Electronic structure of charged bilayer and trilayer phosphorene

Bukyoung Jhun and Cheol-Hwan Park*

Department of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea (Received 3 June 2017; published 7 August 2017)

We have investigated the electronic structure of charged bilayer and trilayer phoshporene using first-principles density functional theory calculations. We find that the effective dielectric constant for an external electric field applied perpendicular to phosphorene layers increases with the charge density and is twice as large as in an undoped system if the electron density is around 5×10^{13} cm⁻². It is known that if few-layer phosphorene is placed under such an electric field, the electron band gap decreases, and if the strength of the electric field is further increased, the band gap closes. We show that the electronic screening due to added charge carriers reduces the amount of this reduction in the band gap and increases the critical strength of the electric field for gap closure. If the electron density is around 4×10^{13} cm⁻², for example, this critical field for trilayer phosphorene is 40% higher than that for a charge-neutral system. The results are directly relevant to experiments on few-layer phosphorene with top and bottom electrical gates and/or with chemical dopants.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085412](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085412)

Phosphorene, a single layer of black phosphorus, has recently been isolated by mechanical exfoliation [\[1–3\]](#page-3-0). Among many exfoliated two-dimensional materials, phosphorene is unique in that due to its structural anisotropy [Fig. $1(a)$] the thermal and electrical transport $[3,4]$, critical strains $[5]$, and Young's moduli [\[6,7\]](#page-3-0) along the two in-plane directions [*x* and *y* in Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0) are different.

The electronic structure of few-layer phosphorene has been tuned by chemical doping that effectively acts as an electric field along the surface-normal direction and at the same time as additional charge carriers $[8]$ [Fig. [1\(b\)\]](#page-1-0). Also, a recent experimental study shows that top and bottom electrical gating can tune the band gap of few-layer phosphorene efficiently [\[9\]](#page-3-0).

There have been several density functional theory studies on few-layer phosphorene since the first such studies $[1-3]$. Two-dimensional massless Dirac fermions are generated if few-layer phosphorene is placed under a strong enough external electric field irrespective of whether or not the spin-orbit coupling is taken into account [\[10\]](#page-3-0). How the electronic structure changes with the number of layers [\[11,12\]](#page-3-0), stacking order [\[13,14\]](#page-3-0), strength of an applied electric field [\[10–13,15,16\]](#page-3-0), and strain [\[17\]](#page-3-0) has been extensively studied. The effects of various transition-metal dopants have also been investigated [\[18–22\]](#page-3-0). The possibility of electron-doped phosphorene being a superconductor above liquid-helium temperature was considered [\[23\]](#page-3-0).

Although there have been many such first-principles-based density functional theory studies on few-layer phosphorene, the joint effects on the electronic structure of an external electric field and added charge carriers while controlling the two factors independently have not been investigated so far. However, such a computational study is directly relevant to the dual-electrical-gate (top and bottom gates) experiments on few-layer phosphorene either with or without molecular dopants and to device applications based on few-layer phosphorene. (A study on bilayer graphene in this spirit was performed [\[24\]](#page-3-0).)

In this paper, the effects of doping with charge carriers on the effective dielectric constant [for a field along *z*, as shown in Fig. $1(b)$] and on the field-induced band gap modulation and Lifshitz phase transitions of bilayer and trilayer phosphorene are studied from first-principles-based density functional theory calculations. The screening from added charge carriers reduces the net electric field at the interlayer region. This reduction in the electric field results in an increase in the effective dielectric constant. For example, if the electron density is 5×10^{13} cm⁻², the effective dielectric constant is roughly twice as large as its value in undoped systems. Moreover, the band gap reduction due to an external electric field is decreased due to enhanced screening from the doped charge carriers. Hence, the strength of the critical external electric field for gap closure [Figs. $1(c)$ and $1(d)$] is increased upon doping. For example, the critical field for trilayer phosphorene if the electron density is 4×10^{13} cm⁻² is 40% higher than that for the charge-neutral system.

