Polaron relaxation and charge dynamics near the mobility edge of a possible Wigner lattice of polarons

Gayan Prasad Hettiarachchi,^{1,2,*} Yoshifumi Nishida,² and Yusuke Masaki² ¹Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan ²Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

(Received 1 March 2018; revised manuscript received 17 May 2018; published 19 July 2018)

We performed impedance spectroscopy and optical investigations below the reported mobility edge of two models systems $(X_n/X_{1.95-\delta}Al_{1.95}Si_{2.05}O_{8.00}; X = K \text{ or Rb}, n$ is the doping density of guest atoms) used to explore the metal-insulator transition in a deformable lattice. The bulk ac conductivity and imaginary dielectric permittivity of insulating samples near the mobility edge in both systems show a power-law behavior and variable-range hopping (VRH) conduction that is indeed related to the proposed polaron relaxation and conduction. We show that polaron relaxation and VRH occur simultaneously above a particular *density* of an interacting gas of small (bi)polarons, which is commensurate with the electron-lattice coupling strength and the intersite electron transfer energy. Based on our experimental results, we propose a rich phase diagram for the charge dynamics in a deformable lattice from the perspective of a Wigner lattice of small (bi)polarons.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.035125

I. INTRODUCTION

There is considerable interest in the metal-insulator transition (MIT) in a deformable ionic lattice [1-5] because it has the potential to explain bizarre properties of disordered systems near the mobility edge and provide insight for solving the longstanding puzzle of Mooij correlations [6-8]. This has implications for many systems, such as manganites [9,10], perovskites [11], cuprates [12], conjugated polymers [13], and organic materials [14], where the nontrivial interplay of electron correlation, electron-lattice coupling, and disorder effects cannot be ignored. Theoretical studies investigating the effects of Anderson localization (both interacting and noninteracting scenarios) and finite electron-lattice coupling on electron transport in a polarizable ionic-lattice highlighted the opening of a mobility gap due to polaron formation [1,15,16]. The closing of this mobility gap with decreasing disorder in the electronic potential (the random electronic potential is abbreviated as W, hereafter) at fixed electron-lattice coupling strength λ or with the weakening of λ at fixed W gives rise to a rich phase diagram and has been attributed to the nontrivial interactions in a many-polaron system and correlated response of W to the lattice [1,3,4].

Recent experimental studies have used quasi-twodimensional $X_{1.95-\delta}Al_{1.95}Si_{2.05}O_{8.00}$ (abbreviated as X_2 -P; X = Na, K, Rb, or Cs) as a model system to explore the MIT in a deformable ionic lattice because it allows the probing of electron-lattice coupling, electron-correlation effects, and the random electronic potential on the same footing [3,4,17]. Guest atoms were introduced into this system to modulate the density of ionized electronic-charge carriers. These charge carriers interact with X inducing polaron effects leading to local lattice deformations. These deformations affect W, which can be controlled by modulating the guest-atom (electron) density. The value of λ can be controlled by changing the guest element. The optical and nonmagnetic properties of guest K atoms in K_2 -P (abbreviated as K_n/K_2 -P; n is the average number of guest atoms per formula unit) at n < 1.09 [3] and guest Rb atoms in Rb₂-P (Rb_n/Rb₂-P) at n < 0.89 [4] have revealed the formation of small bipolarons that opens a mobility gap. Direct current (dc) transport measurements have shown a discontinuous and continuous closing of this gap with increasing *n* in the K system (at n = 1.09) and the Rb system (at n = 0.89), respectively [3,4]. These reports have provided invaluable experimental evidence to reconcile two diverging theories discussing the MIT in a deformable lattice, one supporting a discontinuous transition [15,16] and the other a continuous transition [1] to extended states analogous to a disordered metal. Even though the transport properties below the mobility edge and the scaling of the (dis)continuity of the transition have been attributed to the nontrivial interplay of polaron correlation effects, dynamical lattice deformations, W, and λ , direct evidence remains elusive restricting an affirmative assignment to polaron relaxation and conduction below the mobility edge [1,15].

Impedance spectroscopy is ideal for gauging polaron effects and has been employed in a body of literature to observe polaron relaxation in a variety of materials [18–22]. For perovskite materials, polaron relaxation exists at low temperatures in crystals with disorder [18]. In perovskite-related material CaCu₃Ti₄O₁₂ [20], Fe-doped SrTiO₃ [19], VO₂ [22], and LaMn_{1-x}Fe_xO₃ [21], polaron relaxation has been found to be strongly linked to Mott's variable-range hopping (VRH) conduction [23,24]. Here, we use impedance spectroscopy to confirm the existence of polaron relaxation in K_n/K₂-P and Rb_n/Rb₂-P below the reported conducting transition [3,4]. We separate bulk and grain-boundary contributions and demonstrate that the bulk transport at the vicinity of the mobility edge can indeed be assigned to polaron relaxation and VRH of polarons. Well below the mobility edge, we observe a region

