## <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Symmetric-gapped surface states of fractional topological insulators**

Gil Young Cho, $^{1,2}$  Jeffrey C. Y. Teo,<sup>3</sup> and Eduardo Fradkin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, University of Illinois, 1110 West Green Street,*

*Urbana, Illinois 61801-3080, USA*

(Received 22 June 2017; published 12 October 2017)

We construct the symmetric-gapped surface states of a fractional topological insulator with an electromagnetic *θ* angle  $\theta_{em} = \frac{\pi}{3}$  and a discrete  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field. They are the proper generalizations of the T-Pfaffian state and Pfaffian/antisemion state and feature an extended periodicity compared with their "integer" topological band insulator counterparts. We demonstrate that the surface states have the correct anomalies associated with time-reversal symmetry and charge conservation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161109)

*Introduction.* The three-dimensional topological band insulator  $[1-4]$  is an electronic topological phase. Its discovery embodies the remarkable progress in our understanding of the interplay between the symmetry and topology of quantum states of matter. Its topological nature manifests spectacularly as a single gapless Dirac fermion living at its boundary, whose existence is otherwise impossible. It has been thought for some time that a single gapless Dirac fermion is the only allowed surface state respecting time-reversal and charge conservation symmetries. However, surprisingly, it turns out that there is another option [\[5\]](#page-3-0): The surface can be gapped while respecting the symmetries, at the cost of introducing topological order, resulting in the T-Pfaffian state and the Pfaffian/antisemion state  $[6-9]$ .

In this Rapid Communication, we will consider the surface of *a fractional topological insulator* (FTI). The fractional topological insulator [\[10–15\]](#page-3-0) is a symmetry-enriched topologically ordered state of matter in three spatial dimensions, which supports anomalous surface states protected by timereversal symmetry and charge conservation. The simplest three-dimensional (3D) FTI [\[12\]](#page-3-0) contains fractional excitations such as gapped charge- $\frac{1}{3}$  fermions and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge fluxes. It is characterized by a term in the effective action for the electromagnetic response of the *bulk* with a fractional axion angle  $\theta_{\text{em}} = \frac{\pi}{3}$  [\[12\]](#page-3-0),

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \frac{\theta_{\text{em}}}{32\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{96\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\rho}.
$$
 (1)

This state can be constructed by fractionalizing the electron into three charge- $\frac{1}{3}$  fermionic partons, i.e.,  $\Psi_e = \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3$ , which, at the mean-field theory level, is described by the topological band insulator. The fractionalization of the physical electron into multiple fermionic partons introduces unphysical states in the Hilbert space and those states need to be projected out. This projection is done efficiently by introducing a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ gauge field and making the partons  $\psi_i$  carry the unit charge under this gauge field, i.e., under the gauge transformation, the parton transforms as  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ :  $\psi_j \to \omega \psi_j$  with  $\omega^3 = 1$ . On the other hand, the electron is locally gauge invariant, i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 : \Psi_e \to \Psi_e$ , as it should be. Here, we will assume that the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge theory is realized in its deconfined phases [\[16,17\]](#page-3-0). Several works on the theoretical constructions of 3D FTIs have been written, and some of the physics of the bulk states is by now reasonably well understood.

Compared to the bulk, the surface states of FTIs have been less studied and are not well understood, largely because of the strong interactions required for these states to occur. The surface of a FTI is intrinsically strongly correlated and thus the fate of the surface Dirac fermions, which result in the mean-field description, is not *a priori* clear. In the presence of strong interactions, there are several possible scenarios to consider. The surface may break the symmetries protecting the gaplessness spontaneously and be gapped. A more interesting possibility is to have a transition to a phase which is gapped while respecting all the symmetries. This phase is the symmetric-gapped surface state, which lives *only* on this (3+1)-dimensional state with symmetry-enriched topological order. Such a surface state should realize the symmetries in an anomalous fashion which cannot be realized within strictly two space dimensions.

