Nonequilibrium superconductivity in driven alkali-doped fullerides

Giacomo Mazza^{1,2} and Antoine Georges^{1,2,3}

¹Centre de Physique Théorique, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau, France

³Department of Quantum Matter Physics, University of Geneva, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

(Received 15 February 2017; revised manuscript received 14 July 2017; published 15 August 2017)

We investigate the formation of nonequilibrium superconducting states in driven alkali-doped fullerides A_3C_{60} . Within a minimal three-orbital model for the superconductivity of these materials, it was recently demonstrated theoretically that an orbital-dependent imbalance of the interactions leads to an enhancement of superconductivity at equilibrium [M. Kim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 155152 (2016)]. We investigate the dynamical response to a time-periodic modulation of this interaction imbalance and show that it leads to the formation of a transient superconducting state which survives much beyond the equilibrium critical temperature T_c . For a specific range of frequencies, we find that the driving reduces superconductivity when applied to a superconducting state below T_c while still inducing a superconducting state when the initial temperature is larger than T_c . These findings reinforce the relevance of the interaction-imbalance mechanism as a possible explanation of the recent experimental observation of light-induced superconductivity in alkali-doped fullerenes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.96.064515

I. INTRODUCTION

The optical stimulation of solids by means of strong light pulses has opened new routes for the investigation of collective phenomena in quantum materials [1]. A fascinating one consists in inducing superconductivity (SC) beyond the limits where it can be stabilized at equilibrium, which are set, e.g., by temperature, external pressure, or doping concentration. A series of experiments in different compounds revealed lightinduced modifications of the electronic properties suggestive of the formation of a transient superconducting state extending above the equilibrium critical temperature T_c [2–6]. Recently, the remarkable observation of a superconductinglike response above T_c in the molecular compound K₃C₆₀ [6] enlarged this experimental panorama and raised new questions about the possible mechanisms leading to transient SC above T_c .

The possibility of a light-stimulated superconducting phase extending above the equilibrium critical temperature was originally proposed by Eliashberg [7], who considered the quasiparticle redistribution induced by a laser excitation with frequencies below the equilibrium superconducting gap. The above experiments are far beyond this limit with excitation frequencies much larger than the superconducting gap, thus requiring the investigation of alternative mechanisms for light-induced superconductivity.

In the case of K_3C_{60} the laser frequencies for which the transient response is observed are close to the frequencies of four intramolecular phonons, the T_{1u} modes with frequencies in the midinfrared range $60 \leq \omega_{T_{1u}} \leq 180$ meV. The effect disappears for much larger excitation frequencies. This suggests that the observed effect might be related to the light-induced excitation of these phonon modes [6,8].

From the theoretical point of view, various mechanisms have been investigated so far, such as the nonlinear excitations of phononic modes [5,9,10] and their coupling to the electronic density [11,12] or the effective slowing down of the electronic motion [13,14]. While all these mechanisms lead to an increase in the superconducting coupling which is expected to provide a source of transient SC in a broad class of superconductors,

 K_3C_{60} appears to be a peculiar case. Indeed, the absence of any enhancement or even the suppression of SC below T_c reported in Ref. [6], together with the appearance of a transient response above T_c , is not fully understood within an effective SC coupling enhancement. Furthermore, SC in alkali-doped fullerides is strongly affected by the nontrivial interplay between pairing, electronic correlations, and orbital degrees of freedom [15–19], requiring the investigation of the mechanisms for transient SC within a proper theoretical framework taking this interplay into account.

The first step in this direction was recently taken by Kim et al. [8], working in the framework of the low-energy electronic description of fullerides based on the Jahn-Tellerinduced inversion of the effective Hund's coupling [16], which provides one of the most successful descriptions of the unconventional superconducting properties of these materials [17]. These authors demonstrated that a specific orbitaldependent perturbation of the on-site repulsive interactions does enhance SC at equilibrium. Such a perturbation was motivated by the possible modulations of the electronic interactions that result from the excitation of a local phononic mode, as already demonstrated for other correlated organic compounds [20,21]. Furthermore, a first-principles calculation [8] for K_3C_{60} revealed that the favorable perturbation is, indeed, induced under the assumption that light excites the T_{1u} mode [6] as a result of the structural and electronic changes associated with this excitation.

This proposal relies entirely on equilibrium considerations, however, and this raises the outstanding question of the relevance of this mechanism to the nonequilibrium response of the system and to the transient light-induced SC. In this work we address this question. We investigate the nonequilibrium dynamics induced by the time- and orbital-dependent modulation of the electron-electron repulsion. We show that this results in a transient superconducting state, which can be induced when the system is initially well above its equilibrium critical temperature. The properties of this transient state can be very dramatically different from the equilibrium expectation

²Collège de France, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France

and depend on the frequency of the modulation. In particular, we uncover a regime of frequency in which the modulation leads to the reduction of SC below T_c and to the creation of SC above T_c .

In the following we first introduce the model and the nonequilibrium perturbation considered in this work together with the method used to describe the nonequilibrium dynamics. After presenting our results we discuss possible implications of the description of the experimental observations.

II. MODEL

The minimal description of strongly correlated superconductivity in alkali-doped fullerenes is given by the following three-band model [16] arising from the t_{1u} lowest unoccupied molecular orbital states of the C₆₀ molecule half filled with electrons donated by the alkali atoms:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}a\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}a\sigma} + \sum_{i} \mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}(i), \qquad (1)$$

where the local Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{loc}(i)$ is of the Kanamori type [22] and takes into account intra- and interorbital electronelectron repulsion, spin-flip, and pair-hopping terms, with a negative (inverted) Hund's coupling J_H resulting from the competition between the Hund's coupling and the Jahn-Teller intramolecular interactions [16]. The explicit expression of the local Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} = \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} n_{i\alpha\uparrow} n_{i\alpha\downarrow} + (U - 2J_H) \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} n_{i\alpha\uparrow} n_{i\alpha'\downarrow} + (U - 3J_H) \sum_{\alpha < \alpha'\sigma} n_{i\alpha\sigma} n_{i\alpha'\sigma} + J_H \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} c^{\dagger}_{i\alpha\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\alpha'\downarrow} c_{i\alpha\downarrow} c_{i\alpha'\uparrow} + J_H \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} c^{\dagger}_{i\alpha\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\alpha\downarrow} c_{i\alpha'\downarrow} c_{i\alpha'\uparrow}, \qquad (2)$$

where α, α' , and σ indices indicate orbital and spin degrees of freedom, respectively.

