Photonic Floquet media with a complex time-periodic permittivity

Neng Wang, Zhao-Qing Zhang, and C. T. Chan*

Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong, China

(Received 3 May 2018; published 24 August 2018)

We study the formation of exceptional points (EPs) in a photonic medium with a complex time-periodic permittivity, i.e., $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t)$. We formulate Maxwell's equations in the form of a first-order non-Hermitian Floquet Hamiltonian matrix and solve it analytically for the Floquet band structures. In the case when ε_r is real, to the first order in ε_r , the band structures show a phase transition from an exact phase with real quasienergies to a broken phase with complex quasienergies inside a region of wave-vector space, the so-called *k* gap. We show that the two EPs at the upper and lower edges of the *k* gap have opposite chiralities in the stroboscopic sense. By picking up the mode with a positive imaginary quasienergy, the wave propagation inside the *k* gap can grow exponentially. In three dimensions, such pairs of EPs span two concentric spherical surfaces in the \vec{k} space and repeat themselves periodically in the quasienergy space with Ω as the period. However, in the case when *εr* is purely imaginary, the *k* gap disappears and gaps in the quasienergy space are opened. Our analytical results agree well with finite-difference time domain simulations. To second order in *εr*, additional EP pairs are found for both the cases of real and imaginary *εr*. We also extend our theory to the case where the permittivity is simultaneously modulated in both space and time, i.e., $\varepsilon(x, t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t - \beta x + \phi)$. For a real ε_r , we find that EPs also exist when the modulation speed $c_m = \Omega/\beta$ is faster than the speed of the wave travelling inside the medium.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085142](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.085142)

I. INTRODUCTION

Time Floquet or periodically driven systems are systems the parameters of which are periodic in time. As the time modulation can represent a rich and versatile resource that is used to achieve many novel phenomena, time Floquet systems have attracted great attention recently. In quantum systems, researchers have reported that the topological spectra can be achieved when a proper time perturbation is introduced to a system which is topologically trivial in the static case $[1-3]$, and the topological state is called the Floquet topological state. Later, these Floquet topological states were observed in photonic [\[4–6\]](#page-10-0) and solid-state [\[7\]](#page-10-0) experiments, which motivated the detailed study of topological phenomena in periodically driven systems [\[8–12\]](#page-10-0). Time Floquet classical systems, such as *LC* circuits with time-reactive elements [\[13–17\]](#page-10-0) and dynamic mediums with time-periodic permittivity $[18-24]$, have been investigated. For a long time, researchers have focused their studies on the amplification and nonreciprocal behaviors in these time Floquet classical systems [\[25–29\]](#page-10-0) and made great achievements. Recently, there has also been a surge of interest in investigating systems that combine both non-Hermiticity and time-periodic modulations [\[30–36\]](#page-10-0), leading to some novel phenomena that cannot be found in static systems, such as the exotic parity-time transitions [\[34,35\]](#page-10-0) and nonreciprocal gain without gain materials [\[36\]](#page-10-0).

In this paper, we study a typical time Floquet photonic system in which the permittivity of the medium has the form $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t + \phi)$, where ε_r gives the strength of time

modulation and can be a complex number in general, Ω is the modulation frequency, and ϕ is an arbitrary initial phase which sets the origin of the time. When ε_r is real, dispersion relations as well as transmission and reflection properties of such dynamic media have been studied previously by solving a second-order time-dependent scalar wave equation for the electric field [\[21,22\]](#page-10-0). Here, we are interested in the exceptional point (EP) phenomena in such systems. Using the method of the Floquet matrix $[37]$, we formulate Maxwell's equations in the form of a first-order non-Hermitian Floquet Hamiltonian matrix and we solve for the photonic Floquet band structures as well as the Floquet states. To the first order in ε_r , we show explicitly that the existence of a gap in the wave-vector space (the so-called *k* gap) is an EP phenomenon. At both the upper and lower edges of the *k* gap, two real quasienergies coalesce and form a pair of EPs which are always in opposite chiralities in the stroboscopic sense. The region between these two EPs is a broken phase, in which the quasienergies form complex conjugate pairs so that the Floquet states can decay or grow. The amplification and damping of waves in this region are induced by the time modulation in the absence of gain and lossy materials [\[34–36\]](#page-10-0). By picking up the mode with a positive imaginary quasienergy, the wave propagation inside the *k* gap always grows exponentially with a maximal growth rate near the center of the *k* gap. This is numerically verified by using finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations. In three dimensions, all these EP pairs in different directions in the \vec{k} space form two concentric spherical surfaces of EPs with a broken phase in between. These two concentric surfaces repeat themselves periodically in the quasienergy space with Ω as the period. When ε_r is purely imaginary, we find quasienergy gaps instead of a *k* gap. The opening of quasienergy gaps in this

^{*}Corresponding author: phchan@ust.hk

case is analogous to the case of graphene where a gap near a Dirac point can be opened when the onsite energies of the electrons at two atoms in the unit cell are different. However, when the difference in the onsite energies is imaginary, the static Dirac Hamiltonian becomes non-Hermitian and the Dirac point splits into two EPs with opposite chiralities. The latter case corresponds to the case of real ε_r discussed earlier. There is no EP when ε_r is a complex number. Since the quasienergies still form complex conjugate pairs, the medium will support field amplification and damping. To second order in ε_r , we find additional pairs of EPs for both pure real and purely imaginary *εr*.

Our theory can also be extended to the more complicated case where the permittivity is simultaneously modulated in both space and time, which has the form $\varepsilon(x, t) = \varepsilon_o +$ ε_r sin($\Omega t - \beta x + \phi$) with β being the spatial modulation frequency. Using the new variable $u = t - \beta x / \Omega$ instead of *t*, we analytically obtained the Floquet Hamiltonian and used it to calculate the Floquet bands. When ε_r is real, we also find EPs in the Floquet bands when the modulation speed $c_m = \frac{\Omega}{\beta}$ is faster than the speed of the electromagnetic wave inside the medium.

Temporally modulating the permittivity in experiments is not easy. Nevertheless, various techniques such as electrooptic, thermal-optic, and plasma dispersion effects have been proposed for realizing time modulation [\[37](#page-10-0)[–44\]](#page-11-0). Owing to the growing interest in the time Floquet photonic system, sustainable time modulation on the permittivity with very high modulation frequency can be feasible in the near future [\[24\]](#page-10-0).

II. FORMULATION OF THE FLOQUET HAMILTONIAN

We consider the electromagnetic wave propagation inside a dynamic medium where the permittivity is time periodic. Without loss of generality, we consider a wave propagating along the *x* direction with the electric field and magnetic field in the *y* and *z* directions, respectively. For the sake of mathematical simplicity, we set both the permittivity and permeability in vacuum as unity and assume that the static relative permittivity $\varepsilon_o > 0$. By using the Maxwell equations

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t},
$$

\n
$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D} = \left[\varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t + \phi) \right] \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}
$$

\n
$$
+ \Omega \varepsilon_r \cos(\Omega t + \phi) \mathbf{E},
$$
 (1)

we obtain the following wave equations for the electromagnetic fields:

$$
-[\varepsilon_{o} + \varepsilon_{r} \sin(\Omega t + \phi)] \frac{\partial^{2} E_{y}}{\partial t^{2}} - 2\Omega \cos(\Omega t + \phi) \varepsilon_{r} \frac{\partial E_{y}}{\partial t} + \Omega^{2} \varepsilon_{r} \sin(\Omega t + \phi) E_{y} = -\frac{\partial^{2} E_{y}}{\partial x^{2}} = K^{2} E_{y}, -[\varepsilon_{o} + \varepsilon_{r} \sin(\Omega t + \phi)] \frac{\partial^{2} H_{z}}{\partial t^{2}} - \Omega \cos(\Omega t + \phi) \varepsilon_{r} \frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^{2} H_{z}}{\partial x^{2}} = K^{2} H_{z},
$$
 (2)

where $\mathbf{K} = K\hat{x}$ is the wave vector. To obtain the Floquet Hamiltonian, we followed Ref. [\[34\]](#page-10-0) to reduce the differential order by employing the Liouvillian formulation and rewrite Eq. (2) as a two-component time-dependent Schrodinger-like equation for the magnetic field and its time derivative, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -iB & -iA \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
$$

where $H_z = \partial H_z / \partial t$ and

i

$$
A = \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_r \cos(\Omega t + \phi)}{\varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t + \phi)}, \quad B = \frac{K^2}{\varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t + \phi)}.
$$
\n(4)