Here, we construct a gapped state on the surface of a 3D FTI. This state is invariant under the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry and respects global electric charge conservation and time-reversal invariance. Since it is gapped, this state should be stable against interactions with moderate strength. This state is the generalization of the T-Pfaffian state of the 3D time-reversal-invariant topological insulator [\[8\]](#page-3-0) (see also Ref. [\[18\]](#page-3-0)) to the more general problem of the surface of a 3D FTI. More precisely, we show that the generalization of the symmetric-gapped surface states of an topological insulator have an extended periodicity, which are forced by the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge invariance. This extended periodicity allows the surface of the FTI to have the correct parity anomaly.

The symmetries of the symmetric surface states of the 3D FTI, at the quantum level, are realized anomalously, which implies that this state can only occur on the surface of a 3D system with the correct bulk anomaly. The anomaly of the surface that we are mainly concerned with is a fractional parity anomaly with an associated surface Hall conductivity  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$ . This anomaly must either be canceled by the bulk or by another surface state [\[19–21\]](#page-3-0). For example, the T-Pfaffian state [\[8\]](#page-3-0) has the same parity anomaly as the single Dirac fermion, i.e.,  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}$  [\[22,23\]](#page-3-0). To see this clearly, we note that a single Dirac fermion alone is not invariant under

<span id="page-1-0"></span>large gauge transformations, and we need to regularize the theory properly to restore the gauge symmetry at the cost of breaking time-reversal symmetry, i.e., the properly regularized theory comes along with a half level of the Chern-Simons term  $-\frac{1}{8\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}A_{\lambda}$ , which explicitly breaks time-reversal symmetry [\[22\]](#page-3-0).

However, when coupled to the bulk of a topological insulator, time-reversal symmetry at the surface is restored [\[23\]](#page-3-0) by the axion term in the bulk effective electromagnetic action  $\mathcal{L}_{em} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\rho}$ , whose boundary action cancels the half-level Chern-Simons term generated from the regularization of the Dirac fermion. The T-Pfaffian state also has the same parity anomaly  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}$  which exactly matches this bulk contribution [\[8\]](#page-3-0). In the fractional topological insulator case, the axion angle Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is  $\theta_{em} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ , which implies that the correct boundary state should have a parity anomaly with  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$ . Hence, we look for states with  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry, global electric charge conservation, time-reversal symmetry, and a parity anomaly  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$ .

Here, we construct such symmetric-gapped states with the help of recently developed fermionic dualities in  $(2+1)$  spacetime dimensions [\[24](#page-3-0)[–27\]](#page-4-0). One of the states that we construct is a generalization of the T-Pfaffian state that exactly matches the topological order that two of us found previously in an anyon-theoretic construction [\[28\]](#page-4-0). Various heterostructures of FTI thin films were considered and constrained the possible structures to derive a symmetric-gapped state. Here, we present a field-theoretic derivation of this state, and construct other classes of the symmetric-gapped states for the FTI.

*Generalization of the T-Pfaffian state.* At the level of meanfield theory, the surface state of the 3D FTI consists of three partons, electric charge- $\frac{1}{3}$  Dirac fermions

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{\psi}_j i \not\!{D}_{A/3} \psi_j, \tag{2}
$$

where *A* is the background electromagnetic gauge field. Note that there are no Chern-Simons terms for the *A* and the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ gauge fields [\[29\]](#page-4-0). As noted above, this theory is incomplete: The partons must also be coupled to a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  *dynamical* gauge field to reproduce the correct Hilbert space. The fluctuations of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field are gapped in deconfined phase and we have suppressed their explicit contribution to the low-energy effective theory. Nevertheless, the requirement of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge invariance will play a key role. On the other hand, two of the three Dirac fermions can become massive without breaking any of the symmetries of the theory and, generically, we are left with only one massless Dirac fermion, whose mean-field effective action is

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} i \not\!\!D_{A/3} \psi. \tag{3}
$$

We should recall that the Dirac fermion  $\psi$  carries a unit  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ charge, even though the gauge field is not shown explicitly in this low-energy theory.

