Low Curie temperature ferromagnetic phase in SmPt₂Cd₂₀ possibly **accompanied by strong quantum fluctuations**

Akira Yamada,* Shota Oike, Ryuji Higashinaka, Tatsuma D. Matsuda, and Yuji Aoki† *Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan* (Received 4 October 2016; revised manuscript received 23 February 2017; published 1 August 2017)

Electrical resistivity, magnetization, and specific heat have been measured for single crystals of $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$. It has been found that $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ exhibits a ferromagnetic (FM) transition at $T_c = 0.64$ K, the lowest among cubic compounds. Specific heat divided by temperature increases with decreasing temperature even below T_C and attains 4.5 J mol⁻¹ K⁻² at 0.26 K, implying substantial magnetic quantum fluctuations. An analysis of the magnetic entropy suggests the crystalline-electric-field splitting of the Sm $J = 5/2$ multiplet with a Γ_7 doublet ground state and a Γ_8 quartet excited state (the excitation energy of ∼30 K). The electrical resistivity shows a power-law behavior with $T^{0.74}$ below 2 K without showing any noticeable anomaly at T_c . SmPt₂Cd₂₀ is regarded as a rare cubic system that is located in the vicinity of a FM quantum critical point.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085102)

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum fluctuations of magnetism are expected to dominate at around $T = 0$ and to cause unconventional superconductivity and other anomalous electronic states in metals. By tuning some nonthermal control parameters such as pressure (*P*), doping or magnetic field, they may become sufficiently large, resulting in a continuous quantum phase transition at $T = 0$. The behaviors of physical quantities around such quantum critical point (QCP) have been intensively studied experimentally and theoretically, mainly in antiferromagnetic (AFM) systems $[1-3]$. In ferromagnetic (FM) metals, on the other hand, when the Curie temperature $T_{\rm C}$ approaches zero, FM transition tends to become of first order at a tricritical point (TCP) [\[4\]](#page-3-0) as actually observed in $ZrZn_2(T_C(P = 0) =$ 28.5 K and $T_{\rm C}(1.65 \text{ GPa}) \simeq 5.4 \text{ K}$ at TCP) [\[5\]](#page-3-0) and UGe₂ $[T_C(0) = 52 \text{ K} \text{ and } T_C(1.42 \text{ GPa}) = 24 \text{ K} \text{ at } TCP]$ [\[6\]](#page-3-0), where it is difficult to study the behaviors associated with quantum fluctuations. Exceptions are YbNi₄(P_{1−*x*}As_{*x*})₂ [\[7\]](#page-3-0), Nb_{1−*x*}Fe_{2+*x*} [\[8\]](#page-3-0), and SrCo₂(Ge_{1−*x*}P_{*x*})₂ [\[9\]](#page-3-0), in which T_C → 0 tuning seems to be realized. However, since these materials are noncubic, anisotropies inherent both in magnetic interactions and conduction electrons would make theoretical analysis complicated. In this regard, materials with cubic crystal structures are desirable for the study of FM QCP. In this paper, we report that a new cubic cage compound $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ has a second-order FM transition with low T_C (= 0.64 K) probably accompanied by substantial quantum fluctuations, providing a good candidate starting material to approach a FM QCP.

 $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ is a member of the cage structure system RTr_2X_{20} ($R =$ rare earth, $Tr =$ transition metal, and $X = A1, Zn$, and Cd), which crystallizes in the $CeCr₂Al₂₀$ -type cubic structure (space group $Fd\bar{3}m$) with a cubic T_d symmetry at the *R* site $[10-14]$. RTr_2X_{20} compounds have gathered much interest in recent years because of a wide variety of strongly correlated electron behaviors $[15–18]$. In Sm Tr_2Al_{20} (*Tr* = Ti, V, Cr, and Ta), *c*−*f* hybridization is relatively strong. This feature is re-