In the absence of time modulation, i.e., $\varepsilon_r = 0$, \hat{H}_{eff} reduces to the time-independent non-Hermitian form:

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{eff},0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i\frac{K^2}{\varepsilon_o} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

The Floquet theorem gives the so-called Floquet-state solution of Eq. (3) for a specific wave vector *K* as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = e^{-i \mathcal{Q}(K)t} \vec{\Phi}(x, t) \tag{6}
$$

where *Q* is the Floquet characteristic exponent or the so-called quasienergy, which is *K* dependent, and $\vec{\Phi}$ is the so-called Floquet mode which is periodic in time obeying $\vec{\Phi}(x, t) =$ $\vec{\Phi}(x, t + 2\pi/\Omega)$. Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (3), one obtains the time-dependent eigenvalue equation for the quasienergy *Q* as

$$
\left(\hat{H}_{\rm eff} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\vec{\Phi} = Q\vec{\Phi}.\tag{7}
$$

From Eqs. (6) and (7), we can see that if Q is an eigenvalue of Eq. (7) corresponding to the eigenstate $\vec{\Phi}$ then $Q + n\Omega$ with *n* being an arbitrary integer number is also an eigenvalue of Eq. (7) corresponding to the eigenstate $e^{in\Omega t} \vec{\Phi}$. Similar to the Bloch wave vector of a photonic crystal with discrete translational symmetry, the quasienergy can be thought of as a periodic variable defined on a quasienergy Brillouin zone $0 \leqslant Q \leqslant \Omega$.

In the following, we will use the Floquet matrix method [\[45\]](#page-11-0) to obtain the band dispersions $Q(K)$. The main idea of the method is to use the eigenvectors of the time-independent effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{eff},0}$ as the basis to expand the Floquet mode $\vec{\Phi}$ and then transfer the time-dependent eigenvalue problem Eq. (7) into a time-independent one. Since $\hat{H}_{\text{eff},0}$ is pseudo-Hermitian [\[46\]](#page-11-0), we can apply a similarity transformation on $\hat{H}_{\text{eff},0}$ to make the time-independent effective Hamiltonian Hermitian. Here we introduce a time-independent matrix [\[47\]](#page-11-0)

$$
\hat{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{C} & i \\ \sqrt{C} & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \frac{K^2}{\varepsilon_o}, \tag{8}
$$

and the time-dependent effective Hamiltonian is then transformed to

$$
\tilde{H}(t) = \hat{R} \cdot \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(t) \cdot \hat{R}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B - iA\sqrt{C} + C}{2\sqrt{C}} & \frac{B + iA\sqrt{C} - C}{2\sqrt{C}}\\ \frac{-B + iA\sqrt{C} + C}{2\sqrt{C}} & \frac{-B - iA\sqrt{C} - C}{2\sqrt{C}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(9)

The Floquet modes are then transformed according to $\vec{\Phi}' =$ $\hat{R} \cdot \vec{\Phi}$. In the absence of time modulation ($\varepsilon_r = 0$), the timeindependent part of the effective Hamiltonian becomes

$$
\tilde{H}_0 = \hat{R} \cdot \hat{H}_{\text{eff},0} \cdot \hat{R}^{-1} = \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (10)
$$

which is Hermitian with the eigenvectors being $\vec{\varphi}_1 =$ $(1, 0)^T e^{iKx}$ and $\vec{\varphi}_2 = (0, 1)^T e^{-iKx}$, respectively. They represent two linear dispersions: a positive band $(\Omega = cK)$ and negative band ($\Omega = -cK$) where $c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}$. Then the new Floquet mode can be expanded in terms of the complete orthonormal set $\{\vec{\varphi}_1, \vec{\varphi}_2\}$ as

$$
\vec{\Phi}' = \sum_{\beta=1}^{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\beta}^{n} \vec{\varphi}_{\beta} e^{in\Omega t},
$$
 (11)

where c^n_β are the expansion coefficients. Substituting Eqs. (11) and [\(9\)](#page-1-0) into Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-0), multiplying $(\vec{\varphi}_{\alpha})^{\dagger}e^{-i\Omega t}$ from the left, and integrating after a time average, we have the time-independent eigenvalue problem for the quasienergy *Q*:

$$
\sum_{\beta=1}^{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \vec{\varphi}_{\alpha} l | H_F | \vec{\varphi}_{\beta} n \rangle c_{\beta}^{n} = Q c_{\alpha}^{l}, \qquad (12)
$$

with the Floquet Hamiltonian defined as

$$
\langle \alpha, n | H_F | \beta, l \rangle = \tilde{H}_{\alpha\beta}^{n-l} + n\Omega \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{nl}, \tag{13}
$$

where $-\infty \le n, l \le \infty$ label the block matrix; $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ label the components inside the block matrix; and

$$
\tilde{H}^{n-l}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi/\Omega} (\vec{\varphi}_{\alpha})^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{H}(t) \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{\beta} e^{i(n-l)\Omega t} dt, \alpha, \beta \in (1, 2).
$$
\n(14)

In the limit of small $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o|$, we can expand $\hat{H}(t)$ using a Taylor series. To the first order in $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o$ we find

$$
\tilde{H}(t) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{H}_1 e^{i\Omega t} + \tilde{H}_{-1} e^{-i\Omega t},\tag{15}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{H}_{1,K} + \tilde{H}_{1,\Omega} = e^{i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
+ e^{i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \Omega \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\tilde{H}_{-1} = \tilde{H}_{-1,K} + \tilde{H}_{-1,\Omega} = e^{-i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
+ e^{-i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \Omega \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
(16)

From Eq. (13), the Floquet Hamiltonian has the form

$$
\tilde{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix}\n\ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ddots & \tilde{H}_0 + \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \tilde{H}_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{H}_{-1} & \tilde{H}_0 & \tilde{H}_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{H}_{-1} & \tilde{H}_0 - \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots\n\end{pmatrix}, (17)
$$

where I_2 is the 2 \times 2 identity matrix. To the second order in ϵ_r/ϵ_o , we find

$$
\tilde{H}(t) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{H}_1 e^{i\Omega t} + \tilde{H}_{-1} e^{-i\Omega t} + \tilde{H}_2 e^{2i\Omega t} + \tilde{H}_{-2} e^{-2i\Omega t},
$$
\n(18)

where

$$
\tilde{H}_2 = \tilde{H}_{2,K} + \tilde{H}_{2,\Omega} = e^{2i\phi} \frac{\varepsilon_r^2}{8\varepsilon_o^2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \n+ e^{2i\phi} \frac{\varepsilon_r^2}{8\varepsilon_o^2} \Omega \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \n\tilde{H}_{-2} = \tilde{H}_{-2,K} + \tilde{H}_{-2,\Omega} = e^{-2i\phi} \frac{\varepsilon_r^2}{8\varepsilon_o^2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \n+ e^{-2i\phi} \frac{\varepsilon_r^2}{8\varepsilon_o^2} \Omega \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(19)

The Floquet Hamiltonian has the form

$$
\tilde{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix}\n\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\
\ddots & \tilde{H}_0 + \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \tilde{H}_1 & \tilde{H}_2 & 0 \\
\ddots & \tilde{H}_{-1} & \tilde{H}_0 & \tilde{H}_1 & \ddots \\
0 & \tilde{H}_{-2} & \tilde{H}_{-1} & \tilde{H}_0 - \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$
(20)

Similarly, we can generalize the above procedure to any order *n* in $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o$ by finding $\tilde{H}_{\pm n}$ first and then writing down the corresponding Floquet Hamiltonian using Eq. (13). Here, we will only consider the cases of $n = 1$ and 2.