Another surface state can be obtained from the *dual theory* of Eq. (3). Duality has provided a direct way to gap out the Dirac fermion without breaking symmetries in the topological band insulator case  $[24,25]$ , and we will follow the same strategy here. Upon the duality transformation, the dual theory of Eq.  $(3)$  becomes

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\chi} i \not\!\!D_a \chi + \frac{1}{12\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda. \tag{4}
$$

This duality is a short-hand representation which is sufficient for our present purposes [\[30\]](#page-4-0). The new  $U(1)$  gauge field  $a_{\mu}$ is introduced by the dual transformation and is unrelated to the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field. Physically, the  $\chi$  fermion corresponds to a composite of the  $4\pi$  flux (seen from the  $\psi$  fermion) and  $\psi$  fermion [\[24,25\]](#page-3-0). Here, we would like to introduce a gap while preserving the symmetries. We first introduce an *s*-wave pairing field, i.e., a singlet pairing in the spinor index, to *χ* fermions. Note that here the pairing is dynamical and originates from strong correlations, contrary to the proximity effect in the Fu and Kane model  $[31]$ . Because the *χ* fermion is explicitly electrically charge neutral, the *s*-wave paired state of Eq. (4) respects time-reversal symmetry and charge conservation. This is the T-Pfaffian state of the parton  $\psi$  [\[29\]](#page-4-0).

We review a few facts about the T-Pfaffian state that are needed for our construction. The effective low-energy theory of the T-Pfaffian has a charge sector and a neutral (Ising) sector [\[8\]](#page-3-0). The excitations of the charge sector are labeled by their vorticity *k* mod 8, and are charge- $\frac{k}{4}$  anyon excitations of the filling  $v = \frac{1}{8}$  state of the charge-2 boson. The excitations of the Ising sector are the Abelian boson *I* , fermion *f* , and the non-Abelian anyon  $σ$ . In the T-Pfaffian state, excitations with even vorticity  $k = 2n$  are bound with the Abelian anyons *I* and *f* of the Ising sector, and excitations with odd vorticity  $k =$  $2n + 1$  are bound to the non-Abelian anyon  $\sigma$ . The resulting states are respectively denoted below as  $I_k$ ,  $f_k$ , and  $\sigma_k$ .  $I_8$ is a boson braiding trivially with all the other anyons. Its presence truncates the spectrum of the theory to 12 excitations [\[8,24\]](#page-3-0). The main difference from the T-Pfaffian state of the topological band insulator is in the electric charge carried by the excitations: The vorticity *k* excitation carries the electric charge  $\frac{k}{12}$  instead of  $\frac{k}{4}$  as in the charge of excitations of the topological band insulator.

Without the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field, this T-Pfaffian state of the parton could have been a legitimate symmetric surface state. However, here we need to be more careful because of the internal  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ gauge field. To see this, we first identify the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge of the excitations. We first assign the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge q to the smallest excitation,  $\sigma_1$ . Then, the excitation of the vorticity *k* carries the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge  $k \times q \mod 3$ . Since the fermion  $f_4$  has the same quantum numbers as the parton  $\psi$  [\[8,24\]](#page-3-0), i.e., electric charge- $\frac{1}{3}$  and unit  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  charge, we obtain the constraint

$$
4q = 1 \mod 3. \tag{5}
$$

One solution to Eq. (5) is  $q = 1$ . (Below, we will come back to the other solution,  $q = \frac{1}{4} \mod 3$ .) From this, we read how the excitation  $V_k$  of the vorticity  $k$  transforms under the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge transform, i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_3 : V_k \to \omega^k V_k$ .

