# Splitting of charge-density and spin-density excitations of a bipolar plasma in a frequency shifter of mixed type-I and type-II quantum wells

B. Fluegel,<sup>1</sup> L. N. Pfeiffer,<sup>2</sup> K. West,<sup>2</sup> and A. Mascarenhas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 15013 Denver West Pkwy., Golden, Colorado 80401, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

(Received 16 January 2018; revised manuscript received 8 May 2018; published 28 June 2018)

The Raman frequency of the intersubband charge-density excitation plasmon in type-I and type-II quantum wells is known to be very sensitively controlled via a low-power optical pump signal. We find that, above a threshold electron density of approximately  $4 \pm 2 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, the charge density plasmon mode splits first into two, and then with increasing density into three closely spaced frequencies. A similar splitting occurs in the spin-density wave plasmon associated with the same intersubband transition. We analyze the results including the coupling to the longitudinal optical phonon and hypothesize that the splittings arise from the special situation of a structure of spatially separated bipolar plasmons.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.235313

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inelastic light scattering in modulation-doped single (SQW) and multiple (MQW) quantum wells has allowed extensive measurements of the collective modes of motion in twodimensional electron gases (2DEGs). The spectrum and dispersion of these modes are strongly dependent on the 2DEG density, thus motivating schemes of various complexities to vary this density within a single device. In undoped structures, densities of somewhat-hot electrons were varied simply by photogenerating carriers under very high laser intensity [1]. Doped electron densities can be varied over a wide range by applying a vertical electric field across the QW, thus driving the asymmetrically doped electrons out of the well [2]. This has the undesired effect that the bands bent by the space-charge field are simultaneously "unbent," changing their confined electron levels and complicating analysis. Periodically doped nipi homostructures [3] allow high variable densities to be generated optically, but their band structure is significantly modified and it further changes under optical excitation.

An ideal device that exhibits the necessary controllable density is the mixed type I and type II quantum well [4] (MTQW) which is type I (spatially direct transitions) in absorption while being type II (spatially indirect) in emission. The MTQW is a highly effective charge-separation device that can achieve high carrier densities under weak continuous wave illumination. It consists of a narrow well (NW) into which light is strongly absorbed, separated from a wide well (WW) into which the photoelectrons rapidly scatter. The transfer rate is aided by a carefully designed X-band state in the barrier. The corresponding transfer of the photoholes into the WW proceeds far more slowly, resulting in a charge separation between holes in the NW and electrons in the WW. This charge separation impedes recombination [5], resulting in high WW electron density and NW hole density.

Based on the energy shift of the scattered laser light and the dependence of that shift on optically excited electron density, the MTQW was proposed and demonstrated as an all-optical frequency shifter [6]. It used a microwatt control beam exciting

the NW to change the frequency of the outgoing signal light over a linear range near 9 THz. This was the device's most sensitive range, and the light-scattering mechanism is understood to be the upper branch of the coupled mode that arises from the longitudinal optical (LO) phonon and the intersubband charge density excitation plasmon [7] (CDE) of the WW. However, the limitations to that frequency range were not fully investigated. Our results below using higher electron density and polarization analysis shows that the shifting coupled plasmon-phonon mode splits above a critical density and is further modified by coupling with the barrier phonon.

#### **II. EXPERIMENT**

Our MTQW frequency shifter consists of five periods of: a 26 Å GaAs narrow well, 102 Å AlAs barrier, 198 Å GaAs wide well, and a second barrier. The WW, which will host the 2DEG studied here, has its lowest confined optical transitions at 1.522 eV at low temperature; for the NW it is 1.92 eV (Ref. [8]). As shown below, there is a large difference, by a factor of over 300, in the efficiency of WW electron generation indirectly excited by laser excitation above the NW absorption edge compared to excitation below it, i.e., directly in the WW. Raman measurements are therefore done by using a two-beam measurement in which the electron population is controlled at the microwatt level by using a 2.3 eV excitation laser above the NW energy. This electron population will be unaffected by the mW power of the second laser, which measures the Raman scattering at an energy below the NW absorption. The Raman laser at 1.857 eV is also resonant with the GaAs spin-orbit band edge. Excitation and collection were arranged in a backscattering geometry with a variable angle between the sample normal and the lasers. The scattering was analyzed both parallel and orthogonal to the incident laser polarization. All measurements were done at 10 K. The sample was previously measured by using photoluminescence lineshape analysis to determine the electron concentration as a function of excitation intensity [8]. All of the light-scattering results shown below