Our first-principles calculations were performed with QUANTUM ESPRESSO package [\[25\]](#page-3-0). We used a plane-wave basis set with a kinetic-energy cutoff of 50 Ry and adopted the generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof [\[26\]](#page-3-0) for the exchange correlation energy. Core-valence interactions were modeled by Troullier-Martins norm-conserving pseudopotentials [\[27\]](#page-3-0). We have not considered spin-orbit interactions since the screening properties are not affected much. The Brillouin zone is sampled by a $100 \times 100 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack grid [\[28\]](#page-3-0). Note that such a dense sampling is necessary for convergence in the case of doped, metallic systems contrary to the case of undoped, semiconducting systems.

The stable structure is obtained by fully relaxing the atomic positions until all the components of the Hellmann-Feynman force on each atom are weaker than 0.01 eV/A . The van der Waals interactions are accounted for by using an empirical correction method [\[29\]](#page-3-0). This method has been proven to be effective in describing layered materials [\[30–32\]](#page-3-0). We have checked that the atomic displacements due to extra doped charges or vertical external electric fields considered in our work are less than 0.5% of the bond lengths (since the material is not polar). We have checked that, in agreement

^{*}cheolhwan@snu.ac.kr

FIG. 1. (a) and (b) Atomic structures of bilayer phosphorene. (c) and (d) Electronic band structures of bilayer phosphorene (c) without and (d) with an external electric field.

with previous studies [\[13,14,33\]](#page-3-0), the stable stacking order of bilayer phosphorene is of *AB* type such that even layers are shifted half the lattice period along *y* with respect to the odd layers [Fig. 1(a)]. Bulk black phosphorus is also of *AB* type [\[34\]](#page-3-0). As in previous first-principles studies $[10,12,13,15,16]$, the band gap closes if the external electric field [Fig. $1(b)$] is strong enough [Figs. $1(c)$ and $1(d)$].

An external electric field is modeled by a sawtooth type of potential with a dipole-field correction [\[35\]](#page-3-0) and a face-to-face distance between periodic images is maintained to be $25-30 \text{ Å}$. The induced electron density due to an applied electric field in both doped and undoped systems is shown in Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(e)$. Note that the additional charge carriers decrease the magnitude of the field-induced charge density around the interlayer regions. This decrease naturally results in the reduction in the net screened electric field at the interlayer regions, whereas in the other parts of the material the net electric field is not reduced upon doping.

The calculated screening efficiency *η* shown in Figs. 2(b), $2(d)$, $2(f)$, and $2(h)$ (see also the caption) and effective position-dependent inverse dielectric function $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^{-1}(z)$ shown in Figs. $2(c)$ and $2(g)$ allow us to understand how the effective dielectric constant increases with the amount of doped charge carriers. In agreement with the induced charge density shown in Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(e)$, the net effect of additional charge carriers is the reduction in the net external-field-induced electric field in the interlayer regions.

The slopes of the electrostatic potential in the two vacuum regions above and below the slab differ from each other except for the undoped case. The average of the two slopes is equal to the strength *E*ext of the applied external electric field. We determine the average of the screened electric field inside