This has a striking effect on the anyon theory: *The T-Pfaffian of the parton*  $\psi$  *breaks*  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  *gauge symmetry* because the supposedly "transparent" boson *I*<sup>8</sup> [\[8\]](#page-3-0) transforms nontrivially under the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge transformations, i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  :  $I_8 \to \omega^2 I_8$ . The boson  $I_8$  is nonlocal because it has a nontrivial braiding phase with the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  flux. Hence, the anyon contents *can no longer* have period 8 if the internal gauge invariance  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is to be respected. If we enforce the periodicity to be 8, then we need to break  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ 

gauge symmetry completely and remove the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  flux from the excitation spectrum. Physically, the boson  $I_8$  corresponds to the pair field of the fermion  $\psi$ , which carries charge-2 under  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge group and electric charge  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Thus, the T-Pfaffian of the parton is not compatible with the internal  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry.

There are two options to restore the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry to this state. One is simply to remove the pairing in the *χ* fermions and to go back to the metallic state of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0). The other option is to enter into a new topological state, and this is the direction that we pursue. The new topological state features an extended periodicity of the anyon contents enforced by  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ gauge symmetry.

We start by noting that the triple of  $I_8$ , i.e.,  $I_{24} \sim (I_8)^3$ , is neutral under the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field, and thus it has trivial braiding phases with all the anyons, including the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge fluxes. Thus, we can truncate the anyon contents at  $k = 24$  instead of at  $k = 8$ . Therefore, the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry can be restored simply by extending the periodicity of the anyon content of the vorticity from 8 to 24. For this state, time-reversal symmetry as well as charge conservation are inherited directly from the "parent" T-Pfaffian state of the parton  $\psi$ . Hence, this state respects all the required symmetries to be the legitimate surface state of the fractional topological insulator.

We now investigate the consequences of an extended periodicity,  $k \sim k + 24$ . In this theory, the topological spins and the action of time-reversal symmetry  $T$  still repeat with period 8. The charges are assigned as follows,

$$
Q_{\text{em},k} = \frac{k}{12}
$$
, and  $\mathbb{Z}_3 : V_k \to \omega^k V_k$ , (6)

where  $V_k$  represents the anyons with vorticity  $k$ , with  $k \sim$  $k + 24$ . Also,  $I_k$  and  $f_k$  with  $k \equiv 2 \mod 4$  are exchanged under time reversal. For example, there are two types of anyons  $V_k$ , i.e.,  $I_{18}$  and  $f_{18}$ , carrying electric charge  $\frac{3}{2}$ , and are exchanged under time-reversal symmetry, as in the usual T-Pfaffian state. There are two excitations to which we pay particular attention. The first is the electron quasiparticle  $\Psi$ , i.e.,  $f_{12}$ , which carries electric charge 1, is neutral under  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and has  $T^2 = -1$ . The second is the (singlet) Cooper pair of electrons, which is identified with  $I_{24}$ : a boson that has electric charge 2 and is a Kramers singlet.

We now come to the parity anomaly. Without referring back to the field-theoretic derivation, we can read off the anomaly directly from the anyon content of the theory. This way of reading off the anomaly will be useful when discussing the generalization of the Pfaffian/antisemion state. In the case of the T-Pfaffian state of the topological band insulator, the period is 8 and the vacuum is identified with the charge-2 boson  $I_8$ . This implies that  $\sigma_{xy} = v \times Q^2 = \frac{1}{8} \times 2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , where *ν* is the inverse of the periodicity (more precisely, it is the period of the bosonic charge sector, which is equivalent to the period of the anyon contents in this T-Pfaffian state.) and *Q* is the charge of the transparent boson. Hence, we see that the T-Pfaffian state has the correct parity anomaly  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now, for the surface state of the FTI, the period 24 with charge-2 boson  $I_{24}$  implies that the surface has a charge response with Hall conductivity  $\sigma_{xy} = v \times Q^2 = \frac{1}{24} \times 2^2 = \frac{1}{6}$ . This is precisely the expected parity anomaly of the FTI, which will be canceled by the bulk axion term. A more accurate statement is that the charge sector of this anyon theory is  $U(1)_{24}$ , as was shown explicitly in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-4-0).