^{*}hettiarachchi@hpr.stec.es.osaka-u.ac.jp



FIG. 1. The complex-impedance plane plots of $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P at (a) 300 K, (b) 280–220 K, and (c) 200–140 K and those of $Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2$ -P at (d) 300 K, (e) 280–220 K, and (f) 200–140 K. The solid curves represent the fits of complex impedance using a model of two parallel resistance, *R*, and capacitance, *C*, elements connected in series.

demonstrating nearest-neighbor hopping (NNH) conduction, however, with no convincing evidence of polaron relaxation. This does not exclude polaron formation well below the mobility edge but suggests that polaron relaxation and VRH occur simultaneously above a particular *density* in an interacting gas of small (bi)polarons with decreasing W. This density is commensurate with λ and the intersite electron transfer energy t'.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Powder samples of K_n/K_2 -P (n = 0.31, 0.39, 0.52, 0.62,0.69, 0.90, 1.00, and 1.05) and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P (n = 0.29, 0.40,0.52, 0.61, 0.70, and 0.81) were prepared by vapor adsorption of accurately weighed K into K₂-P and Rb into Rb₂-P inside sealed glass tubes at 150 and 100 °C, respectively. Details of the X_2 -P structure can be found elsewhere [3,25]. Powder samples were pressed into pellets (diameter \approx 4 and thickness ≈ 0.8 mm) with Au electrodes, and impedance measurements were performed over the frequency range 20 Hz to 2 MHz with an applied voltage of 500 mV using an Agilent 4824A LCR meter. Measurements were carried out in the temperature range 10-300 K using a physical property measurement system (Quantum Design). The diffuse reflectance $r(\omega)$ was measured at room temperature using an ultraviolet-visible near-infrared spectrometer and a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. The optical absorption was obtained using the Kubelka-Munk transformation $k/s = (1 - r)^2/2r$ [26], where k and s are the absorption and scattering coefficients, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The impedance spectroscopy data of K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.69, 0.90, 1.00, and 1.05 and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.40, 0.52, 0.61,0.70, and 0.81 are modeled with two parallel resistance, R, and capacitance, C, elements connected in series representing the bulk and grain-boundary responses [27]. For K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.31, 0.39, and 0.52 and Rb_n/Rb₂-P at n = 0.29, the experimental values exceed the measurement limit indicating that these samples are deep in the insulating phase. For K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.62, the impedance values are below the measurement limit only in a very limited region at high temperature. The complex-impedance plane plots of $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P representative of K_n/K_2 -P at $n \ge 0.69$ and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at $n \ge 0.40$ are shown in Figs. 1(a)–1(f). At 300 K, $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P contains an arc with a nonzero intercept at high frequencies as shown in Fig. 1(a). The position of the arc in the frequency spectrum depends on the relaxation time τ , and in general, τ for grain-boundary regions is much larger and is relaxed at lower frequencies than for bulk effects. Based on an equivalent circuit consisting of two parallel RC elements connected in series the nonzero intercept indicates the presence of an arc with maximum angular frequency $\omega_{\rm m}$ $(\omega = 2\pi f; f \text{ is the measured frequency})$ higher than 2 MHz. For this component *R* is 400 Ω . The large arc has $R \approx 4600 \Omega$ at 20 Hz and $C \approx 60$ pF. Room-temperature data indicates that $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P consists of semiconducting grains (bulk resistance $R_{\rm b} \approx 400 \ \Omega$) and moderately insulating grain boundaries (grain-boundary resistance $R_{\rm gb} \approx 4600 \,\Omega$). K_{1.00}/K₂-P shows



FIG. 2. The temperature dependence of the bulk resistivity ρ_b of (a) K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.69 and 0.90 fit with adiabatic nearest-neighbor hopping (NNH), (b) at n = 1.00 and 1.05 fit with the variable-range hopping model of Efros and Shklovskii (ES-VRH) given by Eq. (1), (c) Rb_n/Rb₂-P at n = 0.40 and 0.52 fit with NNH, and (d) at n = 0.61, 0.70 and 0.81 fit with ES-VRH. The arrows in panel (d) indicate the change of the gradient in the ES-VRH fits. The solid straight lines are fits of the respective models.

a large arc with a nonzero intercept down to 220 K as shown in Fig. 1(b). A trace of the expected high-frequency arc pertaining to the bulk is observed below 200 K as seen in Fig. 1(c). At 140 K, R_b and R_{gb} are approximately 19 and 75 M Ω , respectively, and only part of the grain-boundary arc is observed above 20 Hz. Using the same approach, R_b and R_{gb} are extracted for K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.69, 0.90, and 1.05 and also for Rb_n/Rb₂-P at n = 0.40, 0.52, 0.61, 0.70, and 0.81. Representative plots of Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P are shown in Figs. 1(d)–1(f).

