## **High-pressure synthesis of an unusual antiferromagnetic metal CaCoO3 with GdFeO3-type perovskite structure**

T. Osaka,<sup>1</sup> H. Takahashi,<sup>1</sup> H. Sagayama,<sup>2</sup> Y. Yamasaki,<sup>1,3</sup> and S. Ishiwata<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Applied Physics and Quantum Phase Electronics Center (QPEC), University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Materials Structure Science, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan* (Received 10 April 2017; revised manuscript received 4 June 2017; published 30 June 2017)

The GdFe $O_3$ -type perovskite CaCo $O_3$  has been successfully synthesized by high-pressure oxygen annealing for the oxygen deficient perovskite. A detailed structural analysis based on synchrotron x-ray diffraction data and a thermogravimetric analysis show that the valence of Co is  $+4$  and the sample is free from oxygen deficiency. This compound shows an antiferromagnetic ordering at 95 K, which has presumably helical spin arrangement, with keeping the incoherent metallic state down to the lowest temperature. This work demonstrates that the  $\text{Co}^{4+}$ -perovskite oxides exhibit a variety of magnetic phases by the bandwidth control through the lattice distortion.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.224440](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.224440)

Perovskite-type oxides with late 3*d*-transition-metal ions in an unusually high-valence state exhibit exotic electronic properties. Typical examples are simple cubic perovskites  $SrFe^{4+}O_3$  and  $SrCo^{4+}O_3$ , which show metallic conductivity with an unconventional helimagnetic (HM) ordering at low temperatures and a ferromagnetic (FM) ordering at room temperature, respectively [\[1–4\]](#page-4-0). Whereas the perovskites with  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  and  $Co<sup>3+</sup>$  are insulating with a *pd* charge transfer gap [\[5\]](#page-4-0), those with  $Fe^{4+}$  and  $Co^{4+}$  tend to have a finite density of states near the Fermi level, where the contribution from the oxygen 2*p* orbital is predominant over that from the transition-metal 3*d* orbital [\[6\]](#page-4-0). It has been suggested that the itinerant oxygen hole plays an important role on the metallic conductivity and HM order in  $SrFeO<sub>3</sub>$  [\[7\]](#page-4-0). On the other hand,  $CaFeO<sub>3</sub>$  shows a metal-insulator transition at 290 K, below which the valence of Fe ions is disproportionated to be  $+3$  and  $+5$  [\[8–10\]](#page-4-0). The transition in the ground state from metallic in SrFeO<sub>3</sub> to insulating in  $CaFeO<sub>3</sub>$  can be interpreted as a consequence of the variation in the bandwidth through the enhancement of the orthorhombic distortion, which is called a bandwidth controlled metal-insulator transition [\[11\]](#page-4-0).

The origin of the room-temperature ferromagnetism in the cubic perovskite  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$  has been extensively discussed. In perovskite-type cobalt oxides, the spin-state configuration is a primary factor for the electronic properties, which typically depends on the magnitude relation between the crystal field splitting and the Hund's coupling [\[12\]](#page-4-0). However, the situation is more complicated in  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  perovskites due to the strong *pd* hybridization. From the experimental and theoretical studies,  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$  has been proposed to have the intermediate spin state  $(e_{g}^{1}t_{2g}^{4}; S = 3/2)$  [\[13–15\]](#page-4-0). On the other hand, the first-principles calculations by Kuneš et al. indicate that the magnetism of  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$  arises from the coherent superposition of various spin configurations [\[16\]](#page-4-0).