FIG. 1. Single-particle excitations. (a) Spectra in the orthogonal polarization exhibiting a cutoff on the high-energy side. (b) Fit of the cutoff to  $\hbar k_{\parallel} v_F$  yielding the Fermi velocity and electron density. (c) Scaled data from Ref. [15] calibrating the electron density to excitation-laser intensity.

were qualitatively reproduced in a second sample [9] grown in a different laboratory using the identical WW-NW-barrier design.

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the NW, the quantized levels of both the electron and hole are widely separated and their intersubband spacings are thus outside the measurement spectral region. In the WW, the lowest two confined electron levels at low density are calculated to be 12 and 48 meV, leaving the intersubband spacing  $E_{01}$  very close to the LO phonon energy  $E_{LO}$ . The space charge due to photogenerated excitation of electrons in the WW and holes in the NW gives a symmetrical band bending [10] that will have a smaller effect on  $E_{01}$  compared with the asymmetrical potential generated by one-sided modulation doping [11]. With  $E_{01}$  close to  $E_{LO}$ , we expect strong coupling between the LO phonon and CDE. Without knowing the ordering of the two closely separated uncoupled energies, we expect the lower quasiparticle to drop asymptotically toward  $E_{TO}$  as  $E_{01}$ increases with electron density, and the upper quasiparticle to similarly rise toward  $E_{01}$ .

We first characterize in Fig. 1(a) the wave-vector dependence of the low-frequency intrasubband light scattering in the orthogonal polarization. The peak extending from  $0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at larger sample angles is the spin-flip single particle continuum [12]. As the sample angle is increased, the distinct shoulder seen on the high-energy side of this peak marks the upper edge of the single particle excitation (SPE) continuum determined by  $\hbar k_{\parallel} v_F$  where  $k_{\parallel}$  is the wavevector parallel to the layer and  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity [12]. The fit for several larger values of the SPE cutoff energy and  $k_{\parallel}$  are shown in Fig. 1(b), yielding a density of  $n = 1.9 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  under a continuous wave excitation of only  $I = 0.33 \text{ W/cm}^2$ . This density is within a factor of two from that obtained by the nonlinear function  $n_e(I)$ measured by a photoluminescence lineshape analysis on the



FIG. 2. Light-scattering spectra taken in the parallel polarization configuration under different excitation-beam intensities. Curves are displaced vertically and the intensity is shown to the right.

same sample in Ref. [8]. For our results we use the function of Ref. [8] scaled to agree with Fig. 1(b). This relation is shown in Fig. 1(c). The maximum Fermi energy reached for the electron population in the following work will be 8 meV, corresponding to  $n_e = 2.2 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, occupying only the lowest subband.

In Fig. 2,  $k_{\parallel}$  is set to 0 and all intrasubband transitions are thus absent from light scattering. The intersubband transitions are seen in the higher energy range around  $E_{01}$ . Light scattering spectra measured in the parallel polarization are plotted for different values of the excitation laser intensity. With increasing power, the plasmons shift in frequency, reflecting the increased electron density  $n_e$ . Electron generation by the Raman laser, which is below the NW absorption edge, is far less efficient. For example, the Raman laser intensity needed to replicate the plasmon energies in the spectrum labeled 2.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> is greater than this by a factor of 8000 in the absence of the excitation laser.