The temperature dependence of the grain-boundary resistivity $\rho_{\rm sb}$ of K_n/K_2 -P and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P can be modeled with an activation process (not shown here) given by $\rho \propto \exp(E_a/k_BT)$, where $E_{\rm a}$ is the activation energy and $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant. Since our primary concern is to understand the conduction mechanism in the bulk, we do not resort to an extensive analysis of the grain-boundary contribution. The bulk resistivities ρ_b of K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.69 and 0.90 and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.40 and 0.52 yield best fits to adiabatic NNH, $\rho \propto T \exp(E_a/k_BT)$, as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c), respectively. The estimated values of E_a are given in Table I. For K_n/K_2 -P at n = 1.00 and 1.05 and for Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n =0.61, 0.70, and 0.81, ρ_b does not follow T^{-1} laws characteristic of activation or NNH. Further, these samples cannot be fit with Mott's VRH model proposed for noninteracting carriers [23,24], $\rho \propto \exp(T_0/T)^{\nu}$, where $\nu = 1/4$ or 1/3 for a three-dimensional or a two-dimensional system, respectively; $T_0 = 24/[\pi k_{\rm B} N(E_{\rm F})\xi^3]$ is a parameter related to the density of localized states at the Fermi level $N(E_{\rm F})$; and ξ is the decay

length of the localized wave function associated with the charge carriers. The VRH model of Efros and Shklovskii (ES-VRH) incorporating electron-electron interactions [28,29],

$$\rho \propto \exp\left(\frac{T^*}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(1)

where $T^* = 2.8e^2/[4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon k_B\xi]$, ε_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum, and ε is the dielectric constant of the material, yields better fits to K_n/K_2 -P at n = 1.00 and 1.05 and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.61, 0.70, and 0.81 as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d), respectively. The estimated values of T^* and ξ are given in Table I. The estimated values of ξ suggest that for $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and $K_{1.05}/K_2$ -P the wave function of electrons extends to adjacent cages (the unit cell comprises four cages with lattice constants $a \approx 14$ and $b \approx c \approx 10$ Å [3,25]). For Rb_{0.70}/Rb₂-P and Rb_{0.81}/Rb₂-P the wave function extends to several cages. At 100 ~ 120 K, the gradient of the ES-VRH fitting changes [marked by the arrows in Fig. 2(d)], indicating an increase in ξ for these two samples at high temperature.

The frequency dependence of the ac conductivity σ is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c) for K_{1.00}/K₂-P and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P, respectively, at temperatures for which the bulk arc is observed in the complex-impedance plane plots [see Figs. 1(c) and 1(f)]. The experimental curves show two or three ranges of behavior depending on the temperature. First, there is a strong rise at low frequencies. This is the grain-boundary blocking effect. Second, σ does not increase as rapidly at high frequency. It is the bulk conductivity relaxation which is of primary interest. The relaxation frequency f_r is marked by the arrows in Fig. 3. This behavior can be described by the universal dielectric response (UDR) [30,31],

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\rm dc} + \sigma_0 f^s, \tag{2}$$

where σ_{dc} is the bulk dc conductivity, σ_0 is a constant, and s (<1) is an exponent describing a power-law behavior. The UDR is typical of thermal-assisted tunneling between localized states. The fits representing this behavior are shown by the solid curves in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c). The value of s decreases with temperature as shown in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f) for $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P, respectively. For correlated hopping between localized sites over a potential barrier, the value of s is predicted to decrease with temperature [32]. At sufficiently high frequency and low temperature, a crossover from the power law to a linear increase, $\sigma \propto f$ defined as the second universality (SU), is observed [21,31,33,34]. Fitting of this behavior is shown by the broken lines in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c). The microscopic origin of the SU region is not clearly understood. The UDR and the SU are also observed in limited temperature ranges for K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.69, 0.90, and 1.05, and for Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.40, 0.52, 0.70, and 0.81 (not shown here).

For polaron relaxation the frequency variation of the imaginary dielectric permittivity ε'' follows a fractional power law, $\varepsilon'' \propto f^{s-1}$ above f_r [20,21]. We observe this behavior for K_n/K_2 -P at n = 1.00 and 1.05 and for Rb_n/Rb₂-P at n = 0.61, 0.70, and 0.81. The fitting of $\varepsilon'' \propto f^{s-1}$ is shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d) for $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P, respectively. The exponent *s* is comparable with the value obtained from fitting the UDR. Therefore, the high-frequency behavior ($f > f_r$) of σ and ε'' pertaining to the bulk of K_n/K_2 -P at $n \ge 1.00$