The point to be noted here is that the putative simple cubic perovskite  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  has been predicted to be FM and metallic as well as  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$  [\[17\]](#page-4-0). On the other hand, the crystal structure of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is expected to be similar to that of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type perovskite CaFeO<sub>3</sub>, since the radius of Co<sup>4+</sup> ion (0.53 Å) is close to that of  $Fe^{4+}$  ion (0.58 Å). Therefore, it is of great interest how the structure and the electronic ground state of the  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$ -containing perovskite evolve as the A-site ion changes from Sr to Ca. In this paper we report high-pressure synthesis, crystal structure, and electronic properties of a perovskite oxide  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ . Unexpectedly,  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is found to be antiferromagnetic (AFM) below 95 K. From the structural viewpoint,  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is similar to  $CaFeO<sub>3</sub>$  with an orthorhombic distortion. However, unlike the case of  $CaFeO<sub>3</sub>$ , the electronic ground state of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is found to be nearly metallic and free from the charge disproportionation at low temperatures. We will discuss the origin of the AFM ordering, which is potentially HM, and the reason for the absence of the charge disproportionation in CaCoO<sub>3</sub>.

A polycrystalline sample of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  was prepared by a solid state reaction under high pressure in an oxidizing atmosphere. The synthesis procedure for  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is as follows. The starting materials,  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , were stoichiometrically mixed. The mixture was heated at 1173 K for 12 h in air. The obtained powder was pelletized and sintered again at 1373 K for 24 h in a flow of oxygen (1 atm), followed by quenching into water at room temperature. Through these processes, an oxygen-deficient perovskite (Brownmilleritelike)  $Ca_2Co_2O_{5+\delta}$  was synthesized. The quenched pellet was then pulverized and packed into a gold capsule together with an oxidizer NaClO3. The capsule was annealed at 753 K for 1 h at a high pressure of 8 GPa using a cubic-anvil-type apparatus. The synchrotron powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) with a wavelength of  $0.68975$  Å was carried out at BL-8B, Photon Factory, KEK, Japan. The diffraction patterns were analyzed by the Rietveld refinement using RIETAN-FP [\[18\]](#page-4-0). Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was performed on a Netzsch TG-DTA2500-IW thermal analyzer in an atmosphere of 96% Ar and 4% H2 with a heating rate of 15 ◦C*/*min. The magnetization  $M$ , electrical resistivity  $\rho$ , and specific heat *C* were measured using MPMS and PPMS manufactured by Quantum Design, respectively.

The powder XRD pattern of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  was indexed with an orthorhombic unit cell  $[a = 5.2711(7)$  Å,  $b = 5.2954(2)$  Å,

<sup>3</sup>*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan*



FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  and (b) the projection along the *c* axis. The blue and yellow spheres correspond to Co and Ca ions, respectively. (c) Observed (red circles), calculated (green line), and differential (blue line) synchrotron powder XRD patterns. The green tick marks indicate the calculated peak positions.

and  $c = 7.4394(4)$  Å. The Rietveld analysis reveals that the diffraction peaks are well fitted by the  $GdFeO<sub>3</sub>$ -type structure with space group *Pbnm* (No. 62) as shown in Fig. 1(c). The crystallographic data and the reliability factors are summarized in Table I. The occupancies of all atoms were fixed to 1 during the refinements. (Note that the refinement of the occupancies gives negligible improvement in the Rietveld analysis.) Figures  $1(a)$  and  $1(b)$  show the refined structure of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ . As seen in Table II, summarizing the bond lengths and angles, the  $CoO<sub>6</sub>$  octahedra are slightly distorted with Co-O bond lengths in the range  $1.84-1.98$  Å and O-Co-O bond angles of  $90^\circ \pm 1.5^\circ$ . On the other hand, the CoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are largely tilted as confirmed by the Co-O-Co bond angles of 155.6<sup>°</sup>–159.5<sup>°</sup>, which is comparable to those for CaFeO<sub>3</sub>  $(157.9°-158.6°)$  [\[9\]](#page-4-0). The large tilting of the CoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra in CaCoO3 reflects the significant decrease of the tolerance factor *t* from unity ( $t = 0.923$  and 0.898 for CaCoO<sub>3</sub> and CaFeO<sub>3</sub>, respectively). Using the Ca-O and Co-O bond lengths, we estimated the bond-valence sums for Ca and Co ions [\[19\]](#page-4-0), which are  $+2.17$  and  $+4.01$ , respectively (Table III). This result indicates that the oxidation state can be described as  $Ca^{2+}Co^{4+}O_3.$ 

TABLE I. Structural parameters for CaCoO3. Space group *Pbnm* (No. 62).  $a = 5.2711(7)$  Å,  $b = 5.2954(2)$  Å,  $c = 7.4394(4)$  Å, and  $V = 207.7 \text{ Å}^3$ .  $R_{\text{wp}} = 2.40, S = 1.28$ .

