Tunable Dirac interface states in topological superlattices

G. Krizman, 1,2 B. A. Assaf, ¹ T. Phuphachong, ² G. Bauer, ³ G. Springholz, ³ G. Bastard, ²

R. Ferreira, 2^2 L. A. de Vaulchier, 2^2 and Y. Guldner

¹*Département de Physique, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris Sciences et Lettres Research University,*

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

²*Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, Département de Physique, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris Sciences et Lettres Research University,*

Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

³*Institut für Halbleiter und Festkörperphysik, Johannes Kepler Universität, Altenberger Straβe 69, 4040 Linz, Austria*

(Received 24 May 2018; published 2 August 2018)

Relativistic Dirac fermions are ubiquitous in condensed-matter physics. Their mass is proportional to the material energy gap and the ability to control and tune the mass has become an essential tool to engineer quantum phenomena that mimic high-energy particles and provide novel device functionalities. In topological insulator thin films, new states of matter can be generated by hybridizing the massless Dirac states that occur at material surfaces. In this paper, we experimentally and theoretically introduce a platform where this hybridization can be continuously tuned: the Pb_{1–x}Sn_xSe topological superlattice. In this system, topological Dirac states occur at the interfaces between a topological crystalline insulator $Pb_{1−x}Sn_xSe$ and a trivial insulator, realized in the form of topological quantum wells (TQWs) epitaxially stacked on top of each other. Using magnetooptical transmission spectroscopy on high-quality molecular-beam epitaxy grown $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ superlattices, we show that the penetration depth of the TQW interface states and therefore their Dirac mass are continuously tunable with temperature. This presents a pathway to engineer the Dirac mass of topological systems and paves the way towards the realization of emergent quantum states of matter using $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ topological superlattices.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.075303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.075303)

I. INTRODUCTION

Engineered quantum effects in heterostructures [\[1\]](#page-8-0) of condensed matter are behind several revolutionizing ideas and advances in fundamental and applied physics. Examples are the quantum spin Hall [\[2\]](#page-8-0) and fractal quantum Hall effect [\[3\]](#page-8-0) in semiconductor and graphene heterostructures, as well as band engineered quantum cascade lasers [\[4\]](#page-8-0) and entangled photon sources [\[5\]](#page-8-0). With the emergence of topological phases of matter and the discovery of topological insulators [\[6,7\]](#page-8-0), a new horizon has been opened to develop heterostructures combining materials of alternating band topology. In topological insulators, Dirac cones emerge at the surfaces of an insulator hosting a bulk band inversion. In a superlattice of alternating topological and trivial materials, these states appear at the topological material's interfaces, and their mutual coupling and hybridization can lead to novel quantum phases such as Weyl fermions [\[8\]](#page-8-0) and Su-Schriefer-Heeger (SSH) excitations [\[9\]](#page-8-0). A natural question is whether and how one can engineer the hybridization between the topological interface states and tune the emergent nontrivial topological phases using external knobs.

A highly attractive material system to achieve this purpose is the topological crystalline insulators (TCIs) such as $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ and $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xTe$ [\[10–16\]](#page-8-0). Topological phase transitions can be induced in TCIs by tailoring the crystal structure and breaking crystalline symmetries [\[11,14,17–20\]](#page-8-0). $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ and $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xTe$ host four Dirac cones per surface Brillouin zone [Fig. $1(a)$] [\[21–23\]](#page-8-0). For example, their characteristics—the effective electron mass and energy gap—

are highly sensitive to strain $[24,25]$ and lattice distortions $[19]$ and can be controlled by electric [\[18\]](#page-8-0) and magnetic fields [\[26\]](#page-9-0). This has led to novel theoretical proposals such as the electrical-field-tuned quantum spin Hall state [\[18](#page-8-0)[,27\]](#page-9-0), and the helical interfacial flat band state that appears upon straining those materials [\[25\]](#page-8-0). The latter is particularly interesting as it is predicted to occur in heterostructures of TCIs separated by normal insulating barriers [\[25\]](#page-8-0), and yields an emergent superconducting phase with an enhanced critical temperature [\[28,29\]](#page-9-0). Heterostructures of TCIs are therefore an exciting platform to engineer tunable topological phases.

Up to now, however, most studies on TCIs dealt with bulk single crystals and thick epilayers [\[13,22,](#page-8-0)[30\]](#page-9-0), that required surface sensitive and local probes to thoroughly characterize the topological states under ultra-high-vacuum conditions far from practical device applications. In particular, these techniques are not sensitive to states occurring at buried interfaces in superlattices. This fact impeded the prospects of realizing novel states of matter in these systems.

In this paper, we unravel the topological interface states (TISs) formed in epitaxial TCI superlattices consisting of multiple $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ topological quantum wells (TQWs) separated by normal insulator (NI) $Pb_{1-v}Eu_vSe$ barriers [Figs. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0) and $1(c)$. Using magnetoinfrared spectroscopy to probe the Landau-level (LL) structure of the quantum confined states formed at the buried interfaces, we reveal the behavior of the TIS as a function of temperature. Detailed theoretical analysis of the experimental data reveals that the penetration depth, the hybridization strength, and the Dirac mass of the TIS can be effectively tuned not only by varying the quantum-

FIG. 1. (a) Identical Dirac cones on the (111) surfaces of bulk Pb0*.*75Sn0*.*25Se. (b) Topological quantum-well Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se/Pb_{1−y}Eu_ySe superlattices of alternating TCI/NI layers studied in this paper. The band alignment and band profiles are shown in (c). L_6^{\pm} denote the conduction- and valence-band extrema at the L points in the rocksalt Brillouin zone of Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se and Pb_{1−y}Eu_ySe. ε_A (<0 at 4.2 K) is the L₆^{\pm} energy separation in the band-inverted $Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se$ quantum wells (A) and ε_B (>0) is that of the normal insulator $Pb_{1-y}Eu_ySe$ barriers (B). V is the conduction band offset and *d* is the well thickness. (d) Sketch of the evolution of the wave-function probability density and Dirac cones of the topological interface state as a function of temperature across the topological phase transition T*, illustrating the tunability of the penetration depth and hybridization gap of the TIS.

well thickness but also by continuously changing temperature [Fig. 1(d)]. Our results thus establish $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ TQWs as a viable platform that hosts tunable topological Dirac states at buried interfaces. Our findings are of key importance for the realization of new emergent states of matter in TI and TCI heterostructures [\[25,9\]](#page-8-0).