Sample	$E_{\rm a}~({\rm eV})$	<i>T</i> * (K)	ξ (Å)	$E_{\rm b}~({\rm eV})$
K _{0.31} /K ₂ -P				0.70 ± 0.02
$K_{0.39}/K_2-P$				0.68 ± 0.03
$K_{0.69}/K_2-P$	0.38 ± 0.02			0.70 ± 0.02
$K_{0.90}/K_2-P$	0.27 ± 0.01			0.69 ± 0.01
$K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P		1.57×10^{5}	6	0.70 ± 0.03
$K_{1.05}/K_2-P$		$7.6 imes 10^4$	7	0.68 ± 0.02
$Rb_{0.29}/Rb_2-P$				0.61 ± 0.01
$Rb_{0.40}/Rb_2-P$	0.33 ± 0.03			0.62 ± 0.02
$Rb_{0.52}/Rb_2-P$	0.23 ± 0.02			0.62 ± 0.01
$Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2-P$		$9.2 imes 10^4$	6	0.60 ± 0.02
Rb _{0.70} /Rb ₂ -P		$3.2 \times 10^4 \gtrsim 120 \text{ K}$; $5.9 \times 10^4 \lesssim 120 \text{ K}$)	10 (≳120 K); 7 (≲120 K)	0.63 ± 0.01
$Rb_{0.81}/Rb_2-P$		$1.6 \times 10^4 \gtrsim 100 \text{ K}$; $2.8 \times 10^4 \lesssim 100 \text{ K}$)	24 (≳100 K); 16 (≲100 K)	0.61 ± 0.02

TABLE I. The parameters used to fit adiabatic nearest-neighbor and variable-range hopping on the bulk electrical resistivity and smallpolaron absorption spectrum on the absorption spectra of K_n/K_2 -P and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P.

and Rb_n/Rb₂-P at $n \ge 0.61$ are consistent with the UDR and polaron relaxation. We do not observe convincing evidence of polaron-relaxation behavior in K_n/K₂-P for n < 1.00 and Rb_n/Rb₂-P for n < 0.61. The fitting of $\varepsilon'' \propto f^{s-1}$ in the frequency region demonstrating UDR in these samples renders a large error.

The electric modulus corresponds to the relaxation of the electric field in the material when the electric displacement remains constant. Hence, the electric modulus represents the dielectric relaxation process and is widely used to study conductivities of materials [21]. The complex electric modulus M^* is given by $M^* = M' + iM''$, where M' and M'' are the real and imaginary parts of the electric modulus. The variation of M'' as a function of frequency at different temperatures provides useful information about the charge transport mechanism and conductivity relaxation process. M^* can be expressed as

$$M^{*}(\omega) = M_{\infty} \left[1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-d\phi(t)}{dt} \right) \exp(-i\omega t) dt \right], \quad (3)$$

where M_{∞} is the asymptotic value of $M'(\omega)$, $\phi(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_M)^{\beta}]$ represents the time evolution of the electric field within the material [35], β ($0 < \beta < 1$) is the stretched exponent, and τ_M is the conductivity relaxation time. Peaks are observed in the frequency spectra of M'' in limited temperature ranges, which indicate the existence of a conductivity relaxation process. The frequencies at which the peaks in M''are observed follow the relation $\omega_{\max}\tau_M = 1$, where ω_{\max} is the angular frequency corresponding to the peak maximum. In a limited temperature range, it is typically observed that τ_M follows the Arrhenius behavior given by

$$\tau_M = \tau_{M0} \exp(E_{Ma}/k_{\rm B}T),\tag{4}$$

where τ_{M0} is the pre-exponential factor and E_{Ma} is the activation energy. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the variation of M'' with frequency at different temperatures for K_{1.05}/K₂-P and Rb_{0.70}/Rb₂-P, respectively, representative of samples that show polaron relaxation (K_n/K₂-P at $n \ge 1.00$ and Rb_n/Rb₂-P at $n \ge 0.61$). Peaks in M'' are observed in the measured frequency region only in a limited temperature range. We fit the variation of τ_M obtained from the peak frequencies with the Arrhenius behavior given by Eq. (4), and the fits

are shown by the solid straight lines in Fig. 4(c). The E_{Ma} values obtained from fitting are 0.17 eV for K_{1.05}/K₂-P, 0.11 eV for Rb_{0.70}/Rb₂-P, and 0.05 eV for Rb_{0.81}/Rb₂-P. The values of τ_{M0} are 5.9×10^{-13} s for K_{1.05}/K₂-P, 4.3 × 10^{-12} s for Rb_{0.70}/Rb₂-P, and 4.2×10^{-11} s for Rb_{0.81}/Rb₂-P. For comparison, values between $10^{-10} \sim 10^{-14}$ s are reported for materials exhibiting polaron relaxation [21,36,37]. Although K_{1.00}/K₂-P and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P show polaron relaxation [see Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)], peaks in M'' are observed in a very limited temperature range rendering it impossible to perform a similar analysis for these two samples.