III. EXCEPTIONAL POINTS

In the limit of vanishing $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o|$, Eqs. (16)–(20) show that the Floquet bands can be obtained by copying the two linear bands of H_0 and shifting them up and down in the quasienergy space by integer multiples of Ω , as shown in Fig. $1(a)$. We will call the bands formed by shifting the band of \tilde{H}_0 by $n\Omega$ as the *n*th-order bands. We note that there are both positive (with positive group velocity) and negative bands (with negative group velocity) for any order *n*. Bands of different orders can cross each other and produce an infinite number of diabolic points occurring periodically in the *K* space, at *K_n* = $n\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}\Omega/2$, where *n* is an integer.

When $\varepsilon_r \neq 0$, a positive band and a negative band differing by an order *n* can be coupled by the off-diagonal matrices $\tilde{H}_{\pm n}$ which lifts the degeneracy at the diabolic point and produces a pair of EPs, a quasienergy gap, or a mixture of the two, depending on the properties of ε_r . Since the quasienergies in the Floquet bands are periodic with the periodic Ω , we will only discuss quasienergies in the reduced zone $0 \leq Q \leq \Omega$.

The block matrices $H_{\pm 1}$ give the coupling between a positive band and a negative band having orders differing by one. To the first order in $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o$, we will only consider the diabolic points located at $Q = \Omega/2$ and $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \Omega/2$. When ε_r is a real number, $H_{\pm 1}$ is non-Hermitian as can be seen from Eqs. (16) and (21) . Two bands in the vicinity of the diabolic points can merge and form two EPs at $K = K_-\,$ and K_+ , as

FIG. 1. Floquet bands for the dynamic medium with permittivity given by $\varepsilon(t) = 5 + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t + \phi)$. Only the real parts of the quasienergies are shown. (a) For $\varepsilon_r \to 0$, the bands of H_0 are copied and shifted up and down by $n\Omega$ with n being an integer. The crossings form degeneracy points. (b) For real *εr*, two bands in the vicinity of a degeneracy point will attract each other and form a pair of EPs. The Floquet states between the two EPs are in the broken phase. (c) When *εr* is purely imaginary, two bands in the vicinity of a degeneracy point will repel each other and form a gap. The insets of panels (b) and (c) show the EPs formed by the coupling $\tilde{H}_{\pm 2}$ for the cases of real and purely imaginary ε_r , respectively.

shown in Fig. $1(b)$. Quasienergies of the Floquet states inside the broken phase $K₋ < K < K₊$ form complex conjugate pairs. To show this explicitly, we consider the following 2×2 reduced Floquet Hamiltonian involving only a negative band of the first order and a positive band of the zeroth order:

$$
\tilde{H}_{F,\text{red}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} + \Omega & e^{i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \left(-\frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} + \Omega \right) \\ e^{-i\phi} \frac{i\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \left(-\frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} + \Omega \right) & \frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} \end{pmatrix},\tag{21}
$$

where the four matrix elements are obtained from the cor-responding entries in Eq. [\(17\)](#page-2-0). $\tilde{H}_{F,\text{red}}$ is valid as long as $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o| \ll 1$. The eigenvalues of $\tilde{H}_{F,\text{red}}$ are given by

$$
Q = \frac{\Omega}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{2K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} - \Omega\right)^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_r^2}{4\varepsilon_o^2} \left(\frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} - \Omega\right)^2}.
$$
 (22)

Equation (22) gives the two EPs

$$
K_{\pm} = \frac{2\varepsilon_o \pm \varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o \pm \varepsilon_r} \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega,\tag{23}
$$

at which the two bands coalesce into a single defective quasienergy $Q = \Omega/2$. The existence of EPs is a result of non-Hermitian off-diagonal terms in Eq. (21). From Eq. (23), we find to the first order in $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o$ the size of the *k* gap as

$$
\Delta K = K_{+} - K_{-} \approx \frac{\varepsilon_r}{4\varepsilon_o} \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega.
$$
 (24)

At the EPs, the defective eigenstates obtained from Eq. (21) have the following forms:

$$
|\tilde{\psi}^{R}_{-}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} c_{2}^{1} \\ c_{1}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} -ie^{i\phi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\tilde{\psi}^{R}_{+}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} c_{2}^{1} \\ c_{1}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} ie^{i\phi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(25)

The chirality of EPs can be defined analogous to the polarization of electromagnetic waves [\[48\]](#page-11-0). Equation (25) shows clearly that the two EPs possess opposite chirality, which is expected because they originate from the same diabolic point. The chirality is determined by the initial phase ϕ or the corresponding initial time $t_0 = \phi / \Omega$. So the chirality varies periodically as t_0 changes and the stationary chirality can be observed by a discrete measurement with the time step equal to $2\pi/\Omega$. Substituting the expression of $\tilde{\psi}_1^R$ into Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0), we obtain the transformed Floquet mode at the EP $K = K_-\text{ as}$

$$
\vec{\Phi}' = c_2^1 \vec{\varphi}_2 e^{i\Omega t} + c_1^0 \vec{\varphi}_1 \propto -ie^{i\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ e^{-iK_x}e^{i\Omega t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{iK_x} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(26)

In order to find the explicit eigenfunction of the magnetic field at the EPs, different from Eq. (25) , we have chosen the first component of the wave function in Eq. (26) as the positive band, whereas the second component is chosen as the negative band. In the limit of $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o| \ll 1$, and $K_- \approx K_+ \approx \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega/2$, the time-dependent magnetic field and its derivative can be obtained from the inverse of the transformation defined in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-0), i.e.,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = e^{-i\Omega t/2} \vec{\Phi} = e^{-i\Omega t/2} \widehat{R}^{-1} \cdot \vec{\Phi}'
$$

$$
\propto \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\Omega} (e^{iK_x} e^{-i\Omega t/2} - i e^{i\phi} e^{-iK_x} e^{i\Omega t/2}) \\ -i e^{iK_x} e^{-i\Omega t/2} + e^{i\phi} e^{-iK_x} e^{i\Omega t/2} \end{pmatrix} . (27)
$$

Similarly, we can obtain the magnetic field and its derivative with respect to time at the EP $K = K_+$ as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} \propto \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\Omega} (e^{iK_+x} e^{-i\Omega t/2} + i e^{-i\phi} e^{-iK_+x} e^{i\Omega t/2}) \\ -i e^{iK_+x} e^{-i\Omega t/2} - e^{-i\phi} e^{-iK_+x} e^{i\Omega t/2} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (28)

Equations (27) and (28) show that the propagating field possesses two terms; the first term represents the ordinary wave propagation due to the zeroth-order positive band, whereas the second term comes from the negative band of the first order. And the chirality of the EPs determines the phase difference between these two terms.

To identify the singularity of the EPs, we studied the phase rigidity r_{\pm} at the EPs, which is defined as $r_{\pm} = \langle \tilde{\psi}^{\tilde{L}}_{\pm} | \tilde{\psi}^R_{\pm} \rangle$ [\[49\]](#page-11-0), where $\langle \tilde{\psi}^L_{\pm} |$ and $|\tilde{\psi}^R_{\pm} \rangle$ are the left and right eigenstates of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-0), respectively, and the bracket denotes the inner product. Since the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{F,\text{red}}$ is non-Hermitian, the right eigenstates $|\tilde{\psi}_{\pm}^{R}\rangle$ are different from the left eigenstates $\langle \tilde{\psi}^L_{\pm} |$. Both together form a biorthogonal basis [\[48\]](#page-11-0). At the EPs, the left eigenstates can be calculated according to

$$
\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}^L | \tilde{H}_{F, \text{red}} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \langle \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}^L |, \tag{29}
$$

and one arrives at

$$
\langle \tilde{\psi}^L_- | \propto (-ie^{-i\phi} \quad 1), \quad \langle \tilde{\psi}^L_+ | \propto (ie^{-i\phi} \quad 1). \tag{30}
$$

Phase rigidity vanishes at the EP according to a power-law behavior. Combining Eqs. (25) and (30) , we can easily find that the phase rigidities at the two EPs are indeed zero.