Atoms	Site	$\boldsymbol{x}$	v	Z.	$B(\text{\AA}^2)$
Ca	4c	0.494(2)	0.4628(5)	0.25	0.58(4)
Co	4b	0.5	$\theta$	$_{0}$	0.33(2)
O(1)	8d	0.783(2)	0.199(2)	0.034(1)	0.90(8)
O(2)	4c	0.064(3)	0.499(1)	0.25	0.90(8)

TABLE II. Evaluated bond lengths and bond angles of CaCoO<sub>3</sub>.

<b>B</b> ond	Lengths $(\AA)$	<b>Bonds</b>	Angles (deg)
$Co-O(1)$	$1.84(1) \times 2$	$Co-O(1)-Co$	155.6(5)
	$1.98(1) \times 2$	$Co-O(2)-Co$	159.5(9)
$Co-O(2)$	$1.890(3) \times 2$	$O(1)$ -Co- $O(1)$	$88.6(2) \times 2$
$Ca-O(1)$	$2.351(9) \times 2$		$91.4(2) \times 2$
	$2.54(1) \times 2$	$O(1)$ -Co-O(2)	$88.6(5) \times 2$
	$2.61(1) \times 2$		$89.5(7) \times 2$
$Ca-O(2)$	2.28(2)		$90.5(7) \times 2$
	2.47(1)		$91.4(5) \times 2$

TG curve for  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The significant weight loss owing to the release of oxygen is observed in several steps. Since the final product was identified to be a mixture of CaO and Co by XRD experiments, the decomposition can be expressed as

$$
CaCoO3 \longrightarrow CaO + Co + O2 \uparrow.
$$
 (1)

The observed weight loss of 22.3% in the temperature range about  $700\degree C$  is almost the same as or even slightly larger than the ideal value of 21.8% for stoichiometric  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ , indicating that our sample is free from oxygen deficiency. The weight loss at around 180 and 300 ◦C can be associated with structural changes to oxygen-deficient-ordered perovskite CaCoO3<sup>−</sup>*<sup>δ</sup>*  $(δ \sim 0.5)$ , which adopts presumably the Brownmillerite-type structure.

Figure  $3(a)$  shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility measured under a magnetic field of 0.1 T in zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) runs. An abrupt drop at 95 K ( $=T<sub>N</sub>$ ) indicates the onset of an antiferromagnetic (AFM) order, which is followed by anomalies at lower temperatures, presumably corresponding to the spin reorientations. The data between 200 and 300 K were fit to the Curie-Weiss law  $\chi(T) = C/(T - \theta)$ , as shown in the form of the inverse susceptibility plotted in the inset of Fig.  $3(a)$ . Here *C* is the Curie constant and  $\theta$  is the Weiss temperature, which are estimated as  $C = 1.67$  emu K/mol and  $\theta = 91.7$  K, respectively. The effective Bohr magnetons number  $P_{\text{eff}} = 3.65 \mu_{\text{B}}$  estimated from the Curie constant is close to the theoretical value of 3.87  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub> expected for the intermediate spin state of  $\text{Co}^{4+}$  ( $e^1_g t^4_{2g}$ ) with  $S = 3/2$ . Thus, it is likely that the spin state of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is in the intermediate spin state as well as  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$ , whereas the ordered spin structure of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is apparently AF in contrast to the FM state in  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$ .