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Magneto-optical spectroscopy experiments [\[31,32\]](#page-9-0) are performed on coherent TCI superlattices of Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se/Pb_{1−y}Eu_ySe grown by molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) on (111) BaF_2 substrates (see Appendix [A\)](#page-5-0). The Sn content $x = 0.25$ of the TQW was chosen to be above the TCI phase transition that occurs at $x = 0.16$ at $T = 4.2$ K [\[32\]](#page-9-0). Infrared spectroscopy is performed at different temperatures and magnetic fields on two TQW samples. The first - TQW-36 has a quantum well and barrier thickness of 36 nm and 26 periods. The second - TQW-24 - has 24 nm quantum wells, 120 nm barriers and 16 periods.

Spectra were recorded in an optical cryostat equipped with a superconducting magnet providing magnetic fields up to 15 T and temperatures down to 4.2 K. The probe is coupled to a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer that operates in the far-IR and mid-IR to cover a range extending from roughly 1 meV to 1 eV. The signal was either recovered at the exit of the probe and measured using an external HgCdTe detector cooled to 77 K or detected inside the probe using a composite Si bolometer mounted below the sample and cooled to 4.2 K. The external detection setup was used to perform temperature-dependent measurements between 4.2 and 200 K. The detection cutoff in this case is close to 80 meV. All the measurements are performed in the Faraday geometry with $B \parallel [111]$ direction, the growth axis. It is emphasized that due to the large penetration depth of the infrared photons, the entire heterostructure is probed.

III. RESULTS

A. Magneto-optical spectroscopy of topological interface states

Figure $2(a)$ shows relative transmission spectra acquired at different magnetic fields for sample TQW-36 at 4.2 K. The spectra exhibit pronounced minima due to interband LL transitions that are observed at magnetic fields down to 2 T. Their strength significantly gains in amplitude and strongly blueshifts with the applied magnetic field. It is therefore obvious that they originate from Landau quantization. The large number of transitions evidences the high mobility and low carrier density of the TQW structures resulting from the pseudomorphic heteroepitaxial growth and effective control of the carrier concentration [\[32\]](#page-9-0), with a Fermi energy less than 40 meV above the midgap. Corresponding spectroscopic data for TQW-24 are shown in the Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-9-0).

From the magneto-optical spectra, we construct fan charts of the Landau-level transitions in Fig. $2(b)$ to extract the bandstructure parameters. As a first step, we identify the transitions of the TIS (E1 and H1) marked by the red arrows in Fig. $2(a)$ and represented in Fig. $2(b)$ by red points. A second set of weaker transitions also appears [black arrows in Fig. $2(a)$] and is assigned to transitions between the second sub-bands E2-H2 and hybrid ones between TIS and E3 [see Fig. $2(c)$]. These are represented by the open black and purple circles in the fan chart. Using the $k \cdot p$ envelope function approach developed in Refs. [\[1](#page-8-0)[,34,35\]](#page-9-0) and detailed in Appendix [B,](#page-6-0) we compute the quantum confined states and magneto-optical transitions, taking into account the opposite topological character of the TQWs and NI barriers [Fig. $1(c)$]. The fits represented by the solid lines in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-2-0) evidence an excellent agreement with the experimental data. This yields the material band-structure parameters listed in Table I.

TABLE I. Structure and band parameters of the TCI/NI TQW-36 and TQW-24 investigated in this paper. *d* and d_{barrier} are the Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe and Pb1−yEuySe thicknesses, and *x* and *y* are their composition as obtained by x-ray diffraction (see Appendix [A\)](#page-5-0). The bulk band gaps *ε*^A and ε_B of the TQW and barriers as well as the Dirac velocity v_c derived from Landau-level spectroscopy and $k \cdot p$ analysis are also listed (see Figs. S2 and S3 in the Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-9-0)) [\[36–39\]](#page-9-0).

Sample	$Pb_{1-x}Sn_{x}Se$ TOW	u (nm)	$Pb_{1-v}Eu_{v}Se$ barrier	$d_{barrier}$ (nm)	No. of periods	ε_A , (4.2 K) (meV)	$\varepsilon_{\rm B}$ (4.2 K) (meV)	$v_{\rm c}$ (m/s)
TOW-36	$x = 0.25$	36	$v = 0.056$	36	26	-40	314	4.5×10^{5}
TOW-24	$x = 0.25$	24	$v = 0.04$	120	16	-40	270	4.6×10^{5}

FIG. 2. (a) Relative transmission spectra [*T*(*B*)*/T*(0)] vs photon energy at 4.2 K for magnetic fields between 2 and 15 T. The curves are shifted for clarity. (b) Landau fan chart derived from the experiments and $k \cdot p$ envelope function calculations (solid lines). Red data points and lines are the TIS (E1-H1) interband transitions, black points and lines are the H2-E2 transitions, and the purple points and lines denote hybrid transitions between the TIS and E3. The green rectangle indicates the reststrahlen region of the BaF₂ substrate that blocks the infrared transmission. (c) Calculated k · p sub-bands and dispersion of TQW-36. The inset shows the probability density (χ) of the E1 state, i.e., the TIS at 4.2 K. (d) Computed Landau levels vs magnetic field. The lowest LL is denoted by *N* = −1 for each sub-band. The dashed lines are computed by taking into account an anticrossing (see Appendix [D\)](#page-8-0) of 5 meV between −1^{E2/H2} and 0^{E1/H1} levels. The red arrows in (a) and (d) indicate the allowed magneto-optical transitions between the TIS (E1-H1), and the black and purple arrows indicate the transition between the second QW sub-bands (E2-H2) and between the TIS and E3, respectively.

The *E*(*k*) dispersion of the TQW states and their Landau levels versus magnetic field derived from the $k \cdot p$ fits are presented in Figs. $2(c)$ and $2(d)$, respectively. The calculated LL transition curves [solid lines in Fig. $2(b)$] are obtained by computing the magneto-optical interband transitions indicated by the arrows in Fig. $2(d)$, taking into account optical selection rules that mix parity ($\Delta i = 0$, 2 where *i* denotes the band index, and $\Delta N = \pm 1$, where *N* is the Landau-level index). Notice that the strongest transitions highlighted in red in Figs. 2(a), 2(b), and 2(d) are Dirac dispersing with a characteristic \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL levels. They are due to a Dirac state with a very small energy gap $\Delta = 5$ meV shown in Fig. 2(c). Corresponding theoretical calculations of its probability density [inset of Fig. $2(c)$] demonstrate that this Dirac state is pinned to the interfaces. Thus, the E1 and H1 states correspond to the TIS of the $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ quantum wells. Our analysis reveals the existence of a small Dirac energy gap of $\Delta = 5$ meV of the TIS interface states caused by the hybridization of the top and bottom TIS, the wave functions of which exhibit a finite overlap shown in Fig. $2(c)$. This effect is a hallmark of quantum confined topological states in TCIs, observed here for buried TCI/NI quantum well heterostructures. We have elucidated this effect using Landau-level magnetospectroscopy, whereas previously the hybridization gap could only be observed at the free surface of extremely thin TI or TCI films [\[16,](#page-8-0)[40,41\]](#page-9-0).

