ပ္စာ

First-principles electron transport with phonon coupling: Large scale at low cost

Tue Gunst,^{1,*} Troels Markussen,² Mattias L. N. Palsgaard,² Kurt Stokbro,² and Mads Brandbyge¹

¹*Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology (DTU Nanotech), Center for Nanostructured Graphene (CNG), Technical University of*

Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

²*QuantumWise A/S, Fruebjergvej 3, Postbox 4, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

(Received 28 June 2017; published 11 October 2017)

Phonon-assisted tunneling plays a crucial role for electronic device performance and even more so with future size down-scaling. We show how one can include this effect in large-scale first-principles calculations using a single "special thermal displacement" (STD) of the atomic coordinates at almost the same cost as elastic transport calculations, by extending the recent method of Zacharias *et al.* [\[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125)**, [075125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125)] to the important case of Landauer conductance. We apply the method to ultrascaled silicon devices and demonstrate the importance of phonon-assisted band-to-band and source-to-drain tunneling. In a diode the phonons lead to a rectification ratio suppression in good agreement with experiments, while in an ultrathin body transistor the phonons increase off currents by four orders of magnitude, and the subthreshold swing by a factor of 4, in agreement with perturbation theory.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161404)

Introduction. Electron-phonon inelastic scattering is one of the major challenges for emerging high-performance ultrascaled devices, from the viewpoint of both experiments and device simulations [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Semiclassical device simulations fail to describe quantum tunneling, while atomistic quantum simulations often are too time-consuming to treat phonon scattering accurately. Reducing the computational cost of inelastic, compared to elastic, device simulations has therefore been an important and unsolved challenge for decades since the first ultrascaled transistors emerged. In the extreme limit of molecular-scale devices there are accurate first-principles methods for inelastic processes available $[2-13]$, while in the opposite bulk continuum limit, deformation potentials (DPs) are extracted for Boltzmann transport equations (BTEs) that accurately describe low bias transport [\[14–17\]](#page-4-0). However, in between these two regimes efficient computational methods are missing. One approach is to apply the continuum DP, despite the fact that electron-phonon coupling (EPC) is known to change significantly in nanostructured devices [\[18–20\]](#page-4-0) and in an electrostatic environment [\[21\]](#page-4-0). Alternatively, it is possible to perform atomistic tight-binding calculations with coarse diagonal self-energy approximations at an extensive computational cost [\[22,23\]](#page-4-0). Modern computers are unable to include EPC from first-principles beyond the molecular scale, while the understanding and design of emerging ultrascaled devices calls for atomistic simulations with an accurate description of EPC for thousands of atoms including quantum confinement, strain, and surface effects.

Stochastic sampling of lattice fluctuations, through molecular dynamics [\[24–](#page-4-0)[30\]](#page-5-0) (MD) and Monte Carlo [\[31–33\]](#page-5-0), has previously been used to estimate the variation of the Landauer conductance or dielectric function with temperature. Key motivations in these developments are the conceptual simplicity and computer memory efficiency compared to perturbation theory (PT). The MD is able to capture anharmonic effects, but is limited to the classical high-temperature regime for systems with light atoms, neglecting zero-point motion and low-temperature freeze-out of phonons [\[31–34\]](#page-5-0). However, the computational cost of sampling all atomic displacements in the configuration space introduces yet a system-size-scaling cost which remains an obstacle in all these methods.

Recently, Zacharias and Giustino [\[35\]](#page-5-0) showed that the stochastic sampling of configurations can be replaced by a *single* optimal supercell configuration for band gap renormalization and phonon-assisted optical absorption. Inspired by the work of Zacharias and Giustino, we present in this Rapid Communication a "special thermal displacement" (STD) method based on nonequilibrium Green's functions (NEGFs). The STD method is able to deterministically handle EPC in systems with thousands of atoms with a computational burden equivalent to that of elastic transport. This extends the capability of computer simulations to handle nanometer-scaled devices. The method applies to systems with a high degree of repetition of the same basic unit cell since it relies on cancellations of errors between degenerate phonon modes. Often good force fields exist in such systems, while the electron-phonon coupling is less well described. We therefore combine phonons obtained by a force field with the EPC evaluated from density functional theory (DFT). We target systems which have a bulklike representation of vibrations (nonlocalized), which is the case for a large selection of technologically important devices. As key examples, we study the properties of bulk silicon, the performance of silicon-based rectifiers, and double-gated metal-oxide-semiconductor fieldeffect transistors (MOSFETs). We demonstrate how EPC can be studied by first-principles calculations for systems with thousands of atoms using modest computer resources, while yielding results consistent with PT for smaller systems. This makes the STD method a promising nanoscale design tool for predicting trends in realistic nanodevices under working conditions.