FIG. 2. (a) In-plane-averaged, induced electron density in bilayer phosphorene due to an external electric field whose strength is $E^{\text{ext}} = 0.26 \text{ V/A}$. (b) The external-field-induced (in the sense that it vanishes if $E^{\text{ext}} = 0$), screened, and symmetrized electric field $\bar{E}(z) \equiv$ $\frac{1}{(-e)}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\left[-\frac{dV_{\text{tot}}^{\text{ind}}(z')}{dz'}\right]_{z'=z}$ − $\frac{dV_{\text{tot}}^{\text{ind}}(z')}{dz'}\right]_{z'=-z}$ in bilayer phosphorene. Here, $V_{\text{tot}}^{\text{ind}}(z)$ is the external-electric-field-induced, *xy*-plane-averaged total electrostatic potential energy which is the sum of the external potential energy [= − (−*e*)*z E*ext] and field-induced Hartree potential energy. (Note that the ionic potential energy does not change upon application of an external electric field.) The screening efficiency at the center of the interlayer region η is one and zero if the screening is perfect and nonexistent, respectively. (c) The effective, position-dependent inverse dielectric function $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}(z)^{-1} \equiv \tilde{E}(z)/E^{\text{ext}}$ vs *z*. In (a)–(c), solid black and dashed red curves are the results for undoped and *p*-doped $(n = 6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ bilayer phosphorene, respectively. (d) *η* vs the charge density *n*. (We adopt the convention that $n < 0$ for electron doping and $n > 0$ for hole doping.) (e)–(h) Similar quantities as in (a)–(d) for trilayer phosphorene.

the material $\langle E^{scr} \rangle$ by (i) obtaining the *xy*-plane-averaged electrostatic potentials linearly extrapolated from the two vacuum regions [as illustrated by the two dashed red lines in Fig. $3(a)$] at the (effective) top and bottom boundaries of the the slab (we discuss how to choose these boundaries later), (ii) taking their difference, and (iii) dividing this difference by the distance between those two effective boundaries ($\equiv d_{\text{eff}}$) [Fig. [3\(b\)\]](#page-2-0).

We need to define where the two effective boundaries of the material are located. The natural definition of the boundaries is the positions where the *xy*-plane-averaged electron density is half the minimum value of the corresponding quantity

FIG. 3. (a) The sum of the ionic, Hartree, and external potential energies (or the total electrostatic potential energy) averaged in the *xy* plane vs *z* for *n*-doped trilayer phosphorene ($n = -4 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻²). The slopes of the dashed red lines (divided by the charge *e*) are the strengths of the electric fields in vacuum and their average corresponds to $E^{\text{ext}} = 0.36 \text{ V}/\text{\AA}$. (b) The *xy*-plane-averaged electron densities of bulk (gray area) and trilayer (solid black curve) phosphorene. Vertical, dashed-dotted blue lines indicate the positions where the electron density of trilayer phosphorene is half the minimum electron density of the bulk crystal; d_{eff} is the effective thickness of the slab.

of the bulk crystal [Fig. $3(b)$]. The effective thickness d_{eff} defined in this way is almost the same as the bulk lattice parameter along the *z* direction times the number of layers. The thicknesses of bilayer and trialyer phosphorene are 10.57 and 15.49 Å, respectively. The thicknesses per layer are 5.29 and

FIG. 4. Effective dielectric constant of bilayer (solid black curve) and trilayer (dashed red curve) phosphorene vs the charge density.

FIG. 5. Band gap of bilayer and trilayer phosphorene vs the strength of the external electric field E^{ext} . (a) and (b) show the results for *p*- and *n*-doped cases, respectively.

5.16 Å, respectively, close to the corresponding bulk quantity, $5.3 \text{ Å} [36].$ $5.3 \text{ Å} [36].$ $5.3 \text{ Å} [36].$

Figure 4 shows the effective dielectric constant ε_{eff} = $E^{\text{ext}}/\langle E^{\text{scr}}\rangle$ of bilayer and trilayer phosphorene. In our calculations, we used *E*ext in the linear response regime for bilayer and

FIG. 6. Phase diagrams of (a) bilayer and (b) trilayer phosphorene. The semiconducting phase with a positive energy gap at Γ $(\Delta E_{\Gamma} > 0)$ and the metallic phase $(\Delta E_{\Gamma} < 0)$ are shown in white and in gray, respectively.

trilayer phosphorene [11] (0.15 eV/ $\rm \AA \leqslant \it E^{\rm ext} \leqslant 0.36 \ \rm eV/\AA$). The *n*-type doping is more efficient than *p*-type doping in screening the external electric field, and the effective dielectric constant is twice as large as in undoped systems if the electron density is around 5×10^{13} cm⁻².