Similarly, two opposite bands with orders differing by any integer $\pm n$ can be coupled by $\tilde{H}_{\pm n}$ and create an infinite number of pairs of EPs around $K = n\sqrt{\varepsilon_0}\Omega/2$ with quasienergies at $Q = m\Omega, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, to find the second-order EPs at $Q = 0$, we construct a 14 \times 14 reduced Floquet Hamiltonian from Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0) centered at \tilde{H}_0 , from which we find another noin Eq. (20) centered at H_0 , noin which we find another
pair of EPs located near $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega$, as shown in the inset of Fig. [1\(b\).](#page-3-0) However, the size of the second-order *k* gap is much smaller than that of the first order. Similar pairs appear periodically at $Q = m\Omega$ for all nonzero integers *m*. There in an infinite number of pairs of EPs with decreasing size of the *k* gap as *K* increases. For a three-dimensional homogeneous Floquet medium, these EPs span an infinite number of pairs of spherical surfaces all centered at $K = 0$ with radii around *K* = $n\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\Omega/2$ with *n* being either even or odd.

In order to verify the existence of a broken phase between a pair of EPs, we use the FDTD method to numerically simulate the electromagnetic wave propagation inside a slab of a dynamic medium embedded in air with thickness *L* and a time-dependent relative permittivity $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \sin(\Omega t +$ ϕ). The medium is located between $0 < x < L$ and we excite the magnetic field by using a pulsed line source of the form $\exp(-0.5(t - t_0)^2 / \tau^2) \delta(x - x_0)$ with *x*₀ < 0, where τ is the duration time. As shown in Fig. 2, we discretize the medium with a spatial grid of $N + 1$ points and $M + 1$ temporal steps with the step sizes Δx and Δt , respectively. Then the timedependent electromagnetic fields can be calculated using the following discretized Maxwell's equations (Yee's algorithm):

$$
E_y|_j^{i+1} = \frac{\varepsilon|_j^i}{\varepsilon|_j^{i+1}} E_y|_j^i - \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon|_j^{i+1}\varepsilon_0} \frac{H_z|_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} - H_z|_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}}{\Delta x},
$$

$$
H_z|_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} = H_z|_{j+1/2}^{i-1/2} - \frac{\Delta t}{\mu_0} \frac{E_y|_{j+1}^i - E_y|_j^i}{\Delta x}.
$$

FIG. 2. Graphical representation of the finite-difference time domain scheme for numerical simulation of photonic Floquet media.

The magnetic field inside the slab is written as

$$
H_z(t,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i Q(K)t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_K^n e^{iKx} e^{in\Omega t} dK, \qquad (31)
$$

where f_K^n is the amplitude corresponding to wave vector K and the quasienergies in a band of order *n*. Note that here the quasienergy is chosen in the first Brillouin zone $-\Omega/2 \leqslant Q \leqslant$ $\Omega/2$. As we discussed previously, when ε_r is real, there is an infinite number of pairs of EPs as*K*increases and between each pair of EPs is a broken phase with the quasienergies in complex conjugate pairs. As the time grows, according to Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0), the field components inside the slab corresponding to the Floquet states the quasienergies of which possess negative imaginary parts will decay while the field components corresponding to the Floquet states the quasienergies of which possess positive imaginary parts will grow. At large times, the fields inside the slab will be dominated by the field components the wave vectors of which are inside the broken phase region. As a result, this kind of dynamic medium can induce a field amplification [\[35,36\]](#page-10-0) if the medium is excited at the proper *K*.

To describe the amplification behavior, we defined

$$
g_0(K) = \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)L} \int_0^L e^{-iKx} dx \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H_z e^{i\Omega t/2} dt, \quad (32)
$$

where t_1 and t_2 are the starting and ending time for the measurement. According to Eq. (31), $g_0(K)$ equals to f_K^0 in the condition that $Q = \Omega/2$. In Figs. [3\(a\)](#page-5-0) and [3\(b\),](#page-5-0) we plot the imaginary parts of the quasienergies as well as $|g_0(K)|$ as functions of the wave vector *K*. We can see clearly that for the wave vectors in the broken phase region where the imaginary parts of the quasienergies are nonzero $g_0(K)$ becomes extremely large when the integration time is long enough. Since the amplitude of the incident field is less than 1, the field inside the slab is greatly amplified. And comparing Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(b)$, we see that when the range of the broken phase decreases by decreasing ε_r , the region of wave vectors for the field amplification also becomes narrower. As the positive imaginary part of the quasienergy represents the growing rate of the Floquet states, a larger imaginary part corresponds to a stronger amplification. To show the periodicity of the Floquet

FIG. 3. (a) Imaginary parts of the quasienergies (circles) and the absolute value of the function $g_0(K)$ (solid line) for $\varepsilon_r = 1.5$. (b) Same as panel (a) but with $\varepsilon_r = 0.5$. (c) Same as panel (b) but the function $g_1(K)$ is plotted. The functions $g_0(K)$ and $g_1(K)$ are defined in Eqs. [\(32\)](#page-4-0) and (33), respectively. For panels (a)–(c), the parameters used for the pulse are $t_0 = \tau = 250T$, and the starting and ending times for the integration of Eq. [\(32\)](#page-4-0) are $t_1 = 410T$, $t_2 = 1010T$, where $T = 2\pi/\Omega$. The thickness of the slab is $L = 2000\pi c/\Omega$.

bands, we also defined

$$
g_1(K) = \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)L} \int_0^L e^{-iKx} dx \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H_z e^{i3\Omega t/2} dt, \quad (33)
$$

and plotted $g_1(K)$ as function of *K* in Fig. 3(c). $g_1(K)$ denotes the amplitude of the Floquet state corresponding to $Q = 3\Omega/2$. We can see that $g_1(K)$ has the identical shape as that in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-4-0) and is also largely amplified in the broken phase region.

If we increase both the starting time t_1 and ending time t_2 by Δt , according to Eqs. [\(31\)](#page-4-0) and [\(32\)](#page-4-0), $g_0(K)$ must be amplified by $exp[Im(Q)\Delta t]$. We can therefore numerically determine the imaginary part of the quasienergy according to the amplifications for different Δt . In Fig. 4(a), we showed the logarithm of the maximum $|g_0(K)|$ (corresponding to the center of the k gap) as function of Δt for different modulation permittivity ε_r . It is clearly seen that for each ε_r , ln $|g_0(K)|$ is linear in Δt , and its slope *b* determines the maximum imaginary quasienergy according to $\text{Im}(Q_m)/\Omega = b/(2\pi)$. The maximum imaginary quasienergy $\text{Im}(Q_m)$ as function of the modulation permittivity ε_r is shown in Fig. 4(b), in which

Im(Q_m) is calculated using both the Floquet Hamiltonian (in solid line) and linear fitting of $\ln|g_0(K)| \sim \Delta t$ (in red circles). The excellent agreement between the two results is clearly seen. The linear relation between $\text{Im}(Q_m)$ and ε_r can also be seen from Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0), i.e., $\text{Im}(Q_m) = \varepsilon_r \Omega / (8\varepsilon_o)$ at the *k*-gap center $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega/2$. The linear relation between Im(Q_m) and *εr* found above is analogous to the Bragg scattering in *k* space when a gap is open at the Brillouin-zone boundary due to a periodic potential of strength ΔV , and the imaginary part of *k* at the midgap is also proportional to ΔV .