Finite temperature phonon-assisted tunneling. The starting point is to consider the adiabatic limit of slowly moving atoms where we consider the parametric dependence of the

^{*}tue.gunst@nanotech.dtu.dk

retarded device Green's function, $\mathbf{G}^r(E, {\mathbf{u}}_k)$, on the nuclear displacements, $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}(T, V)$. The thermally averaged current is given by [\[36\]](#page-5-0)

$$
I(V,T) = \frac{2e}{h} \int dE \langle T(E,T) \rangle [f_L - f_R],
$$

$$
\langle T(E,T) \rangle = \Pi_{\lambda} \int du_{\lambda} \frac{\exp(-u_{\lambda}^2/2\sigma_{\lambda}^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\lambda}} T(E, \{u_{\lambda}\}),
$$
 (1)

where $\mathcal{T}(E, {\bf{u}}_\lambda) = \text{Tr}[\Gamma_L {\bf{G}}^r({\bf{u}}_\lambda)) \Gamma_R {\bf{G}}^a({\bf{u}}_\lambda)]$, Γ_α are the electrode coupling matrices, and f_α the Fermi function at the chemical potential of lead *α*. The phonon modes are labeled by λ with frequency ω_{λ} , eigenmode vector \mathbf{e}_{λ} , and characteristic length, l_{λ} . The Gaussian width σ is related to the mean-square displacement $\langle \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}^2 \rangle = l_{\lambda}^2[2n_B(T) + 1] = \sigma_{\lambda}^2(T)$ at a temperature *T* . In principle these integrals can be computed directly for small systems by Gaussian quadratures or by Monte Carlo importance sampling to obtain the average over the ensemble of possible atomic positions. However, a single STD, \mathbf{u}_{STD} , is sufficient for large systems with a high repetition of smaller unit cells, defined as

$$
\mathbf{u}_{\text{STD}}(T) = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} (-1)^{\lambda - 1} \sigma_{\lambda}(T) \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}.
$$
 (2)

Here s_λ denotes the sign of the first nonzero element in e_λ enforcing the same choice of "gauge" for the modes. Our equations are in a form similar to the dielectric function of bulk systems considered by Zacharias and Giustino [\[35,37\]](#page-5-0). For completeness we repeat the argument [\[35\]](#page-5-0) stating that the STD configuration gives the correct thermal average for large systems by comparing the Taylor expansion of Eq. (1) around the equilibrium configuration evaluated at the mode displacements,

$$
\mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\}) = \mathcal{T}_{0}(E) + \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}} \mathbf{u}_{\lambda} \n+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}^{2}} \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{3}), \n\langle \mathcal{T}(E, T) \rangle = \mathcal{T}_{0}(E) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda}^{2}(T) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{4})
$$
\n(3)

to the Taylor expansion around the STD configuration \mathbf{u}_{STD} evaluated at zero:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\text{STD}}(E,T) = \mathcal{T}_0(E) - \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda}} s_{\lambda}(-1)^{\lambda - 1} \sigma_{\lambda}(T)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \lambda'} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{T}(E, \{\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda} \partial \mathbf{u}_{\lambda'}} s_{\lambda} s_{\lambda'}(-1)^{\lambda + \lambda' - 2} \sigma_{\lambda}
$$

$$
\times (T) \sigma_{\lambda'}(T) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^3). \tag{4}
$$

The two successive terms, in the sum of the first-order part of Eq. (4), cancel each other since for large systems the two phonon modes λ and $\lambda + 1$ are near degenerate resulting in an equivalent electron-phonon coupling and transmission

