinate of the harmonic oscillator. The localization of the wave functions is depicted. We note that the potential energy is not axial symmetric, as explained in the text. (b) Low-temperature experimental absorption spectrum (black solid curve) and the deduced spectral function (black dotted curve) from Ref. [\[18\]](#page-10-0) are compared with the calculated absorption spectra (solid blue and red curves) and spectral functions (dotted blue and red curves) using the Huang-Rhys theory based on the APES of singlet states. We applied 1.5 meV Gaussian smearing on the ZPL and 7.5 meV Gaussian smearing on the vibronic sideband.

Finally, the absorption spectrum is produced [Fig. $3(b)$] with the $\hbar \omega_E = 66.1 \,\text{meV}$ (blue curve) and also with $\hbar \omega_{\text{eff}} =$ 91*.*8 meV (red curve). We shifted the one-phonon spectral function (dotted red and blue curves) by $\hbar \omega_{\text{eff}} - \hbar \omega_E$ 25*.*7 meV, then generated the HR spectrum exactly the same way that we used for the nonshifted blue curve, in order to take into account the increase in the effective frequency caused by PJT. We emphasize that the 66.1 and 91.8 meV phonon frequencies, respectively, create high peaks at 55 and 81 meV in the absorption spectrum near ZPL. The latter is much closer to the experimental $[18]$ peak at 71 meV [see Fig. $3(b)$]. It can be concluded that the electron-phonon Hamiltonian-based effective phonon frequency is slightly overestimated, but the calculated broad features in the absorption phonon sideband are in agreement with the observed ones. The corresponding spectral functions [dotted curves in Fig. $3(b)$], either deduced from the experimental spectrum (black dotted line) or calculated (blue and red dotted lines), are also shown. We note that the very sharp feature at high energy in the experimental spectrum is associated with the quasilocal nitrogen-carbon vibration modes [\[18\]](#page-10-0). Our theory does not account for that feature that would require the exact calculation of APES of the singlet states. On the other hand, the PJT-DJT theory (red curve) brings the results close to the experimental values and explains the upward shift in the first characteristic phonon peak with respect to that of the triplets ($\approx 64 \,\text{meV}$). We note here that the first peak positions $(55 \text{ and } 71 \text{ meV})$ in Fig. $3(b)$ are smaller than the effective ($\hbar \omega_E$ and $\hbar \omega_{\text{eff}}$) frequencies since one-phonon spectral functions have significant strength even at 160 meV because the spectral functions are asymmetric towards the higher phonon energies.

We conclude from these results that the combination of PJT and DJT effects accounts for the observed asymmetry in the line shape of the emission and absorption spectrum. In the PL process, the selection rules are dictated by the dynamic motion of ions combined with the electron wave function which results in an optically forbidden transition that becomes visible under uniaxial stress. On the other hand, this dark vibronic state of the shelving singlet can play an important role in the ISC process. In the absorption process, the dynamics of ions is frozen, and thus it shows in the optical spectrum, and optical transition to all of the vibronic states of the upper singlet state is allowed. The dynamics of ions can be slower than the absorption of the photons because of the small but non-negligible DJT effect which produces an energy barrier for the motion of ions. This leads to the large asymmetry in the corresponding phonon sidebands. Furthermore, PJT explains the enhanced effective phonon energy in the absorption spectrum of the singlets with respect to that in the optical spectrum of the triplets. These results verify our theory on the singlets and serve as a good base to study the ISC process between the shelving singlet state and the triplet ground state.

C. Theory and *ab initio* **results of the ISC process toward the ground state**

We determine the ISC rates from $\left| \frac{1}{E} \right\rangle$ to $\left| \frac{3A_2}{2} \right\rangle$ based on the vibronic states calculated from DFT wave functions and potentials. The ISC process is a spin-orbit-driven scattering of the electron that is mediated by phonons for energy conversation, as the expected energy difference between ${}^{1}\widetilde{E}$ to ${}^{3}A_2$ levels is as the expected energy untertainted between E to A_2 levels is several orders of magnitude larger than the spin-orbit energy. In other words, the electron is scattered to the vibration levels $(\langle \ldots |)$ of the 3A_2 ground state. As we discussed previously, the emission or absorption of A_1 phonons is a minor effect in the process, and thus we rely on the contribution of the *E* phonons that are responsible for the PJT and DJT effects. The ISC rate can be calculated using the Fermi golden rule and assuming the strength of spin-orbit coupling does not change significantly upon the motion of ions in the process. This theory was developed for the ISC process between ${}^{3}E$ and ${}^{1}A_1$ states in the upper branch by Goldman and co-workers [\[19,20\]](#page-10-0) that we developed further to take into account the vibronic nature of the ${}^{3}E$ state, i.e., ${}^{3}\tilde{E}$ caused by the DJT effect [\[21\]](#page-10-0). By applying \overline{a} this theory to the Γ_z ISC rate between $\ket{^1\widetilde{E}}$ and the vibration state of ${}^{3}A_2$, we arrive at