flected in unusual field-insensitive phase transitions and heavyfermion (HF) behaviors, and ln *T* -dependent resistivity [\[19–](#page-3-0) [21\]](#page-3-0). The magnetic susceptibility (χ) exhibits an anomalous weak temperature dependent behavior with a local minimum at around 50 K for $Tr = Ti$ and 150 K for $Tr = Ta [19,21]$ $Tr = Ta [19,21]$. The Sm ions in these compounds are in a mixed valence state with an average Sm ion valence of about 2.85 [\[22,23\]](#page-3-0). On the contrary, $SmTr₂Zn₂₀$ (*Tr* = Fe, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, and Ir) and $SmTr₂Cd₂₀$ $(Tr = Ni$ and Pd) show rather localized 4*f* electron states with weak *c*−*f* hybridization. This feature is inferred from the clear Curie-Weiss behavior in $\chi(T)$ at low temperatures and the absence of the Kondo scattering $(- \ln T)$ dependence) in the electrical resistivity [\[14,15,24–](#page-3-0)[28\]](#page-4-0). Many of the Zn- and Cd-based compounds have magnetic ground states. So far, four Sm-based ferromagnets have been reported, i.e., SmFe₂Zn₂₀ $(T_C = 47.4 \text{ K})$, SmRu₂Zn₂₀ ($T_C = 7.6 \text{ K}$), SmOs₂Zn₂₀ ($T_C = 3$ K), and $SmNi₂Cd₂₀$ ($T_C = 7.5$ K) [\[15,26,](#page-3-0)[28\]](#page-4-0). As demonstrated in this paper, $T_C = 0.64$ K of the new member SmPt₂Cd₂₀ is the lowest among $SmTr₂X₂₀$ compounds.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ were prepared by Cd self-flux method. Chips of Sm (Furuuchi 99*.*9%), powders of Pt (Tanaka Kikinzoku 99*.*95%), and grains of Cd (Hikotaro Shudzui) were placed in an alumina crucible with an atomic ratio of 1:2:40 for Sm:Pt:Cd, and sealed in an evacuated quartz tube. The sealed tube was heated up to $900\,^{\circ}$ C, kept for 5 hours, cooled to 650 ◦C, then slowly cooled to 500 ◦C for 75 hours $(-2^{\circ}C h^{-1})$. At 500 °C, the tube was centrifuged to remove the excess Cd flux. Typical size of obtained single crystals is approximately $1 \times 1 \times 2$ mm³. For sample quality evaluation, we have performed elemental analysis using an x-ray fluorescence spectrometer JSX-1000S (JEOL). No impurity elements have been detected. Single crystal structural analysis was performed using a Rigaku XtaLABmini with graphite monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation. The structural parameters at room temperature, refined using the program SHELX-97 [\[29\]](#page-4-0), are summarized in Table [I.](#page-1-0) The lattice parameter $a =$ 15.6237(15)Å was found to be the largest among the $SmTr₂X₂₀$ family [\[15](#page-3-0)[,30\]](#page-4-0). The large atomic displacement parameter B_{eq}

^{*}yamada-akira1@ed.tmu.ac.jp

[†] aoki@tmu.ac.jp

TABLE I. Atomic coordinates and thermal parameters of $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ at room temperature determined by single-crystal x-ray measurements. *R* and *wR* are reliability factors and B_{eq} is the equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameter. Standard deviations in the positions of the least significant digits are given in parentheses.

$Fd\bar{3}m$ (No. 227) (origin choice 2)		$a = 15.6237(15)$ Å Position		$V = 3813.7(6)$ Å ³	
Atom	site	\boldsymbol{x}	$\mathcal V$	Z.	B_{eq} (\AA^2)
Sm	8a (43m)	1/8	1/8	1/8	0.69(3)
Pt	16d $(.3m)$	1/2	1/2	1/2	0.76(2)
Cd(1)	$96g$ (<i>m</i>)	0.06051(4)	0.06051(4)	0.32254(5)	1.35(2)
Cd(2)	48f(2,mm)	0.48716(7)	1/8	1/8	0.95(2)
Cd(3)	16c(.3m)	0	0	0	1.73(4)
	$R = 2.61\%, wR = 5.43\%$				

of Cd(3) at the 16*c* site is a common feature in RTr_2X_{20} [\[12,14,21,](#page-3-0)[30\]](#page-4-0). This finding suggests low-frequency vibrations of Cd(3) ions located in a large CN 14 polyhedron [\[31–33\]](#page-4-0). Note that B_{eq} of Cd(1) at the 96*g* site also has a large value in $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$, in contrast with normal values in RNi_2Cd_{20} and RPd_2Cd_{20} [\[14\]](#page-3-0).