IV. BAND GAPS

When ε_r is purely imaginary, the reduced Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{F,\text{red}}$ in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-0) becomes Hermitian. In this case, it is expected that the interaction between two opposite bands in the vicinity of the diabolic points at $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega / 2$ will become repulsive and form a quasienergy gap. Indeed, when ε_r^2 in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0) is replaced by $-|\varepsilon_r^2|$, we find two separated bands with the lower band edge at $Q = Q_-\$ and the upper band edge at

FIG. 4. (a) The logarithm of the maximum $|g_0(K)|$ as a function of the shifted time Δt . $T = 2\pi/\Omega$ is the modulation time period. (b) The maximum value of the imaginary quasienergy *Qm* as a function of the modulation permittivity *εr* calculated by the Floquet Hamiltonian (solid line) and the linear fitting of slopes in panel (a) (red circles).

FIG. 5. (a) The function $|h(K, \omega)|$ defined in Eq. (38) is plotted as a function of frequency ω for $K = 1.1 \Omega$. Each peak corresponds to a mode. (b) The Floquet band structures in the range of $0.9\Omega \leq K \leq 1.4\Omega$. The solid lines are calculated using the Floquet Hamiltonian Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0), and circles are determined by picking out the positions of the peaks of $|h(K, \omega)|$. The permittivity of the dynamic medium is $\varepsilon(t) = 5 + 1.5 \sin \Omega t$. The parameters for the pulse are $t_0 = \tau = 250T$, where $T = 2\pi/\Omega$. For the integration of $h(K, \omega)$, we used $t_1 = 410T$, $t_2 = 1010T$, $L =$ $2000\pi c/\Omega$.

 $Q = Q_+$ as shown in Fig. [1\(c\).](#page-3-0) The gap size $\Delta Q = Q_+ - Q_ Q = Q_+$ as shown in Fig. (1(c). The gap size $\Delta Q = Q_+ - q_+$
can be calculated from Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0). At $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \Omega/2$, we find

$$
\Delta Q = \sqrt{\left(\frac{2K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} - \Omega\right)^2 + \frac{|\varepsilon_r^2|}{4\varepsilon_o^2} \left(\frac{K}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} - \Omega\right)^2}\Big|_{K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega/2}
$$

= $\frac{|\varepsilon_r| \Omega}{4\varepsilon_o}.$ (34)

If we defined $\varepsilon_r = i \tilde{\varepsilon}_r$, with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_r$ being a real number, it can be seen from Eq. (34) that the gap will close and reopen when $\tilde{\varepsilon}_r$ changes from a positive value to a negative one. When $\tilde{\varepsilon}_r > 0$, the eigenvectors of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0) corresponding to $Q = Q_-\$ and Q_+ are, respectively,

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{-}^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{2}^{1} \\ c_{1}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{+}^{R} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{2}^{1} \\ c_{1}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} -e^{i\phi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (35)

Substituting Eq. (35) into Eq. (11) and combining with Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-0), we obtain the following magnetic fields and their time derivatives at $Q = Q_-\$ and Q_+ , respectively:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_z \\ \dot{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = e^{-iQ_{-t}} \hat{R}^{-1} \cdot \vec{\Phi}' \propto e^{-iQ_{-t}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\Omega} (e^{iKx} + e^{i\phi} e^{-iKx} e^{i\Omega t}) \\ -ie^{iKx} + ie^{i\phi} e^{-iKx} e^{i\Omega t} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\approx e^{i\phi/2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{\Omega} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \Omega x} - \Omega t - \phi}{2}\right) \\ 2 \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \Omega x} - \Omega t - \phi}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{36}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} \n\left(\frac{H_z}{2}\right) & \n\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \n\frac{H_z}{2} & \n\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \n\frac{H_z}{2} & \n\end{array}\right) = e^{-iQ_{+}t} \hat{R}^{-1} \cdot \vec{\Phi}' \propto e^{-iQ_{+}t} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\Omega} (e^{iKx} - e^{i\phi} e^{-iKx} e^{i\Omega t}) \\ \n-i e^{iKx} - i e^{i\phi} e^{-iKx} e^{i\Omega t} \n\end{array}\right) \approx i e^{i\phi/2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{\Omega} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \Omega x} - \Omega t - \phi}{2}\right) \\ \n-2 \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \Omega x} - \Omega t - \phi}{2}\right) \n\end{pmatrix},\n\tag{37}
$$

where we have assumed $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o \ll 1$ so that $\Delta Q \ll 1$. Equations (36) and (37) show that the magnetic fields for the Floquet states at the two band edges are standing waves in the stroboscopic sense, similar to the Bloch states at the band edges for the one-dimensional ordinary photonic crystal. It is interesting to point out that the two solutions shown in Eqs. (36)

and (37) differ by a time shift π/Ω , which is a half period of the modulation frequency Ω . Again, this is analogous to the spatial phase shift $\Lambda/2$ of two orthogonal standing waves at the band edges of the Bragg scattering gap, which is also half of the spatial period Λ .

We have also numerically simulated the time-dependent fields to obtain the band structures close to the gap. Similar to the simulation of a broken phase discussed earlier for real ε_r , we use again a pulsed line source of the magnetic field of the form $\exp(-0.5(t - t_0)^2/\tau^2)$ located at $x = x_0$ to the left of a dynamic slab of thickness *L* with a relative permittivity of the form $\varepsilon(t) = 5 + 1.5i \sin \Omega t$. From Eq. [\(31\)](#page-4-0), the magnetic field inside the slab for a specific wave vector *K* and frequency *ω* can be obtained through the Fourier transform

$$
h(K,\omega) = \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)L} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt e^{i\omega t} \int_0^L H_z e^{-iKx} dx.
$$
 (38)

According to Eq. [\(31\)](#page-4-0), $h(K, \omega)$ is not zero only when ω equals to $Q + n\Omega$, where Q is the quasienergy corresponding to *K* and *n* is an arbitrary integer number.

In Fig. $5(a)$, we plot the magnitude of $h(K, \omega)$ for the fixed wave vector $K = 1.1\Omega$ as a function of the frequency ω in the range of $0 < \omega < \Omega$. Two peaks are found at $\omega \approx 0.46\Omega$ and 0.54Ω . In order to obtain the band structures, we repeated the calculations for other values of *K* in the region of $0.9\Omega \leq$ $K \leq 1.4\Omega$. The results are shown by circles in Fig. 5(b). The solid lines are the results obtained from the Floquet Hamiltonian Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0). The excellent agreement between the two is clearly seen. Similar to the case of real ε_r , we have also studied the second-order effect by considering the coupling of two opposite bands with orders differing by ± 2 due to $H_{\pm 2}$. Although ε_r is purely imaginary, its square becomes a real number as can be seen from Eq. [\(19\)](#page-2-0). Thus, pairs of EPs are mannoer as can be seen from Eq. (19). Thus, pairs of EFs are expected at $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \Omega$ and quasienergies $Q = m\Omega$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the case of $Q = 0$, we construct a 14×14 reduced Floquet Hamiltonian from Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0) centered at H_0 , from which we indeed find another pair of EPs located near $K = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \Omega$ as shown in the inset of Fig. $1(c)$. The similar pairs appear periodically at $Q = m\Omega$ for all nonzero integers *m*.

FIG. 6. (a) The real part and (b) the imaginary part of the Floquet bands for the dynamic medium with time-dependent permittivity being $\varepsilon(t) = 5 + (1.5 + 0.1i) \sin(\Omega t + \phi)$. The black solid (red dashed) lines in panels (a) and (b) correspond to the same band.

We have also studied the case that ε_r is a complex number. According to Eq. (22) , when ε_r is a complex number, there will be no EPs as the formula in the square root can no longer be zero. In this case, the singularity will be smoothed [\[50,51\]](#page-11-0). The quasienergies are now complex numbers where the imaginary parts can be both positive and negative. And there are gaps for the real part of the quasienergies. These can be verified by calculating the Floquet bands using the Floquet Hamiltonian as shown in Fig. 6.