$$
\Gamma_z = \frac{2\pi C^2}{\hbar} \sum_{|\dots\rangle} |\langle \dots | \otimes \langle ^3A_2^0 | \hat{W} | ^1 \widetilde{E} \rangle |^2 \delta(\Sigma - E(|\dots\rangle))
$$

$$
= \frac{2\pi C^2}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} 4\lambda_z^2 d_i^2 \underbrace{|\langle \dots | \chi_i(E_\pm) \rangle |^2 \delta(\Sigma - n_i \hbar \omega_E)}_{\approx S_E^{(n_i)}(\Sigma)}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{8\pi \lambda_z^2 C^2}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} d_i^2 S_E^{(n_i)}(\Sigma) = \frac{8\pi \lambda_z^2 C^2}{\hbar} F_E(\Sigma), \quad (23)
$$

where the summation over all vibration wave functions of ${}^{3}A_2$ collapses to the number of $|\chi_i(E_\pm)\rangle$ vibration modes in the phonon overlap integral. Here, the *di* coefficient is responsible for the contribution of the electronic ${}^{1}A_{1}$ state in $|E^{2}$ that is connected to ${}^{3}A_{2}^{0}$ by λ_{z} (see Sec. [IIIB\)](#page-3-0). We used λ_{z} = 15*.*78 GHz, as discussed in our previous study [\[21\]](#page-10-0). Now the energy conservation law is $\Sigma = n_i \times \hbar \omega_E$ for some n_i (n_i is the phonon index of the *i*th $|\chi_i(E_\pm)\rangle$ vibronic function). Here, S_E is the phonon overlap spectral function and F_E is the modulated phonon overlap function caused by the PJT effect. Σ is the ZPL energy between $|{}^{1}\tilde{E}$ and ${}^{3}A_2$ [see Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0). So far we have energy between $E/$ and A_2 [see Fig. 1(e)]. So far we have used effective phonon energies with discrete quantum levels, but this would often lead to a zero overlap in F_E . In reality, the diamond phonons interact with the quasilocal vibration modes found in PJT and DJT effects that can be described as a smearing of the energy spectrum of the quasilocal vibration modes. In order to incorporate this effect, we autoconvolute the electron-phonon modes n_i times by defining the following recursive formula:

$$
S_E^{(n)}(x) = (S_E^{(n-1)} * S_E)(x), \quad S_E^{(0)}(x) = \delta(x), \tag{24}
$$

where $*$ labels the convolution, and $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function. Similar considerations have been applied recently (see the Supplemental Material in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-10-0)).

Besides Γ_z ISC processes, the Γ_{\pm} and Γ_{\mp} ISC processes can take place governed by *λ*[⊥] because of the contribution of $\left| \frac{1}{E} \right|$ in $\left| \frac{1}{E} \right|$. By applying the Fermi golden rule again, we arrive at

$$
\Gamma_{\pm} = \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2)}{\hbar} \sum_{\ldots} |\langle \ldots | \otimes \langle^3 A_2^{\pm} | \hat{W} |^1 \widetilde{E} \rangle|^2 \delta(\Sigma - E(|\ldots \rangle))
$$

$$
= \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2)}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} \lambda_{\perp}^2 c_i^2 |\langle \ldots | \chi_i(A_1) \rangle|^2 \delta(\Sigma - n_i \hbar \omega_E)
$$

$$
\approx \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2) \lambda_{\perp}^2}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} c_i^2 S_E^{(n_i)}(\Sigma) = \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2) \lambda_{\perp}^2}{\hbar} F_E'(\Sigma)
$$