Electrical resistivity *ρ* was measured using a standard ac four-probe technique with a physical property measurement system [PPMS; Quantum Design (QD)] combined with a homemade adiabatic demagnetization refrigerator down to 0.27 K. DC magnetization measurement was carried out using a magnetic property measurement system (MPMS; QD) down to 2.0 K and up to 7 T. Specific heat measurements were performed using a quasi-adiabatic method with the QD PPMS and a dilution refrigerator down to 0.25 K and up to 9 T. For these measurements, single crystals were oriented by Laue x-ray method.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The temperature dependence of resistivity $\rho(T)$ in zero field depicted in Fig. 1 shows a metallic behavior. At around 10 K, $\rho(T)$ shows a plateaulike structure, which is characterized by the temperature T_{inf} (= 7.5 K) defined by $d^2 \rho(T)/dT^2|_{T_{\text{inf}}} = 0$. As shown in the inset of Fig. 1, $\rho(T)$ shows a powerlaw behavior below 2 K, which can be expressed by $\rho =$ $\rho_0 + AT^n$ with $\rho_0 = 56.4$ $\mu\Omega$ cm, $n = 0.74 \pm 0.01$, and $A = 1.37 \times 10^{-7} \mu \Omega \text{ cm K}^{-n}$ [\[34\]](#page-4-0).

Figure $2(a)$ shows the field dependence of magnetization at 2 K for the three principal axes [100], [110], and [111]. Within the experimental uncertainty, magnetic anisotropy was not observed. Figure $2(b)$ shows temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility χ (= M/H) measured in 1 T along [110]. The χ data increases monotonically with decreasing temperature, demonstrating that Sm ions have a magnetic moment. From a Curie-Weiss fitting between 2 and 10 K using

$$
\chi = \chi_0 + \frac{N_A \mu_{\text{eff}}^2}{3k_B(T - \theta_{\text{CW}})},\tag{1}
$$

where N_A is Avogadro's constant and k_B is the Boltzmann constant, $\chi_0 = 0.48 \times 10^{-3}$ emu/mol, a Curie-Weiss temperature of $\theta_{\text{CW}} = 0.53$ K and an effective magnetic moment

FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of resistivity *ρ* for singlecrystalline $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ in 0 T. Inset shows the enlarged view of the temperature dependence of ρ below 20 K. The temperature *T*_{inf}(= 7.5 K) is defined using $d^2 \rho(T)/dT^2|_{T_{\text{inf}}} = 0$. $\rho(T)$ shows a power-law behavior with $T^{0.74}$ below 2 K without showing any noticeable anomaly at $T_{\rm C}$.

 $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 0.46 \mu_{\text{B}} / \text{Sm}$ are obtained. The fit result is reproduced in the inset of Fig. 2. The positive value of $\theta_{\rm CW}$ indicates the existence of ferromagnetic interactions between Sm ions. The value of μ_{eff} is smaller than $0.845\mu_{\text{B}}/\text{Sm}$ for a free Sm^{3+} ion. This suppression is attributable to the CEF effect.

FIG. 2. (a) Field dependence of magnetization at 2 K. (b) Temperature dependence of χ in 1 T. The dotted and the dashed curves are the calculated magnetic susceptibility for free Sm^{3+} and Sm^{2+} ions, respectively [\[35\]](#page-4-0). (Inset) temperature dependence of inverse magnetic susceptibility χ^{-1} . The solid curve represent a Curie-Weiss fitting between 2 and 10 K.