TUE GUNST *et al.* PHYSICAL REVIEW B **96**, 161404(R) (2017)

derivatives. The second-order term in Eq. (4) is finite only for $\lambda = \lambda'$ and specifically λ and $\lambda + 1$ terms once again have opposite signs. Hereby the STD expression Eq. (4) approaches the direct result Eq. (3) for $N \to \infty$. According to Ref. [\[35\]](#page-5-0) the accuracy can be controlled not only by system size but also by configurational averaging over configurations with a systematically flipped sign in a subset of the mode displacements in Eq. (2). Unlike PT, which relies on a series truncation at the lowest $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2) \sim \mathcal{O}(u^2)$, the STD expression holds to all orders in σ_{λ} . This is consistent with the adiabatic assumption of large displacements and low velocities. The current in Eq. (1) evaluated from the STD, Eq. (2), provides a simple model treating phonon-assisted tunneling and temperature-dependent EPC renormalization of the electronic structure on an equal footing. The STD approximates the correct thermal average, $\langle T(E,T) \rangle \approx T(E,\mathbf{u}_{\text{STD}}(T))$, of the Landauer conductance, and resembles the special quasirandom structures (SQS) used to model infinite random alloys [\[38\]](#page-5-0). The phonon occupations could include a contribution, in addition to the thermal n_B , from finite bias heating. This would pave the way for current-saturation and heating modeling in nanoscale devices in the future.

Silicon n-i-n junction device. We now turn to device characteristics including EPC [\[39\]](#page-5-0). Figure [1](#page-2-0) presents full quantum device simulations including EPC for a twodimensional Si *n*-*i*-*n* double-gated MOSFET with 10 nm gate length. Decreasing the gate voltage the device goes from an on state where the current originates from thermionic emission to an off state where the current is determined by source-to-drain tunneling through the barrier. Comparing the interacting STD-Landauer result with the elastic calculation shows that the on current is almost unchanged by phonon scattering [Fig. $1(b)$]. The on current reaches a value of ∼10 A*/*m even with phonon scattering at 300 K. However, phonon-assisted tunneling is found to increase the off-state current by four orders of magnitude. Consequently, we extract a significant subthreshold swing (*S*) degradation from $S \approx$ 97 mV/dec to $S \approx 375$ mV/dec at 300 K. Existing device simulations on silicon FETs have not reported any significant phonon-assisted *S* degradation, most likely because they either neglect quantum tunneling, or are based on deformation potentials (corresponding to a purely imaginary and diagonal self-energy in the NEGF formalism) and effective-mass or tight-binding approximations [\[40–43\]](#page-5-0). A single study found a significant increase in the subthreshold current in silicon nanowires (SiNWs) partly traced back to the renormalization (self-energy real part), however, still within deformation potential approximations $[44]$. In Fig. [1\(d\)](#page-2-0) we illustrate the temperature-dependent broadening and shift of the density of states that effectively modifies the barrier thickness and phonon-assisted tunneling rates from electron states with *s/d*type orbital character through evanescent *p*-type states in the intrinsic barrier region. Since elastic tunneling is suppressed by the orbital symmetry, we find that the off current is highly sensitive to temperature and significantly increased by EPC at finite temperature.

These results agree with quantum PT, as implemented in the lowest order expansion (LOE) method $[2,45]$ $[2,45]$. The LOE calculation essentially requires evaluation of the transition rates between scattering states for each phonon mode one

FIG. 1. (a) Silicon *n-i-n* junction tunneling device with a source and drain doping of 1.0×10^{21} cm⁻³, length of 33 nm, and ~2000 atoms. (b) Current versus gate voltage, *V_G*, for a source-drain voltage *V_{SD}* = 0.1 V and at 300 K. (c) Current at *V_G* = −1.6 V (on) and *V_G* = 0 V (off) as a function of temperature for $V_{SD} = 0.1$ V. (d) Tunneling barrier dependence on V_G and temperature illustrated by the local device density of states (LDOS). Regions of low (high) LDOS are shown in dark (bright) color illustrating the forbidden (permitted) bands along the device. Finite temperature electron-phonon coupling increases the off-state current hereby degrading the device performance.

by one. This makes a full LOE calculation computationally more expensive by a factor of at least 6000 from the number of phonon modes present in the device. This is a tremendous task and to achieve this for a single gate value we employ several computational approximations [\[36\]](#page-5-0). In Fig. $1(c)$, we show the temperature dependence of the on and off currents and validate the STD-Landauer result with the computational expensive LOE calculation for the off state. Importantly, we obtain an excellent match between the LOE and the STD-Landauer method. The temperature dependence of the current shows that phonon-assisted tunneling is frozen out below 150 K. Similarly, other simulations have found that phonon broadening of single impurity levels in SiNWs suppress current saturation above 150 K [\[46\]](#page-5-0). In conclusion, phonon-assisted tunneling is found to play a major role for leakage currents in ultrathin-body transistors at room temperature.