(25)

and

$$
\Gamma_{\mp} = \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2)}{\hbar} \sum_{|\dots\rangle} |\langle \dots | \otimes \langle^3 A_2^{\pm} | \hat{W} |^1 \widetilde{E} \rangle|^2 \delta(\Sigma - E(|\dots\rangle))
$$

$$
= \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2)}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} \lambda_{\perp}^2 f_i^2 |\langle \dots | \chi_i(E_{\mp}) \rangle|^2 \delta(\Sigma - n_i \hbar \omega_E)
$$

$$
\approx \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2) \lambda_{\perp}^2}{\hbar} \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_i^2 S_E^{(n_i)}(\Sigma)
$$

$$
= \frac{2\pi(1 - C^2) \lambda_{\perp}^2}{\hbar} F_E''(\Sigma), \tag{26}
$$

where F'_E and F''_E are the corresponding phonon overlap spectral functions caused by the DJT effect.

We previously calculated all the parameters from *ab initio* wave functions required to calculate the ISC rates that are plotted in Fig. [4](#page-8-0) and compared to the observed inverse lifetime of the singlet [\[12,22\]](#page-10-0). We find that the energy gap between the shelving singlet state and the triplet ground state is $\approx 0.4 \text{ eV}$. This is very reasonable as the sum of the previously deduced Δ (Refs. [\[19,21\]](#page-10-0)), the observed Λ (Ref. [\[17\]](#page-10-0)), and our deduced Σ approximately equals the ZPL energy between the triplets [cf. Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0). With the present choice of the $\lambda_{\perp} = 1.2 \lambda_z$, the Γ _z ISC rate (blue curve) is about $6 \times$ larger than the $\Gamma_{\perp} = \Gamma_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\mp}$ rate (green curve) at that energy gap that

FIG. 4. Calculated low-temperature ISC rates $[\Gamma_z, \Gamma_{\pm}, \Gamma_{\mp}]$ from Eqs. [\(23\)](#page-7-0)–[\(26\)](#page-7-0)] as a function of the energy gap (Σ) between the shelving state singlet state and the triplet ground state. Here we applied $\lambda_{\perp} = 1.2\lambda_z$ by following Ref. [\[19\]](#page-10-0). Two experimental data about the lifetime of the singlet state (T_E) are applied from Ref. [\[22\]](#page-10-0) with $T_E^{-1} \approx 2.16 \text{ MHz}$ (yellow horizontal line) and Ref. [\[12\]](#page-10-0) with $T_E^{-1} \approx 2.70 \text{ MHz}$ (purple horizontal line). The crossing point between the simulated inverse lifetime (black curve) and the experimental ones is depicted by a circle that results in $\Sigma = 402$ and $\Sigma = 386$ meV, respectively.

would further strengthen the spin-polarization process besides the strictly spin-selective process in the upper branch. On the other hand, Robledo and co-workers deduced a smaller $\Gamma_z/\Gamma_\perp \approx 1.1 \dots 2$ from experimental data on two single NV centers at room temperature [\[12\]](#page-10-0) where the common value was 1.20 by taking the uncertainty in the measurements into account. By using the low-temperature simulation data, we varied the $\lambda_z/\lambda_{\perp}$ and plotted Γ_z/Γ_{\perp} in Fig. 5 to analyze this issue, as there is uncertainty in the value of λ_{\perp} . We conclude that $\lambda_{\perp}/\lambda_z \approx 2$ is required to obtain the experimentally deduced ratio between the ISC rates. Since the experimental values for the ratio between the ISC rates scattered about 100% in the two individual NV centers, we conclude that the accurate ratio of ISC rates should be further investigated in the

FIG. 5. Γ_z/Γ_{\perp} is plotted as a function of $\lambda_{\perp}/\lambda_z$, where $\lambda_z =$ 15*.*78 GHz is our accurate DFT value. The *λ*[⊥] = 56*.*31 GHz value approximated from DFT wave functions is an overestimation. *λ*[⊥] = 39.76 GHz yields $\Gamma_z/\Gamma_{\perp} = 1.2$.