V. SPACE-TIME MODULATED PERMITTIVITY

In this section, we will study the more complicated case that the permittivity is modulated simultaneously in space and time, i.e., the permittivity is expressed as

$$
\varepsilon(x,t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r(\Omega t - \beta x + \phi),\tag{39}
$$

where β and Ω are the spatial and temporal modulation frequencies, respectively. The modulation speed is defined as $c_m = \Omega/\beta$, which is in units of *c*. The diffraction of light by the space-time modulated medium can be calculated by directly solving Maxwell's equations [\[52,53\]](#page-11-0), and the diffraction efficiency of diffracted orders has been studied by Laude using the coupled-wave equations method [\[54\]](#page-11-0). The scattering of

acoustic waves by a space-time modulated medium has also been studied recently [\[55\]](#page-11-0). And it is shown that the *k* gap forms when the modulation speed is faster than the speed of the wave inside the medium. In the following, we will show that this conclusion is also valid for the electromagnetic system, and a pair of EPs forms at the edges of the *k* gap.

We first numerically calculate the band dispersion of the space-time modulated medium using the plane-wave expansion method. Based on the Floquet-Bloch theorem, the electromagnetic fields inside the space-time modulated medium can be written as

$$
E_y = e^{iKx - i\mathcal{Q}t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{yn} e^{in(\Omega t - \beta x)},
$$

$$
H_z = e^{iKx - i\mathcal{Q}t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{zn} e^{in(\Omega t - \beta x)}.
$$
 (40)

Consider a space-time modulated permittivity which can be expressed as

$$
\varepsilon(x,t) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_1 e^{i(\Omega t - \beta x)} + \varepsilon_{-1} e^{-i(\Omega t - \beta x)},\tag{41}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\pm 1} = e^{\pm i\phi} \varepsilon_r/2$. Substituting Eqs. (40) and (41) into Maxwell's equations, one arrives at

$$
i\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(K-n\beta)E_{yn}e^{iKx-iQt}e^{in(\Omega t-\beta x)} = i\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(Q-n\Omega)H_{zn}e^{iKx-iQt}e^{in(\Omega t-\beta x)},
$$

$$
i\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(K-n\beta)H_{zn}e^{iKx-iQt}e^{in(\Omega t-\beta x)} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\varepsilon_m e^{im(\Omega t-\beta x)}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}-i(Q-n\Omega)E_{yn}e^{iKx-iQt}e^{in(\Omega t-\beta x)}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}im\Omega\varepsilon_m e^{im(\Omega t-\beta x)}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}E_{yn}e^{ikx-iQt}e^{in(\Omega t-\beta x)}.
$$
(42)

Equation (42) leads to the following eigenvalue problem with the wave vector *K* as the eigenvalues

$$
K\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ E_{y0} \\ H_{z0} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \hat{H} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ E_{y0} \\ H_{z0} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(43)

FIG. 7. The real part of the Floquet bands for the space-time modulated medium calculated using the plane-wave expansion method (solid lines) and Floquet matrix method (red circles) for the spatial modulation frequency (a) $\beta = 3\Omega$ and (b) $\beta = 1.2\Omega$. (c) The imaginary part of the Floquet bands calculated using the Floquet matrix method for the modulation frequency $\beta = 1.2\Omega$. The space-time modulated permittivity is given by $\varepsilon(x, t) = 5 + 0.5 \cos(\Omega t - \beta x + \phi)$.

 $\sqrt{2}$

where \hat{H} is defined as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \\
\cdot & \hat{H}_{-2} & \varepsilon_{-1} \hat{\Delta}_{-2} & & & & \\
\varepsilon_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{-1} & \hat{H}_{-1} & \varepsilon_{-1} \hat{\Delta}_{-1} & & & \\
\varepsilon_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{0} & \hat{H}_{0} & \varepsilon_{-1} \hat{\Delta}_{0} & & \\
\varepsilon_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{1} & \hat{H}_{1} & \varepsilon_{-1} \hat{\Delta}_{1} & \\
\varepsilon_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{2} & \hat{H}_{2} & \cdot & \\
\varepsilon_{1} \hat{\Delta}_{2} & \hat{H}_{2} & \cdot & \\
\varepsilon_{2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(44)

with

 $\overline{ }$

$$
\hat{H}_n = \begin{pmatrix} n\beta & Q - n\Omega \\ (Q - n\Omega)\varepsilon_o & n\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Delta}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q - n\Omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(45)

The Floquet band dispersion is obtained by solving the eigenvalue equation [\(43\)](#page-7-0) for every given quasienergy *Q*.

In Figs. $7(a)$ and $7(b)$, we show by solid lines the Floquet bands in the region $0 \le Q \le \Omega$, $-\beta/2 \le K \le \beta/2$ for the space-time modulated medium with different modulation speeds, which are calculated using the plane-wave expansion method, i.e., Eq. [\(43\)](#page-7-0) with \hat{H} being truncated at $n = 22$. From Eq. [\(43\)](#page-7-0), in the limit of $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o| \to 0$ where the coupling matrices $\hat{\Delta}_n$ vanish, we can see that the Floquet bands are

obtained by copying the two linear bands of \hat{H}_0 and shifting them up and down in the (K, Q) space by integers multiplying (β, Ω) . Similarly, we label the bands formed by shifting the bands of \hat{H}_0 by $(n\beta, n\Omega)$ as band *n*. There are intersections between bands of different orders. When $\varepsilon_r \neq 0$, *k* gaps or quasienergy gaps will form around the intersections due to the couplings between the bands of different orders. When the modulation speed $(c_m = \Omega/\beta)$ is slower than the wave speed inside the medium, which is $c/\sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \approx 0.45$, quasienergy gaps form around the intersections, as shown in Fig. $7(a)$. However, when the modulation speed is faster than the wave speed, *k* gaps are created as can be seen in Fig. 7(b). To investigate the EP phenomena associated with the *k* gaps, we use again the Floquet matrix method to calculate the Floquet bands for the case of $c_m > c / \sqrt{\varepsilon_o}$, and show that the region inside the *k* gap is the broken phase.

As the permittivity depends on (x, t) through a unique combination, the medium is more conveniently described by a new viable *u* introduced below:

$$
(x, u) = (x, t - x/c_m), (\partial_x, \partial_t) = (\partial_x - 1/c_m \partial_u, \partial_u).
$$
 (46)

According to Maxwell's equations, the wave equation for the electric field inside the space-time modulated medium is written as

$$
-\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} (\varepsilon(t)E). \tag{47}
$$

Using the new variables (x, u) and noting that $\varepsilon(x, t) =$ $\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon_o + \varepsilon_r \cos(\Omega u + \phi)$, we rewrite Eq. (47) as

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{2}{c_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{c_m^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}\right) E_y = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} [\varepsilon(u) E_y] = -\varepsilon(u) \frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial u^2} - 2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u^2} E_y. \tag{48}
$$

If we define $\tilde{K} = K - Q/c_m$, according to Eq. [\(40\)](#page-7-0), the electric field can be written as

$$
E_y = e^{iKx - i\mathcal{Q}t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q_n e^{in(\Omega t - \beta x)} = e^{iKx - i\mathcal{Q}(u + x/c_m)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q_n e^{in(\Omega t - \beta x)} = e^{i\tilde{K}x - i\mathcal{Q}t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q_n e^{in\Omega u},
$$
(49)

where q_n is the expansion coefficient of order *n*. Substituting Eq. [\(49\)](#page-8-0) into Eq. [\(48\)](#page-8-0), one arrives at

$$
-\left(-\tilde{K}^2 - \frac{2}{c_m}i\tilde{K}\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{c_m^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}\right)E_y = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}[\varepsilon(u)E_y] = -\varepsilon(u)\frac{\partial^2 E_y}{\partial u^2} - 2\frac{\partial \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u}\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(u)}{\partial u^2}E_y. \tag{50}
$$

Employing the Liouvillian formulation, we can rewrite Eq. (50) as a two-component time-dependent Schrodinger-like equation for the electric field and its derivative with respect to *u* as