At equilibrium the interaction terms on each orbital are degenerate $U_{\alpha} = U$. The phonon excitation induces the modification of the local interactions energies U_{α} due to the coupling between the local electronic configurations and the coordinate of the displaced phononic mode along a given direction $q(t) = A \sin \Omega t$. In general, this is due to the fact that, for an odd-parity mode, such as T_{1u} , at the lowest order the displaced phononic coordinate couples quadratically with the local double-occupied states [20,21]. For a single-band case this leads to an additional term in the local Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_{e-ph} \propto q(t)^2 n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}, \qquad (3)$$

meaning the oscillation of the local interaction with a frequency 2Ω around a renormalized value due to the fact that the square of the mode displacement has a finite average $\langle q(t)^2 \rangle \neq 0$. In the multiband case, neglecting contributions coming from the coupling between different orbital electronic

configurations, Eq. (3) is generalized to

$$\mathcal{H}_{e-ph} = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} q(t)^2 n_{\alpha\uparrow} n_{\alpha\downarrow}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{\alpha} \Delta U_{\alpha} [1 - \cos 2\Omega t] n_{\alpha\uparrow} n_{\alpha\downarrow}, \qquad (4)$$

where the coefficients ΔU_{α} are specific properties of the phononic mode and of the direction of excitation, determined by the light-pulse polarization. Here we take advantage of the first-principles results of Ref. [8] showing that the displacement of the normal coordinate of the T_{1u} mode along a given direction leads to the removal of the orbital degeneracy between U_{α} , leading to two orbitals with a smaller interaction with respect to the third one. We insert this result in Eq. (4) by considering $\Delta U = -[\delta U, \delta U, 0]$, with $\delta U > 0$, so that the intraorbital interaction terms in Eq. (2) become

$$U_{x,y}(t) = U - r(t)\frac{\delta U}{2} (1 - \cos 2\Omega t), \quad U_z(t) = U,$$
 (5)

where r(t) is a smooth ramping function, defined as $r(t) = 1/2 - 3/4 \cos \pi t/\tau + 1/4 \cos^3 \pi t/\tau$ for $t < \tau$ and r(t) = 1 for $t \ge \tau$, which phenomenologically takes into account the time τ during which the modulation of U is switched on.

We implemented and used the time-dependent Gutzwiller approximation [23,24] extended to the multiband superconducting case [25–27]. The method is based on the variational ansatz for the time-evolved state,

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle \simeq \prod_{i} \mathcal{P}_{i}(t)|\Psi_{0}(t)\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ is an uncorrelated wave function describing the coherent quasiparticle dynamics and $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is a projector onto the local Hilbert spaces giving the weights of the local atomic multiplets. The dynamics of both quantities are determined via the time-dependent variational principle $\delta \int \langle \Psi(t) | i \partial_t - H | \Psi(t) \rangle = 0$. At equilibrium the variational ansatz (6) is equivalent to the rotationally invariant slave-boson technique [28], which has already been successfully used to describe equilibrium strongly correlated SC in the present model [29].

The method is extended to the finite-temperature case by the introduction of a time-dependent variational density matrix [30,31],

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{P}(t)\rho_*(t)\mathcal{P}(t)^{\dagger},\tag{7}$$

where the projector $\mathcal{P}(t)$ has the same definition as in (6) and $\rho_*(t) = \sum_n p_n |\Psi_n(t)\rangle \langle \Psi_n(t)|$ is the density matrix corresponding to a complete set of uncorrelated states $|\Psi_n(t)\rangle$ and a distribution p_n . The dynamical equations for (7) are obtained by applying the finite-temperature generalization of the Dirac-Frenkel variational principle [32]. They are solved numerically, with an initial condition corresponding to the equilibrium thermal state. Details about the methods are reported in the Appendix.

III. RESULTS

In the following we will consider a semicircular density of states with a bandwidth W = 0.5 eV and take U = 0.5 eV and $J_H = -0.02$ eV. In the inset of Fig. 1(c) we show that at



FIG. 1. Dynamics of the global order parameter for two driving frequencies, $\Omega = 0.1875 \text{ eV}$ (blue lines) and $\Omega = 0.15 \text{ eV}$ (red lines), at (a) zero temperature and (b) $T = 58 \text{ K} > T_c \simeq 42 \text{ K}$. (c) Transient order parameter as a function of temperature. The color code is as in (a). The shaded area highlights the regime for which SC is suppressed below T_c and created above. For $T < T_c$ (diamonds), where an almost steady value is reached during the dynamics, we extract this value taking a time average. For $T > T_c$ (circles) we take the value of the switched order parameter at t = 3 ps. Dashed lines are guides to the eye. Inset: Zero-temperature order parameter as a function of U. The star indicates the values of parameters considered in this work.

equilibrium this corresponds to a superconductor on the weakcorrelation side of the superconducting dome determined by the electron-electron repulsion U in the model (1). This is consistent with, e.g., the pressure dependence of T_c observed experimentally for K₃C₆₀ [33]. We take the modulation frequency Ω as an adjustable parameter in a range reasonably including the typical frequencies of T_{1u} modes, and we fix $\delta U/U = 0.1$. We choose a ramping time $\tau = 0.9$ ps. The following results do not depend qualitatively on this choice.

In Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), we plot the dynamics of the orbital averaged amplitude of the order parameter $P_{sc} = \sum_{\alpha} P_{sc}^{\alpha}/3$, where $P_{sc}^{\alpha} = |\langle c_{\alpha,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle|$, for two different driving frequencies and at two temperatures below and above the equilibrium critical temperature $T_c \simeq 42$ K [T = 0 K in Fig. 1(a) and T = 58 K in Fig. 1(b)]. A tiny symmetry-breaking field is introduced for $T > T_c$ to allow SC to develop [34].

For the larger driving frequency ($\Omega = 0.1875$ eV), we observe the increase in the superconducting order parameter at zero temperature and the formation of a finite order parameter for $T > T_c$. This establishes that the SC-enhancement mechanism based on the imbalance of U does apply out of equilibrium. In particular, the formation of a finite order parameter above T_c signals that the initial normal metal becomes an unstable state due to the increase in the critical temperature induced by the average perturbation. Both enhancement of the order parameter below T_c and its formation for $T > T_c$.

are expected from the equilibrium predictions of the average interaction imbalance, and they are due to the energetic stabilization of the local multiplets with a singlet pair in the x or y orbitals [8].

We notice that, in principle, the continuous modulation of the interactions might lead to a continuous energy absorption inside the system which would eventually destroy the transient superconducting state. However, the persistence of the superconducting state as long as the interaction modulation is active suggests that no sizable energy absorption occurs in this case. In the following we show that this strongly depends on the frequency of the interaction modulation.