From the measured energy gap Δ and Dirac velocity v_c [\[32,42\]](#page-9-0), we can compute the Dirac mass of the TIS:

$$
m_D = \frac{\Delta}{2v_c^2} = 0.0022m_0
$$

at 4.2 K. Indeed the mass acquisition due to hybridization is extremely small since the QW is relatively large (36 nm), evidencing nearly massless Dirac states at the TCI/NI interface. It is important to note at this point that, while for SnTe and

 $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xTe(111)$ two types of Dirac cones have been observed at the surface [\[43\]](#page-9-0), in the case of $Pb_{1-x}Sn_{x}Se$, the bulk Fermi surface is nearly isotropic for $x \approx 0.25$ as reported previously [\[32,44\]](#page-9-0). Consequently, although Dirac cones occur at two different crystalline symmetric points of the Brillouin zone $(\overline{\Gamma}$ and \overline{M}) as shown in Fig. [1\(a\),](#page-1-0) their velocity and their shape are identical. The measured Dirac mass thus corresponds to all four Dirac valleys of $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$.

A remarkable feature of our result is that the amplitude of the magneto-optical transitions from the Dirac interface states is larger than those stemming from the trivial QW subbands. This means that in heterostructures the TIS transitions dominate the signal, contrary to the case of bulk material. We will next reveal a peculiarity of this TIS of $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ —its temperature tunability.

B. Tuning the topological interface states with temperature

For topological $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ one can tune the magnitude of the bulk energy gap ε_A of the system by changing the temperature [\[45,46\]](#page-9-0). Hence, one can vary the penetration depth λ of the TIS into the Pb_{1−x}Sn_xSe well according to (see Appendix [B\)](#page-6-0)

$$
\lambda(T) = \frac{2\hbar v_c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_A(T)^2 - \Delta(T)^2}}.
$$
 (1)

Equation (1) is equivalent to the expression of *λ* derived in previous works on TI and TCI thin films [\[47,48\]](#page-9-0). Its temperature dependence is a hallmark of the tunability of TCIs and has not yet been considered or observed. The variation of *λ* related to the changing Dirac mass of the charge carriers, *λ* versus *T*, can therefore be traced by measuring the change in m_D versus T .

FIG. 3. (a) Relative transmission spectra $[T(B)/T(0)]$ for TQW-36 recorded at $T = 60-200$ K for four magnetic fields from 6 to 15 T. The curves are shifted vertically for clarity. (b)–(e) Resulting Landau level fan charts for $T = 60, 80, 120,$ and 200 K. The experimental data are shown as red dots, black circles, and purple circles for the E1-H1, E2-H2, and hybrid H1-E3 and E1-E3 transitions, respectively, and the fit by $k \cdot p$ theory is represented by the solid lines. The black arrows mark the E1-H1 Dirac gap Δ . The green rectangle indicates the reststrahlen region of the BaF₂ substrate that blocks the infrared transmission. (f) Derived hybridization gap Δ (left axis) and Dirac mass m_D (right axis) of the TIS vs temperature for TQW-36 (red dots) and TQW-24 (red open squares). The variation of the bulk gap $|\varepsilon_A|$ vs temperature for Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe with the given composition $(x = 0.25)$ is shown by blue dots and solid line. The orange shaded region represents the topological regime where $\Delta < |\varepsilon_A|$ for TQW-36.

The experimental results shown in Fig. 3 for TQW-36 demonstrate how we achieve a tuning of m_D and a controlled suppression of TIS via temperature by tailoring *λ* for a fixed QW thickness. Remarkably, the sample mobility is sufficiently high to resolve the LLs at temperatures as high as 200 K as evidenced in Fig. $3(a)$. Figures $3(b)-3(e)$ show the resulting Landau-level fan charts at different temperatures $T = 60, 80,$ 120, and 200 K; the results for TQW-24 are shown in the Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-9-0). The whole experimental data set is fit by the $k \cdot p$ model that takes into account the variation of ε_A versus *T* obtained from a bulklike reference sample [\[33\]](#page-9-0). The model parameters are shown in Table II. The excellent fit to the data is shown by the solid lines in Figs. $3(b)-3(e)$.

From the theoretical analysis of the experiments, we extract the temperature-dependent Dirac gap $\Delta(T)$ [arrows in Figs. $3(b)-3(e)$ and the corresponding Dirac mass $m_D(T)$. They are plotted in Fig. $3(f)$ for both TQW samples. A monotonic increase of Δ and m_D is seen with increasing temperature, whereas the bulk gap *ε*_A changes from *negative*

TABLE II. Model parameters and TIS gap for TQW-36 at all studied temperatures. See Fig. S2 in the Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-9-0) for ε_{B} (*T*).

T(K)	ε_{A} (meV)	$v_{\rm c}$ (m/s)	
4.2	-40	4.5×10^{5}	
20	-35	4.5×10^{5}	
40	-30	4.5×10^{5}	
60	-20	4.5×10^{5}	
80	-12.5	4.5×10^{5}	
120	5	4.5×10^{5}	
160	20	4.5×10^{5}	
200	35	4.5×10^{5}	

to positive at 110 K. Evidently, for the thinner TQW-24, Δ is systematically larger, which unambiguously confirms the quantum confinement and hybridization related nature of the gap, and the topological character of the TQW states.

C. Discussion

Two regimes i and ii emerge from Fig. $3(f)$ when the Dirac gap Δ is compared to the bulk gap ε_A . Regime i occurs when $\Delta < |\varepsilon_A|$ and $\varepsilon_A < 0$, i.e., when the Dirac gap of the TIS is smaller than the bulk gap that is also inverted. According to Fig. $3(f)$ this applies when *T* is below the critical temperature $T^* = 70$ K for TQW-36, and when $T < T^* = 50$ K for TQW-24. In the second regime ii, $\Delta > |\varepsilon_A|$. To shed light on the nature of the Dirac states in these regimes, we evaluate the probability density obtained by the $k \cdot p$ calculations based on the fit to the data.