Calculated ε_{eff} 's of undoped ($n = 0$ in Fig. [4\)](#page-2-0) bilayer and trilayer phosphorene are 8.5 and 10.8, respectively. Note that these values are much higher than those reported in Ref. [11]: 2.9 for bilayer phosphorene and 3.5 for trilayer phosphorene. This discrepancy arises from the difference in the definition of the effective thickness d_{eff} [Fig. [3\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). We found that ε_{eff} depends very sensitively on d_{eff} . In Ref. [11], d_{eff} was defined as the distance between the positions where the induced electron density [see, e.g., Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(e)$] drops to 1% of the nearest peak value. The values of *d*eff of bilayer and trilayer phosphorene defined in this way are 15.10 and 20.06 Å when $E_{\text{ext}} = 0.26 \text{ eV/A}$, respectively, which are longer than those in this work by 43% and 30%, respectively. Our definition of d_{eff} (i) does not require such an arbitrary criterion, (ii) can be defined without considering an external electric field, and (iii) will be very relevant to device applications since the effective thickness is defined based on the total electron density.

Figure [5](#page-2-0) shows how the band gap of bilayer and trilayer phosphorene varies upon doping and applying an external electric field. If we neglect the small gap changes at zero field due to added charge carriers, the band gap decreases slower than in an undoped system. Because of this behavior, the critical field strength to close the band gap of few-layer phosphorene increases with the amount of doped charge carriers (Fig. [6\)](#page-2-0). For a doping with $n = 4 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻², the critical field strength for bilayer and trilayer phosphorene is increased by 20% and 40%, respectively.

In conclusion, we have shown that few-layer phosphorene doped with electrons or holes by external electrical gates have different electronic and screening properties than an undoped intrinsic counterpart. The effective dielectric constants can be doubled by electrical gating, and the strength of the critical electric field for gap closure is significantly increased. Our work also highlights the role of additional charge carriers that can be easily introduced by electrical gates in other few-layer two-dimensional materials.

This work was supported by the Creative-Pioneering Research Program through Seoul National University.