FIG. 6. The calculated lifetime of the singlet shelving state is plotted as a function of the temperature with the observed lifetimes for two single NV centers (dot and triangle data points with uncertainties) taken from Ref. [\[12\]](#page-10-0).

experiments, and thus we do not rely on these experimentally deduced data. Indeed, a very recent measurement parallel to our study [\[47\]](#page-11-0) found $\Gamma_z/\Gamma_\perp = 4 \pm 0.5$, which agrees well with our conclusion. Thus, we used the $\lambda_{\perp} = 1.2\lambda_{\tau}$ in the study of the temperature dependence of the ISC rates that we plot in Fig. 6 (red curve) and compare to previous experimental data taken on two single NV centers. Here we used the calculated vibronic states of the $\vert \tilde{E} \rangle$ with the Boltzmann occupation of vibronic states of the $\vert L \rangle$ with the bottzmann occupation of vibronic levels at the given temperature, in order to compute ISC rates as defined in Eqs. (23) – (26) . We found a very good agreement with the experimental data [\[12\]](#page-10-0) as the calculated lifetime is reduced from 370 ns at cryogenic temperatures down to 171 ns at room temperature to be compared to 371 ± 6 and 165 ± 10 ns, respectively. Our calculations reveal that the vibronic state associated with the optically forbidden phonon feature at $\approx 14 \,\text{meV}$ in the PL spectrum plays a key role in the temperature dependence of the ISC rates. The calculated Γ_z/Γ_{\perp} is only reduced by $\sim 5\%$ going from cryogenic temperature to room temperature in our simulations, which means that the spin-polarization efficiency per single optical cycle does not degrade significantly as a function of temperature. These results demonstrate that our theory can account for the intricate details of the ISC processes in the NV center and reproduce the basic experimental data.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we developed a theory of the nature of the singlet states including electron-electron correlation coupled with phonons. We identified the strong electron-phonon coupling between the singlet states that can be described as a combination of the pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect and damped dynamic Jahn-Teller effect. We extended the theory of ISC rates of the NV center to account for this complex nature of the singlet shelving state that is responsible for the ISC process toward the ground state. Our theory can explain several features in the optical spectra of singlets. In particular, the presence of an optically forbidden state in unstressed diamond and the features in the phonon sideband of the PL spectrum were well reproduced, which are based on the vibronic nature of the singlet shelving state. The calculated ISC rates and the deduced

GERGŐ THIERING AND ADAM GALI **PHYSICAL REVIEW B 98**, 085207 (2018)

energy gap between the shelving singlet-state level and the triplet ground-state level are consistent with the previous experimental data. The calculated temperature dependence of the lifetime of the singlet shelving state is in very good agreement with the experimental data. Our results complete the theoretical description of the entire optical spin-polarization loop of the NV center.

Our results may have an impact in the field, as the NV center is a template for similar defects that act as solid-state qubits. The most obvious example is the neutral divacancy in silicon carbide [\[48,49\]](#page-11-0) for which the first step for understanding the underlying mechanisms has been recently taken [\[50\]](#page-11-0). Our *ab initio* toolkit can be extended to other defect systems, including point defects in two-dimensional (2D) materials such as boron nitride, transition-metal dichalcogenides, and dioxides that have attracted great attention. Computing the ISC rates of these defects can contribute to understand their optical properties and optimize their quantum bit operation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the Hungarian Government and the National Research Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) in the frame of the ÚNKP-17-3-III New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of Human Capacities, NVKP Project Grants No. NVKP_16-1-2016-0043 and No. NN127889 (EU QuantERA Q-MAGINE project) and the Quantum Technology National Excellence Program (Project No. 2017-1.2.1-NKP-2017-00001) are acknowledged.

APPENDIX A: PSEUDO-JAHN-TELLER EFFECT FROM PERTURBATION THEORY

We derive the PJT effect between ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{1}A_1$ from perturbation theory that provides an insight about the strength of the interaction where we concentrate on the vibronic ground state of the resulting $\vert \tilde{E} \rangle$. The vibronic wave function from $^{1}A_{1}$ coupled to the ¹E should transform as *E*, and thus the E phonon state should be occupied in the ${}^{1}A_1$ state with an

effective $\hbar \omega_E$ phonon energy. The energy difference between the corresponding states will be $\Lambda_e + \hbar \omega_E$, where Λ_e is the energy gap between the electronic levels of ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{1}A_1$. Now by choosing the *x* representation from the double-degenerate states and labeling the $|E_xE_y\rangle$ vibration wave function by the occupation representation, we arrive at

$$
|{}^{1}\widetilde{E}_{x}\rangle=|{}^{1}E_{x}\rangle\otimes|00\rangle+\frac{\chi_{\rm PJT}}{\Lambda+\hbar\omega_{E}}|{}^{1}A_{1}\rangle\otimes a_{x}^{\dagger}|00\rangle, \quad \, \text{(A1)}
$$