The scaling of the electric modulus can give further information about the evolution of the relaxation dynamics, structure, and concentration of charge carriers. Figure 4(d) shows the scaling results at different temperatures for representative $Rb_{0.70}/Rb_2$ -P, where M''_{max} and ω_{max} are used as the scaling parameters for M'' and ω , respectively. All modulus spectra overlap and are scaled to a single master curve in the lowfrequency region, while deviations are observed in the highfrequency region. Deviations in the high-frequency region occur due to changes in the dynamical properties of the sample [21]. This could be indicative of the change in ξ observed around 100 K for $Rb_{0.70}/Rb_2$ -P and $Rb_{0.81}/Rb_2$ -P [see Fig. 2(d) and Table I]. It is also noted that this could be indicative of an increase in the concentration of the temperature-activated charge carriers around 100 K. In either case, dynamical changes in the polaron relaxation process are seen around 100 K. However, the physics underlying this behavior is unclear and would require investigations of the local structures and any dynamical lattice deformations/relaxations with temperature.

Representative room-temperature optical absorption spectra of K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.31, 0.39, and 1.00 and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.29, 0.40, and 0.61 are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Similar to previous reports [3,4], bands are observed at approximately 10 600 cm⁻¹ for K_n/K_2 -P and 9000 cm⁻¹ for Rb_n/Rb_2 -P (solid circles) that may be attributed to excitations of self-trapped states. The small-polaron absorption spectrum α_{sp} is given by [38,39]

$$\alpha_{\rm sp}(\omega) = \frac{2(\pi)^{3/2} e^2}{m^* \omega c} \frac{t'}{\Delta} \exp\left[-\frac{(2E_{\rm b} - \hbar\omega)^2}{\Delta^2}\right], \quad (5)$$



FIG. 3. The frequency dependence of the ac conductivity σ of (a) $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and (c) $Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2$ -P and the imaginary dielectric permittivity ε'' of (b) $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and (d) $Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2$ -P. The solid curves and broken straight lines in panels (a) and (c) are the fits of the universal dielectric response (UDR) given by Eq. (2) and the second universality, respectively. The solid curves in panels (b) and (d) are the fits of polaron relaxation. The exponent *s* extracted from fitting the UDR on (e) $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P and (f) $Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2$ -P.

where m^* is the effective electronic mass, *c* is the speed of light, $t' \equiv \hbar^2/2m^*a^2$, \hbar is the reduced Planck-constant, *a* is a lattice constant, E_b is the small-polaron binding energy, $\Delta \equiv \sqrt{8E_bE_{vib}}$, and E_{vib} is the characteristic vibrational energy ($\approx k_BT$ at room temperature). The $\alpha_{sp}(\omega)$ term gives the absorption coefficient per unit small-polaron *density*. A small polaron exhibits an asymmetric absorption at the reso-



FIG. 4. The frequency dependence of the imaginary part of the electric modulus M'' of (a) $K_{1.05}/K_2$ -P from 80 to 180 K and (b) $Rb_{0.70}/Rb_2$ -P from 40 to 140 K. (c) The extracted conductivity relaxation time τ_M against T^{-1} for $K_{1.05}/K_2$ -P, $Rb_{0.70}/Rb_2$ -P, and $Rb_{0.81}/Rb_2$ -P. The solid straight lines are the best fits of Eq. (4). (d) Scaling of the electric modulus for $Rb_{0.70}/Rb_2$ -P at different temperatures.

nant excitation energy $\hbar \omega = 2E_b$ [39]. For an intrasite small bipolaron, the excitation energy is $4E_b - U$, where U is the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy [39,40]. In this case, U is very large (>5 eV) and is in the strongly-correlated regime [41]. The small bipolarons in these systems are proposed to be intersite [3,4], i.e., weakly coupled states of two small polarons at adjacent sites, in effect minimizing U. Hence, we use the small-polaron absorption spectrum given by Eq. (5) to fit the absorption spectra. The curves represented by the broken lines are the fits of Eq. (5) on the bands at approximately 10 600 cm⁻¹ of K_n/K₂-P and 9000 cm⁻¹ of Rb_n/Rb₂-P. The values of E_b obtained from fitting are summarized in Table I.

From the perspective of a two-site model, the absorption bands of K_n/K_2 -P at approximately 10 600 cm⁻¹ and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at 9000 cm⁻¹ with the resonant excitation energy $\hbar\omega$ can be viewed as Franck-Condon-like excitations with two relaxation paths leading to either an on-site relaxation or a hopping transfer as indicated by paths I and II in Fig. 6, respectively [42,43]. Since these absorptions become evident in K_n/K_2 -P and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P from very low values of *n*, where no traces of conductivity are observed in the transport properties, we conjecture that these are indicative of on-site excitations and relaxations. The value of E_a is related to E_b by $E_a \approx \frac{1}{2}E_b - \frac{1}{2}E_b$ J, where J is the electronic overlap integral between two adjacent sites [44]. This relationship is approximately satisfied by $K_{0.69}/K_2$ -P, $K_{0.90}/K_2$ -P, $Rb_{0.40}/Rb_2$ -P, and $Rb_{0.52}/Rb_2$ -P (see Table I). However, K_{0.90}/K₂-P and Rb_{0.52}/Rb₂-P show a decrease in E_a , while E_b remains constant. This may be attributed to the increase in J with the increasing number density of small bipolarons, especially above the percolation