The strong deviation from the above equilibrium expectations is observed when the driving frequency is lowered to $\Omega = 0.15$ eV. Starting from the superconducting state, the order parameter undergoes a decrease instead of the expected increase. On the other hand, a finite order parameter is still established above the critical temperature, although its amplitude is smaller than the one established for $\Omega =$ 0.1875 eV.

In Fig. 1(c) we compare the transient order parameter as a function of the initial temperature to the equilibrium one. The former is extracted as a time average over the time interval $\Delta t = t_{\max} - t_{\min}$, $\overline{P}_{sc} = \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} d\tau P_{sc}(\tau)/\Delta t$, for T = 0 K, while we estimate it for $T > T_c$ from the value it takes at t = 3 ps. For $\Omega = 0.1875$ eV, the nonequilibrium perturbation enhances SC for all temperatures, up to about $T \leq 100$ K, way above equilibrium T_c . On the contrary, the $\Omega = 0.15$ eV case shows a remarkable suppression of SC for $T < T_c$ and the formation of SC up to temperatures slightly below the previous case, $T \leq 90$ K.

The above results show that at the frequency $\Omega = 0.15 \text{ eV}$ the dynamical modulation of the interaction leads to the suppression of the order parameter with respect to the value expected from the sole interaction imbalance. This strongly suggests that some energy absorption due to the continuous modulation of the interaction occurs at this value of the driving frequency. In order to obtain insights into this, we study the orbital-resolved dynamics of the zero-temperature superconductivity at three increasing frequencies (Fig. 2). We compare it to the time evolution obtained by an imbalance of *U* equal to the average of the modulated case (5) switched on during the same ramp time $U_{x,y}(t) = U - r(t)\delta U/2$, hereafter called *unmodulated dynamics*.

The imbalance of U lifts the orbital degeneracy between the components of the superconducting order parameter P_{sc}^{α} . For the slowest driving frequency [$\Omega = 0.0625 \text{eV}$ in Fig. 2(a)] the order parameter components display an oscillating behavior with a fast component $\omega = 2\Omega$ which reflects the periodical modulation of U_{α} and a slower component related to the amplitude of the superconducting gap. Only the slow component is retained in the case of the unmodulated dynamics (dashed lines).

In both cases a global enhancement of the order parameter is observed, although it is smaller with respect to what is expected from the equilibrium imbalanced case (see arrows in Fig. 2). This is understood from the fact that the average interaction imbalance is switched on in a finite time, whereas the equilibrium limit is expected to be recovered for an infinitely slow switching.



FIG. 2. Zero-temperature dynamics of the order parameter amplitude for x, y orbitals (red lines) and the z orbital (blue lines). Driving frequencies (a) $\Omega = 0.0625$ eV, (b) $\Omega = 0.125$ eV, and (c) $\Omega = 0.1875$ eV. Dashed lines show unmodulated dynamics after the switching of a constant imbalance of U equal to the average of the periodic modulation (see text). The arrows represent the expected equilibrium order parameters corresponding to the average interaction imbalance.

We notice that the modulated and unmodulated dynamics tend to separate at long times ($t \gtrsim 1.5$ ps), where a larger order parameter is established in the latter case. This suggests that the effect of the continuous interaction modulation induces some energy absorption inside the system, leading to a slow decrease of the order parameter at long times. As already anticipated, this effect is strongly dependent on the modulation frequency, and it is almost absent at the larger driving frequency [$\Omega =$ 0.1875 eV in Fig. 2(c)], where the modulated and unmodulated dynamics become almost equivalent, with the only difference being the fast oscillations of small amplitude in the modulated case.

A dramatic effect of the dynamical modulation occurs at intermediate frequencies. This is clearly seen in Fig. 2(b) for the driving frequency $\Omega = 0.125$ eV, where the periodic modulation leads, in sharp contrast to the average interaction imbalance, to an almost complete suppression of the superconducting order already for times of the order of the ramping τ .

The nonmonotonic response of the transient state to the frequency of the interaction modulation is summarized in Fig. 3, where we report the existence of the frequency range 0.09 eV $\leq \Omega \leq 0.16$ eV, in which SC is suppressed instead of enhanced. This frequency range exists between a lower-frequency region in which the enhancement of SC is smaller than the case of the unmodulated dynamics and a higher-frequency region in which the perturbation becomes completely antiadiabatic with respect to the periodic change of *U* and thus coincides with the unmodulated one.

We trace back the origin of the behavior observed in the different regimes to the doublon excitations induced by the periodic modulation of U. Figures 3(b)-3(d) display



FIG. 3. (a) Stationary zero-temperature superconducting order parameter normalized by the equilibrium value as a function of the driving frequency. Dashed lines show the stationary order parameter for the constant *U*-imbalance dynamics. Arrows show the transient states considered in Fig. 2 and in (b)–(d). The dotted line represents the equilibrium value. The shaded area represents the spectrum of excitations of the nonequilibrium doublons (see text). Dynamics of the average number of intraorbital double occupations for the driving frequencies (b) $\Omega = 0.0625$ eV, (c) $\Omega = 0.125$ eV, and (d) $\Omega = 0.1875$ eV and the constant *U* imbalance (dashed lines). (e) Dynamics of the internal energies for the three frequencies in (b)–(d) (see arrows).

the dynamics of the double occupancies on each orbital $D_{\alpha} = \langle n_{\alpha\uparrow} n_{\alpha\downarrow} \rangle$ for the three frequencies representative of the different regimes. Due to the asymmetric value of the interaction the number of pairs is enhanced in the (x, y)orbital and lowered in the (z) orbital. However, the dynamics is markedly different in the different regimes of frequency. At small and large frequencies [Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)], the dynamics closely follows the unmodulated one with superimposed 2Ω oscillations indicating the creation of double occupancies on top of the orbitally imbalanced populations of nonequilibrium doublons. Such a process becomes resonant in the intermediate-frequency regime [Fig. 3(c)] where the strong amplification of the doublons oscillations is observed. As shown by the dynamics of the system's internal energy $\mathcal{E}(t) = \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$ [Fig. 3(e)], such a large number of excitations leads to a sizable energy absorption, which suppresses SC with respect to what is expected for the unmodulated dynamics [dashed line in Fig. 3(a)].