It is now clear that the other solution  $q = \frac{1}{4}$  to Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) generates the same anyon content as the  $q = 1$  solution because, in the above analysis, only the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge of  $I_8$  is important. Obviously, the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge of  $I_8$  is the same in both solutions. The fractionalization of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ gauge charge by  $q = \frac{1}{4}$ , which extends the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge theory to the  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  gauge theory *only at the surface*, does not break the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry, charge conservation, and time-reversal symmetry. Hence, this state is also another legitimate surface state of fractional topological insulators. The two solutions,  $q = \frac{1}{4} \mod 3$  and  $q = 1 \mod 3$ , can be distinguished by the braiding with the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  flux and the surface excitations because the surface excitations have nontrivial statistics with the flux.

In our system, the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge theory is not coupled with the electromagnetic field. For instance, a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  flux does not necessarily carry a nontrivial magnetic flux. Furthermore, because of time-reversal symmetry, when it intersects the symmetric surface, it does not carry an electric or gauge charge.

We now discuss the topological degeneracy on the open 3-manifold  $D^2 \times S^1$  of this fractional topological insulator with a symmetric-gapped topologically ordered surface, where  $D^2 \times S^1$  is the *filled* spatial torus. We note that there is only one noncontractible loop along  $S<sup>1</sup>$  along which we have three possible degeneracies labeled by the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -charge Wilson loop around this  $S^1$ . On the other hand, given a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  charge, there are six possible anyonic loops living purely on the surface of  $D^2 \times S^1$ . So, the total degeneracy is 18 [\[29,32–34\]](#page-4-0).

*Relation with the paired FQH state at filling*  $v = \frac{1}{6}$ . It has been conjectured from the link between the half-filled composite Fermi liquid and the surface of the topological band insulator [\[35,36\]](#page-4-0) that the particle-hole symmetric version of the T-Pfaffian state, the PH-Pfaffian [\[35\]](#page-4-0), can be realized in a half-filled Landau level. This state is essentially equivalent to the T-Pfaffian in terms of symmetries and excitations but time-reversal symmetry is replaced by the particle-hole symmetry of the half-filled Landau level (in the large cyclotron energy limit). We can ask if our exotic surface state of the FTI can be realized in a Landau level. From the charge response  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$  of the surface state, it is natural to compare this state with a putative paired FQH state at  $v = \frac{1}{6}$ . However, contrary to the half-filled case, we do not have an obvious particle-hole symmetry at  $v = \frac{1}{6}$ . This implies that the surface state of the FTI does not have a natural partner in a fractionally filled Landau level. In fact, the excitations of the paired FQH state at the filling  $v = \frac{1}{6}$  can be generated by tensoring of the charge sector  $U(1)_{24}$  and the neutral Ising sector, quotiented by an extended symmetry. However, as discussed in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-4-0), to restore time-reversal symmetry, another neutral  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  sector is needed, which is absent in the paired FQH state.

*Other symmetric-gapped surface states.* On establishing the generalization of the T-Pfaffian state at the surface of FTIs, we now address if we can construct the generalization of another symmetric-gapped state of a topological band insulator, i.e., a Pfaffian/antisemion state  $[6,8]$ . For this, we note that the essential step in our generalization of the T-Pfaffian state is to identify *f*4, the electron in the topological band insulator case,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>with the minimal parton  $\psi$  carrying unit  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge charge. This state breaks the internal  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry which can be restored by extending the periodicity of the anyon content from 8 to 24. This strategy, "extending periodicity" to restore the internal gauge symmetry, straightforwardly generalizes to the other symmetric-gapped surface order, e.g., the Pfaffian/antisemion state. This state [6,8], which is realized at the surface of a topological band insulator, respects timereversal symmetry and charge conservation. Its excitations are labeled by  $\{I_k, I_k s, \sigma_k, \sigma_k s, f_k, f_k s\}$  with vorticity  $k \sim k + 8$ (here, *s* is the antisemion), and carry electric charge  $\frac{k}{4}$ . In this state,  $f_4$  is the electron, i.e., a Kramers doublet charge-1 fermion, and the singlet Cooper pair *I*<sup>8</sup> is "transparent" to all the anyons, as in the T-Pfaffian state.