with the χ_{PJT} is the coupling parameter and a_x^{\dagger} is the creation operator of the E_x phonon. In the Kohn-Sham DFT calculations, $\Lambda_e = 0$ for the $|xx\rangle$ singlet state, resulting in relatively large Jahn-Teller energy; however, it can be seen in Eq. (A1) that the strength of the interaction is significantly damped by $\Lambda_e \approx 1.19 \text{ eV}$ for the true singlet eigenstates. The coupling parameter can be calculated as

$$
\chi_{\text{PJT}} = \langle {}^1E_x | \otimes \langle 00 | \hat{H}_{\text{PJT}} | {}^1A_1 \rangle \otimes a_x^{\dagger} | 00 \rangle, \tag{A2}
$$

where \hat{H}_{PJT} is the PJT Hamiltonian from Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-0). By substituting the \hat{H}_{PJT} into Eq. (A2), we arrive at

$$
\chi_{\rm{PJT}} = \frac{\tilde{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle {}^{1}E_x | \sigma_z | {}^{1}A_1 \rangle, \tag{A3}
$$

where we used $\langle 00|a_y a_y^{\dagger} |00\rangle = 0$ and $\langle 00|a_x a_x^{\dagger} |00\rangle = 1$ relations. As a next step, we use the two-particle expression of ${}^{1}A_{1}$ in Eq. (8) to arrive at

$$
\chi_{\text{PJT}} = \frac{\tilde{F}}{\sqrt{2}}[(xx] - \langle yy|] \sigma_z[|xx\rangle + |yy\rangle], \quad \text{(A4)}
$$

and finally solve it in the matrix representation as

$$
\chi_{\text{PJT}} = \frac{\tilde{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad 0 \quad -1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\tilde{F}}{\sqrt{2}}.
$$
\n(A5)

This completes the perturbation theory on the PJT effect, which gives insight about the nature of this interaction. We note that we obtained our results by direct diagonalization of the full

TABLE I. Coefficients are defined in Eqs. [\(19a\)](#page-4-0) and [\(19b\)](#page-4-0) for the ${}^{1}\tilde{E}$ and ${}^{1}\tilde{A}_{1}$ vibronic states, respectively. The first column defines the phonon index (*n*). The "repr. of phonons" column shows the irreducible representation of states that can be constructed from *n* phonons. The phonons. The A_2 modes are negligible and labeled in the parentheses. We determined the coefficients up to a $n = 10$ phonon limit, but we present the rows only up to $n = 6$ since all of the $n > 6$ coefficients are below 0.001. We refer to the summation of all the individual coefficients with *n* phonons by $\sum_{n_i=n}$. The ground vibronic state of ${}^1\tilde{E}$ that transforms as *E* is expressed by c_1^2 , d_1^2 , and f_1^2 . The first excited vibronic wave function of ${}^1\tilde{E}$ that transforms as A_1 is expressed by c_1^2 and d_1^2 .

	${}^1\widetilde{E}$			${}^1\widetilde{A}_1$			
\boldsymbol{n}	$\sum_{n_i=n} c_i^2$	$\sum_{n_i=n} d_i^2$	$\sum_{n_i=n} f_i^2$	$\sum_{n_i=n} c_i'^2$	$\sum_{n_i=n} d_i'^2$	repr. of phonons	
Ω	$c_1^2 = 0.645$			$c_1^2 = 0.017$		A ₁	
		$d_1^2 = 0.029$	$f_1^2 = 0.063$		$d_1^2 = 0.618$	E	
2	$c_2^2 = 0.090$	$d_2^2 = 0.004$	$f_2^2 = 0.089$	$c_2^2 = 0.045$	$d_2^2 = 0.042$	A_1+E	
3	$c_3^2 = 0.011$	$d_3^2 = 0.012$	$f_3^2 = 0.012$	$c_3^2 = 0.004$	$d_3^2 = 0.194$	$A_1 + (A_2) + E$	
$\overline{4}$	$c_4^2 = 0.015$	$d_4^2 + d_5^2 = 0.002$	$f_4^2 + f_5^2 = 0.016$	$c_4^2 = 0.016$	$d_4^2 + d_5^2 = 0.018$	A_1+2E	
5 ⁵	$c_5^2 = 0.002$	$d_6^2 + d_7^2 = 0.003$	$f_6^2 + f_7^2 = 0.002$	$c_5^2 = 0.002$	$d_6^2 + d_7^2 = 0.032$	$A_1 + (A_2) + 2E$	
6	$c_6^2 + c_7^2 = 0.002$	$d_8^2 + d_9^2 = 0.000$	$f_{8}^{2}+f_{9}^{2}=0.002$	$c_6^2 + c_7^2 = 0.003$	$d_8^2 + d_9^2 = 0.003$	$2A_1 + (A_2) + 2E$	
\cdots		\cdots		\cdots	\cdots		