TABLE III. Bond-valence sums  $(BVS)$  of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ . BVS are calculated by  $\sum_i \exp\{(r_0 - r_i)/0.37\}$ , where  $r_0 = 1.967$  for Ca<sup>2+</sup> and  $r_0 = 1.75$  for  $Co^{4+}$ .

$\sim$				
Atoms	Cа	Cо	O(1)	O(2)
<b>BVS</b>	$+2.17$	+4.01	$-2.06$	$-2.06$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Thermogravimetry (TG) for CaCoO<sub>3</sub> measured on heating in 96% Ar-4%  $H_2$  atmosphere.

The temperature dependence of  $\rho$  is shown in Fig. 3(b). As compared to the metallic  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is seemingly nonmetallic. Despite the nonmetallic behavior, the magnitude of  $\rho$  at room temperature is as low as 3 m $\Omega$  cm and  $\rho$ increases only slightly upon cooling. Such behavior is often seen in strongly correlated transition-metal oxides near the



FIG. 3. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  in an applied magnetic field of 0.1 T measured on heating after zero-field cooling (blue) and on field cooling (red) for CaCoO3. The arrows indicate the direction of temperature change. Inset shows the inverse magnetic susceptibility  $1/\chi$  as a function of temperature. (b) Temperature dependence of resistivity *ρ* (left axis) and the temperature derivative of resistivity  $d\rho/dT$  (right axis). Inset shows the magnetoresistance  $\{\Delta \rho / \rho(0 \text{ T}) = [\rho(9 \text{ T}) - \rho(0 \text{ T})]/\rho(0 \text{ T})\}$  as a function of temperature.



FIG. 4.  $T^2$  dependence of  $C/T$  for CaCoO<sub>3</sub>. The blue solid line shows the fitting of the experimental data with the equation  $C/T =$  $\gamma + \beta T^2$ . The inset shows *C* as a function of temperature, where an anomaly corresponding to  $T_N$  is observed.

Mott insulating state, which is called an incoherent "bad metal". Thus,  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is considered to be an incoherent metallic state. At around  $T_N$ , a small kink corresponding to the AFM transition is observed, which is clearly discernible in the derivative of the resistivity  $d\rho/dT$ . The point to note here is that a negative magnetoresistance  $\{\Delta \rho / \rho(0)$  T) =  $[\rho(9 T) - \rho(0 T)]/\rho(0 T)$  is seen around  $T_N$  as shown in the inset of Fig.  $3(b)$ . Such a negative magnetoresistance is irrelevant to a simple G-type AFM order (all the neighboring spins are aligned oppositely) and is typically found around the FM or HM critical temperature, where the spin fluctuation tends to be suppressed by the magnetic fields of several Teslas.

To characterize the nearly metallic state of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ , we measured the temperature dependence of specific heat as shown in the form of  $C/T$  versus  $T^2$  (see Fig. 4). The solid line is the fitting with the equation  $C/T = \gamma +$  $(12/5)\pi^4 N R \Theta_{\text{D}}^3 T^2$  (*R* = 8.31 J/mol K and *N* = 4), where *γ* and  $\Theta_{\rm D}$  represent an electronic specific heat coefficient and Debye temperature, respectively. Thus we obtained the values of  $\gamma = 33.0$  mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> and  $\Theta_{\text{D}} = 450$  K. The electronic specific heat of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is much larger than that of the conventional metals, implying that the electron correlation enhances the effective mass of conduction electrons. On the other hand, the electronic specific heat of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is smaller than that of metallic and cubic SrCoO3 (∼45*.*7 mJ*/*mol K2) [\[20\]](#page-4-0), which signifies that  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  has a pseudogap near the Fermi level. The formation of the pseudogap in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is consistent with the expectation that the system is situated close to the Mott insulating state upon the bandwidth narrowing through the lattice distortion. This conjecture is also compatible with the nonmetallic behavior found in the *T* dependence of  $\rho$ . However, since the grain boundary scattering affects the *T* dependence of  $\rho$ , detailed studies on the single crystalline sample are desirable to clarify the origin of the nonmetallic behavior in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ .

Considering the previous reports that the ground state of  $Sr<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>CoO<sub>3</sub>$  remains FM with *x* up to 0.8 [\[20\]](#page-4-0), and the



FIG. 5. Magnetic field dependence of (a) the magnetization and (b) the resistivity at 10 K. Upper and lower insets in (a) are the magnetization in the low field region and the field derivative of the magnetization *dM/dH*, respectively.

FM metallic phase with GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type structure emerges in La<sub>1−*x*</sub>Ca<sub>*x*</sub>CoO<sub>3</sub> with *x* above 0.1 [\[3,21\]](#page-4-0), the observation of the AFM transition in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is unexpected. To further characterize the magnetic ground state of  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ , we measured the field dependence of *M* at 10 K as shown in Fig.  $5(a)$ . The *M*-*H* curve shows a small hysteretic behavior without reaching saturation even at 7 T, indicating that the magnetic ground state is AFM with a tiny FM component. As shown in the derivative of the magnetization  $dM/dH$ , an anomaly is found at 3.5 T, presumably corresponding to an *H*-induced spin reorientation. This behavior implies that the ground state is not a simple G-type AFM state. Here we presume that the AFM phase found in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  has HM spin structure as in the case of SrFeO<sub>3</sub>. This is supported by the facts that  $\theta$  is positive and its magnitude is comparable to  $T_N$ , which signifies that the neighboring spins in the ground state are aligned nearly parallel. The negative magnetoresistance discernible at low temperatures [see Fig.  $5(b)$ ] implies the gradual change in the spin structure to the FM state.

For the origin of the HM order in oxides with  $Fe<sup>4+</sup>$  ions, two possible mechanisms have been considered: (i) the double exchange model suitable for describing the magnetic ground state of the unusually high valence system with the negative  $p-d$  charge-transfer energy  $\Delta$  [\[7,22\]](#page-4-0); and (ii) the competition between the FM double exchange interaction and the AFM superexchange interaction  $[23,24]$ . Since SrCoO<sub>3</sub> has negative  $\Delta \sim -2$  eV [\[13\]](#page-4-0), it is presumable that the double exchange mechanism for the transition-metal oxides with negative  $\Delta$ works also in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$ . If this is the case, considering that the magnetic ground state in this model can be either FM or HM depending on the strength of the *p*-*d* hybridization, CaCoO3 with the orthorhombic distortion can adopt the HM state instead of the FM state appeared in the cubic perovskite  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$ . On the other hand, given that the FM double exchange interaction works in  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$ , it is also presumable that the introduction of the orthorhombic distortion by the Ca substitution for Sr changes the ground state from the FM to the HM state, where the FM double exchange interaction is so reduced as to be competitive with the AFM superexchange interaction. In either case, the HM state likely appears in  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  as a consequence of the bandwidth narrowing due to the  $GdFeO<sub>3</sub>$ -type orthorhombic distortion.

Finally, let us discuss the difference in the electronic ground state between CaCoO<sub>3</sub> and other distorted perovskites containing unusually high valence Fe and Ni ions, typified by  $CaFeO<sub>3</sub>$ . The charge disproportionation is ubiquitously found in distorted perovskites with  $Fe^{4+}$  [\[25–28\]](#page-4-0) and Ni<sup>3+</sup> [\[29,30\]](#page-4-0). The origin of the charge disproportionation has been discussed in terms of the enhanced electron-phonon interaction coupled to the breathing mode [\[10\]](#page-4-0) and the Hund's coupling with strong *pd* hybridization [\[31,32\]](#page-4-0). The point is that the doubly degenerate *eg* orbitals occupied by one electron are at the Fermi level in these perovskites, which is suitable for the breathing-type lattice distortion. On the other hand, for  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  with the intermediate spin state, not only the  $e<sub>g</sub>$ orbitals but the  $t_{2g}$  orbitals are located near the Fermi level. Therefore, the absence of the charge disproportionation in CaCoO3 signifies that the electronic instability toward the localized state in the largely distorted lattice with the narrow bandwidth is avoided by the presence of the itinerant  $t_{2g}$ electrons, as suggested by the first-principles calculations for  $SrCoO<sub>3</sub>$  [\[16,17\]](#page-4-0).

