Nonlinear spin current generation in noncentrosymmetric spin-orbit coupled systems

Keita Hamamoto,¹ Motohiko Ezawa,¹ Kun Woo Kim,² Takahiro Morimoto,³ and Naoto Nagaosa^{1,4}

¹*Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

²*School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea*

³*Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

⁴*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

(Received 12 January 2017; revised manuscript received 30 May 2017; published 26 June 2017)

Spin current plays a central role in spintronics. In particular, finding more efficient ways to generate spin current has been an important issue and has been studied actively. For example, representative methods of spin-current generation include spin-polarized current injections from ferromagnetic metals, the spin Hall effect, and the spin battery. Here, we theoretically propose a mechanism of spin-current generation based on nonlinear phenomena. By using Boltzmann transport theory, we show that a simple application of the electric field *E* induces spin current proportional to E^2 in noncentrosymmetric spin-orbit coupled systems. We demonstrate that the nonlinear spin current of the proposed mechanism is supported in the surface state of three-dimensional topological insulators and two-dimensional semiconductors with the Rashba and/or Dresselhaus interaction. In the latter case, the angular dependence of the nonlinear spin current can be manipulated by the direction of the electric field and by the ratio of the Rashba and Dresselhaus interactions. We find that the magnitude of the spin current largely exceeds those in the previous methods for a reasonable magnitude of the electric field. Furthermore, we show that application of ac electric fields (e.g., terahertz light) leads to the rectifying effect of the spin current, where dc spin current is generated. These findings will pave a route to manipulate the spin current in noncentrosymmetric crystals.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.224430](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.224430)

I. INTRODUCTION

Spins and their flow in solids have attracted recent intense attention from the viewpoints of both fundamental physics and spintronics applications. The conventional and direct way to generate spins or spin current in solids is to inject the spin-polarized current from metallic ferromagnets [\[1–4\]](#page-7-0). Meanwhile, recent research has focused on the electric manipulation of spin and spin current without using magnets, where the relativistic spin-orbit interaction (SOI) plays an essential role. For such an example, the spin Hall effect supports the conversion of the charge current to the spin current [\[5](#page-7-0)[–16\]](#page-8-0). In the presence of the SOI, the spin Hall conductivity σ_H^s becomes nonzero due to an extrinsic mechanism such as skew scattering [\[5–7\]](#page-7-0) or the intrinsic mechanism of the Berry phase of the Bloch wave functions $[8-12]$. These two mechanisms induce σ_H^s proportional to $O(\tau)$ and $O(1)$, respectively, in terms of the transport lifetime τ . Spin battery is another method to produce the spin current, where the precession of the ferromagnetic moment is excited by the magnetic resonance absorption, and the damping of this collective mode results in the flow of the spin current to the neighboring system through the interface [\[17–21\]](#page-8-0). Interband spin-selective optical transition under irradiation of the circularly polarized light also induces the spin-polarized current, which is known as the circular photogalvanic effect [\[22,23\]](#page-8-0). These methods have been successfully applied to study a variety of phenomena, but the experimental signals associated with the spin current are quite small, and the device structure to detect them is limited. A more efficient way to create the spin current based on another physical origin has been desired for the purpose of spintronics application.

In this paper, we theoretically propose that a simple application of the electric field produces a nonlinear spin current proportional to the square of the electric field $E²$ and also the square of the transport lifetime τ^2 due to an interplay of the SOI and broken inversion symmetry. Therefore, it can produce larger spin current compared with previous methods. This effect is supported by nontrivial spin texture in energy bands that appears in broken inversion systems with the SOI, e.g., the surface Weyl state of three-dimensional (3D) topological insulators (TIs) and two-dimensional (2D) semiconductors with the Rashba and/or Dresselhaus SOI. This mechanism also offers the rectification of the spin current, i.e., the generation of the dc spin current from ac electric fields. These proposed mechanisms are based on the nonlinear current responses in noncentrosymmetric systems which is captured in the semiclassical treatment using the Boltzmann equation as follows.