electron-phonon Hamiltonian in the main part of the paper, which goes beyond this perturbation theory.

APPENDIX B: VIBRONIC WAVE FUNCTIONS

Here we show the calculated coefficients of the ${}^1\widetilde{E} \oplus {}^1\widetilde{A}_1$ vibronic wave functions in Table [I.](#page-9-0)

APPENDIX C: TRANSITION DIPOLE MOMENT BETWEEN THE SINGLET STATES

Here we determine the optical transition strengths between $|{}^1A_1\rangle$ and $|{}^1E\rangle$. Following the derivation of Hepp *et al.* in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-0) in the Supplemental Material of Ref. [\[51\]](#page-11-0), the transition dipole moments between the single-particle orbitals in C_{3v} symmetry with polarization *x* are the following:

"
$$
x
$$
" $|e_x\rangle$ $|e_y\rangle$ " y " $|e_x\rangle$ $|e_y\rangle$
\n $\langle e_x|$ $\begin{bmatrix} d_{\perp} \\ -d_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}$ $\langle e_x|$ $\begin{bmatrix} -d_{\perp} \\ -d_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}$ (C1)

By using the relations in Eq. $(C1)$, the dipole moment for the two-particle wave functions can be expressed and applied to get

$$
P({}^{1}A_{1} \stackrel{``x"}{\leftrightarrow} {}^{1}E_{x}) = \left| \underbrace{{}({}^{1}A_{1}|\hat{d}_{x}^{(1)} + \hat{d}_{x}^{(2)}|^{1}E_{x})}_{A_{1}} \right|^{2} = 4d_{\perp}^{2}, \quad (C2)
$$

- [1] L. du Preez, Ph.D. thesis, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 1965.
- [2] A. Gruber, A. Drabenstedt, C. Tietz, L. Fleury, J. Wrachtrup, and C. v. Borczyskowski, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5321.2012) **[276](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5321.2012)**, [2012](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5321.2012) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5321.2012).
- [3] [F. Jelezko, T. Gaebel, I. Popa, A. Gruber, and J. Wrachtrup,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.076401) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.076401)**, [076401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.076401) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.076401).
- [4] T. D. Ladd, F. Jelezko, R. Laflamme, Y. Nakamura, C. Monroe, and J. L. O'Brien, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08812) **[464](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08812)**, [45](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08812) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08812).
- [5] D. D. Awschalom, L. C. Bassett, A. S. Dzurak, E. L. Hu, and J. R. Petta, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231364) **[339](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231364)**, [1174](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231364) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231364).
- [6] M. W. Doherty, N. B. Manson, P. Delaney, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, and L. C. Hollenberg, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.02.001) **[528](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.02.001)**, [1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.02.001) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2013.02.001).
- [7] G. Balasubramanian, P. Neumann, D. Twitchen, M. Markham, R. Kolesov, N. Mizuochi, J. Isoya, J. Achard, J. Beck, J. Tissler, [V. Jacques, P. R. Hemmer, F. Jelezko, and J. Wrachtrup,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2420) Nat. Mater. **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2420)**, [383](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2420) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2420).
- [8] A. P. Nizovtsev, S. Y. Kilin, F. Jelezko, I. Popa, A. Gruber, C. Tietz, and J. Wrachtrup, [Opt. Spectrosc.](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1586735) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1586735)**, [848](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1586735) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.1586735).
- [9] J. Harrison, M. Sellars, and N. Manson, [J. Lumin.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2003.12.020) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2003.12.020)**, [245](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2003.12.020) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2003.12.020).
- [10] N. B. Manson, J. P. Harrison, and M. J. Sellars, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104303) **[74](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104303)**, [104303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104303) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104303).
- [11] [L. Robledo, H. Bernien, I. van Weperen, and R. Hanson,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.177403) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.177403)**, [177403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.177403) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.177403).
- [12] [L. Robledo, H. Bernien, T. van der Sar, and R. Hanson,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025013) New J. Phys. **[13](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025013)**, [025013](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025013) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025013).
- [13] E. Bourgeois, A. Jarmola, P. Siyushev, M. Gulka, J. Hruby, F. Jelezko, D. Budker, and M. Nesladek, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9577) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9577)**, [8577](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9577) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9577).
- [14] A. Lenef and S. C. Rand, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.13441) **[53](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.13441)**, [13441](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.13441) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.13441).