Figures $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ display the results for TQW-36 at $T = 4.2$ K and 60 K ($\lt T^*$) for regime i. The band alignment diagram and E(k) dispersions reveal that the E1 and H1 TQW states (red lines) lie within the $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ bulk gap ($\Delta <$ $|\varepsilon_A|$). As a result, the probability density χ of the Dirac states is peaked at the interfaces [red solid lines in Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$]. Its decay towards the center of the quantum well is governed by *λ* given by Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0). Approaching the critical temperature T^* where $\Delta \rightarrow |\varepsilon_A|$, the TIS penetrates deeper into the QW [see Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-4-0) at 60 K]. As a result, the coupling strength and hybridization gap Δ of the TIS increase [see Fig. 3(f)], even though $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ is still in the nontrivial TCI state with ε_A < 0. Therefore, the observed increase of Δ is accompanied by an increasing penetration λ of the TIS as shown in Fig. [4\(e\).](#page-4-0) Through this relation, a tuning of both λ and m_D is achieved for the TIS.

A key feature of this regime is that as long as $\Delta < |\varepsilon_A|$, the ground state remains peaked at the interface and is of

FIG. 4. Results of $k \cdot p$ modeling of the data for TQW-36 at 4.2 K (a), 60 K (b), 80 K (c), and 200 K (d), showing for each temperature the quantum-well band alignment with the position of the TQW ground states (red line), the probability density *χ* of the wave function of the TIS (E1) (red line), and the second QW sub-band E2 (dashed line), and their corresponding band dispersions (from left to right). (e) Penetration depth of the TIS vs temperature for the two investigated samples. (f) Phase diagram of the topological Pb_{1–x}Sn_xSe quantum wells with $x = 0.25$ vs TQW thickness and temperature, respectively. The solid blue line represents the phase boundary between regime i and ii. The experimental data points for the TQW-36 and TQW-24 are shown as full circles and empty squares, respectively. The orange shading for (a), (b), (e), and (f) denotes the cases where $\Delta < |\varepsilon_A|$ (regime i) and the Dirac states are either massless or massive but interfacial. In the other cases (c), (d), $\Delta > |\varepsilon_A|$ and the massive Dirac states are no longer pinned to the interface.

topological origin. This suggests that topological characteristics such as spin-momentum locking are still partially preserved even when $\Delta \neq 0$. Previous angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements on $Bi₂Se₃$ films in fact observed a progressive suppression of the spin polarization of the surface states upon gapping $[40]$, suggesting that upon increasing top-bottom surface hybridization the loss of spinmomentum locking is only partial as long as the states remain pinned to the surface or interface.

Further increasing the temperature leads to a transition into regime ii where $\Delta > |\varepsilon_A|$ (with either $\varepsilon_A > 0$ or $\varepsilon_A < 0$). As shown by Figs. $4(c)$ and $4(d)$ for TQW-36 at $T = 80$ and 200 K $(>T^*)$, the E1 and H1 energy levels move, respectively, above and below the bulk TCI band edges. As revealed by the computed probability density χ displayed in Figs. $4(c)$ and 4(d), the wave function of E1*/*H1 is no longer peaked at the interfaces. Here, Δ is simply the confinement induced gap between the first E1*/*H1 QW sub-bands. Note that the interfacial nature of E1 and H1 remains unchanged when ε_A changes sign (at 110 K), as it is entirely determined by the value of Δ relative to $|\varepsilon_A|$.

Lastly, we compute the continuous change of *λ* versus T, from Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0) and the variation of $|\varepsilon_A|$ and Δ with temperature in regime i. The results are displayed in Fig. $4(e)$, demonstrating the tunability of the phenomenon. Since the unique characteristics of the TIS such as their spin-momentum locking and scattering properties are linked to λ , Fig. 4(e) reveals how these can be continuously tuned in TCI TQWs. It is important

to highlight here that the bulk Dirac cone of $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ has been also shown to be tunable with temperature [\[49\]](#page-9-0). However, in the case of HgTe, the two-dimensional (2D) topological states and the heavy-hole band are known to hy-bridize [\[50–52\]](#page-9-0). Therefore, the main strength of $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$, is that it hosts nearly ideal Dirac cones with easily tunable characteristics.

IV. CONCLUSION

Overall, we have realized a TQW heterostructure based on heteroepitaxial Pb_{1−x}Sn_xSe/Pb_{1−x}Eu_xSe superlattices and revealed the topological nature of the buried TIS using magnetooptical Landau-level spectroscopy. While previous works on topological thin films relied on surface sensitive probes that could not directly access the changing hybridization strength at subsurface topological interfaces, our optical technique is able to directly obtain this information via the changing energy gap of the interfacial Dirac states. It is thus important to recognize the importance of using such a technique to probe a buried TIS in topological heterostructures. To this end, our results provide detailed phase diagrams [Figs. $3(f)$ and $4(f)$] that demonstrate how the Dirac mass can be tuned by changing TQW thickness and more interestingly by varying temperature. While this is a remarkable feature of the IV-VI TCI QW systems our conclusions are of general interest to other TI systems. Keeping in mind the recently proposed analogy between the TQW and the one-dimensional SSH model [\[9\]](#page-8-0), in that the hybridization of the TIS in the TQW can be related to hoping amplitude between lattice sites, the dynamics of the SSH model are temperature tunable in such a system.

Lastly, we highlight that our realization of high-quality superlattices and our successful observation of the TIS at TQW interfaces is a major and essential step towards engineering interfacial quantum phenomena predicted in TCIs. In fact, heterostructures of IV-VI materials with engineered lattice mismatch [\[53](#page-9-0)[,24\]](#page-8-0) are predicted to host pseudo-Landau levels, helical flat-band states, and an interfacial superconductor [\[25](#page-8-0)[,29\]](#page-9-0) phase with an enhanced critical temperature [\[28\]](#page-9-0). Its possible coexistence with the TIS observed here in band-gap engineered $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ or $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xTe$ TQW structures will provide a new platform to realize an intrinsic topological superconductor [\[54\]](#page-9-0) for Majorana-based systems. We therefore motivate further work on strain and quantum engineering of TQWs as a platform for emergent many-body topological states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge fruitful discussions with D. Heiman. This paper is supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche LabEx ENS-ICFP Grant No. ANR-10-LABX-0010/ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL and by the Austrian Science Fund Project No.

P 28185-N27 and P 29630-N27. G.K. is partly supported by a PSL Ph.D. thesis scholarship.

APPENDIX A: SAMPLE DESIGN AND GROWTH

Epitaxial growth of topological $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe/Pb_{1-v}Eu_ySe$ superlattices was performed using MBE in an ultrahigh vacuum of 5×10^{-10} mbar at a substrate temperature of 380 °C on $BaF₂$ (111) using PbSe, SnSe, Eu, Te, and $Bi₂Se₃$ effusion sources. The composition of the TQW $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ was set to $x = 25\%$ to achieve band inversion, with a corresponding negative band gap $\varepsilon_A = -40$ meV at 4.2 K as checked on bulklike reference layers [\[33\]](#page-9-0). Pb_{1-y}Eu_ySe with $x = 0.04-0.055$ was chosen as barrier material [\[36\]](#page-9-0). Incorporation of Eu increases the band gap to a value of 270–314 meV (at 4.2 K), as shown in the Supplemental Material [\[33\]](#page-9-0). This provides a stronger confinement of the TQW levels and pushes the absorption edge of the barriers well above the TQW LL transitions, while retaining a good lattice matching (0.6%). The thermal expansion coefficient of the well and the barrier are similar [\[55\]](#page-9-0), so the mismatch can be assumed constant with temperature.