Below approximately 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> the plasmon spectrum consists of results similar to those reported in Ref. [6]: the coupled [13] CDE-plasmon–LO-phonon modes, LOP<sup>+</sup> and LOP<sup>-</sup>, respectively increasing and decreasing in energy



FIG. 3. Energies and fittings of plasmon peaks measured in parallel polarization (solid symbols) and orthogonal polarization (open symbols). (a) Parallel polarization light-scattering spectrum at  $1320 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  showing the LOP<sup>-</sup> and three LOP<sup>+</sup> peaks. (b) Orthogonal polarization spectrum at  $330 \text{ mW/cm}^2$  showing the two SDE peaks. (c) Energies of plasmon peaks [symbols identified in panels (a) and (b)] as a function of electron density using the calibration of Fig. 1(c). Solid curves are solutions of the coupled plasmon-LO phonon mode energies and the density-dependent CDE energy.

with increasing excitation power. Above this power,  $LOP^+$  splits into two peaks. A closer examination of the energies, linewidths, and amplitudes of the two peaks near this splitting on a similar sample (not shown) identifies the lower-frequency peak as the one which evolves continuously from the single low-power peak. With further increase in density both  $LOP^+$  peaks increase in energy and at 170 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> a third peak splits off on the low-energy side. The LOP<sup>-</sup> begins at low density as a broad shoulder on the LO phonon, decreasing in energy as the density increases.

These results are summarized in Fig. 3, where the frequencies of the plasmon peaks seen in Fig. 2 are plotted as a function of  $n_e$ . The high-power light-scattering curve replotted in Fig. 3(a) identifies the symbols used for each peak in Fig. 3(c). There, the split upper branches labeled LOP<sup>+</sup> and the lower branch labeled LOP<sup>-</sup> agree with the solid lines which are the calculated results of coupled LOphonon-plasmon modes when the intersubband spacing  $E_{01}$  is very close to  $E_{LO}$ . We have assumed without loss of generality that  $E_{01}$  is slightly larger. As predicted by the coupling [13], increasing photogenerated electron density results in the CDE and LOP<sup>+</sup> energies increasing from  $E_{01}$  whereas the LOP<sup>-</sup> energy decreases to the energy of the transverse optical (TO) phonon. The LOP solid curves were fit to the measured points by using a value for the Coulomb interaction matrix element of 1.5 nm, which agrees well with the value found in Ref. [7] for 204 Å wells. The calculations in Fig. 3(c) do not include a contribution from band bending. In single-sided modulation-doped Type-I QWs that are commonly used for light-scattering measurements, self-consistent calculations of subband energies [11] show a widening of  $E_{01}$  with increasing doping. The present MTQW is also a space-charge structure; however, the charge is symmetrically spaced around the well, resulting in less change to  $E_{01}$ . Modeling a possible band bending as a phenomenological linear increase in the CDE energy pushes both of the LOP branches to higher energy, in disagreement with the measured data points. We therefore conclude that the effect of band bending on the CDE energy is small compared with the increase via the Coulomb interaction.

In the orthogonally polarized light scattering, a split peak is seen below the LO phonon energy. Figure 3(b) shows one such spectrum whose peak energies are extracted and displayed as open symbols in Fig. 3(c). The split peak is identified as the spin-density excitation (SDE) plasmon based on the polarization and density dependence. The latter agrees with the expected behavior of a SDE [14], i.e., the energy drops with increasing  $n_e$  from its low-density value of  $E_{01}$  and is unaffected by the phonon crossing.

The origin of the splitting at elevated density in both LOP<sup>+</sup> and the SDE is unknown. One possibility is that unequal electron populations are being photogenerated among the five repetitions of the WW due to linear attenuation of the excitation laser. However, this is inconsistent with the LOP<sup>+</sup> splitting being absent at low power. As seen in Fig. 1(c), the electron population is most sensitive to laser power in the low-power region and the LOP<sup>+</sup> energy's increase with density is also strongest in this density region [Fig. 3(c)]. The lack of splitting in LOP<sup>-</sup> [Fig. 3(a)] further argues against a nonuniform electron population. Another possibility was suggested in Ref. [15] where a 6 meV splitting of the CDE associated with the excited-state electron transition  $E_0 \rightarrow E_2$  (but not its SDE) was attributed to a close match of this energy with  $E_{LO}$ . This may refer simply to the LOP+-LOP- splitting, which is not the present situation. In either case, our MTQW LOP<sup>+</sup> splits only after its energy has moved well above  $E_{LO}$  and where  $n_e$  is above a threshold density that is in the range  $2-6 \times 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. That electron density is well exceeded in previous studies of modulation-doped wells [12,7,14]; however, a unique aspect of the MTQW is the bipolar plasma that is generated with electrons in the WW and holes in the NW. Theoretical works [16,17] have shown that coupling between spatially separated bilayers, either bipolar or unipolar, results in a new coupled mode: an undamped acoustic mode. Experimentally this has been demonstrated for the case of an electron bilayer [18], but those results concern only the low-frequency intraband spectral region. There has been no prior work on a spatially separated electron-hole multilayer that would include the intersubband plasmons investigated here. This may be a fruitful direction in which to look for the physics underlying the plasmon splitting that is now observed.