where we introduced the transition optical dipole operator $(\hat{d}_x^{(1)}$ and $\hat{d}_x^{(2)}$) acting on particles 1 and 2, respectively. Our result is in agreement with a previous result [see Table A.4 in Ref. [16], where the $2O_{b,x}$ matrix element is the transition dipole moment, and thus the transition strength is $4O_{b,x}^2$ that corresponds to our $4d_{\perp}^2$ in Eq. (C2)]. The three other possible transitions are

$$
P({}^{1}A_{1} \stackrel{``y''}{\leftrightarrow} {}^{1}E_{y}) = 4d_{\perp}^{2}
$$
 (C3)

and

$$
P(^{1}A_{1} \stackrel{\alpha_{x}^{*}}{\leftrightarrow} {}^{1}E_{y}) = P(^{1}A_{1} \stackrel{\alpha_{y}^{*}}{\leftrightarrow} {}^{1}E_{x}) = 0. \tag{C4}
$$

The dipole operator can be expressed in a similar form as the PJT Hamiltonian [see Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-0)],

$$
\hat{d}_x = 2d_\perp \hat{\sigma}_z, \quad \hat{d}_y = 2d_\perp \hat{\sigma}_x,\tag{C5}
$$

where the $\hat{\sigma}_{z,x}$ matrices are defined in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-0). As an example, we explicitly write the intensity of the ZPL transition between the vibronically coupled singlet states as follows:

$$
I_{\text{ZPL}} = |\langle {}^{1}\widetilde{E}_{x}|\hat{d}_{x}|^{1}\widetilde{A}_{1}\rangle|^{2} = 4d_{\perp}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}c_{i}c_{i}^{\prime} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}d_{i}d_{i}^{\prime}\right), \quad \text{(C6)}
$$

where the corresponding expansion coefficients (c_i, c'_i, d_i, d'_i) are defined in Eqs. [\(19a\)](#page-4-0) and [\(19b\)](#page-4-0).