To obtain a low carrier concentration of the TQWs, *n*-*type* doping using Bi was employed to compensate the native *p*-type character of $Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe$ (see Ref. [\[32\]](#page-9-0)) due to doubly charged cation vacancies. Superlattices were grown with the number of

FIG. 5. High-resolution x-ray-diffraction data for the superlattice samples TQW24 (a)–(c) and TQW 36(d)–(f) taken using Cu-K*α*1 radiation. (a), (d) Symmetric scans along the [111] growth direction. SL0–SL±10*/*18, respectively, denote superlattice satellite peaks stemming from the PbSnSe/PbEuSe stack, and the peak labeled $BaF₂$ corresponds to the (222) Bragg reflection from the substrate. (b), (e) Reciprocal space maps depicting the scattered intensity distribution around the symmetric (222) reciprocal lattice point. (c), (f) Same as (b), (e) but around the asymmetric (153) reflection. Reciprocal lattice point q_z denotes the reciprocal space coordinate along [111], and q_x denotes that along an in-plane direction.

periods $N > 16$ to obtain a sufficiently high absorption of the topological interface states in the infrared. Pseudomorphic 2D growth is achieved as checked by *in situ* reflection high-energy electron diffraction. This yields a very high structural quality of the superlattices and interfaces as demonstrated by the x-ray-diffraction spectra of Figs. $5(a)$ and $5(d)$, exhibiting a large number *>* 10 of sharp superlattice satellite peaks. The x-ray reciprocal space maps recorded around the symmetric (222) [Figs. $5(b)$ and $5(e)$] and asymmetric (153) [Figs. $5(c)$ and [5\(f\)\]](#page-5-0) Bragg reflection show that all satellite peaks are perfectly aligned along the [111] growth direction, evidencing that the

whole stack is fully pseudomorphic with coherent interfaces. Additionally, the observation of high-order satellite peaks rules out any significant intermixing at the Pb1−xSnxSe*/*Pb1−yEuySe interfaces. This is further confirmed by previous secondary ion mass spectroscopy measurements on similar superlattices shown in Ref. [\[56\]](#page-9-0). More evidence of the excellent quality of the samples is provided in the Supplemental Material (Fig. S4) [\[33\]](#page-9-0) where we estimate the sample mobility by analyzing the linewidth [\[57\]](#page-9-0) of magnetooptical transitions. Mobilities of 15000 and 24000 cm²/Vs are, respectively, found for TQW-24 and TQW-36.

APPENDIX B: k · p MODEL TO TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM WELLS

The topological quantum-well system consisting of Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se band inverted quantum wells and a noninverted Pb_{1−y}Eu_ySe barrier are modeled using the band profiles shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The $Pb_{1-y}Eu_ySe$ layer thickness is large enough to neglect coupling between the quantum wells across the barrier. For both materials, the band minima lie at the *L* points of the Brillouin zone, the L_6^- levels form the valence band, and the L_6^+ level forms the conduction band, in Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se at 4.2 K [\[46,42,58\]](#page-9-0) while the opposite occurs in Pb1−yEuySe. For Pb0*.*75Sn0*.*25Se, this band inversion is represented by a negative energy gap *ε*^A *<* 0 when the zero-energy reference is taken at the L_6^+ band edge in Fig. [1\(c\).](#page-1-0) In our model, we only take into account the interaction of the lowest conduction level and the highest valence level in the well material $Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se$ [Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-1-0). A four-band k · p model that neglects the effect of far bands is used to describe this system. In the basis $(|L_6^+, 1/2\rangle; |L_6^+, -1/2\rangle; |L_6^-, 1/2\rangle; |L_6^-, -1/2\rangle)$, for the topological case, the $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian is written

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nV_{-}(z) & 0 & \frac{P}{m_0} p_z & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x - ik_y) \\
0 & V_{-}(z) & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x + ik_y) & -\frac{P}{m_0} p_z \\
\frac{P}{m_0} p_z^* & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x - ik_y) & -|\varepsilon_A| + V + (z) & 0 \\
\frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x + ik_y) & -\frac{P}{m_0} p_z^* & 0 & -|\varepsilon_A| + V + (z)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(B1)

where m_0 is the electron rest mass and $V_{\pm}(z)$ denotes the band offsets. It is zero if $z < |\frac{d}{2}|$, and $V_{\pm}(z) = \pm V$ if not. *P* is the Kane k · p matrix element. The band anisotropy is neglected as it is known to be very small $(K \approx 1)$ in $Pb_{0.75}Sn_{0.25}Se$, and the four L valleys are assumed equivalent [\[32\]](#page-9-0). In the Dirac formalism, we introduce $v_c = \frac{p}{m_0}$, the Dirac velocity.

V is assumed to be the same for the conduction and valence band [\[36\]](#page-9-0), yielding a symmetric confinement potential. This assumption holds for the low-energy sub-bands of interest to this paper (H1, E1, H2, E2,…). Crystalline inversion symmetry is assumed to be preserved. In zone A of Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-1-0) the zero energy is taken at the L_6^+ band edge, so that the L_6^- band edge is at $-|\varepsilon_A|$ at 4.2 K. In zone B of Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-1-0) the L₆⁻ band edge ends up being at $V - |\varepsilon_A|$ and the L₆⁺ band edge is at $-V$. At $k_x = k_y = 0$, the problem is reduced to a two eigenvalues system:

$$
\mathcal{H}\overrightarrow{\Psi_i} = \text{Ei}\overrightarrow{\Psi_i},
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}'\overrightarrow{\varphi_i} = \text{Ei}\overrightarrow{\varphi_i},
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{-}(z) & -i\frac{\hbar}{m_0}P\frac{d}{dz} \\ -i\frac{\hbar}{m_0}P\frac{d}{dz} & -|\varepsilon_A| + V_{+}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}' = \begin{pmatrix} V_{-}(z) & i\frac{\hbar}{m_0}P\frac{d}{dz} \\ i\frac{\hbar}{m_0}P\frac{d}{dz} & -|\varepsilon_A| + V_{+}(z) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{\Psi}_i = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^{(i)} \\ F_2^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{\varphi}_i = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^{(i)} \\ -F_2^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

 $F_1^{(i)}$ and $F_2^{(i)}$ are, respectively, the L_6^+ and the L_6^- component of the envelope wave function of the *i*th bound states.