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of (a)*C* and (b)*C/T* . The arrow indicates the ferromagnetic transition temperature T_{C} .

In the cubic symmetry, the $J = 5/2$ multiplet of a Sm³⁺ ion splits into a Γ_7 doublet and a Γ_8 quartet. The value of μ_{eff} is closer to 0.412 μ_{B} /Sm expected for a Γ_7 doublet state than $0.665\mu_B/\text{Sm}$ for a Γ_8 quartet state. From $\mu_{\text{eff}} \equiv$ $g^* \sqrt{s(s+1)} \mu_B = 0.46 \mu_B / \text{Sm}$ with an effective spin $s = 1/2$ for the Γ_7 doublet, the effective *g*-value $g^* = 0.53$ is obtained. At high temperatures, χ is suppressed below the Curie-Weiss curve. This is mainly attributable to ion-core diamagnetic contributions from Pt and Cd ions, the total of which is estimated to be -0.496×10^{-3} emu/mol-f.u. using $-28 \times$ ¹⁰−⁶ emu*/*mol-Pt and [−]²² [×] ¹⁰−⁶ emu*/*mol-Cd ions [\[36,37\]](#page-4-0).

The temperature dependence of specific heat $C(T)$ in selected magnetic fields up to 8 T is shown in Fig. $3(a)$. In zero field, *C*(*T*) exhibits a slightly broadened peak at 0.64 K, indicating the appearance of a second-order phase transition [\[38\]](#page-4-0). The peak temperature being close to $\theta_{\rm CW} = 0.53$ K suggests that the phase transition is of a FM type. We define the Curie temperature T_C as the peak temperature in $C(T)$. In applied fields, the peak structure becomes broader and shifts to higher temperatures as expected for a FM transition; a thermodynamic analysis of the specific heat data shows the development of FM spontaneous magnetization [\[39\]](#page-4-0). The temperature dependence of *C* divided by *T* is shown in Fig. 3(b). In zero field,*C/T* continues to increase anomalously below $T_{\rm C}$ with decreasing temperature, which is distinctively different from ordinary classical FM transitions, where *C/T* decreases below $T_{\rm C}$. This anomalous enhancement in C/T approaching $T \rightarrow 0$ indicates the existence of substantial quantum magnetic fluctuations.

For a rough estimation of the 4*f* electron contribution *C*4*^f* at low temperatures, we tentatively assume $C = C_{4f} + C_{el} + C_{el}$ $C_{\rm ph}$, where $C_{\rm el}$ and $C_{\rm ph}$ represent the conduction-electron

FIG. 4. (a) Temperature dependence of C_{4f}/T . The C/T data for $\text{LaNi}_2\text{Cd}_{20}$ taken from Ref. [\[14\]](#page-3-0) are shown for comparison. (Inset) Counter plot of C_{4f}/T in the field-temperature plane. (b) Temperature dependence of magnetic entropy at selected fields between 0 and 8 T. (c) The comparison of magnetic entropy with a single-site Kondo model in zero field (see text for details).

and phonon contributions, respectively [\[40\]](#page-4-0). For $C_{el} + C_{ph}$, the data of a nonmagnetic reference compound $\text{LaNi}_2\text{Cd}_{20}$ are used ($\simeq \gamma T + \beta T^3$ with $\gamma = 25.9$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² and $\beta = 4.56$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻⁴ below 2 K) [\[15\]](#page-3-0). The extracted C_{4f}/T and the magnetic contribution to the entropy $S_{4f}(T)$ = $\int_0^T (C_{4f}/T') dT'$ are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). All the *S*_{4*f*}(*T*) curves for $\mu_0 H \leq 8$ T show a plateau behavior at around *R* ln 2, suggesting that the CEF ground state is a Γ ⁷ doublet; contrarily, $SmTr₂Al₂₀$ tends to have a Γ_8 quartet ground state [$19-21$]. A Γ_7 doublet carries only magnetic dipole moments and no higher-rank multipoles as active degrees of freedom [\[42,43\]](#page-4-0). This feature makes $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ as a suitable candidate system to study FM QCP.