- [15] J. R. Maze, A. Gali, E. Togan, Y. Chu, A. Trifonov, E. Kaxiras, and M. D. Lukin, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025025) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025025)**, [025025](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025025) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025025).
- [16] M. W. Doherty, N. B. Manson, P. Delaney, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025019) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025019)**, [025019](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025019) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025019).
- [17] L. J. Rogers, S. Armstrong, M. J. Sellars, and N. B. Manson, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/10/103024) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/10/103024)**, [103024](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/10/103024) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/10/103024).
- [18] P. Kehayias, M. W. Doherty, D. English, R. Fischer, A. Jarmola, K. Jensen, N. Leefer, P. Hemmer, N. B. Manson, and D. Budker, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165202) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165202)**, [165202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165202) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.165202).
- [19] M. L. Goldman, M. W. Doherty, A. Sipahigil, N. Y. Yao, S. D. [Bennett, N. B. Manson, A. Kubanek, and M. D. Lukin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.165201) Phys. Rev. B **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.165201)**, [165201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.165201) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.165201).
- [20] M. L. Goldman, A. Sipahigil, M. W. Doherty, N. Y. Yao, S. D. Bennett, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, N. B. Manson, A. Kubanek, and M. D. Lukin, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.145502) **[114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.145502)**, [145502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.145502) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.145502).
- [21] G. Thiering and A. Gali, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.081115) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.081115)**, [081115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.081115) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.081115).
- [22] [V. M. Acosta, A. Jarmola, E. Bauch, and D. Budker,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.201202) *Phys. Rev.* B **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.201202)**, [201202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.201202) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.201202).
- [23] I. B. Bersuker and V. Z. Polinger, *Vibronic Interactions in Molecules and Crystals*, Vol. 49 (Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 1989).
- [24] I. B. Bersuker, *The Jahn-Teller Effect* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [25] N. Manson, L. Rogers, M. Doherty, and L. Hollenberg, [arXiv:1011.2840.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1011.2840)
- [26] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) **[54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169)**, [11169](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169).
- [27] J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Ernzerhof, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060)**, [8207](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060).
- [28] A. V. Krukau, O. A. Vydrov, A. F. Izmaylov, and G. E. Scuseria, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663) **[125](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663)**, [224106](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2404663).
- [30] P. E. Blöchl, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) **[50](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953)**, [17953](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953).
- [31] O. Bengone, M. Alouani, P. Blöchl, and J. Hugel, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.16392)* **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.16392)**, [16392](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.16392) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.16392).
- [32] A. Gali, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.241204) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.241204)**, [241204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.241204) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.241204).
- [33] K. Huang and A. Rhys, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1950.0184) **[204](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1950.0184)**, [406](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1950.0184) [\(1950\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1950.0184).
- [34] A. Alkauskas, B. B. Buckley, D. D. Awschalom, and C. G. V. de Walle, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/7/073026) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/7/073026)**, [073026](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/7/073026) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/7/073026).
- [35] P. Delaney, J. C. Greer, and J. A. Larsson, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl903646p) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl903646p)**, [610](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl903646p) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl903646p).
- [36] A. Ranjbar, M. Babamoradi, M. Heidari Saani, M. A. Vesaghi, K. Esfarjani, and Y. Kawazoe, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165212) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165212)**, [165212](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165212) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165212).
- [37] S. K. Choi, M. Jain, and S. G. Louie, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.041202) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.041202)**, [041202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.041202) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.041202).
- [38] J. P. Goss, R. Jones, S. J. Breuer, P. R. Briddon, and S. Öberg, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3041) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3041)**, [3041](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3041) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3041).
- [39] M. Łuszczek, R. Laskowski, and P. Horodecki, [Physica B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2003.12.005) **[348](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2003.12.005)**, [292](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2003.12.005) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2003.12.005).
- [40] J. A. Larsson and P. Delaney, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165201) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165201)**, [165201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165201) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.165201).
- [41] C.-K. Lin, Y.-H. Wang, H.-C. Chang, M. Hayashi, and S. H. Lin, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2987717) **[129](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2987717)**, [124714](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2987717) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2987717).
- [42] A. Gali,M. Fyta, and E. Kaxiras, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.155206)**[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.155206)**, [155206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.155206) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.155206).
- [43] Y. Ma, M. Rohlfing, and A. Gali, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041204)**[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041204)**, [041204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041204) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041204).
- [44] G. Davies and M. F. Hamer, [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1976.0039) **[348](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1976.0039)**, [285](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1976.0039) [\(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1976.0039).
- [45] [M. Bockstedte, F. Schütz, T. Garratt, V. Ivády, and A. Gali,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0103-6) npj Quantum Mater. **[3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0103-6)**, [31](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0103-6) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0103-6).
- [46] L. J. Rogers, M. W. Doherty, M. S. J. Barson, S. Onoda, T. Ohshima, and N. B. Manson, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/1/013048) **[17](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/1/013048)**, [013048](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/1/013048) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/1/013048).
- [47] [N. Kalb, P. C. Humphreys, J. J. Slim, and R. Hanson,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.062330) *Phys. Rev.* A **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.062330)**, [062330](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.062330) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.062330).
- [48] A. Gali, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201046254) **[248](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201046254)**, [1337](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201046254) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201046254).
- [49] W. F. Koehl, B. B. Buckley, F. J. Heremans, G. Calusine, and D. D. Awschalom, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10562) **[479](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10562)**, [84](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10562) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10562).
- [50] D. J. Christle, P. V. Klimov, C. F. de las Casas, K. Szász, V. Ivády, V. Jokubavicius, J. Ul Hassan, M. Syväjärvi, W. F. Koehl, T. Ohshima, N. T. Son, E. Janzén, A. Gali, and D. D. Awschalom, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021046) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021046)**, [021046](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021046) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021046).
- [51] C. Hepp, T. Müller, V. Waselowski, J. N. Becker, B. Pingault, H. Sternschulte, D. Steinmüller-Nethl, A. Gali, J. R. Maze, M. Atatüre, and C. Becher, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.036405) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.036405)**, [036405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.036405) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.036405).