This shows that the energy absorption induced by the modulation frequency is responsible for the superconductivity suppression in the frequency region 0.09 eV $\leq \Omega \leq 0.16$ eV. We find that the origin of such behavior is the resonance

between the modulation frequency 2Ω and the spectrum of the nonequilibrium excitations of the orbitally imbalanced populations of doublons induced by the asymmetric interaction [shaded area in Fig. 3(a)]. Such a spectrum is extracted from the frequency spectrum \mathcal{F}_D of the dynamics of doublons following the sudden switch of a fixed U imbalance, $U_{x,y}(t) =$ $U - \theta(t)\delta U$ [35]. We compute \mathcal{F}_D at fixed δU and then integrate over a window equal to the amplitude of the interaction imbalance considered in the modulated dynamics $0 < \delta U < 0.05$ eV. The resulting spectrum has a broad threepeak structure which exactly matches the frequency region for which SC is suppressed.

An investigation of the structure of the spectrum revealed that the two sidebands mainly depend on the value of J_H and disappear for $J_H = 0$, indicating processes of interorbital origin. On the other hand, the central peak weakly depends on J_H and decreases with U (not shown), suggesting processes within the renormalized quasiparticle bandwidth, as expected from the fact that the number of excited doublons is in a small quench regime ($\delta U/U = 0.1$).

We finally observe that, as already anticipated in the discussion of Fig. 2, away from the resonance a small energy absorption is present for the slow-driving case, whereas it is almost negligible for the fast one. This reflects the mismatch between the modulated and unmodulated dynamics for frequencies smaller than the resonance.

IV. DISCUSSION

The above results show that the dynamical modulation of the interaction that can be induced by the excitation of a molecular vibration may lead to significantly different effects compared to equilibrium. This is due to energy absorption effects, which in the present case of interest are particularly evident in a range of driving frequency for which the modulation is resonant with the characteristic energies of the induced nonequilibrium excitations.

In such a region the effect of modulation competes with the effect of the interaction imbalance. The average imbalance favors the formation of a transient superconducting state with a larger order parameter extending much beyond the equilibrium critical temperature, while the time-dependent modulation induces energy absorption into the system. The latter effect may lead to a depletion of the initial superconducting state, but in spite of this, it does not preclude the SC order parameter from becoming finite above the equilibrium T_c due to the former, although with a smaller value with respect to the case in which the equivalence between the modulated and unmodulated dynamics is established. Therefore, the signature of a transient SC state above T_c may survive also in the region where pairs are resonantly excited, as shown in Fig. 1 for $\Omega = 0.15$ eV, thus realizing a nontrivial dynamical response for which SC is dynamically extended beyond T_c and not enhanced for $T < T_c$.

In connection to the light-induced transient response in K_3C_{60} , we stress that our results are based on the assumption that the main effect of the light pulse is the excitation of the T_{1u} phonon mode that in turn leads to the discussed interaction imbalance modulation. While this is not the only possible outcome of the light excitation and other types of excitation,



FIG. 4. Enhancement of superconductivity as a function of the amplitude of the interaction imbalance. $\Omega = 0.1875$ eV.

e.g., of electronic origin [36], may play an important role, the above observations show that the discussed mechanism is a valid source for a transient superconductivity response above T_c in alkali-doped fullerides.

Support for the considered mechanism comes from the fact that the superconductinglike transient response is observed for frequencies close to the phononic ones and for large enough laser fluence ($\gtrsim 1 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$). Under the present assumption, the latter can be understood considering that a larger laser fluence translates into a larger displacement of the phonon mode and therefore to a larger amplitude of the interaction imbalance. As shown in Fig. 4, a larger interaction amplitude imbalance $\delta U/U = 0.2$ leads to an enhancement of the discussed effects, correctly describing, on a qualitative level, the experimental dependence of the transient state on the laser fluence. In this respect, it should be noticed that the value of the interaction imbalance amplitude considered in this minimal model $\delta U/U = 0.1$ might be an overestimation of the distortion that can be induced in a more realistic description of fullerides [8].

An important aspect of our theoretical results is that, in a frequency regime, the dynamical response to the modulation can be different when the initial state is a superconducting state at $T < T_c$ and a normal state at $T > T_c$. With respect to the available experimental observations [6,37] we mention that a similar effect has been observed in Ref. [6], where no enhancement of the transient superconducting gap below T_c was reported.

All the above elements emphasize the relevance of the present mechanism for the description of the transient response of stimulated fullerides. Despite this, additional evidence is needed in order to fully clarify the origin of such a light-induced transient state. In this respect, experiments changing the alkali atom, such as the Mott-insulating compound Cs_3C_{60} , might be useful for detecting possible transient changes in the electronic correlations induced by the light pulse. At the same time an extensive frequency-dependence investigation of the transient response below and above T_c would be useful to better highlight the possible differences between the two cases, as described by our results.

In conclusion we have investigated the nonequilibrium dynamics of a minimal model describing SC in alkalidoped fullerides subject to the orbital asymmetric periodic modulation of the local interaction energies. This perturbation results from the assumption that the effect of the light pulse is the excitation of a local vibrational mode and it is known to enhance SC at equilibrium [8]. We showed that this leads to the dynamical formation of a superconducting state extending beyond the equilibrium critical temperature T_c . Due to the correlated nature of such systems we showed that the transient state, while extending SC beyond T_c , may show no significant enhancement of the superconducting properties below T_c where a SC suppression can even occur. This captures some nontrivial observations in the light-induced response of K₃C₆₀ which validate the mechanism as a possible source of light-induced SC in these systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Kim, A. Cavalleri, M. Fabrizio, M. Capone, and H. Strand for insightful discussions. The research leading to these results received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) ERC Grant Agreement No. 319286 (Q-MAC).

APPENDIX: GUTZWILLER APPROXIMATION FOR MULTIBAND SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

In this Appendix we give some details of the timedependent variational approach used to study the dynamics in the present model. For a detailed general formulation of the time-dependent Gutzwiller approximation we refer the reader to Ref. [24]. In the following we will discuss the extension to the present multiband superconducting case.

1. General formulation

We consider the Hamiltonian defined in Sec. II, which we divide into a hopping part $\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\alpha\beta} t_{i,j}^{\alpha,\beta} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta}$ and the local interaction \mathcal{H}_{loc} defined in Eq. (2). Greek indices include both orbital and spin degrees of freedom.

The dynamics is described starting from the following ansatz for the time-evolving wave function:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle \equiv \prod_{i} \mathcal{P}_{i}(t)|\Psi_{0}(t)\rangle.$$
 (A1)

 $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ is a single-particle wave function which is meant to describe the dynamics of delocalized quasiparticles, and $\mathcal{P}_i(t)$ are operators acting on the local Hilbert space defined by the Fock states $|\Gamma, i\rangle$.