In zero field, S_{4f} is largely suppressed above $T_{\rm C}$ and $S_{4f}(T_{\rm C})$ is only $0.5 \times R \ln 2$. This suppression may be caused by Kondo effect and/or FM fluctuations (short-range ordering) in $T > T_C$. Tentatively, $S_{4f}(T)$ above T_C is compared with a Kondo model for a CEF-split $J = 5/2$ ion in the Kondo regime as shown in Fig. $4(c)$ [\[44–46\]](#page-4-0). The best fit to the experimental data yields the Kondo temperature $T_K^{(6)} = 6$ K (for the $J = 5/2$ sextet) and the CEF splitting $\Delta = 30$ K with a Γ_7 ground state. Using $T_K^{(6)3} = \Delta^2 T_K^{(2)}$ [\[47\]](#page-4-0), the Kondo

temperature of the ground state doublet is estimated to be $T_{\rm K}^{(2)} = 0.24$ K. The fact that $T_{\rm C}$ and $T_{\rm K}^{(2)}$ are similar order may point to the competition between Kondo effect and the FM interactions in SmPt₂Cd₂₀. Considering $\Delta = 30$ K, the plateau behavior in $\rho(T)$ at around $T \sim T_{\text{inf}} = 7.5$ K (see the inset of Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) can be understood as a crossover; in $T > T_{\text{inf}}$, inelastic conduction-electron scattering associated with the*-*7−*-*⁸ CEF levels decreases with lowering temperature and, in $T < T_{\text{inf}}$, anomalous scattering with the $T^{0.74}$ behavior develops with lowering temperature.

The contour plot of C_{4f}/T shown in the inset of Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-2-0) shows clearly that the magnetic entropy is released significantly in a low-*T* and low-*H* region. This region has a broad tail extending into higher fields, which corresponds to a broad maximum in the C_{4f}/T versus T curve. In 8 T, the maximum temperature is 1.1 K, which is slightly higher than 0.88 K of a Schottky peak maximum caused by the Zeeman splitting of the Γ ₇ doublet $(g^* \mu_B H / k_B = 2.85 \text{ K})$, probably due to FM interactions among Sm ions.

As the *R* ln 2 plateau behavior in $S_{4f}(T)$ shows, the 4*f* electrons in $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ have a rather localized nature in this temperature range. This is in good agreement with the similar trend observed in SmTr_2X_{20} with $X = \text{Zn}$ and Cd [15,25[–27\]](#page-4-0), in marked contrast with strongly hybridized characters in $X = A1$ compounds, e.g., mixed valent Sm ion state and $- \ln T$ behavior in $\rho(T)$ [19–23].

At FM QCP in metals, the theoretically expected behaviors of physical quantities are $\rho \sim T^{5/3}$, $\chi^{-1} \sim T^{4/3}$, and $C/T \sim -\ln T$ [\[48–50\]](#page-4-0). These are clearly different from