Silicon rectifiers. Next we show that finite temperature EPC does not only increase source-to-drain tunneling, but also significantly increases the band-to-band tunneling in *p*-*n* junctions. In Fig. [2](#page-3-0) we consider transport in a short (6.5 nm) and a long (19.6 nm) silicon *p*-*n* junction [\[7](#page-4-0)[,47,48\]](#page-5-0) with transport in the [100] crystal direction.

Figures $2(c)$ and $2(d)$ show the modification of the IV characteristics due to EPC in the short (long) rectifier. To demonstrate the validity of the STD-Landauer method, we start by comparing the IV curves obtained with that from a PT(LOE) calculation [\[2,](#page-4-0)[45\]](#page-5-0). Again, the PT calculation is computationally more expensive by a factor of at least 150 from the number of modes in the device. Nevertheless, we obtain an almost perfect match between the two in Fig. [2\(c\).](#page-3-0)

One challenge for DFT simulations of silicon devices is the fact that the screening length is often longer than system sizes reachable by PT calculations. This is illustrated by the local density of states (LDOS) in Figs. $2(e)$ and $2(f)$ which show how the typical *p*-*n* junction potential profile emerges when increasing the device length. As shown in Fig. [2\(f\),](#page-3-0) the STD-Landauer approach enables large-scale device simulations including EPC that secures converged screening potentials. In addition, we also see that EPC gives rise to significant changes in the LDOS of the device that highlights the importance of EPC in device characterization. Device performance is measured by its ability to have a high forward current, I_{ON} , and a low reverse leakage current, I_{OFF} . The I_{ON}/I_{OFF} figure of merit is reduced from 2×10^8 to 4 at ± 0.5 V and 6×10^9 to 5×10^2 at ± 0.6 V due to EPC. The reverse current

FIG. 2. Silicon *p-n* junction devices with doping values of $\pm 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm⁻³. Device characteristics are compared between a short [(a),(c)] and long [(b),(d)] rectifier. The short device permits comparison with perturbation theory (LOE) while the screening is not fully enclosed within the device as shown by the local density of states (e). In both short and long devices the LDOS is strongly renormalized at 300 K $[(e),(f)]$ (lower panels)] and the reverse leakage currents are increased by six orders of magnitude. Experimental off current in (d) from Ref. [\[47\]](#page-5-0) for a slightly asymmetrically doped SiNW at the Esaki onset.

still saturates, but at a much higher value. Hereby the low bias performance in terms of the rectification ratio is ruined demonstrating how the EPC can have a detrimental impact on the rectification ratio, and consequently a higher power is needed for efficient rectification.

The STD-Landauer result shows an increasing off current due to phonon excitation when increasing the temperature to 300 K. Recent experiments performed by Schmid *et al.* [\[47\]](#page-5-0) on *pn* junctions made from silicon nanowires with a diameter of 60 nm report on several key features that match our findings. Their experiments at different temperatures underline the pivotal role played by phonons in the device characteristics. They explore a range of dopings going from normal to Esaki diode characteristics. At room temperature and at the lowest doping corresponding to the onset of Esaki characteristics, they find a maximum off-current density of 10³ A/cm² at a reverse bias of −0*.*5 V. Our device is at a doping level just before the onset of Esaki characteristics, where Fermi levels are still inside the gap $[cf. Fig. 2(f)]$. The doping onset of the Esaki regime serves as a good point of reference since it is independent of the band gap value. In agreement with the experiments, we estimate $I_{OFF}(-0.5 \text{ V}) \approx 10^3 \text{ A/cm}^2$ and also find $I_{ON}/I_{OFF} < 1$ below ±0*.*5 V, while the noninteracting ballistic result is off by roughly six orders of magnitude. In addition, the

FIG. 3. STD-Landauer mobilities. (a) Transmission for the pristine and STD configurations for three different lengths of a 1.3-nm-diameter silicon nanowire. (b) Mobilities of a silicon nanowire and bulk silicon. STD result is compared to BTE as well as experimental data from Ref. [\[49\]](#page-5-0) and force-field (FF) phonons for bulk and nanowire, respectively.