The variational dynamics is determined by the timedependent variational principle

$$\delta \int \langle \Psi(t) | i \partial_t - \mathcal{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle = 0.$$
 (A2)

An exact expression for the Lagrangian defining the above variational principle can be analytically obtained in the limit of infinite lattice coordination once the following constraints are imposed on the variational ansatz at each time t:

$$\langle \Psi_0(t) | \mathcal{P}_i^{\dagger}(t) \mathcal{P}_i(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = 1, \tag{A3}$$

$$\langle \Psi_0(t) | \mathcal{P}_i^{\dagger}(t) \mathcal{P}_i(t) \hat{\rho}_i^{N,A} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \hat{\rho}_i^{N,S} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle, \quad (A4)$$

where $\hat{\rho}_i^{N,A}$ are the normal (N) and anomalous (A) components of the local single-particle density matrix defined as

$$\left[\hat{\rho}_{i}^{N}\right]_{\alpha,\beta} = c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{i\beta},\tag{A5}$$

$$\left[\hat{\rho}_{i}^{A}\right]_{\alpha,\beta} = c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{i\beta}^{\dagger}.$$
 (A6)

A convenient representation of the local projectors is obtained in the so-called mixed original-natural basis representation

$$\mathcal{P}_{i} = \sum_{\Gamma,n} \varphi_{i,\Gamma n}(t) |\Gamma, i\rangle \langle n, i|, \qquad (A7)$$

where $|n,i\rangle$ are the Fock states in the natural basis defined by a new set of creation and annihilation operators $d_{i,\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{i,\alpha}$ for which the expectation values of the local single-particle density matrix onto the uncorrelated wave function $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ are diagonal for the normal component and zero for the anomalous one,

$$\langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{i\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} n_{i\alpha}^0(t), \qquad (A8)$$

$$\langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{i\beta}^{\dagger} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta.$$
 (A9)

The elements $\varphi_{i,\Gamma n}(t)$ are the set of local variational parameters defining the projectors \mathcal{P}_i , and they can be rewritten in the more convenient form

$$\varphi_{i,\Gamma n}(t) = \frac{\Phi_{i,\Gamma n}(t)}{\sqrt{P_{n,i}^0(t)}},\tag{A10}$$

where $P_{n,i}^0$ are the diagonal occupation probabilities of the uncorrelated wave function of the local Fock states in the natural basis, expressed in terms of the variational density matrix $n_{i,\alpha}^0(t)$,

$$P_{n,i}^{0}(t) = \langle \Psi_{0}(t) || n, i \rangle \langle n, i || \Psi_{0}(t) \rangle$$

=
$$\prod_{\alpha} n_{i,\alpha}^{0}(t)^{n_{\alpha}} [1 - n_{i,\alpha}^{0}(t)]^{1 - n_{\alpha}}.$$
 (A11)

The matrices $\hat{\Phi}_i$, with elements $\Phi_{i,\Gamma n}$, contain the set of all the local variational parameters. In the present spatial homogeneous case all the $\hat{\Phi}_i$ matrices are equal, and we neglect the site index *i*.

With the above definitions the constraints can be written in terms of the local matrices $\hat{\Phi}$,

$$Tr[\Phi^{\dagger}(t)\Phi(t)] = 1, \qquad (A12)$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}[\Phi^{\dagger}(t)\Phi(t)d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{i\beta}] = \langle \Psi_{0}(t)|d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{i\beta}|\Psi_{0}(t)\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}n_{\alpha}^{0},$$
(A13)

$$\operatorname{Tr}[\Phi^{\dagger}(t)\Phi(t)d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{i\beta}^{\dagger}] = \langle \Psi_{0}(t)|d_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{i\beta}^{\dagger}|\Psi_{0}(t)\rangle = 0.$$
(A14)

Plugging the above definitions into the expression of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | i \partial_t - \mathcal{H} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ and imposing the condition $\delta \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{L}(\tau) = 0$, the following equations of motion are obtained [24]:

$$i\partial_t \hat{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{H}_{\rm loc}(t)\hat{\Phi}(t) + \langle \Psi_0(t)| \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\star}[\hat{\Phi}]}{\delta \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(t)} |\Psi_0(t)\rangle, \quad (A15)$$

$$i\partial_t |\Psi_0(t)\rangle = \mathcal{H}_{\star}[\hat{\Phi}]|\Psi_0(t)\rangle.$$
 (A16)

 \mathcal{H}_{loc} is the original time-dependent local Hamiltonian, and $\mathcal{H}_{\star}[\hat{\Phi}]$ is a quadratic Hamiltonian which is obtained from the original tight-binding Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 upon the following transformation of the fermionic operators:

$$c_{i\alpha} \rightarrow \sum_{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}[\Phi] d_{i\beta} + Q_{\alpha\beta}[\Phi] d_{i\beta}^{\dagger}.$$
 (A17)

The transformation matrices $\mathbf{R}[\hat{\Phi}(t)]$ and $\mathbf{Q}[\hat{\Phi}(t)]$ entering in Eq. (A17) depend on time through the local matrices $\hat{\Phi}(t)$, and their explicit expressions read

$$R_{\alpha\beta}[\hat{\Phi}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t)(1-n_{\beta}^{0}(t))}} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(t)c_{i\alpha}\hat{\Phi}(t)d_{i\beta}^{\dagger}], \quad (A18)$$

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}[\hat{\Phi}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t)\left(1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)\right)}} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(t)c_{i\alpha}\hat{\Phi}(t)d_{i\beta}]. \quad (A19)$$

With the above substitution the quasiparticle Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{\star} acquires the general matrix form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\star} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (A20)$$

where $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = (d_{\mathbf{k},1}^{\dagger}, d_{\mathbf{k},2}^{\dagger}, \dots, d_{\mathbf{k},N}^{\dagger}, d_{\mathbf{k},1}, d_{\mathbf{k},2}, \dots, d_{\mathbf{k},N})$ and $\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the discrete Fourier transform of the hopping matrix elements in the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 .

The coupled equations of motion (A15) and (A16) describe, respectively, the dynamics of the local degrees of freedom and of the delocalized quasiparticles. The two dynamics are coupled in a mean-field way in which each degree of freedom provides an effective field for the other, implying a mutual feedback between the localized and delocalized degrees of freedom. This is a big improvement of the present method with respect to standard mean-field (Hartree-Fock) approaches in which only the delocalized quasiparticles are described. For this reason the method is able to capture correlation effects beyond the mean field, such as the strongly correlated superconductivity discussed in the present case.

2. An explicit implementation

We now explicitly illustrate the implementation of the above general formulation for the three-orbital model discussed in the main text in the presence of superconductivity.