- [1] G. R. Stewart, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797)**, [797](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.797).
- [2] G. R. Stewart, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.743) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.743)**, [743](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.743) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.743).
- [3] [H. v. Löhneysen, A. Eosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1015) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1015)**, [1015](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1015) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1015).
- [4] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and J. Rollbühler, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.247205) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.247205)**, [247205](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.247205) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.247205).
- [5] M. Uhlarz, C. Pfleiderer, and S. M. Hayden, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.256404) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.256404)**, [256404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.256404) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.256404).
- [6] [A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, and D. Braithwaite,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(99)02545-4) Physica B (Amsterdam) **[284](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(99)02545-4)**, [1277](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(99)02545-4) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(99)02545-4).
- [7] A. Steppke, R. Küchler, S. Lausberg, E. Lengyel, L. Steinke, R. Borth, T. Lühmann, C. Krellner, M. Nicklas, C. Geibel, F. Steglich, and M. Brando, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230583) **[339](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230583)**, [933](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230583) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230583).
- [8] M. Brando, W. J. Duncan, D. Moroni-Klementowicz, C. [Albrecht, D. Grüner, R. Ballou, and F. M. Grosche,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.026401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.026401)**, [026401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.026401) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.026401).
- [9] S. Jia, P. Jiramongkolchai, M. R. Suchomel, B. H. Toby, J. G. Checkelsky, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1868) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1868)**, [207](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1868) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1868).
- [10] P. I. Krypyakevych and O. S. Zarechnyuk, Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. RSR, Ser. A **30**, 364 (1968).
- [11] S. Niemann and W. Jeitschko, [J. Solid State Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1995.1052) **[114](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1995.1052)**, [337](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1995.1052) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1995.1052).
- [12] T. Nasch, W. Jeitschko, and U. C. Rodewald, [Z. Naturforsch., B](https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1997-0901) **[52](https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1997-0901)**, [1023](https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1997-0901) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1515/znb-1997-0901).
- [13] V. M. T. Thiede, W. Jeitschko, S. Niemann, and T. Ebel, J. Alloys Compd. **[267](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(97)00532-X)**, [23](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(97)00532-X) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(97)00532-X).
- [14] V. W. Burnett, D. Yazici, B. D. White, N. R. Dilley, A. J. Friedman, B. Brandom, and M. B. Maple, [J. Solid State Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2014.03.035) **[215](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2014.03.035)**, [114](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2014.03.035) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2014.03.035).

the observed unconventional behaviors in $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$, i.e., $\rho \sim T^{0.74}$ [\[51,52\]](#page-4-0) and increasing C_{4f}/T with $T \to 0$. This discrepancy may be due to a finite deviation from a FM QCP in some control parameters (i.e., the nonzero T_C). Note that theoretical considerations indicate that the quantum critical regime can also extend into a magnetically ordered phase and singular behaviors can appear below T_c [3], which may correspond to the present observation.

For the $T_{\rm C} \rightarrow 0$ tuning in SmPt₂Cd₂₀, applying hydrostatic pressure is not a likely tool since pressure generally stabilizes Sm^{3+} relative to Sm^{2+} because of the larger ion radius of Sm^{2+} and, in SmPt₂Cd₂₀, Sm³⁺ is already attained as $\chi(T)$ shows. On the other hand, La doping for Sm will be a promising means. In $SmTi₂Al₂₀$, it has been demonstrated that this doping actually decreases the magnetic ordering temperature [\[53\]](#page-4-0). Note that each Sm (La) ion is located at the center of the cubic cage structure formed by 16 *X* ions and separated each other in the crystal structure. This feature of the cage compounds should help to minimize randomness effects that is inevitable in doping experiment, as proved by NQR local probe in filled skutterudites [\[54\]](#page-4-0). It would be highly interesting to investigate how the physical quantities behave at a metallic FM QCP, when it is attained, in cubic $(Sm_{1-x}La_x)Pt_2Cd_{20}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 15J07600, No. 24740239, No. 15H03693, No. 15K05178, No. 23540421, No. 23340107, and No. 20102005.