- [1] L. Li, Y. Yu, G. J. Ye, Q. Ge, X. Ou, H. Wu, D. Feng, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, [Nat. Nanotechnol.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.35) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.35)**, [372](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.35) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.35).
- [2] S. P. Koenig, R. A. Doganov, H. Schmidt, A. H. C. Neto, and B. Özyilmaz, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4868132) **[104](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4868132)**, [103106](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4868132) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4868132).
- [3] H. Liu, A. T. Neal, Z. Zhu, Z. Luo, X. Xu, D. Tománek, and P. D. Ye, [ACS Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn501226z) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn501226z)**, [4033](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn501226z) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn501226z).
- [4] R. Fei and L. Yang, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl500935z) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl500935z)**, [2884](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl500935z) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl500935z).
- [5] Q. Wei and X. Peng, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4885215) **[104](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4885215)**, [251915](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4885215) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4885215).
- [6] L. Wang, A. Kutana, X. Zou, and B. I. Yakobson, [Nanoscale](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR00355E) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR00355E)**, [9746](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR00355E) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NR00355E).
- [7] J.-W. Jiang and H. S. Park, [J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/38/385304) **[47](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/38/385304)**, [385304](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/38/385304) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/38/385304).
- [8] J. Kim, S. S. Baik, S. H. Ryu, Y. Sohn, S. Park, B.-G. Park, J. Denlinger, Y. Yi, H. J. Choi, and K. S. Kim, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6486) **[349](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6486)**, [723](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6486) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6486).
- [9] B. Deng, V. Tran, Y. Xie, H. Jiang, C. Li, Q. Guo, X. Wang, H. Tian, S. J. Koester, H. Wang, J. J. Cha, Q. Xia, L. Yang, and F. Xia, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14474) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14474)**, [14474](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14474) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14474).
- [10] S. S. Baik, K. S. Kim, Y. Yi, and H. J. Choi, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04106) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04106)**, [7788](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04106) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04106).
- [11] P. Kumar, B. S. Bhadoria, S. Kumar, S. Bhowmick, Y. S. Chauhan, and A. Agarwal, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195428) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195428)**, [195428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195428) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195428).
- [12] K. Dolui and S. Y. Quek, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11699) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11699)**, [11699](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11699) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11699).
- [13] J. Dai and X. C. Zeng, [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz500409m) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz500409m)**, [1289](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz500409m) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz500409m).
- [14] D. Cakir, C. Sevik, and F. M. Peeters, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.165406) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.165406)**, [165406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.165406) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.165406).
- [15] Q. H. Liu, X. W. Zhang, L. B. Abdalla, A. Fazzio, and A. Zunger, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl5043769) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl5043769)**, [1222](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl5043769) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl5043769).
- [16] B. Ghosh, B. Singh, R. Prasad, and A. Agarwal, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205426)* **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205426)**, [205426](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205426) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.205426).
- [17] X. Peng, Q. Wei, and A. Copple, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085402)**[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085402)**, [085402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085402) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085402).
- [18] R. Zhang, B. Li, and J. Yang,[J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5116564) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5116564)**, [2871](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5116564) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5116564).
- [19] W. Yu, Z. Zhu, C.-Y. Niu, C. Li, J.-H. Cho, and Y. Jia, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01732G) **[17](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01732G)**, [16351](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01732G) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01732G).
- [20] X. Sui, C. Si, B. Shao, X. Zou, J. Wu, B.-L. Gu, and W. Duan, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5129468) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5129468)**, [10059](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5129468) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp5129468).
- [21] Y. Jing, Q. Tang, P. He, Z. Zhou, and P. Shen, [Nanotechnology](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/26/9/095201) **[26](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/26/9/095201)**, [095201](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/26/9/095201) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/26/9/095201).
- [22] T. Hu and J. Hong, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01300) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01300)**, [8199](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01300) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01300).
- [23] D. Shao, W. Lu, H. Lv, and Y. Sun, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/67004) **[108](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/67004)**, [67004](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/67004) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/108/67004).
- [24] P. Gava, M. Lazzeri, A. M. Saitta, and F. Mauri, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165431) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165431)**, [165431](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165431) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165431).
- [25] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, and I. Dabo, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502) **[21](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502)**, [395502](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502).
- [26] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865)**, [3865](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865).
- [27] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993) **[43](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993)**, [1993](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.1993).
- [28] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188)**, [5188](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) [\(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188).
- [29] S. Grimme, [J. Comput. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20495) **[27](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20495)**, [1787](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20495) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20495).
- [30] S. Grimme, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, and J. Antony, J. Phys. Chem. C **[111](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0720791)**, [11199](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0720791) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0720791).
- [31] J. Antony and S. Grimme, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b718788b) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1039/b718788b)**, [2722](https://doi.org/10.1039/b718788b) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/b718788b).
- [32] [W. Hu, N. Xia, X. Wu, Z. Li, and J. Yang,](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp55250k) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **[16](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp55250k)**, [6957](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp55250k) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp55250k).
- [33] T. Zhang, J.-H. Lin, Y.-M. Yu, X.-R. Chen, and W.-M. Liu, [Sci. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13927) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13927)**, [13927](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13927) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13927).
- [34] H. Asahina and A. Morita, [J. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/17/11/006) **[17](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/17/11/006)**, [1839](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/17/11/006) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/17/11/006).
- [35] L. Bengtsson, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.12301) **[59](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.12301)**, [12301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.12301) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.12301).
- [36] A. Morita, [Appl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00617267) **[39](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00617267)**, [227](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00617267) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00617267).