On the surface of the fractional topological insulator, we imagine putting the parton  $\psi$  first into the Pfaffian/antisemion state. Temporarily ignoring the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry, we find a theory respecting all the symmetries. The only difference is the electric charge carried by the anyons. Now, the excitation with vorticity *k* carries electric charge  $\frac{k}{12}$  since the "elementary" excitation  $\psi$  has fractional electric charge  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Obviously, bringing the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry back into the discussion, we see that  $I_8$  is no longer local and braids with the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  fluxes since it carries charge 2 under the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field. However, we can restore the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry by extending the periodicity once again from 8 to 24, i.e.,  $k \sim k + 24$ . In this state, the charge sector has period 24 and the identity boson carries electric charge 2. Hence the parity anomaly associated with this state is again  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$ , the correct anomaly to be on the surface of the fractional topological insulator. Furthermore, it obeys time-reversal symmetry and charge conservation, inherited from the original Pfaffian/antisemion theory.

*Conclusions and outlook.* In this Rapid Communication, with the help of fermion-fermion duality, we constructed symmetric-gapped surface states of FTIs with the electromagnetic axion angle  $\theta_{em} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ , whose excitations are the fractional parton and the discrete  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge flux. The symmetric-gapped surface states are generalizations of the T-Pfaffian state and the Pfaffian/antisemion state but *with an extended periodicity*. We showed that the surface states respect the required symmetries of charge conservation, time-reversal symmetry, and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry, and that they have the correct parity anomaly, i.e.,  $\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{6}$ , which matches the axion angle  $\theta_{\text{em}} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ . At the heart of finding these surface states, the identification of the electron in the symmetric-gapped surfaces of a topological band insulator by the *nonlocal* fermionic parton plays an essential role. This requirement forced us to extend the periodicity of the anyon content so as to restore the internal  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge symmetry. Here, we focused on the case of FTIs with  $\theta_{em} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ , but it is straightforward to generalize our construction to other FTIs with an angle  $\theta_{em} = \frac{\pi}{2n+1}$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}$  gauge field. We end by noting that the structure we used ("extending periodicity") will arise in the construction of symmetric-gapped surface states for other various bosonic and fermionic FTIs. Generically, we expect that they will inherit their global symmetries from their counterparts in the "integer" bosonic and fermionic topological insulators.

*Acknowlegments.* We thank F. Burnell, M. Cheng, T. Faulkner, H. Goldman, M. Metlitski, S. Ryu, N. Seiberg, H. Wang, and P. Ye for helpful discussions and comments. This work is supported by Grant No. 2016R1A5A1008184 under NRF of Korea (G.Y.C.), the Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP), NSF Grant No. DMR-1653535 at the University of Virginia (J.C.Y.T.), and NSF Grants No. DMR 1408713 and No. DMR 1725401 at the University of Illinois (E.F). G.Y.C. and J.C.Y.T. thank S. Sahoo and A. Sirota for collaboration on a previous work, and E.F. thanks P. Ye and M. Cheng.