experiment shows a strong temperature dependence of the off current indicating an increased probability for transmission across the junction consistent with the additional transport channels opened by EPC in our simulations. Unlike the ballistic noninteracting case, we find that I_{OFF} increases with bias [Fig. $2(d)$]. This is traced back to an increased window for inelastic transmission across the device that scales with the bias window. Again, this trend fits with the experiments performed by Schmid *et al.* [\[47\]](#page-5-0)

Carrier mobilities. Carrier mobilities limited by EPC is an important performance indicator of materials. Finally, we show that the STD-Landauer approach has a predictive power at the level of state-of-the-art BTE solvers [14] based on the full first-principles EPC, and that both methods are in excellent agreement with available experimental results. In Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) we present mobilities obtained from the STD-Landauer device model. The resistance $R(\mathcal{L}, T) = 1/G(\mathcal{L}, T) = R_c +$ $\rho_{1D}(T)\mathcal{L}$ increases linearly with length, \mathcal{L} , of the dynamic region in the Ohmic regime. In Fig. $3(a)$ we show the transmissions at 300 K for increasing device lengths. From this we extract a one-dimensional resistivity, $\rho_{1D}(T)$, which depends on temperature but not on wire length, and the contact resistance, R_c . From the density of states, $D(E)$, and carrier density, $\tilde{n} = \int_{E_g}^{\infty} f(E - E_F, T) D(E) dE$, we can obtain a mobility $\mu = \frac{1}{q\tilde{n}\rho_{\text{ID}}}$. The obtained values for bulk silicon compares well with both experimental values as well as BTE results from room temperature. The STD-Landauer result includes multiphonon effects and assumes the correct quantum occupations where optical modes are frozen out at low temperatures. The adiabatic assumption neglects, however, the frequency in single-phonon emission for modes with a high frequency which may explain part of the discrepancy at low temperature. Our first-principles calculations further support the conclusion of enhanced electron-phonon coupling in nanowires [19,24[,50\]](#page-5-0). In addition, we compare the results obtained with both force-field and DFT phonons for the SiNW giving almost the exact same values. The predictability of the STD-Landauer approach in general does not rely on an accurate description of a single-phonon mode but rather the full configuration space. Hereby force fields become even more relevant for device simulations.

Conclusions. We have presented how a single STD together with a Landauer conductance calculation enables nanometer-scale nonequilibrium device simulations including phonon-assisted tunneling and temperature renormalization from first principles. Our results are in excellent agreement with both experiments and state-of-the-art perturbation theory calculations and underline the key role played by phononassisted band-to-band and source-to-drain tunneling in the performance of ultrascaled silicon rectifiers and transistors. Tunneling from electron states with *s/d* character through evanescent *p*-type states in the transistor barrier may put a limit to the performance of sub-10-nm devices and the length scale where elastic and classical device simulations are reliable. Importantly, the STD-Landauer approach is far more memory and computational efficient making it appealing as an atomistic design tool in electronics. The STD method evaluates phonon coupling under operating conditions and in the future it may open up the possibility for efficient modeling of current-induced heating by letting the phonon occupations depend on the applied bias voltage.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge support from Innovation Fund Denmark through Grant No. 79-2013-1 and the Quantum Innovation Center (QUBIZ). C.N.G. is sponsored by the Danish National Research Foundation, Project No. DNRF103.