We use the classical probability current continuity conditions for $F_1^{(i)}$ to solve the problem [\[1\]](#page-8-0)

$$
F_1^{(i)}
$$
continuous $at |z| = \frac{d}{2}$,

$$
\frac{1}{-|\varepsilon_A| + V_+(z) - E_i} \frac{dF_1^{(i)}}{dz}
$$
 continuous $at |z| = \frac{d}{2}$.

The term $\frac{1}{-|\varepsilon_A|+V_+(z)-E_i|}$ is equivalent to an inverse of an effective mass in a nonparabolic (Dirac) system. It changes sign at the interfaces. A wave function of the form $F_1^{(i)} = A_i \cos(kz)$ or $F_1^{(i)} = B_i \sin(kz)$ is used and is referred to as the even and odd case, respectively.

.

The continuity conditions applied to $F_1^{(i)}$ yield a system of two transcendental equations that can be solved to find the energy eigenvalue and the eigenfunction:

For $E > 0$ and $E < -|\varepsilon_A|$

$$
\tan\left(\frac{kd}{2}\right) = \frac{\rho}{k} \frac{E + |\varepsilon_A|}{E + |\varepsilon_A| - V} \text{ even case,}
$$

$$
\text{cotan}\left(\frac{kd}{2}\right) = -\frac{\rho}{k} \frac{E + |\varepsilon_A|}{E + |\varepsilon_A| - V} \text{ odd case.}
$$

$$
\text{Here } k = \sqrt{\frac{2m_A E}{\hbar^2} (1 + \frac{E}{|\varepsilon_A|})}, \rho = \sqrt{\frac{2m_A}{\varepsilon_A \hbar^2} (E + V)(-E - |\varepsilon_A| + V)}, \text{ and } m_A = \frac{|\varepsilon_A|}{2v_c^2} > 0.
$$

$$
\text{For } -|\varepsilon_A| < E < 0
$$

$$
\tanh\left(\frac{\kappa d}{2}\right) = -\frac{\rho}{\kappa} \frac{E + |\varepsilon_A|}{E + |\varepsilon_A| - V}
$$
 even case,

$$
\text{cotanh}\left(\frac{\kappa d}{2}\right) = -\frac{\rho}{\kappa} \frac{E + |\varepsilon_A|}{E + |\varepsilon_A| - V} \text{ odd case.}
$$

Here $\kappa = \sqrt{-\frac{2m_A E}{\hbar^2}(1 + \frac{E}{|\varepsilon_A|})} = \sqrt{-\frac{|\varepsilon_A|E}{\hbar^2 v_c^2}(1 + \frac{E}{|\varepsilon_A|})} = \frac{1}{\hbar v_c}\sqrt{-E(|\varepsilon_A| + E)}.$

In this case, the change of topology at the interfaces causes the wave vector *k* to be imaginary, $k = i\kappa$, therefore yielding $F_1^{(1)} = A \cosh(\kappa z)$ for $-|\varepsilon_A| < E < 0$. The probability density of the first sub-band $\chi(z) = |F_1^{(1)}|^2$ is therefore peaked at the interface. It is the TIS of the QW. Its penetration depth into the well is therefore given by

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{\kappa}
$$

.

The TIS energy eigenvalues can be expressed as

$$
E = \frac{-|\varepsilon_A|}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{2}.
$$

The expression for the penetration depth is therefore reduced to

$$
\lambda = \frac{2\hbar v_c}{\sqrt{{\varepsilon_A}^2 - \Delta^2}}.
$$

χ(*z*) is shown in the inset of Fig. [2\(c\)](#page-2-0) for TQW-36. In general, the method used here is equivalent to a Ben-Daniel Duke model where the nonparabolicity ("Dirac-ness") of the energy bands is accounted for. This method is discussed thoroughly in Refs. [\[1,](#page-8-0)[34,35\]](#page-9-0). It is similar to the methods used in previous works to compute the effect of confinement of the topological surface states in TIs and TCIs. [\[27,47,48\]](#page-9-0).

APPENDIX C: DERIVATION OF THE *k* **DISPERSION AND LANDAU LEVELS**

We derive an effective Hamiltonian using the $k_{x,y} = 0$ solutions found by solving the transcendental equations. The effective Hamiltonian is expressed in the orthonormal $(\overrightarrow{\Psi}_n, \overrightarrow{\phi}_n)_{0 \le n \le i}$ basis. The k_x , k_y -dependent part of the $4 \times 4k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian [Eq. $(B1)$] is regarded as a perturbation. We can write it as

$$
H_{mn}^{\text{eff}}(k_x, k_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \langle \overrightarrow{\Psi_{\text{m}}}, \overrightarrow{\varphi_{\text{m}}} | \Delta H | \overrightarrow{\Psi_{\text{n}}}, \overrightarrow{\varphi_{\text{n}}} \rangle
$$

with

$$
\Delta H = \begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x - ik_y) \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x + ik_y) & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x - ik_y) & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\hbar}{m_0} P(k_x + ik_y) & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

The eigenvalue problem corresponding to the effective Hamiltonian is then numerically solved for each $k_{x,y}$ in the range $0 < k_{x,y} < 0.05$ Å⁻¹.

.

The Landau-level calculation follows exactly the same method. The perturbation is expressed in terms of the magnetic field. With *N* denoting the Landau index, it is written as

$$
\Delta H = \begin{pmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2e\hbar v_c^2 B(N+1)} \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{2e\hbar v_c^2 B(N+1)} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2e\hbar v_c^2 B(N+1)} & 0 & 0 \\
\sqrt{2e\hbar v_c^2 B(N+1)} & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

The eigenvalue problem corresponding to the effective *B*-dependent Hamiltonian is numerically solved for $0 \le B \le 15$ T, and $-1 \leq N \leq 10.$

APPENDIX D: ANTICROSSING OF LANDAU LEVELS

An anticrossing between $E_{i,N}$ and $E_{i\pm1,N\pm1}$ Landau levels (*i*, band index; *N*, LL index) is computed using the conventional approach of solving the eigenvalue problem corresponding to the interaction of the two levels according to

$$
\begin{pmatrix} E_{i,N} & W \\ W & E_{i\pm 1,N\pm 1} \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Here *W* is magnitude of the avoided crossing. It is taken to be equal to 5 meV for the $-1^{E1} 0^{E2}$ anticrossing shown in Figs. [2\(b\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(d\).](#page-2-0) *W* decreases significantly with increasing *N*. This type of anticrossing is characteristic of the Landau levels of nonparabolic semiconductors with band minima at the L points [\[59\]](#page-9-0).