The upper energy of the LOP<sup>+</sup> is seen in Fig. 3(c) to be bounded by the energy of the TO phonon of the pure AlAs barrier. This phonon can be seen in the shoulder at approximately  $365 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in Fig. 3(a) and its energy is plotted in Fig. 3(c). Further increase in the excitation power beyond that shown caused the three LOP<sup>+</sup> peaks to converge without exceeding the AlAs TO energy. This clamping effect below the phonon energy would be well understood in the case of a phonon in the *quantum well layer* as it couples with the rising plasmon; however, Fig. 3 suggests that a similar coupling exists between the plasmon in the well and the ionic oscillation in the barrier. This is analogous to other cases of coupling between spatially separated excitations such as surface plasmon polaritons or coupled plasmons in bilayers [16].

In conclusion, the physical mechanisms governing light scattering in the MTQW at low electron densities do not hold for densities beyond  $4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . In addition to a coupling with the barrier phonon, the light shifted beyond

- A. Pinczuk, J. Shah, A. C. Gossard, and W. Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1341 (1981).
- [2] A. R. Goñi, U. Haboeck, C. Thomsen, K. Eberl, F. A. Reboredo, C. R. Proetto, and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B 65, 121313(R) (2002).
- [3] Ch. Zeller, B. Vinter, G. Abstreiter, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. B 26, 2124 (1982).
- [4] P. Dawson, I. Galbraith, A. I. Kucharska, and C. T. Foxon, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 2889 (1991).
- [5] P. Dawson and M. J. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. B 68, 115326 (2003).
- [6] B. Fluegel, A. Mascarenhas, D. W. Snoke, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Nat. Photonics 1, 701 (2007).
- [7] A. Pinczuk, J. M. Worlock, H. L. Störmer, R. Dingle, W. Wiegmann, and A. C. Gossard, Solid State Commun. 36, 43 (1980).
- [8] R. Guliamov, E. Lifshitz, E. Cohen, A. Ron, and L. N. Pfeiffer, Phys. Rev B 64, 035314 (2001).
- [9] A. Mialitsin, S. Schmult, I. Solov'yov, B. Fluegel, and A. Mascarenhas, Superlattices Microstruct. 51, 834 (2012).
- [10] G. Bastard, Wave Mechanics Applied to Semiconductor Heterostructures (Les Editions de Physique, Les Ulis Cedex, France, 1990) Chap. V.

this limit is split by interactions that require further theoretical study.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was authored in part by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, the manager and operator of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy, Basic Energy Science, Division of Material Sciences. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

- [11] C. Schüller, Inelastic Light Scattering of Semiconductor Nanostructures (Springer, Berlin, Heidleberg, 2006).
- [12] G. Fasol, N. Mestres, M. Dobers, A. Fischer, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. B 36, 1565 (1987).
- [13] A. Pinczuk and G. Abstreiter, in *Light Scattering in Solids V Superlattices and Other Microstructures*, edited by M. Cardona and G. Güntherodt (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidleberg, 1989).
- [14] A. Pinczuk, S. Schmitt-Rink, G. Danan, J. P. Valladares, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1633 (1989).
- [15] A. Pinczuk and G. Abstreiter, in *Light Scattering in Solids V Superlattices and Other Microstructures*, edited by M. Cardona and G. Güntherodt (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidleberg, 1989), p.177.
- [16] S. Das Sarma and A. Madhukar, Phys. Rev. B 23, 805 (1981).
- [17] G. E. Santoro and G. F. Giuliani, Phys. Rev. B. 37, 937 (1988).
- [18] D. S. Kainth, D. Richards, A. S. Bhatti, H. P. Hughes, M. Y. Simmons, E. H. Linfield, and D. A. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. B 59, 2095 (1999).