- [1] A. M. Ionescu and H. Riel, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10679) **[479](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10679)**, [329](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10679) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10679).
- [2] J.-T. Lü, R. B. Christensen, G. Foti, T. Frederiksen, T. Gunst, and M. Brandbyge, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.081405) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.081405)**, [081405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.081405) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.081405).
- [3] T. Frederiksen, M. Paulsson, M. Brandbyge, and A.-P. Jauho, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.205413) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.205413)**, [205413](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.205413) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.205413).
- [4] Y. Lee, M. Lannoo, N. Cavassilas, M. Luisier, and M. Bescond, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.205411) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.205411)**, [205411](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.205411) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.205411).
- [5] H. Mera, T. G. Pedersen, and B. K. Nikolic,´ [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165429) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165429)**, [165429](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165429) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165429).
- [6] Y. Lee, M. Bescond, N. Cavassilas, D. Logoteta, L. Raymond, M. Lannoo, and M. Luisier, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.201412) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.201412)**, [201412](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.201412) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.201412).
- [7] [W. Vandenberghe, B. Sorée, W. Magnus, and M. V. Fischetti,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3595672) J. Appl. Phys. **[109](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3595672)**, [124503](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3595672) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3595672).
- [8] Y.-M. Niquet, V.-H. Nguyen, F. Triozon, I. Duchemin, O. Nier, and D. Rideau, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4864376) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4864376)**, [054512](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4864376) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4864376).
- [9] J. Jiang, M. Kula, W. Lu, and Y. Luo, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl050789h) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl050789h)**, [1551](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl050789h) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl050789h).
- [10] A. Troisi and M. A. Ratner, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0609394) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0609394)**, [1784](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0609394) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0609394).
- [11] [M. Galperin, M. A. Ratner, and A. Nitzan,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/10/103201) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[19](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/10/103201)**, [103201](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/10/103201) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/10/103201).
- [12] A. Gustafsson, H. Ueba, and M. Paulsson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165413) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165413)**, [165413](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165413) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.165413).
- [13] A. Troisi, M. A. Ratner, and A. Nitzan, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1556854) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1556854)**, [6072](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1556854) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1556854).
- [14] [T. Gunst, T. Markussen, K. Stokbro, and M. Brandbyge,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.035414) Phys. Rev. B **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.035414)**, [035414](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.035414) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.035414).
- [15] E. H. Hwang and S. Das Sarma, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115449) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115449)**, [115449](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115449) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115449).
- [16] F. Giustino, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015003) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015003)**, [015003](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015003) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015003).
- [17] M. V. Fischetti and S. E. Laux, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363052) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363052)**, [2234](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363052) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363052).
- [18] D. Bozyigit, N. Yazdani, M. Yarema, O. Yarema, W. M. M. Lin, S. Volk, K. Vuttivorakulchai, M. Luisier, F. Juranyi, and V. Wood, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16977) **[531](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16977)**, [618](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16977) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16977).
- [19] W. Zhang, C. Delerue, Y.-M. Niquet, G. Allan, and E. Wang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115319) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115319)**, [115319](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115319) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115319).
- [20] G. Mil'nikov and N. Mori, **J** Comput. Electron. **[15](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0865-7)**, [1179](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0865-7) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0865-7).
- [21] T. Gunst, K. Kaasbjerg, and M. Brandbyge, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.046601) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.046601)**, [046601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.046601) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.046601).
- [22] M. Luisier and G. Klimeck, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155430) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155430)**, [155430](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155430) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155430).
- [23] R. Rhyner and M. Luisier, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.235311) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.235311)**, [235311](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.235311) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.235311).
- [24] T. Markussen, M. Palsgaard, D. Stradi, T. Gunst, M. Brandbyge, and K. Stokbro, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.245210) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.245210)**, [245210](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.245210) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.245210).
- [25] Y. Liu, Z. Yuan, R. J. H. Wesselink, A. A. Starikov, M. van Schilfgaarde, and P. J. Kelly, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.220405) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.220405)**, [220405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.220405) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.220405).
- [26] J. Li, G. Speyer, and O. F. Sankey, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.248302) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.248302)**, [248302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.248302) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.248302).
- [27] D. Q. Andrews, R. P. Van Duyne, and M. A. Ratner, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073265l) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073265l)**, [1120](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073265l) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073265l).