- [1] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045)**, [3045](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057)**, [1057](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057).
- [3] M. Z. Hasan and J. E. Moore, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140432) **[2](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140432)**, [55](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140432) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140432).
- [4] [C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035005) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035005)**, [035005](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035005) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035005).
- [5] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.011016) **[3](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.011016)**, [011016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.011016) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.011016).
- [6] C. Wang, A. C. Potter, and T. Senthil, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.115137) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.115137)**, [115137](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.115137) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.115137).
- [7] M. A. Metlitski, C. L. Kane, and M. P. A. Fisher, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125111)* **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125111)**, [125111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125111) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125111).
- [8] X. Chen, L. Fidkowski, and A. Vishwanath, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.165132) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.165132)**, [165132](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.165132) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.165132).
- [9] [P. Bonderson, C. Nayak, and X.-L. Qi,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2013/09/P09016) J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2013/09/P09016) [P09016.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2013/09/P09016)
- [10] [J. Maciejko, X.-L. Qi, A. Karch, and S.-C. Zhang,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.246809) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.246809)**, [246809](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.246809) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.246809).
- [11] [B. Swingle, M. Barkeshli, J. McGreevy, and T. Senthil,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195139) *Phys.* Rev. B **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195139)**, [195139](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195139) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195139).
- [12] J. Maciejko, X.-L. Qi, A. Karch, and S.-C. Zhang, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.235128)* **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.235128)**, [235128](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.235128) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.235128).
- [13] P. Ye, T. L. Hughes, J. Maciejko, and E. Fradkin, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.115104) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.115104)**, [115104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.115104) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.115104).
- [14] A. Stern, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031115-011559) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031115-011559)**, [349](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031115-011559) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031115-011559).
- [15] P. Ye, M. Cheng, and E. Fradkin, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085125) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085125)**, [085125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085125) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085125).
- [16] The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge theory can be interpreted as an Abelian-Higgs *U*(1) gauge theory with charge-3 Higgs fields. The deconfined phase is the Higgs phase of this theory [17].
- [17] E. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.3682) **[19](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.3682)**, [3682](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.3682) [\(1979\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.3682).
- [18] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw083) **[2016](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw083)**, [12C101](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw083) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw083).
- [19] C. G. Callan and J. A. Harvey, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90489-4) **[250](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90489-4)**, [427](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90489-4) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90489-4).
- [20] E. Fradkin, E. Dagotto, and D. Boyanovsky, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.2967) **[57](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.2967)**, [2967](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.2967) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.2967); **[58](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.961.3)**, [961](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.961.3) [\(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.961.3).
- [21] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424)**, [195424](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424).
- [22] A. N. Redlich, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18) **[52](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18)**, [18](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.18).
- [23] E. Witten, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035001) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035001)**, [035001](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035001) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035001).
- [24] M. A. Metlitski and A. Vishwanath, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245151) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245151)**, [245151](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245151) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245151).
- [25] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang, and E. Witten, [Ann. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.08.007) **[374](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.08.007)**, [395](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.08.007) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.08.007).

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>SYMMETRIC-GAPPED SURFACE STATES OF FRACTIONAL . . . PHYSICAL REVIEW B **96**, 161109(R) (2017)

- [26] A. Karch and D. Tong, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043)**, [031043](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043).
- [27] D. F. Mross, J. Alicea, and O. I. Motrunich, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.016802) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.016802)**, [016802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.016802) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.016802).
- [28] S. Sahoo, A. Sirota, G. Y. Cho, and J. C. Teo, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161108) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161108)**, [161108](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161108) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161108).
- [29] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161109) 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.161109 for this absence of the Chern-Simons term, for a brief review of construction of the T-Pfaffian state and the duality, and for the details of counting degeneracy, which uses the results of Refs. [32–34]. Regarding the absence of the Chern-Simons terms, there are no Chern-Simons terms in this action since contributions from the bulk axion term Eq.  $(1)$ and from the regularization of the Dirac fermions, to the Chern-Simons-type terms of  $A_\mu$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge field, cancel each other out. In contrast to Ref. [\[25\]](#page-3-0), the Dirac fermion action as written

here does not contain any "hidden" half-level Chern-Simons term (or *η*-invariant term) emerging from the regularization, because the term is already canceled by the bulk axion term Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0).

- [30] A more correct version of the duality [\[25\]](#page-3-0) yields the same answer. See Sec. 5 of Ref. [\[25\]](#page-3-0) for the details.
- [31] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407) **[100](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407)**, [096407](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407).
- [32] X.-G. Wen, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7387) **[40](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7387)**, [7387\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7387) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7387).
- [33] X.-G. Wen, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979290000139) **[04](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979290000139)**, [239](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979290000139) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979290000139).
- [34] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083)**, [1083](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083).
- [35] D. T. Son, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031027) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031027)**, [031027](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031027) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031027).
- [36] C. Wang and T. Senthil, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245107) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245107)**, [245107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245107) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245107).