To summarize, we have succeeded in synthesizing the  $GdFeO<sub>3</sub>$ -type perovskite  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  without oxygen deficiency. The bond-valence sum calculations based on the refined crystal structure show that the valences of Ca and Co are  $+2$  and  $+4$ , respectively. The magnetization and resistivity measurements indicate that  $CaCoO<sub>3</sub>$  is an antiferromagnetic and incoherent metal. The positive Weiss temperature and the nonlinear magnetization curve at low temperature imply that the magnetic structure is noncollinear, potentially helimagnetic. This work demonstrates the rich magnetism in perovskite oxides with  $Co<sup>4+</sup>$  ions and the significant effect of the bandwidth narrowing on the magnetic ground state in  $(Sr,Ca)CoO<sub>3</sub>$ .

The authors appreciate M. Takano, H. Sakai, and J. Fujioka for the helpful suggestions. This work is partly supported by JSPS, KAKENHI (Grants No. 17H01195 and No. 16K17736), JST PRESTO Hyper-nano-space design toward Innovative Functionality (Grant No. JPMJPR1412), Asahi Grass Foundation, and Grant for Basic Science Research Projects of the Sumitomo Foundation. The powder XRD measurement was performed with the approval of the Photon Factory Program Advisory Committee (Proposal No. 2015S2-007).