- [1] G. Bastard, Wave Mechanics Applied to Semiconductor Het*erostructures*, Les éditions de physique (Les Ulis, France, 1996).
- [2] M. Konig, S. Wiedmann, C. Brune, A. Roth, H. Buhmann, L. W. Molenkamp, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148047) **[318](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148047)**, [766](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148047) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148047).
- [3] B. Hunt, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, A. F. Young, M. Yankowitz, B. J. LeRoy, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Moon, M. Koshino, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and R. C. Ashoori, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237240) **[340](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237240)**, [1427](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237240) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237240).
- [4] J. Faist, F. Capasso, D. L. Sivco, C. Sirtori, A. L. Hutchinson, and A. Y. Cho, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.264.5158.553) **[264](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.264.5158.553)**, [553](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.264.5158.553) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.264.5158.553).
- [5] R. M. Stevenson, R. J. Young, P. Atkinson, K. Cooper, D. A. Ritchie, and A. J. Shields, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04446) **[439](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04446)**, [179](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04446) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04446).
- [6] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045)**, [3045](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045).
- [7] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057)**, [1057](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057).
- [8] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127205) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127205)**, [127205](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127205) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127205).
- [9] I. Belopolski, S. Y. Xu, N. Koirala, C. Liu, G. Bian, V. N. Strocov, G. Chang, M. Neupane, N. Alidoust, D. Sanchez, H. Zheng, M. Brahlek, V. Rogalev, T. Kim, N. C. Plumb, C. Chen, F. Bertran, P. Le Fèvre, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, M. C. Asensio, M. Shi, H. Lin, M. Hoesch, S. Oh, and M. Z. Hasan, [Sci. Adv.](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501692) **[3](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501692)**, [e1501692](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501692) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501692).
- [10] L. Fu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.106802) **[106](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.106802)**, [106802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.106802) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.106802).
- [11] [T. H. Hsieh, H. Lin, J. Liu, W. Duan, A. Bansil, and L. Fu,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1969) Nat. Commun. **[3](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1969)**, [982](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1969) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1969).
- [12] Y. Ando and L. Fu, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501)**, [361](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014501).
- [13] P. Dziawa, B. J. Kowalski, K. Dybko, R. Buczko, A. Szczerbakow, M. Szot, E. Łusakowska, T. Balasubramanian, B. M. Wojek, M. H. Berntsen, O. Tjernberg, and T. Story, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3449) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3449)**, [1023](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3449) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3449).
- [14] P. S. Mandal, G. Springholz, V. V. Volobuev, O. Caha, A. Varykhalov, E. Golias, G. Bauer, O. Rader, and J. Sánchez-Barriga, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01204-0) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01204-0)**, [968](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01204-0) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01204-0).
- [15] S.-Y. Xu, C. Liu, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, D. Qian, I. Belopolski, J. D. D. Denlinger, Y. J. J. Wang, H. Lin, L. A. a. Wray, G. Landolt, B. Slomski, J. H. H. Dil, A. Marcinkova, E. Morosan, Q. Gibson, R. Sankar, F. C. C. Chou, R. J. J. Cava, A. Bansil, and M. Z. Z. Hasan, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2191) **[3](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2191)**, [1192](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2191) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2191).
- [16] C. Yan, J. Liu, Y. Zang, J. Wang, Z. Wang, P. Wang, Z.-D. Zhang, L. Wang, X. Ma, S. Ji, K. He, L. Fu, W. Duan, Q.-K. Xue, and X. Chen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.186801) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.186801)**, [186801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.186801) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.186801).
- [17] C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, and B. A. Bernevig, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.046801) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.046801)**, [046801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.046801) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.046801).
- [18] [J. Liu, T. H. Hsieh, P. Wei, W. Duan, J. Moodera, and L. Fu,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3828) Nat. Mater. **[13](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3828)**, [178](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3828) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3828).
- [19] V. V Volobuev, P. S. Mandal, M. Galicka, O. Caha, J. Sánchez-Barriga, D. Di Sante, A. Varykhalov, A. Khiar, S. Picozzi, G. [Bauer, P. Kacman, R. Buczko, O. Rader, and G. Springholz,](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201604185) Adv. Mater. **[29](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201604185)**, [1604185](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201604185) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201604185).
- [20] I. Sodemann, Z. Zhu, and L. Fu, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041068) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041068)**, [041068](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041068) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041068).
- [21] Y. Okada, M. Serbyn, H. Lin, D. Walkup, W. Zhou, C. Dhital, M. Neupane, S. Xu, Y. J. Wang, R. Sankar, F. Chou, A. Bansil, M. Z. Hasan, S. D. Wilson, L. Fu, and V. Madhavan, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239451) **[341](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239451)**, [1496](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239451) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239451).
- [22] I. Pletikosić, G. D. Gu, and T. Valla, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.146403)* **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.146403)**, [146403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.146403) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.146403).
- [23] C. M. Polley, P. Dziawa, A. Reszka, A. Szczerbakow, R. Minikayev, J. Z. Domagala, S. Safaei, P. Kacman, R. Buczko, J. Adell, M. H. Berntsen, B. M. Wojek, O. Tjernberg, B. J. Kowalski, T. Story, and T. Balasubramanian, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.075317) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.075317)**, [075317](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.075317) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.075317).
- [24] I. Zeljkovic, D. Walkup, B. A. Assaf, K. L. Scipioni, R. Sankar, F. Chou, and V. Madhavan, [Nat. Nanotechnol.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.177) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.177)**, [849](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.177) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.177).
- [25] E. Tang and L. Fu, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3109) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3109)**, [964](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3109) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3109).
- [26] B. A. Assaf, T. Phuphachong, E. Kampert, V. V. Volobuev, P. S. Mandal, J. Sánchez-Barriga, O. Rader, G. Bauer, G. Springholz, L. A. de Vaulchier, and Y. Guldner, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.106602) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.106602)**, [106602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.106602) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.106602).
- [27] J. Liu and L. Fu, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.081407) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.081407)**, [081407](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.081407) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.081407).
- [28] G. E. Volovik, [Phys. Scr.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/2015/T164/014014) **[2015](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/2015/T164/014014)**, [014014](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/2015/T164/014014) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/2015/T164/014014).
- [29] V. J. Kauppila, F. Aikebaier, and T. T. Heikkilä, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.214505) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.214505)**, [214505](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.214505) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.214505).
- [30] Y. Wang, G. Luo, J. Liu, R. Sankar, N.-L. Wang, F. Chou, L. Fu, and Z. Li, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00446-2) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00446-2)**, [366](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00446-2) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00446-2).