- [15] D. Yazici, B. D. White, P.-C. Ho, N. Kanchanavatee, K. Huang, A. J. Friedman, A. S. Wong, V. W. Burnett, N. R. Dilley, and M. B. Maple, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.144406) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.144406)**, [144406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.144406) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.144406).
- [16] M. S. Torikachvili, S. Jia, E. D. Mun, S. T. Hannahs, R. C. Black, W. K. Neils, D. Martien, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702757104) **[104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702757104)**, [9960](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702757104) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702757104).
- [17] A. Sakai and S. Nakatsuji, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.063701) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.063701)**, [063701](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.063701) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.063701).
- [18] T. Onimaru, K. T. Matsumoto, Y. F. Inoue, K. Umeo, T. [Sakakibara, Y. Karaki, M. Kubota, and T. Takabatake,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.177001) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[106](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.177001)**, [177001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.177001) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.177001).
- [19] R. Higashinaka, T. Maruyama, A. Nakama, R. Miyazaki, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.093703) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.093703)**, [093703](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.093703) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.80.093703).
- [20] A. Sakai and S. Nakatsuji, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201106) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201106)**, [201106\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201106) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.201106).
- [21] A. Yamada, R. Higashinaka, R. Miyazaki, K. Fushiya, T. D. [Matsuda, Y. Aoki, W. Fujita, H. Harima, and H. Sato,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.123710) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[82](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.123710)**, [123710](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.123710) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.123710).
- [22] R. Higashinaka, A. Yamada, R. Miyazaki, Y. Aoki, M. [Mizumaki, S. Tsutsui, K. Nitta, T. Uruga, and H. Sato,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.3.011079) JPS Conf. Proc. **[3](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.3.011079)**, [011079](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.3.011079) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.3.011079).
- [23] A. Yamada, R. Higashinaka, K. Fushiya, T. Asano, T. D. Matsuda, M. Mizumaki, S. Tsutsui, K. Nitta, T. Ina, T. Uruga, and Y. Aoki, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012020) **[683](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012020)**, [012020](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012020) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012020).
- [24] S. Jia, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.104403) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.104403)**, [104403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.104403) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.104403).
- [25] Y. Taga, K. Sugiyama, K. Enoki, Y. Hirose, K. Iwakawa, A. Mori, K. Ishida, T. Takeuchi, M. Hagiwara, K. Kindo, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJS.81SB.SB051) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJS.81SB.SB051)**, [SB051](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJS.81SB.SB051) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJS.81SB.SB051).
- [26] [Y. Isikawa, T. Mizushima, J. Ejiri, and T. Kuwai,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.073701) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[83](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.073701)**, [073701](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.073701) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.073701).
- [27] [Y. Isikawa, T. Mizushima, A. Fujita, and T. Kuwai,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.024707) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **[85](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.024707)**, [024707](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.024707) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.85.024707).
- [28] M. Tanahashi, K. Adachi, T. Sasahara, N. Kase, T. Nakano, and N. Takeda, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012019) **[683](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012019)**, [012019](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012019) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012019).
- [29] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97: *Program for the Solution for Crystal Structures* (University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997).
- [30] M. J. Kangas, D. C. Schmitt, A. Sakai, S. Nakatsuji, and J. Y. Chan, [J. Solid State Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2012.06.035) **[196](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2012.06.035)**, [274](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2012.06.035) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2012.06.035).
- [31] D. J. Safarik, T. Klimczuk, A. Llobet, D. D. Byler, J. C. Lashley, J. R. O'Brien, and N. R. Dilley, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014103) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014103)**, [014103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014103) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014103).
- [32] T. Hasegawa, N. Ogita, and M. Udagawa, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/391/1/012016) **[391](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/391/1/012016)**, [012016](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/391/1/012016) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/391/1/012016).
- [33] M. M. Koza, A. Leithe-Jasper, E. Sischka, W. Schnelle, H. Borrmann, H. Mutka, and Y. Grin, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04097J) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04097J)**, [27119](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04097J) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04097J).
- [34] The relatively large ρ_0 may possibly be due to inclusion of $Sm²⁺$ states (intermediate valence phenomena) and/or random off-center freezing of Cd ions at Cd(1) and Cd(3) sites (if these sites have multiwell potentials). These possibilities need to be checked in future.
- [35] The observed smaller χ might be due partly to contributions from possible inclusion of Sm^{2+} states as reported for $\text{Sm}T_2\text{Al}_{20}$ $(T=$ Ti and Ta) and SmB₆; see references [\[19\]](#page-3-0), [\[21\]](#page-3-0), and R. L. Cohen *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.383) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.383)**, [383](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.383) [\(1970\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.383). This possibility can be checked by X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements.
- [36] Landolt–Börnstein New Series II/16 (Springer, Heidelberg), p. 402.
- [37] Note that this contribution is much larger than the sample holder background ($|\Delta \chi| < 3 \times 10^{-11}$ emu Oe⁻¹), which is $\leq 10\%$ of the sample contribution even at RT.
- [38] No hysteretic behaviors around $T_{\rm C}$ in the temperature dependencies of specific heat and resistivity suggest that the FM transition is of a second order. For a definite judgment, however, studies on higher-quality crystals (with lower values of ρ_0) will be needed since the possibility that hysteretic behaviors might be smeared out by crystalline disorder cannot be denied completely.
- [39] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085102) 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.085102 for a thermodynamic analysis of the specific heat data, in which the temperature dependence of magnetization is derived using a thermodynamic relation.
- [40] The nuclear contribution is negligibly small. In zero field, the main contribution is the Sm nuclear spin, which has strong intrasite hyperfine coupling with on-site 4*f* electrons [41]. The isotopes ¹⁴⁷Sm (¹⁴⁹Sm) has a nuclear spin $I = 7/2$ (7/2),