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\begin{pmatrix}E\\ \dot{E}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\\ -iB' & -iA'\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}E\\ \dot{E}\end{pmatrix} = \hat{H}'_{\text{eff}}\begin{pmatrix}E\\ \dot{E}\end{pmatrix},\tag{51}
$$

where $\dot{E} = \partial E / \partial u$ and

$$
A' = \frac{2i\tilde{K}/c_m + 2\partial \varepsilon/\partial u}{\varepsilon(u) - 1/c_m^2}, \quad B' = \frac{\tilde{K}^2 + \partial^2 \varepsilon/\partial u^2}{\varepsilon(u) - 1/c_m^2}.
$$
\n
$$
(52)
$$

We apply the following similarity transformation to the effective Hamiltonian \hat{H}^{\prime}_{eff} so that its time-independent part \tilde{H}^{\prime}_{0} becomes Hermitian:

$$
\tilde{H}'(u) = \hat{R}' \cdot \hat{H}'_{\text{eff}}(u) \cdot \hat{R}'^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{C' - iA' \sqrt{C'}(1 + D') + B(1 - D'^2)}{2\sqrt{C'}} & \frac{-C' + iA\sqrt{C'}(1 + D') + B(1 + D')^2}{2\sqrt{C'}}\\
\frac{C' + iA\sqrt{C'}(1 - D') - B(1 - D')^2}{2\sqrt{C'}} & \frac{-C' - iA\sqrt{C'}(1 - D') - B(1 - D'^2)}{2\sqrt{C'}}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(53)

where

$$
\hat{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{C'} & i + i \, D' \\ \sqrt{C'} & -i + i \, D' \end{pmatrix}, \quad C' = \frac{\tilde{K}^2}{\varepsilon_o}, \quad D' = \frac{1}{c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o}}. \tag{54}
$$

When $\varepsilon_r = 0$, the time-independent Hamiltonian becomes

$$
\tilde{H}'_0 = \begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{c_m \tilde{K}}{c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o - 1}} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{c_m \tilde{K}}{c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o + 1}}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(55)

which is Hermitian. From Eq. (55), we obtain the band dispersion of H'_0 as

$$
Q = \frac{c_m \tilde{K}}{-1 \pm c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o}}.
$$
 (56)

Since $\tilde{K} = K - Q/c_m$, Eq. (56) can be rewritten in a simpler form:

$$
Q = \pm K / \sqrt{\varepsilon_o},\tag{57}
$$

which is the same as the band dispersion of \tilde{H}_0 . In the limit of small $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o|$, we can expand the new time-dependent effective Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}'(u)$ in Taylor series. To the first order of $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o|$, $\tilde{H}'(u)$ can be expressed as

$$
\tilde{H}'(u) = \tilde{H}'_0 + \tilde{H}'_1 e^{i\Omega u} + \tilde{H}'_{-1} e^{-i\Omega u},\tag{58}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{H}'_{\pm 1} = \frac{\varepsilon_r}{4} e^{\pm i\phi} \begin{pmatrix}\n-\frac{\left[\Omega \pm c_m (\tilde{K} \mp \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega)\right]^2}{(c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} - 1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \tilde{K}} & -\frac{\left[\Omega \pm c_m (\tilde{K} \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega)\right]^2}{(c_m^2 \varepsilon_o - 1) \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \tilde{K}} \\
\frac{\left[\Omega \pm c_m (\tilde{K} \mp \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega)\right]^2}{(c_m^2 \varepsilon_o - 1) \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \tilde{K}} & \frac{\left[\Omega \pm c_m (\tilde{K} \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \Omega)\right]^2}{(c_m \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} + 1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_o} \tilde{K}}\n\end{pmatrix} .
$$
\n(59)

Following the same procedure as we did in Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we can then obtain the Floquet Hamiltonian \tilde{H}'_F as

$$
\tilde{H}'_F = \begin{pmatrix}\n\ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ddots & \tilde{H}'_0 + \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \tilde{H}'_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{H}'_{-1} & \tilde{H}'_0 & \tilde{H}'_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \tilde{H}'_{-1} & \tilde{H}'_0 - \Omega \tilde{I}_2 & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots\n\end{pmatrix}_{(60)}
$$

For larger values of ε_r , we should also keep the higherorder terms $\tilde{H}'_{\pm n}$ with $n > 1$ and consider the corresponding couplings in the Floquet Hamiltonian matrix.

In Fig. $7(b)$, we show the real part of the Floquet bands calculated using the Floquet matrix method (red circles), which agrees with the results of the plane-wave expansion method. The imaginary part of the Floquet bands is shown in Fig. [7\(c\).](#page-8-0) It is clearly seen that the quasienergies inside the *k* gap are complex conjugate pairs, indicating that the region inside the *k* gap corresponds to the broken phase. We have also applied the above Floquet matrix method to the case when $c_m < c / \sqrt{\varepsilon_o}$. The results are shown by red circles in Fig. $7(a)$, which again coincide with the results of the plane-wave expansion method. Note that to calculate the Floquet bands in Fig. [7,](#page-8-0) we expanded $\tilde{H}'(u)$ and kept up to the third order terms (the coupling matrices $\tilde{H}'_{\pm 2}$, $\tilde{H}'_{\pm 3}$ are considered in the Floquet matrix).

The scattering of light by a space-time modulated medium is widely studied in acousto-optics [\[54,56,57\]](#page-11-0). A travelling acoustic plane wave in an acousto-optic material such as a $TeO₂$ crystal can cause a modulation of the permittivity that can be expressed as Eq. [\(39\)](#page-7-0) due to the elasto-optic effect. As the speed of the phonon is much slower than the speed of light, the EP phenomena cannot be found in acousto-optics. However, if we modulate the permittivity using electro-optics or plasmon dispersion effect [37[–44\]](#page-11-0), the modulation speed could be significantly faster and the EP phenomena could be observable. Due to the similarity in wave equations, our theory can also be applied to acoustical systems [\[55\]](#page-11-0), where a modulation speed that is faster than the wave speed inside the medium can easily be realized.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have investigated the formation of EPs in a time Floquet photonic system in which the permittivity of the dynamic medium is periodic in time. Using the method of the Floquet matrix, we obtained the photonic Floquet band dispersions analytically and the expressions of the Floquet states in the limit of $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o| \to 0$. In photonics, the permittivity is the classical counterpart of the potential in a quantum system. However, the time modulated permittivity ε_r performs very differently from the time modulated potential in quantum periodically driven systems. When ε_r is a real number, the time modulation induces infinitely many pairs of exceptional points, each pair spanning two concentric spherical surfaces

- [1] T. Oka and H. Aoki, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.081406) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.081406)**, [081406\(](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.081406)R) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.081406).
- [2] T. Kitagawa, E. Berg, M. Rudner, and E. Demler, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235114) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235114)**, [235114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235114) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.235114).
- [3] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1926) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1926)**, [490](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1926) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1926).
- [4] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12066) **[496](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12066)**, [196](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12066) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12066).
- [5] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, M. Valiente, E. Andersson, P. Öhberg, N. Goldman, and R. R. Thomson, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13918) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13918)**, [13918](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13918) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13918).
- [6] [L. J. Maczewsky, J. M. Zeuner, S. Nolte, and A. Szameit,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13756) Nat. Commun. **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13756)**, [13756](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13756) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13756).
- [7] Y. H. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239834) **[342](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239834)**, [453](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239834) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239834).
- [8] [M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, and M. Levin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.031005) *Phys. Rev.* X **[3](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.031005)**, [031005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.031005) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.031005).
- [9] Y. T. Katan, and D. Podolsky, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.016802) **[110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.016802)**, [016802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.016802) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.016802).
- [10] A. Kundu, H. A. Fertig, and B. Seradjeh, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.236803) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.236803)**, [236803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.236803) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.236803).
- [11] [P. Titum, N. H. Lindner, M. C. Rechtsman, and G. Refael,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.056801) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.056801)**, [056801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.056801) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.056801).
- [12] A. C. Potter, T. Morimoto, and A. Vishwanath, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041001) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041001)**, [041001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041001) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041001).
- [13] A. Fettweis, [IRE Trans. Circuit Theory](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1959.1086555) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1959.1086555)**, [252](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1959.1086555) [\(1959\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1959.1086555).
- [14] M. L. Liou, [IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1972.1083438) **[19](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1972.1083438)**, [146](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1972.1083438) [\(1972\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1972.1083438).
- [15] T. Strom and S. Signell, [IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCS.1977.1084274) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCS.1977.1084274)**, [531](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCS.1977.1084274) [\(1977\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCS.1977.1084274).
- [16] J. R. Reyes-Ayonaa and P. Halevi, [Appl. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928659) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928659)**, [074101](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928659) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928659).
- [17] M. S. Mirmoosa, G. A. Ptitcyn, V. S. Asadchy, and S. A. Tretyakov, [arXiv:1802.07719](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1802.07719) (2018).
- [18] A. Hessel and A. A. Oliner, [IRE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1961.1125340) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1961.1125340)**, [337](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1961.1125340) [\(1961\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1961.1125340).