FIG. 5. The room-temperature optical absorption spectra of (a) K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.31, 0.39, and 1.00 and (b) Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.29, 0.40, and 0.61. The solid circle and square mark the small bipolaron and high-frequency bands, respectively. The broken curves are the fits of the small-polaron absorption spectrum given by Eq. (5) on the bands at approximately 10 600 and 9000 cm⁻¹ of K_n/K_2 -P and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P, respectively. The shaded spectral-areas of $K_{1.00}/K_2$ -P, $Rb_{0.40}/Rb_2$ -P, and $Rb_{0.61}/Rb_2$ -P mark the midinfrared absorptions.

threshold, which will be discussed later. It is noted that the relationship between E_a and E_b can be very complicated due to the interplay of a multitude of parameters such as the random electronic potential, the distance between hopping sites, the transfer integral, etc., that change with doping. In some cases, the temperature-activated hopping energy practically drops to zero while the excitation energy at $\hbar\omega$ remains invariant [44]. This behavior was observed in K_n/K₂-P and Rb_n/Rb₂-P close to the mobility edge (not discussed here), however, with a drop in the intensity of the small bipolaron absorption band, which may be indicative of melting of small bipolarons at high density [3,4].

Increasing intensity of the small bipolaron bands at constant excitation energy suggests an increase in the density of small bipolarons with increasing *n*. At $n \approx 0.4$, in K_n/K₂-P and Rb_n/Rb₂-P, a new high-frequency (HF) band appears (solid squares) around 14 000 and 14 500 cm⁻¹, respectively. This band shows a blue-shift and increases in intensity with *n*. This



FIG. 6. The small polaron excitations in a two-site model in the adiabatic limit. The Franck-Condon-like excitations at $\hbar\omega$ lead to two relaxation paths: (I) on-site relaxation and (II) hopping transfer.

change in the absorption spectrum at $n \approx 0.4$, which is common to both systems, is perhaps triggered by the percolation of small bipolarons within the diamond lattice formed by the cage voids of the X_2 -P framework [3,4,45]. The percolation threshold P_c proposed for paired constituents in a diamond lattice is 0.35 [45]. In this scenario, the HF band can be attributed to the surface-plasmon excitations in the two-dimensional slabs of the framework [3], which is triggered by the many-polaron effect at percolation. Details can be found elsewhere [3,4]. The percolation of small bipolarons results in an array of interacting small bipolarons, which can be viewed in terms of a Wigner lattice of polarons (PWL) [46,47]. A further increase in n (>0.4), i.e., increasing number density of small bipolarons above P_c , is analogous to an increase in the density of a PWL [46–48].

The electron-lattice coupling induces incoherent contributions generating multiphonon absorption bands in the midinfrared (MIR) region [4,49–51]. The shaded spectral-areas in the low-frequency region of K_{1.00}/K₂-P, Rb_{0.40}/Rb₂-P, and Rb_{0.61}/Rb₂-P mark the MIR absorptions. The spectral area in the low-frequency region not covered by the small bipolaron absorption band becomes quite discernible in Rb_n/Rb₂-P at n = 0.40 following percolation simultaneously with the appearance of NNH conduction [see Fig. 2(c)]. For K_n/K₂-P, this becomes evident at a much larger value of n = 0.69 (not shown here) simultaneously with the appearance of NNH [see Fig. 2(a)]. The spectral area of the MIR absorptions grows with increasing n in K_n/K₂-P and Rb_n/Rb₂-P. In this scenario, the MIR absorptions are attributed to photoinduced hopping (nearest neighbor or variable range) of small bipolarons.

Below P_c , K_n/K_2 -P and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P are deep within the insulating phase due to isolated small bipolarons. This is confirmed by the high-resistivity values (above the measurement limit) observed for K_n/K_2 -P at n = 0.31 and 0.39 and Rb_n/Rb_2 -P at n = 0.29. At percolation ($n \approx 0.4$), Rb_n/Rb_2 -P enters a NNH region [see Fig. 2(c)]. The percolation results in an array of interacting small bipolarons, likely a PWL, with temperature-activated hopping between adjacent sites in a limited high-temperature region (200 \sim 300 K). With increasing small bipolaron density, Rb_n/Rb_2 -P enters a region that demonstrates VRH and polaron relaxation at n = 0.61[see Figs. 2(d) and 3(d)]. Increasing density in a PWL can relax the initial lattice deformations and, in turn, weaken W, giving rise to VRH of polarons in an extended temperature range [46,48]. This behavior continues up to the mobility edge around which a continuous transition to extended states was reported at n = 0.89 [4]. A phase diagram summarizing the evolution of the charge dynamics pertaining to Rb_n/Rb_2 -P (solid squares) is shown in Fig. 7.