The variational wave function depends on the choice of the variational matrix $\hat{\Phi}$ defined in the mixed basis of original and natural Fock states. In the three-orbital case the dimension of the local Hilbert space is 64, so that in total $64 \times 64 = 4096$ variational parameters are contained in $\hat{\Phi}$. Such a large number of variational parameters is conveniently reduced by exploiting the symmetries of the Hamiltonian, as described in Ref. [25].

The original Hamiltonian has a $U(1) \times SU(2) \times O(3)$ symmetry corresponding to charge conservation and invariance with respect to spin and orbital rotations, respectively. This can be readily appreciated by writing the local Hamiltonian in terms of the charge operators N and the spin **S** and orbital **L** rotations generators [38]:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} = \frac{U - 3J_H}{2}\hat{N}(\hat{N} - 1) - 2J_H \mathbf{S}^2 - \frac{J}{2}\mathbf{L}^2 + \frac{5}{2}J_H\hat{N},$$
(A21)

where, restoring the separation between orbital and spin indices, $\hat{N} = \sum_{a\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{a\sigma} c_{a\sigma}$, $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c^{\dagger}_{a\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{a\sigma}$, and $L_a = i \sum_{bc} \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_{abc} c^{\dagger}_{b\sigma} c_{c\sigma}$; $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are Pauli matrices; and ϵ_{abc} is the Levi-Civita tensor.

In order to describe superconductivity we break the U(1) symmetry, which corresponds to allowing for nonzero $\hat{\Phi}$ matrix elements between states with different numbers of particles. In such a situation, the matrix **Q** defined in Eq. (A19) is nonzero, so that the quasiparticle Hamiltonian becomes an effective multiband BCS Hamiltonian. The removal of the orbital degeneracy introduced by the imbalanced interaction terms explicitly breaks the O(3) symmetry, so that only the SU(2) symmetry is left. We impose the SU(2) symmetry onto the $\hat{\Phi}$ matrix following the method outlined in Ref. [25]. This leads to $N_{\Phi} = 429$ independent variational parameters.

The $\hat{\Phi}$ matrix can be expanded onto a basis of N_{Φ} matrices satisfying

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}_{k}^{\dagger}\hat{\Phi}_{k'}) = \delta_{k,k'}, \quad k,k' = 1, \dots, N_{\Phi},$$
 (A22)

so that all the information about the variational parameters can be stored in a time-dependent vector of complex number $|\alpha(t)\rangle$ of dimension N_{Φ} [25]:

$$\hat{\Phi}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\Phi}} \alpha_k(t) \hat{\Phi}_k.$$
(A23)

Next, we define the matrices of dimension $N_{\Phi} \times N_{\Phi}$ containing the possible combinations of traces over the matrix basis $\hat{\Phi}_k$,

$$\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}^{N}\right]_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}_{k}^{\dagger}\hat{\Phi}_{k'}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{\beta}), \qquad (A24)$$

$$\left[\hat{\rho}^{S}_{\alpha\beta}\right]_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{k}\hat{\Phi}_{k'}c^{\dagger}_{\alpha}c^{\dagger}_{\beta}), \qquad (A25)$$

$$[\hat{r}_{\alpha\beta}]_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}_{k}^{\dagger}c_{i\alpha}\hat{\Phi}_{k'}d_{i\beta}^{\dagger}), \qquad (A26)$$

$$[\hat{q}_{\alpha\beta}]_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}_k^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \hat{\Phi}_{k'} d_{i\beta}), \qquad (A27)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_i]_{k,k'} = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\Phi}_k^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_i \hat{\Phi}_{k'}), \qquad (A28)$$

where \mathcal{O}_i represents any local many-body operator.

With the above definitions the constraints and the hopping renormalization matrices \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{Q} become

$$\langle \alpha(t) | \alpha(t) \rangle = 1,$$
 (A29)

$$\langle \alpha(t) | \hat{\rho}^{N}_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha(t) \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} n^{0}_{\alpha}(t), \qquad (A30)$$

$$\langle \alpha(t) | \hat{\rho}^A_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha(t) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta,$$
 (A31)

$$R_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{\langle \alpha(t) | r_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha(t) \rangle}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t) [1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]}},$$
(A32)

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{\langle \alpha(t) | \hat{q}_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha(t) \rangle}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t) [1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]}}.$$
 (A33)

Inserting the above definitions in the evolution of the $\hat{\Phi}$ matrix [Eq. (A15)], we obtain the time evolution in terms of the $|\alpha(t)\rangle$ vector:

$$i\partial_t |\alpha(t)\rangle = \mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}(t)|\alpha(t)\rangle.$$
 (A34)

 $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{loc}(t)$ is the $N_{\Phi} \times N_{\Phi}$ Hamiltonian, defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{loc}}(t)]_{k,k'} &= [\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}(t)]_{k,k'} + \sum_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t)[1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]}} [\widehat{r}_{\alpha,\beta}]_{k,k'} - \frac{1 - 2n_{\beta}^{0}(t)}{2n_{\beta}^{0}(t)[1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]} [\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}^{N}]_{k,k'} \right\} + \text{H.c.} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\beta}^{0}(t)[1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]}} [\widehat{q}_{\alpha,\beta}]_{k,k'} - \frac{1 - 2n_{\beta}^{0}(t)}{2n_{\beta}^{0}(t)[1 - n_{\beta}^{0}(t)]} [\widehat{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}^{A}]_{k,k'} \right\} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned}$$
(A35)

The matrices \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} are defined through the occupations on the quasiparticle wave function $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\gamma} [\mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}]_{\gamma\alpha} \langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{\mathbf{k}\gamma}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle + [\mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} \hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}]_{\gamma\alpha} \langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{\mathbf{k}\gamma} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle, \tag{A36}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\gamma} [\mathbf{R}^{\dagger} \hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}]_{\gamma\alpha} \langle \Psi_0(t) | d^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\gamma} d^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle + [\mathbf{Q}^{\dagger} \hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}]_{\gamma\alpha} \langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{\mathbf{k}\gamma} d^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle.$$
(A37)

The dynamics described by Eq. (A34) is coupled to the dynamics of the wave function $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ [Eq. (A16)], which can be fully expressed through the dynamics of the occupations $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger} | \Psi_0(t) \rangle$:

$$i\partial_t \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | [d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}, \mathcal{H}_{\star}] | \Psi_0(t) \rangle, \quad (A38)$$

$$i\partial_t \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | [d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger}, \mathcal{H}_{\star}] | \Psi_0(t) \rangle.$$
(A39)

The system of coupled equations (A34), (A38), and (A39) fully describes the dynamics within the variational ansatz (A1) in a lattice with an infinite coordination number.