a natural abundance 0.151 (0.139), and a magnetic dipole hyperfine coupling constant $A_{hf} = -0.0116 (-0.0095)$ K. Even though the magnetic moment of 0.412μ _B/Sm expected for a Γ_7 doublet is fully polarized, this contribution can account for only 3*.*8% of *C* at 0.26 K.

- [41] Y. Aoki, S. Sanada, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.113703) **[76](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.113703)**, [113703](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.113703) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.113703).
- [42] R. Shiina, H. Shiba, and P. Thalmeier, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.1741) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.1741)**, [1741](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.1741) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.1741).
- [43] P. Santini, S. Carretta, G. Amoretti, R. Caciuffo, N. Magnani, and G. H. Lander, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.807) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.807)**, [807](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.807) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.807).
- [44] Y. Kuramoto, [Z. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01578246) **[53](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01578246)**, [37](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01578246) [\(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01578246).
- [45] N. E. Bickers, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.845) **[59](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.845)**, [845](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.845) [\(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.59.845).
- [46] CXCAL-EXCEL: a free program coded by O. Sakai. It provides the NCA calculation of specific heat and magnetic susceptibility of a $J = 5/2$ single ion with a cubic symmetry in the Kondo regime.
- [47] K. Yamada, K. Yoshida, and K. Hanzawa, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.71.450) **[71](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.71.450)**, [450](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.71.450) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.71.450).
- [48] J. A. Hertz, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.1165) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.1165)**, [1165](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.1165) [\(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.1165).
- [49] A. J. Millis, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.7183) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.7183)**, [7183](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.7183) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.7183).
- [50] T. Moriya, *Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism* (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [51] LaNi₂Cd₂₀ seems to have Einstein modes with the Einstein temperature Θ_E of about 60 K [\[14\]](#page-3-0). Therefore it is possible that $SmPt_2Cd_{20}$ has Einstein modes with the similar value of Θ_E . There are several theoretical models for the electrical resistivity caused by Einstein modes; J. R. Cooper, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.2778) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.2778)**, [2778](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.2778) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.9.2778) and T. Dahm and K. Ueda, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.187003) **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.187003)**, [187003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.187003) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.187003). These models cannot explain the observed power-law behavior below 2 K.
- [52] At T_c , no discernible anomaly is observed in $\rho(T)$ and magnetoresistance [39]. This feature seems to be consistent with a self-consistent renormalization (SCR) theory; see Fig. 3 of K. Ueda and T. Moriya, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.39.605) **[39](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.39.605)**, [605](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.39.605) [\(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.39.605). With decreasing T_C/T_F (T_F : the Fermi temperature), a kink structure at *T*_C gradually disappears although the $\rho \sim T^n$ power-law behavior remains.
- [53] R. Higashinaka, A. Yamada, T. D. Matsuda, and Y. Aoki, [J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012018) **[683](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012018)**, [012018](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012018) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/683/1/012018).
- [54] M. Yogi, T. Nagai, Y. Imamura, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, and H. Harima, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.124702) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.124702)**, [124702](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.124702) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.124702).