Noncentrosymmetric systems support nonlinear charge current proportional to E^2 . The canonical example is a *p*-*n* junction, where the difference of *I* -*V* characteristics between the right and left directions leads to a charge current proportional to E^2 . However, for periodic systems with conserved crystal momentum k , the situation is less trivial. This is because the time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal T$ imposes a condition on the energy dispersion, i.e., $\varepsilon_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon_{\overline{\alpha}}(-\mathbf{k})$, with $\bar{\alpha}$ being the spin opposite α . Therefore, even with the broken inversion symmetry I , there remains a certain symmetry between k and $-k$ as long as one is concerned about the charge degrees of freedom. Thus, in Boltzmann transport phenomena where the charge current is determined by only the energy dispersion, it is necessary to further break the time-reversal symmetry in addition to \mathcal{I} , e.g., by the external magnetic field *B* or the spontaneous magnetization *M*, in order to realize the nonreciprocal charge responses [\[24–29\]](#page-8-0). Exceptions necessarily require that the information of the wave functions enters into the transport properties through, e.g., the Berry phase [\[30,31\]](#page-8-0). However, it should be noted that these Berry phase contributions are not the leading-order effect in semiclassics. Namely, the dominant one, which is proportional

to $(\tau E)^2$ in the clean limit, is the contribution captured by the Boltzmann equation.

On the other hand, the situation is dramatically different for the spin current. In this case, one needs to distinguish the spin components of the energy bands. The spin-split bands in noncentrosymmetric systems with the SOI could produce a spin current proportional to $(\tau E)^2$ even without breaking the T symmetry. The difference in the required symmetry for the charge current and the spin current is discussed in detail in Sec. III. Since this effect arises from the Boltzmann transport, the generated nonlinear spin current becomes very large (with $\alpha \tau^2$) compared with that of the previous methods mentioned above.

We note that the nonlinear spin current in transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) was also studied theoretically [\[32\]](#page-8-0). While Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-0) is focused on the band structure with Ising-type spin splitting along the fixed (*z*) direction, our theory is applicable to cases with general SOIs that lack the S_z conservation. Especially, the Rashba system, which is intensively studied in the context of spintronics, is a typical example that breaks S_z conservation. Considering the ubiquitousness of the Rashba system which emerges universally at interfaces and even in the bulk [\[33,34\]](#page-8-0), the applicability to such a system is a great advantage of the present study for future spintronics studies. Furthermore, the nonlinear spin current in the present study is 2 or 3 orders of magnitude larger compared than that in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-0) since the latter is proportional to a small higher-order coefficient, namely, trigonal warping. A detailed comparison to Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-0) is discussed in Sec. [VI.](#page-5-0) The present nonlinear spin current also ensures controllability of the spin polarization of the flowing spin current through the direction of the electric field and/or the Rashba-Dresselhaus ratio.

II. THEORETICAL METHODS

A. Boltzmann equation

First, we derive the general formula for nonlinear spin current in the semiclassical regime by using the Boltzmann equation.We consider a system with the electric field *E* applied in the *x* direction. The Boltzmann equation for the distribution function f is given by

$$
-eE\frac{\partial f}{\partial k_x} = -\frac{f - f_0}{\tau} \tag{1}
$$

in the relaxation-time approximation (with *τ* being the relaxation time of the electron), where f_0 is the original distribution function in the absence of *E*. (We have set $\hbar = 1$ and adopt the convention $e > 0$ throughout this paper.) In order to study the (nonlinear) current response in each order in *E*, we expand the distribution function as $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \cdots$, where $f_n \propto E^n$. The iterative substitution in the Boltzmann equation yields $f_n = (e \tau E \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x})^n f_0$ [\[29–32\]](#page-8-0). In particular, the distribution function of the first order in *E* is given by

$$
f_1 = e\tau E \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_x} = e\tau E \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \varepsilon},
$$
 (2)

and that of the second order in *E* is [\[30,32\]](#page-8-0)

$$
f_2 = e\tau E \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial k_x} = (e\tau E)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial k_x^2}.
$$
 (3)