- [31] B. A. Assaf, T. Phuphachong, V. V. Volobuev, A. Inhofer, G. [Bauer, G. Springholz, L. A. de Vaulchier, and Y. Guldner,](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20323) Sci. Rep. **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20323)**, [20323](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20323) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20323).
- [32] B. A. Assaf, T. Phuphachong, V. V Volobuev, G. Bauer, G. [Springholz, L.-A. De Vaulchier, and Y. Guldner,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-017-0028-5) NPJ Quantum Mater. **[2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-017-0028-5)**, [26](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-017-0028-5) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-017-0028-5).
- [33] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.075303) 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.075303 for data analysis of TQW-24, magnetooptics of the barrier, temperature dependence of the bulk gap of the quantum well material, and the interband linewidth analysis.
- [34] G. Bastard, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.5693) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.5693)**, [5693](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.5693) [\(1981\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.5693).
- [35] Y.-C. Chang, J. N. Schulman, G. Bastard, Y. Guldner, and M. Voos, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2557) **[31](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2557)**, [2557](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2557) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2557).
- [36] M. Simma, G. Bauer, and G. Springholz, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4759145) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4759145)**, [172106](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4759145) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4759145).
- [37] [M. Simma, T. Fromherz, G. Bauer, and G. Springholz,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3263722) Appl. Phys. Lett. **[95](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3263722)**, [212103](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3263722) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3263722).
- [38] G. Bastard, C. Rigaux, Y. Guldner, J. Mycielski, and A. Mycielski, [J. Phys. Fr.](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphys:0197800390108700) **[39](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphys:0197800390108700)**, [87](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphys:0197800390108700) [\(1978\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphys:0197800390108700).
- [39] G. Bauer, H. Pascher, and W. Zawadzki, [Semicond. Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/7/6/001) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/7/6/001)**, [703](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/7/6/001) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/7/6/001).
- [40] M. Neupane, A. Richardella, J. Sánchez-Barriga, S. Xu, N. Alidoust, I. Belopolski, C. Liu, G. Bian, D. Zhang, D. Marchenko, A. Varykhalov, O. Rader, M. Leandersson, T. Balasubramanian, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, S. Basak, H. Lin, A. Bansil, N. Samarth, and M. Z. Hasan, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4841) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4841)**, [3841](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4841) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4841).
- [41] K. He, Y. Zhang, K. He, C. Chang, C. Song, L. Wang, X. Chen, J. Jia, Z. Fang, X. Dai, W. Shan, S. Shen, Q. Niu, X. Qi, S. Zhang, X.-C. Ma, and Q.-K. Xue, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1689) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1689)**, [584](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1689) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1689).
- [42] G. Bauer, in *Narrow-Gap Semiconductors. Physics and Applications: Proceedings of the International Summer School*, edited by W. Zawadzki (Springer, Berlin, 1980), pp. 427–446.
- [43] Y. Tanaka, T. Shoman, K. Nakayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. [Takahashi, M. Novak, Kouji Segawa, and Yoichi Ando,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235126) Phys. Rev. B **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235126)**, [235126](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235126) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.235126).
- [44] T. Liang, Q. Gibson, J. Xiong, M. Hirschberger, S. P. Koduvayur, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3696) **[4](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3696)**, [2696](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3696) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3696).
- [45] B. M. Wojek, P. Dziawa, B. J. Kowalski, A. Szczerbakow, A. M. Black-Schaffer, M. H. Berntsen, T. Balasubramanian, T. Story, and O. Tjernberg, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.161202) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.161202)**, [161202\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.161202) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.161202).
- [46] A. J. Strauss, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.157.608) **[157](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.157.608)**, [608](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.157.608) [\(1967\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.157.608).
- [47] R. Buczko and Ł. Cywiński, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205319)* **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205319)**, [205319](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205319) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205319).
- [48] [H.-Z. Lu, W.-Y. Shan, W. Yao, Q. Niu, and S.-Q. Shen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.115407) *Phys.* Rev. B **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.115407)**, [115407](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.115407) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.115407).
- [49] F. Teppe, M. Marcinkiewicz, S. S. Krishtopenko, S. Ruffenach, C. Consejo, a M. Kadykov, W. Desrat, D. But, W. Knap, J. Ludwig, S. Moon, D. Smirnov, M. Orlita, Z. Jiang, S. V. Morozov, V. I. Gavrilenko, N. N. Mikhailov, and S. A. Dvoretskii, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12576) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12576)**, [12576](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12576) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12576).
- [50] V. Dziom, A. Shuvaev, A. Pimenov, G. V. Astakhov, C. Ames, K. Bendias, J. Böttcher, G. Tkachov, E. M. Hankiewicz, C. Brüne, H. Buhmann, and L. W. Molenkamp, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15197) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15197)**, [15197](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15197) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15197).
- [51] A. Inhofer, S. Tchoumakov, B. A. Assaf, G. Fève, J. M. Berroir, V. Jouffrey, D. Carpentier, M. O. Goerbig, B. Plaçais, K. Bendias, D. M. Mahler, E. Bocquillon, R. Schlereth, C. Brüne, H. Buhmann, and L. W. Molenkamp, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.195104) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.195104)**, [195104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.195104) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.195104).
- [52] C. Brüne, C. Thienel, M. Stuiber, J. Böttcher, H. Buhmann, E. G. Novik, C.-X. Liu, E. M. Hankiewicz, and L. W. Molenkamp, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.041045) **[4](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.041045)**, [041045](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.041045) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.041045).
- [53] G. Springholz and K. Wiesauer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.015507) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.015507)**, [015507](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.015507) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.015507).
- [54] F. Zhang, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.056403) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.056403)**, [056403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.056403) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.056403).
- [55] A. Katzir, R. Rosman, Y. Shani, K. H. Bachem, H. Böttner, and H. Preier, in *Handbook of Solid-state Lasers*, edited by P. Cheo (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1989).
- [56] G. Springholz, A. Holzinger, H. Krenn, H. Clemens, G. Bauer, H. Böttner, P. Norton, and M. Maier, [J. Cryst. Growth](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248(91)90095-M) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248(91)90095-M)**, [593](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248(91)90095-M) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0248(91)90095-M).
- [57] T. Ando and Y. Uemura, [J. Phys. Soc. Japan](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.36.959) **[36](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.36.959)**, [959](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.36.959) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.36.959).
- [58] D. L. Mitchell and R. F. Wallis, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.151.581) **[151](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.151.581)**, [581](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.151.581) [\(1966\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.151.581).
- [59] D. C. Tsui, G. Kaminsky, and P. H. Schmidt, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.3524) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.3524)**, [3524](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.3524) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.3524).