The number of coupled differential equations is further reduced by the fact that in the present case the hopping matrix is diagonal in both spin and orbital indices and we consider only the possibility of intraorbital pairing. This means that $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{a,b}(1 - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'})\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{a\sigma,a\sigma'}$, where in the last case we separated orbital (a,b) and spin (σ,σ') degrees of freedom. Moreover, the matrix **R** is diagonal in both orbital and spin indices, while the matrix **Q** is diagonal in the orbital index and couples only states with opposite spin.

The dynamics is unitary and preserves the normalization and the density constraints (A29)–(A30), so that they need to be enforced only at equilibrium. On the contrary, we found that the constraint on the anomalous density is no longer conserved by the unitary dynamics as the particle-hole symmetry is lifted by the imbalanced interaction. In that case we introduce a set of time-dependent Lagrange multipliers enforcing the constraints at each time step.

The equations of motion are solved using the explicit fourthorder Runge-Kutta method with a time discretization $\delta t = 0.01$ starting from the variational estimation of the equilibrium ground state. The latter is obtained from the stationary limit of the equations of motion

$$\Lambda |\alpha\rangle = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm loc} |\alpha\rangle, \tag{A40}$$

$$E_{\star}|\Psi_0\rangle = \mathcal{H}_{\star}|\Psi_0\rangle. \tag{A41}$$

This corresponds to finding the ground state of a nonlinear eigenvalue problem with appropriate Lagrange parameters enforcing the constraints at t = 0. This can be done recursively

at a fixed value of the variational density matrix n^0 [25]. Therefore, a full minimization of the obtained ground-state energy with respect to n^0 gives the variational estimation of the ground-state energy.

3. Finite temperature

The finite-temperature extension closely follows the zerotemperature one, where the variational ansatz for the timeevolving ground state is replaced by the ansatz for the timedependent density matrix,

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{n} p_{n} |\Psi_{n}(t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{n}(t)|$$

$$= \sum_{n} p_{n} \mathcal{P}(t) |\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{0,n}(t)| \mathcal{P}^{\dagger}(t)$$

$$= \mathcal{P}(t) \rho_{\star}(t) \mathcal{P}^{\dagger}(t); \qquad (A42)$$

namely, the Gutzwiller projection is done on each uncorrelated state $|\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle$ describing an uncorrelated density matrix $\rho_{\star}(t) = \sum_{n} p_{n} |\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{0,n}(t)|$ through the distribution p_{n} . The Dirac-Frenkel extension of the time-dependent variational principle reads

$$\delta \int \sum_{n} p_n \langle \Psi_n(t) | i \partial_t - \mathcal{H} | \Psi_n(t) \rangle = 0.$$
 (A43)

With the same definitions of projectors and constraints as in the previous section the equations of motion are equivalent to the zero-temperature case with the averages of the uncorrelated wave function replaced by traces over the uncorrelated density matrix,

$$i\partial_t \hat{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} \hat{\Phi}(t) + \sum_n p_n \langle \Psi_{0,n}(t) | \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\star}[\Phi]}{\delta \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(t)} | \Psi_{0,n}(t) \rangle,$$
(A44)

$$i\partial_t |\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle = \mathcal{H}_{\star}[\hat{\Phi}]|\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle. \tag{A45}$$

A practical solution of Eqs. (A44) and (A45) is obtained by starting with the initial estimation of the finite-temperature expectation values for the quasiparticle occupations $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \text{Tr}[\rho_{\star}(t)d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}]$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \text{Tr}[\rho_{\star}(t)d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger}]$, leading to the

following equations of motion:

$$i\partial_t \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}\{\rho_{\star}(t)[d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{\mathbf{k}\beta},\mathcal{H}_{\star}]\},\qquad(A46)$$

$$i\partial_t \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}\{\rho_{\star}(t)[d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}^{\dagger}d_{\mathbf{k}\beta}^{\dagger},\mathcal{H}_{\star}]\}, \qquad (A47)$$

which can be written only in terms of combinations of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Therefore, the explicit time evolution for each $|\Psi_{0,n}(t)\rangle$ [Eq. (A45)] is, in practice, not needed, and the distribution p_n is defined once and for all by the initial variational estimation of the thermal state.

- C. Giannetti, M. Capone, D. Fausti, M. Fabrizio, F. Parmigiani, and D. Mihailovic, Ultrafast optical spectroscopy of strongly correlated materials and high-temperature superconductors: A non-equilibrium approach, Adv. Phys. 65, 58 (2016).
- [2] D. Fausti, R. I. Tobey, N. Dean, S. Kaiser, A. Dienst, M. C. Hoffmann, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, and A. Cavalleri, Light-induced superconductivity in a stripe-ordered cuprate, Science 331, 189 (2011).
- [3] S. Kaiser, C. R. Hunt, D. Nicoletti, W. Hu, I. Gierz, H. Y. Liu, M. L. Tacon, T. Loew, D. Haug, B. Keimer, and A. Cavalleri, Optically induced coherent transport far above *T_c* in underdoped YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+δ}, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 184516 (2014).
- [4] W. Hu, S. Kaiser, D. Nicoletti, C. R. Hunt, I. Gierz, M. C. Hoffmann, M. L. Tacon, T. Loew, B. Keimer, and A. Cavalleri, Optically enhanced coherent transport in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5} by ultrafast redistribution of interlayer coupling, Nat. Mater. 13, 705 (2014).
- [5] R. Mankowsky, A. Subedi, M. Forst, S. O. Mariager, M. Chollet, H. T. Lemke, J. S. Robinson, J. M. Glownia, M. P. Minitti, A. Frano, M. Fechner, N. A. Spaldin, T. Loew, B. Keimer, A. Georges, and A. Cavalleri, Nonlinear lattice dynamics as a basis for enhanced superconductivity in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5}, Nature (London) **516**, 71 (2014).
- [6] M. Mitrano, A. Cantaluppi, D. Nicoletti, S. Kaiser, A. Perucchi, S. Lupi, P. Di Pietro, D. Pontiroli, M. Riccò, S. R. Clark, D. Jaksch, and A. Cavalleri, Possible light-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀ at high temperature, Nature (London) **530**, 461 (2016).
- [7] G. M. Eliashberg, Film superconductivity stimulated by a highfrequency field, JETP Lett. 11, 114 (1970).
- [8] M. Kim, Y. Nomura, M. Ferrero, P. Seth, O. Parcollet, and A. Georges, Enhancing superconductivity in A₃C₆₀ fullerides, Phys. Rev. B 94, 155152 (2016).
- [9] Y. Murakami, N. Tsuji, M. Eckstein, and P. Werner, Nonequilibrium steady states and transient dynamics of superconductors under phonon driving, Phys. Rev. B 96, 045125 (2017).
- [10] M. Knap, M. Babadi, G. Refael, I. Martin, and E. Demler, Dynamical cooper pairing in nonequilibrium electron-phonon systems, Phys. Rev. B 94, 214504 (2016).
- [11] D. M. Kennes, E. Y. Wilner, D. R. Reichman, and A. J. Millis, Transient superconductivity from electronic squeezing of optically pumped phonons, Nat. Phys. 13, 479 (2017).
- [12] M. A. Sentef, Light-enhanced electron-phonon coupling from nonlinear electron-phonon coupling, Phys. Rev. B 95, 205111 (2017).