in the three-dimensional wave-vector space. Those surfaces of EPs also repeat themselves in the quasienergy space with the modulation frequency as the period. Since the Floquet states in the broken phase region will decay and grow as the time increases, the time modulation of permittivity can induce the field amplification and damping in the absence of loss and gain materials. When ε_r is purely imaginary, there will be quasienergy gaps with the gap sizes proportional to $|\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_o|$. For a complex ε_r , there is no EP but since the quasienergies form complex conjugate pairs field amplification and damping still exist. We have also extended our theory to the more complicated case where the permittivity depends on both space and time through a unique combination. It is shown that EPs still exist for a real ε_r when the modulation speed is faster than the speed of the wave inside the medium.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Kun Ding and Dr. Ruoyang Zhang for stimulating discussions and useful suggestions. This work is supported by Hong Kong Research Grants Council through Grants No. AoE/P-02/12 and No. N_HKUST608/17.

- [19] D. E. Holberg and K. S. Kunz, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138637) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138637)**, [183](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138637) [\(1966\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138637).
- [20] [F. Biancalana, A. Amann, A. V. Uskov, and E. P. O'Reilly,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.046607) *Phys.* Rev. E **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.046607)**, [046607](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.046607) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.046607).
- [21] J. R. Zurita-Sanchez, P. Halevi, and J. C. Cervantes-Gonzalez, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053821) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053821)**, [053821](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053821) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053821).
- [22] J. S. Martinez-Romero, O. M. Becerra-Fuentes, and P. Halevi, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.063813) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.063813)**, [063813](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.063813) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.063813).
- [23] L. Zeng, J. Xu, C. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, J. Zeng, and R. Song, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17354-6) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17354-6)**, [17165](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17354-6) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17354-6).
- [24] E. Lustig, Y. Sharabi, and M. Segev, [arXiv:1803.08731.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1803.08731)
- [25] A. K. Kamal, [Proceedings of the IRE](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287569) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287569)**, [1424](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287569) [\(1960\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287569).
- [26] M. R. Currie and R. W. Gould, [Proceedings of the IRE](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287564) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287564)**, [1960](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287564) [\(1960\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1960.287564).
- [27] K. Fang, Z. Yu, and S. Fan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.153901) **[108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.153901)**, [153901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.153901) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.153901).
- [28] D. L. Sounas and A. Alu, [Nature Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-017-0051-x) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-017-0051-x)**, [774](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-017-0051-x) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-017-0051-x).
- [29] R. Fleury, D. L. Sounas, and A. Alu, [J. Opt.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/aaaa3e) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/aaaa3e)**, [034007](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/aaaa3e) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/aaaa3e).
- [30] X. Luo, J. Huang, H. Zhong, X. Qin, Q. Xie, Y. S. Kivshar, and C. Lee, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.243902) **[110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.243902)**, [243902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.243902) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.243902).
- [31] D. Psiachos, N. Lazarides, and G. P. Tsironis, [Appl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-014-8720-9) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-014-8720-9)**, [663](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-014-8720-9) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-014-8720-9).
- [32] G. P. Tsironis and N. Lazarides, [Appl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-013-8035-2) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-013-8035-2)**, [449](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-013-8035-2) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-013-8035-2).
- [33] S. Longhi, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa931f) **[50](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa931f)**, [505201](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa931f) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa931f).
- [34] M. Chitsazi, H. Li, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.093901) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.093901)**, [093901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.093901) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.093901).
- [35] T. T. Koutserimpas, A. Alù, and R. Fleury, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.013839) **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.013839)**, [013839](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.013839) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.013839).
- [36] T. T. Koutserimpas and R. Fleury, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.087401) **[120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.087401)**, [087401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.087401) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.087401).
- [37] E. Yablonovitch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.1101) **[32](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.1101)**, [1101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.1101) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.1101).
- [38] E. Yablonovitch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1742) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1742)**, [1742](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1742) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1742).
- [39] P. Dong, S. F. Preble, and M. Lipson, [Opt. Express](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.009600) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.009600)**, [9600](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.009600) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.009600).
- [40] [K. Preston, P. Dong, B. Schmidt, and M. Lipson,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2908869) Appl. Phys. Lett. **[92](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2908869)**, [151104](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2908869) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2908869).
- [41] Y. A. Vlasov, M. O'Boyle, H. F. Hamann, and S. J. McNab, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04210) **[438](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04210)**, [65](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04210) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04210).
- [42] M. Notomi and S. Mitsugi, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.051803) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.051803)**, [051803\(](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.051803)R) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.051803).
- [43] S. Vezzoli, V. Bruno, C. DeVault, T. Roger, V. M. Shalaev, A. Boltasseva, M. Ferrera, M. Clerici, A. Dubietis, and D. Faccio, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.043902) **[120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.043902)**, [043902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.043902) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.043902).
- [44] S. Longhi and P. Laporta, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4016) **[60](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4016)**, [4016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4016) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4016).
- [45] T. Dittrich, Peter Hanggi, G.-L. Ingold, B. Kramer, G. Scon, and W. Zwerger, *Quantum Transport and Dissipation* (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- [46] A. Mostafazadeh and A. Batal, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/48/009) **[37](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/48/009)**, [11645](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/48/009) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/48/009).
- [47] Different from that used in Ref. [\[34\]](#page-10-0), we used the timeindependent rotation matrix instead of the time-dependent one so that H_0 and its eigenvectors are time independent.
- [48] W. D. Heiss and H. l. Harney, [Eur. Phys. J. D](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100530170017) **[17](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100530170017)**, [149](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100530170017) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100530170017).
- [49] I. Rotter, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/15/153001) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/15/153001)**, [153001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/15/153001) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/15/153001).
- [50] [H. Benisty, C. Yan, A. Degiron, and A. T. Lupu,](https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2012.2205222) J. Lightwave Technol. **[30](https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2012.2205222)**, [2675](https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2012.2205222) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/JLT.2012.2205222).
- [51] [N. B. Nguyen, S. A. Maier, M. Hong, and R. F. Oulton,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/12/125012) New J. Phys. **[18](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/12/125012)**, [125012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/12/125012) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/12/125012).
- [52] S. Taravati, N. Chamanara, and C. Caloz, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.165144) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.165144)**, [165144](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.165144) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.165144).
- [53] S. Taravati, [Phys. Rev. Appl.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.9.064012) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.9.064012)**, [064012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.9.064012) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.9.064012).
- [54] V. Laude, [J. Opt. Soc. Am. A](https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.20.002307) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.20.002307)**, [2307](https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.20.002307) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.20.002307).
- [55] [H. Nassar, X. C. Xu, A. N. Norris, and G. L. Huang,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2017.01.010) J. Mech. Phys. Solids **[101](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2017.01.010)**, [10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2017.01.010) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2017.01.010).
- [56] D. L. Hecht, [Optical Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.7972117) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.7972117)**, [165461](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.7972117) [\(1977\)](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.7972117).
- [57] S. Coudreau, D. Kaplan, and P. Tournois, [Opt. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.31.001899) **[31](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.31.001899)**, [1899](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.31.001899) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.31.001899).