In contrast to Rb_n/Rb_2 -P, the percolation of small bipolarons at $n \approx 0.4$ does not give rise to NNH in K_n/K_2 -P. It remains a stubborn insulator. One possible factor contributing to this behavior is the stronger λ of K, which creates a comparatively deeper deformation potential that restrains temperatureactivated behavior. However, interestingly, E_b estimated for K_n/K_2 -P is not significantly larger than that of Rb_n/Rb_2 -P (see Table I). Another factor that comes into play is the 4s electron wave-function, which is less spread in comparison to the 5s electron wave-function of Rb, resulting in a smaller t' or J. At n = 0.62, resistivity below the measurement limit is



FIG. 7. The phase diagram depicting the charge dynamics in a deformable lattice from the perspective of an interacting gas of small (bi)polarons. See the text for details.

observed, however, in a very limited high-temperature region. Subsequently, NNH is observed at n = 0.69 in a temperature range wide enough to perform fitting [see Fig. 2(a)]. It is observed that NNH appears at a comparably larger density in the PWL in the case of K_n/K_2 -P. At n = 1.00, at high density and immediately below the reported mobility edge [3], VRH and polaron relaxation are observed in K_n/K_2 -P [see Figs. 2(b) and 3(b)]. This behavior continues in the insulating samples of K_n/K_2 -P up to the mobility edge, at which a discontinuous transition to extended states was reported at n = 1.09 [3]. The microscopic mechanism at work behind this discontinuity is not understood. The evolution of the charge dynamics pertaining to K_n/K_2 -P is also shown in Fig. 7 (solid circles). The discontinuity of the reported transition [3] is illustrated by changing the broken red line denoting the mobility edge to a solid line. The hatched regions in Fig. 7 at stronger and weaker λ are yet to be explored using impedance spectroscopy.

Although the optical properties suggest the formation of small bipolarons from very low values of *n*, both K_n/K_2 -P and

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 98, 035125 (2018)

Rb_n/Rb₂-P provide no convincing evidence of polaron relaxation and hopping for samples deep within the insulating phase. The small bipolarons are isolated below P_c , and we conjecture that for NNH conduction of polarons, realization of an array of interacting small (bi)polarons through percolation is a necessity. At comparatively weaker λ and larger t', this condition is sufficient as observed for Rb_n/Rb₂-P. However, for stronger λ , it is not; NNH appears at a higher density as observed for K_n/K₂-P possibly due to an increase in J and readjustments in the electronic potential. Polaron relaxation and VRH becomes evident simultaneously after a region of NNH with a further increase in the density, which is clearly commensurate with λ and J(t').

In summary, we performed impedance spectroscopy and optical measurements of two model systems used to investigate the metal-insulator transition in a deformable lattice. The bulk conductivity immediately below the mobility edge indeed shows a region demonstrating polaron relaxation and variablerange hopping conduction. Below this region and above the percolation threshold for small bipolarons, we observe a region demonstrating nearest-neighbor hopping conduction. Below the percolation threshold, isolation of small bipolarons suppress any form of hopping conduction. We show that the charge dynamics of an interacting gas of small (bi)polarons is strongly dependent on the density, the electron-lattice coupling strength, and the intersite electron transfer energy (or the electronic overlap integral between adjacent sites). We propose a phase diagram based on our experimental results, which has many implications for bizarre properties of disordered systems, transport near the mobility edge, and Mooij correlations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.P.H. thanks S. Tamiya, T. Nakano, and Y. Nozue for experimental support. G.P.H. acknowledges financial support from the Research Promotion Division of Osaka University and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan under the program for promoting research activities and enhancement of research universities.

- D. Di Sante, S. Fratini, V. Dobrosavljević, and S. Ciuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 036602 (2017).
- [2] C. K. Lee, J. Moix, and J. Cao, J. Chem. Phys. 142, 164103 (2015).
- [3] G. P. Hettiarachchi, T. Nakano, Y. Masaki, M. N. Mohd Muhid, H. Hamdan, and Y. Nozue, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 84, 014702 (2015).
- [4] G. P. Hettiarachchi, F. Moriasa, Y. Nishida, T. Nakano, M. N. Mohd Muhid, and H. Hamdan, Phys. Rev. B 96, 155115 (2017).
- [5] G. P. Hettiarachchi, M. N. Mohd Muhid, and H. Hamdan, Phys. Rev. B 97, 155142 (2018).
- [6] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- [7] J. H. Mooij, Phys. Status Solidi A 17, 521 (1973).