- [28] [M. Paulsson, C. Krag, T. Frederiksen, and M. Brandbyge,](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802643h) Nano Lett. **[9](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802643h)**, [117](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802643h) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802643h).
- [29] G. C. Solomon, D. Q. Andrews, R. H. Goldsmith, T. Hansen, [M. R. Wasielewski, R. P. Van Duyne, and M. A. Ratner,](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8044053) J. Am. Chem. Soc. **[130](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8044053)**, [17301](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8044053) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8044053).
- [30] M. Dreher, F. Pauly, J. Heurich, J. C. Cuevas, E. Scheer, and P. Nielaba, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.075435) **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.075435)**, [075435](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.075435) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.075435).
- [31] M. Zacharias, C. E. Patrick, and F. Giustino, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.177401)* **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.177401)**, [177401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.177401) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.177401).
- [32] B. Monserrat, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014302) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014302)**, [014302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014302) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014302).
- [33] A. Pecchia, M. Gheorghe, A. Di Carlo, P. Lugli, T. A. Niehaus, T. Frauenheim, and R. Scholz, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.235321) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.235321)**, [235321](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.235321) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.235321).
- [34] S. Poncé, Y. Gillet, J. L. Janssen, A. Marini, M. Verstraete, and X. Gonze, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927081) **[143](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927081)**, [102813](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927081) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927081).
- [35] M. Zacharias and F. Giustino, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125)**, [075125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075125).
- [36] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161404) 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161404 for detailed information on the DFT-NEGF modeling, a derivation of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), and additional Refs. [51–55].
- [37] Originally Zacharias *et al.* termed their method one-shot. Here we extend it to the case of transport where one-shot is usually used for non-self-consistent current-conserving approximations, minimizing iterations between the electron and phonon equations. One-shot methods still require many DFT calculations to obtain the full EPC. The method used in this work is one-shot and in addition only requires a single DFT calculation for the STD and is therefore much more efficient than bare one-shot methods.
- [38] [S.-H. Wei, L. G. Ferreira, J. E. Bernard, and A. Zunger,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622) *Phys.* Rev. B **[42](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622)**, [9622](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622).
- [39] Atomistix ToolKit, version 2016, QuantumWise A/S. Computational settings are in the Supplemental Material.
- [40] [N. Cavassilas, M. Bescond, H. Mera, and M. Lannoo,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4775365) Appl. Phys. Lett. **[102](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4775365)**, [013508](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4775365) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4775365).
- [41] N. Mori, H. Takeda, and H. Minari, [J. Comput. Electron.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-008-0199-1) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-008-0199-1)**, [268](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-008-0199-1) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-008-0199-1).
- [42] [A. Svizhenko and M. P. Anantram,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2003.813503) IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **[50](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2003.813503)**, [1459](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2003.813503) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2003.813503).
- [43] [S. O. Koswatta, S. J. Koester, and W. Haensch,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2010.2079250) IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **[57](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2010.2079250)**, [3222](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2010.2079250) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2010.2079250).
- [44] [R. Valin, M. Aldegunde, A. Martinez, and J. R. Barker,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894066) J. Appl. Phys. **[116](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894066)**, [084507](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894066) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4894066).
- [45] [T. Gunst, T. Markussen, K. Stokbro, and M. Brandbyge,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245415) Phys. Rev. B **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245415)**, [245415](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245415) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245415).
- [46] M. Bescond, H. Carrillo-Nuñez, S. Berrada, N. Cavassilas, and M. Lannoo, [Solid-State Electron.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sse.2016.04.010) **[122](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sse.2016.04.010)**, [1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sse.2016.04.010) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sse.2016.04.010).
- [47] H. Schmid, C. Bessire, M. T. Björk, A. Schenk, and H. Riel, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2035964) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2035964)**, [699](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2035964) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2035964).
- [48] R. Rhyner, M. Luisier, and A. Schenk, *Proceedings of International Conference on Simulation of Semiconductor Processes and Devices (SISPAD 2012)* (Denver, CO, 2012), pp. 55–58.
- [49] C. Jacoboni, C. Canali, G. Ottaviani, and A. Alberigi Quaranta, [Solid-State Electron.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90054-5) **[20](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90054-5)**, [77](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90054-5) [\(1977\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90054-5).
- [50] One can also extract the mean free path, $l_{mfp} = R_c/\rho_{\text{1D}}$, from the length-dependent resistance $R(\mathcal{L}, T) = R_c(T)[1 + \mathcal{L}/l_{mfp}(T)].$ For the SiNW we obtain a room-temperature mean free path of 3.1 nm.
- [51] M. Brandbyge, J.-L. Mozos, P. Ordejón, J. Taylor, and K. Stokbro, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165401) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165401)**, [165401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165401) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165401).
- [52] D. Stradi, U. Martinez, A. Blom, M. Brandbyge, and K. Stokbro, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155302) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155302)**, [155302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155302) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155302).
- [53] J. Tersoff, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.9902) **[38](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.9902)**, [9902](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.9902) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.9902).
- [54] R. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures*, 1st ed. (Avalon Publishing, New York, 1998).
- [55] C. E. Patrick and F. Giustino, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/36/365503) **[26](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/36/365503)**, [365503](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/36/365503) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/36/365503).