The initial thermal state is obtained by minimizing the variational estimation of the free energy [30]. In doing so, for a simplification of the minimization procedure, we neglect the contribution coming from the entropy of the local degrees of freedom. This is a reasonable approximation since we focus on the weak-coupling side of the superconducting dome far from the Mott transition, where the contribution of the entropy of the local degrees of freedom is small. Its inclusion would lead to a weak renormalization of the equilibrium transition temperature, but no qualitative difference in the dynamics is expected with respect to what was reported in the main text.

- [13] M. A. Sentef, A. F. Kemper, A. Georges, and C. Kollath, Theory of light-enhanced phonon-mediated superconductivity, Phys. Rev. B 93, 144506 (2016).
- [14] J. R. Coulthard, S. R. Clark, S. Al-Assam, A. Cavalleri, and D. Jaksch, Enhancement of superexchange pairing in the periodically driven Hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 96, 085104 (2017).
- [15] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, Strongly correlated superconductivity, Science 296, 2364 (2002).
- [16] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, Colloquium: Modeling the unconventional superconducting properties of expanded A_3C_{60} fullerides, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 943 (2009).
- [17] Y. Nomura, S. Sakai, M. Capone, and R. Arita, Unified understanding of superconductivity and Mott transition in alkalidoped fullerides from first principles, Sci. Adv. 1, e1500568 (2015).
- [18] J. E. Han, O. Gunnarsson, and V. H. Crespi, Strong Superconductivity with Local Jahn-Teller Phonons in C₆₀ Solids, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 167006 (2003).
- [19] O. Gunnarsson, Superconductivity in fullerides, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 575 (1997).
- [20] S. Kaiser, S. R. Clark, D. Nicoletti, G. Cotugno, R. I. Tobey, N. Dean, S. Lupi, H. Okamoto, T. Hasegawa, D. Jaksch, and A. Cavalleri, Optical properties of a vibrationally modulated solid state Mott insulator, Sci. Rep. 4, 3823 (2014).
- [21] R. Singla, G. Cotugno, S. Kaiser, M. Först, M. Mitrano, H. Y. Liu, A. Cartella, C. Manzoni, H. Okamoto, T. Hasegawa, S. R. Clark, D. Jaksch, and A. Cavalleri, THz-Frequency Modulation of the Hubbard *U* in an Organic Mott Insulator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 187401 (2015).
- [22] J. Kanamori, Electron correlation and ferromagnetism of transition metals, Prog. Theor. Phys. 30, 275 (1963).
- [23] M. Schiró and M. Fabrizio, Time-Dependent Mean Field Theory for Quench Dynamics in Correlated Electron Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 076401 (2010).
- [24] M. Fabrizio, The Out-of-Equilibrium Time-Dependent Gutzwiller Approximation, in *New Materials for Thermoelectric Applications: Theory and Experiment*, edited by V. Zlatic and A. Hewson (Springer, Dordrecht, 2013), pp. 247–273.
- [25] N. Lanatà, H. U. R. Strand, X. Dai, and B. Hellsing, Efficient implementation of the Gutzwiller variational method, Phys. Rev. B 85, 035133 (2012).
- [26] M. Behrmann and F. Lechermann, Large-amplitude spin oscillations triggered by nonequilibrium strongly correlated t_{2g} electrons, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 075110 (2015).

- [27] G. Mazza and M. Fabrizio, Dynamical quantum phase transitions and broken-symmetry edges in the many-body eigenvalue spectrum, Phys. Rev. B 86, 184303 (2012).
- [28] F. Lechermann, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, and O. Parcollet, Rotationally invariant slave-boson formalism and momentum dependence of the quasiparticle weight, Phys. Rev. B 76, 155102 (2007).
- [29] A. Isidori and M. Capone, Rotationally invariant slave bosons for strongly correlated superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 80, 115120 (2009).
- [30] M. Sandri, M. Capone, and M. Fabrizio, Finite-temperature Gutzwiller approximation and the phase diagram of a toy model for V₂O₃, Phys. Rev. B 87, 205108 (2013).
- [31] N. Lanatà, X. Deng, and G. Kotliar, Finite-temperature Gutzwiller approximation from the time-dependent variational principle, Phys. Rev. B 92, 081108 (2015).
- [32] A. R. DeAngelis and G. Gatoff, Generalization of the Frenkel-Dirac variational principle for systems outside thermal equilibrium, Phys. Rev. C 43, 2747 (1991).
- [33] R. H. Zadik, Y. Takabayashi, G. Klupp, R. H. Colman, A. Y. Ganin, A. Potočnik, P. Jeglič, D. Arčon, P. Matus, K. Kamarás,

Y. Kasahara, Y. Iwasa, A. N. Fitch, Y. Ohishi, G. Garbarino, K. Kato, M. J. Rosseinsky, and K. Prassides, Optimized unconventional superconductivity in a molecular Jahn-Teller metal, Sci. Adv. 1, e1500059 (2015).

- [34] The time needed for a finite order parameter to develop depends on this choice, and therefore, it is not related to any realistic time scale. On the other hand, the long-time value of the order parameter is independent of this choice.
- [35] M. Behrmann, M. Fabrizio, and F. Lechermann, Extended dynamic Mott transition in the two-band Hubbard model out of equilibrium, Phys. Rev. B 88, 035116 (2013).
- [36] A. Nava, C. Giannetti, A. Georges, E. Tosatti, and M. Fabrizio, Cooling quasiparticles in A_3C_{60} fullerides by excitonic midinfrared absorption, arXiv:1704.05613.
- [37] A. Cantaluppi, M. Buzzi, D. Nicoletti, M. Mitrano, D. Pontiroli, M. Riccò, A. Perucchi, P. Di Pietro, and A. Cavalleri, Pressure tuning of light-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀, arXiv:1705.05939.
- [38] A. Georges, L. de' Medici, and J. Mravlje, Strong correlations from Hunds coupling, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 4, 137 (2013).