- [8] M. Jonson and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1447 (1979).
- [9] H. M. Rønnow, C. Renner, G. Aeppli, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 440, 1025 (2006).
- [10] A. J. Millis, Nature (London) 392, 147 (1998).
- [11] M. Bonn, K. Miyata, E. Hendry, and X.-Y. Zhu, ACS Energy Lett. 2, 2555 (2017).
- [12] D. Emin, *Polarons* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2013).
- [13] L. Yu, Solitons and Polarons in Conducting Polymers (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [14] E. Romero, R. Augulis, V. I. Novoderezhkin, M. Ferretti, J. Thieme, D. Zigmantas, and R. van Grondelle, Nat. Phys. 10, 676 (2014).

- [15] M. H. Cohen, E. N. Economou, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1202 (1983).
- [16] M. H. Cohen, E. N. Economou, and C. M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B 29, 4496 (1984).
- [17] T. Nakano and Y. Nozue, Adv. Phys.: X 2, 254 (2017).
- [18] O. Bidault, M. Maglione, M. Actis, M. Kchikech, and B. Salce, Phys. Rev. B 52, 4191 (1995).
- [19] C. Ang, Z. Yu, Z. Jing, P. Lunkenheimer, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. B 61, 3922 (2000).
- [20] L. Zhang and Z.-J. Tang, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174306 (2004).
- [21] A. Karmakar, S. Majumdar, and S. Giri, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094406 (2009).
- [22] X. Zhong, P. LeClair, S. K. Sarker, and A. Gupta, Phys. Rev. B 86, 094114 (2012).
- [23] N. F. Mott, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1, 1 (1968).
- [24] N. F. Mott and E. A. Davis, *Electronic Proceeses in Non-crystalline Materials* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1979).
- [25] B. R. Albert, A. K. Cheetham, J. A. Stuart, and C. J. Adams, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 21, 133 (1998).
- [26] P. Kubelka and F. Munk, Z. Tech. Phys. 12, 593 (1931).
- [27] J. T. S. Irvine, D. C. Sinclair, and A. R. West, Adv. Mater. 2, 132 (1990).
- [28] A. L. Efros and B. I. Shklovskii, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 8, L49 (1975).
- [29] D. N. Tsigankov and A. L. Efros, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 176602 (2002).
- [30] S. R. Elliott, Adv. Phys. 36, 135 (1987).
- [31] A. K. Jonscher, *Dielectric Relaxation in Solids* (Chelsea Dielectrics, London, 1983).
- [32] J. Giuntini, B. Deroide, P. Belougne, and J. Zanchetta, Solid State Commun. 62, 739 (1987).
- [33] W. K. Lee, J. F. Liu, and A. S. Nowick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1559 (1991).

- [34] A. Seeger, P. Lunkenheimer, J. Hemberger, A. A. Mukhin, V. Y. Ivanov, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, J. Phys.: Condens. Mater. 11, 3273 (1999).
- [35] G. Williams and D. C. Watts, Trans. Faraday Soc. 66, 80 (1970).
- [36] C. C. Homes, T. Vogt, S. M. Shapiro, S. Wakimoto, and A. P. Ramirez, Science 293, 673 (2001).
- [37] J. Wu, C. W. Nan, Y. Lin, and Y. Deng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 217601 (2002).
- [38] H. G. Reik and D. Heese, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 28, 581 (1967).
- [39] D. Emin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 13691 (1993).
- [40] A. V. Puchkov, T. Timusk, M. A. Karlow, S. L. Cooper, P. D. Han, and D. A. Payne, Phys. Rev. B 52, R9855(R) (1995).
- [41] R. Arita, T. Miyake, T. Kotani, M. van Schilfgaarde, T. Oka, K. Kuroki, Y. Nozue, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 69, 195106 (2004).
- [42] S. Mildner, J. Hoffmann, P. E. Blöchl, S. Techert, and C. Jooss, Phys. Rev. B 92, 035145 (2015).
- [43] S. Ciuchi and S. Fratini, Phys. Rev. B 79, 035113 (2009).
- [44] I. G. Austin and N. F. Mott, Adv. Phys. 18, 41 (1969).
- [45] H. Holloway, Phys. Rev. B 37, 874 (1988).
- [46] S. Fratini and P. Quémerais, Eur. Phys. J. B 14, 99 (2000).
- [47] S. Fratini and P. Quémerais, Eur. Phys. J. B 29, 41 (2002).
- [48] G. Iadonisi, V. Mukhomorov, G. Cantele, and D. Ninno, Phys. Rev. B 76, 144303 (2007).
- [49] L. Baldassarre, A. Perucchi, E. Arcangeletti, D. Nicoletti, D. Di Castro, P. Postorino, V. A. Sidorov, and S. Lupi, Phys. Rev. B 75, 245108 (2007).
- [50] L. V. Gasparov, D. B. Tanner, D. B. Romero, H. Berger, G. Margaritondo, and L. Forro, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7939 (2000).
- [51] A. Perucchi, L. Baldassarre, A. Nucara, P. Calvani, C. Adamo, D. G. Schlom, P. Orgiani, L. Maritato, and S. Lupi, Nano Lett. 10, 4819 (2010).