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] [J. B. MacChesney, R. C. Sherwood, and J. F. Potter,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1697052) J. Chem. Phys. **[43](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1697052)**, [1907](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1697052) [\(1965\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1697052).
- [2] H. Watanabe, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.515) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.515)**, [515](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.515) [\(1957\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.515).
- [3] Y.-W. Long, Y. Kaneko, S. Ishiwata, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/24/245601) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/24/245601)**, [245601](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/24/245601) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/24/245601).
- [4] S. Ishiwata, M. Tokunaga, Y. Kaneko, D. Okuyama, Y. Tokunaga, S. Wakimoto, K. Kakurai, T. Arima, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054427) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054427)**, [054427](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054427) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054427).
- [5] T. Arima and Y. Tokura, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.64.2488) **[64](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.64.2488)**, [2488](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.64.2488) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.64.2488).
- [6] M. Abbate, G. Zampieri, J. Okamoto, A. Fujimori, S. Kawasaki, and M. Takano, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165120) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165120)**, [165120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165120) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165120).
- [7] M. Mostovoy, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.137205) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.137205)**, [137205](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.137205) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.137205).
- [8] M. Takano, N. Nakanishi, Y. Takeda, S. Naka, and T. Takada, [Mater. Res. Bull.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(77)90104-0) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(77)90104-0)**, [923](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(77)90104-0) [\(1977\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(77)90104-0).
- [9] P. M. Woodward, D. E. Cox, E. Moshopoulou, A. W. Sleight, and S. Morimoto, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.844) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.844)**, [844](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.844) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.844).
- [10] J. Matsuno, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, Y. Takeda, S. Kawasaki, and M. Takano, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.193103) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.193103)**, [193103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.193103) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.193103).
- [11] M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039)**, [1039](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039).
- [12] J. B. Goodenough, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90107-0) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90107-0)**, [287](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90107-0) [\(1958\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90107-0).
- [13] R. H. Potze, G. A. Sawatzky, and M. Abbate, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.11501) **[51](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.11501)**, [11501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.11501) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.11501).
- [14] M. Zhuang, W. Zhang, A. Hu, and N. Ming, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.13655) **[57](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.13655)**, [13655](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.13655) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.13655).
- [15] J.-Y. Yang, C. Terakura, M. Medarde, J. S.White, D. Sheptyakov, X.-Z. Yan, N.-N. Li, W.-G. Yang, H.-L. Xia, J.-H. Dai, Y.-Y. Yin, Y.-Y. Jiao, J.-G. Cheng, Y.-L. Bu, Q.-F. Zhang, X.-D. Li, C.-Q. Jin, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, and Y.-W. Long, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195147) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195147)**, [195147](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195147) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195147).
- [16] J. Kuneš, V. Křápek, N. Parragh, G. Sangiovanni, A. Toschi, and A. V. Kozhevnikov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.117206) **[109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.117206)**, [117206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.117206) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.117206).
- [17] Z. Ali and I. Ahmad, [J. Elec. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-012-2377-y) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-012-2377-y)**, [438](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-012-2377-y) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11664-012-2377-y).
- [18] F. Izumi and K. Momma, [Solid State Phenom.](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.130.15) **[130](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.130.15)**, [15](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.130.15) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.130.15).
- 
- [19] N. E. Brese and M. O'Keeffe, [Acta Crystallogr.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190011041) **[B47](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190011041)**, [192](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190011041) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768190011041).
- [20] [S. Balamurugan, M. Xu, and E. Takayama-Muromachi,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2005.08.019) J. Solid State Chem. **[178](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2005.08.019)**, [3431](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2005.08.019) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2005.08.019).
- [21] M. Kriener, C. Zobel, A. Reichl, J. Baier, M. Cwik, K. Berggold, [H. Kierspel, O. Zabara, A. Freimuth, and T. Lorenz,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.094417) Phys. Rev. B **[69](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.094417)**, [094417](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.094417) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.094417).
- [22] Z. Li, R. Laskowski, T. Iitaka, and T. Tohyama, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.134419) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.134419)**, [134419](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.134419) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.134419).
- [23] P.-G. de Gennes, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.118.141) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.118.141)**, [141](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.118.141) [\(1960\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.118.141).
- [24] J.-H. Kim, A. Jain, M. Reehuis, G. Khaliullin, D. C. Peets, C. Ulrich, J. T. Park, E. Faulhaber, A. Hoser, H. C. Walker, D. T. Adroja, A. C. Walters, D. S. Inosov, A. Maljuk, and B. Keimer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.147206) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.147206)**, [147206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.147206) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.147206).
- [25] I. Yamada, K. Takata, N. Hayashi, S. Shinohara, M. Azuma, [S. Mori, S. Muranaka, Y. Shimakawa, and M. Takano,](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801482) Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **[47](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801482)**, [7032](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801482) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200801482).
- [26] Y.-W. Long, N. Hayashi, T. Saito, M. Azuma, S. Muranaka, and Y. Shimakawa, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07816) **[458](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07816)**, [60](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07816) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07816).
- [27] Y. Hosaka, N. Ichikawa, T. Saito, M. Haruta, K. Kimoto, H. Kurata, and Y. Shimakawa, [Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.20140404) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.20140404)**, [657](https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.20140404) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1246/bcsj.20140404).
- [28] S. Ganesanpotti, C. Tassel, N. Hayashi, Y. Goto, G. Bouilly, T. Yajima, Y. Kobayashi, and H. Kageyama, [Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201402009) **[2014](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201402009)**, [2576](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201402009) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201402009).
- [29] J. A. Alonso, J. L. García-Muñoz, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, [M. A. G. Aranda, M. J. Martínez-Lope, and M. T. Casais,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3871) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3871)**, [3871](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3871) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3871).
- [30] J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martínez-Lope, M. T. Casais, J. L. García-Muñoz, and M. T. Fernández-Díaz, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.1756) **[61](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.1756)**, [1756](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.1756) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.1756).
- [31] I. I. Mazin, D. I. Khomskii, R. Lengsdorf, J. A. Alonso, W. G. Marshall, R. M. Ibberson, A. Podlesnyak, M. J. Martínez-Lope, and M. M. Abd-Elmeguid, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406)**, [176406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.176406).
- [32] J. Fujioka, S. Ishiwata, Y. Kaneko, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155141) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155141)**, [155141](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155141) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.155141).