FIG. 1. Second-order distribution function and resultant spin current in a 3D TI. (a) Spin texture along the Fermi surface of the surface state of the 3D TI. The shading shows the schematic image of the distribution function of the second order in *E*, which is along the *x* direction. We note that this is a schematic picture to clarify the Fermi surface distortion, and the realistic situation for a TI with $\tau \sim 1$ ps, $E \sim 1 \text{ kV/m}, v_F \sim 10^5 \text{ m/s}, \text{ and } \mu \sim 10 \text{ meV}$ leads to a distortion of the order of 10[−]⁴ of the Fermi wave number. (b) Spin directions of the spin current on the surface of the TI with a parabolic dispersion. The blue curve indicates the magnitude, while the arrows show the spin polarization direction of the spin current at each direction of flow. The angle θ corresponds to the one in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0).

The second-order term f_2 typically shows modulation of electron occupation with the quadrupole structure, as illustrated in Fig. $1(a)$.

B. Definition of spin current

The conventional definition of the spin-current operator is given by the anticommutator of the velocity ($\propto \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_{\mu}}$) and the spin ($\propto \sigma_{\nu}$), $\hat{\jmath}_{\mu s_{\nu}} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \{\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_{\mu}}, \sigma_{\nu}\}\$ [\[9–11\]](#page-7-0). Hence, the spin current of the *n*th order in *E* is given by

$$
j_{\mu,s_{\nu}}^{(n)} = \sum_{I} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle I, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{\mu s_{\nu}} | I, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_n^I, \tag{4}
$$

where μ is the direction of flow, ν is the direction of the spin polarization, *I* is the band index, and f_n^I is the *n*th-order distribution function of the *I* th band. In the following, we focus on the second-order nonlinear spin current $j_{\mu,s_\nu}^{(2)}$ that appears in noncentrosymmetric systems. Intuitively, an interplay of the quadrupole modulation of f_2 and nontrivial spin texture due to the SOI [as illustrated in Fig. $1(a)$] leads to the nonlinear spin current $j_{\mu,s_\nu}^{(2)}$ such as that shown in Fig. 1(b), as we will see in detail in Sec. [IV.](#page-2-0)

III. SYMMETRY ARGUMENT

The nonlinear charge and spin currents (j_{μ,s_0} and j_{μ,s_ν} , respectively) are constrained by the time-reversal symmetry T . To see this, we suppose that the Hamiltonian satisfies $\mathcal{H}(k,\vec{\sigma}) = \mathcal{H}(-k,-\vec{\sigma})$, and hence, every eigenstate has a timereversal-symmetry partner that carries opposite momentum and opposite spin. First, the charge current $v_{\mu} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_{\mu}}$ is odd under $T(T : v_{\mu} \rightarrow -v_{\mu})$ while the spin current is even $(T : \hat{J}_{\mu s_v} \to \hat{J}_{\mu s_v})$. Next the distribution function f_n is even for even *n* and odd for odd *n* because $f_n = (e \tau E)^n \frac{\partial^n f_0}{\partial k_\mu^n} \sim (v_\mu)^n$. Therefore, it follows that all odd orders of the spin current are zero and that all even orders of the charge current are zero in the presence of the time-reversal symmetry:

$$
j_{\mu,s_{\nu}}^{\text{odd}} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{j}_{\mu s_{\nu}} f_{\text{odd}} = 0 \quad \text{(with } \mathcal{T}), \tag{5}
$$

$$
j_{\mu,s_0}^{\text{even}} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\jmath}_{\mu s_0} f_{\text{even}} = 0 \quad \text{(with } \mathcal{T}\text{).}
$$
 (6)

In particular, we find that the second-order charge current vanishes, while the second-order spin current can be nonvanishing. Finally, a similar argument applies when a system has inversion symmetry *I* with $H(k, \vec{\sigma}) = H(-k, \vec{\sigma})$. Since the spin direction is not flipped by the inversion operator (and hence $\mathcal{I} : \hat{j}_{\mu s_{\nu}} \to -\hat{j}_{\mu s_{\nu}}$), all charge and spin nonlinear currents in the even order are zero:

$$
j_{\mu,s_v}^{\text{even}} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\jmath}_{\mu s_v} f_{\text{even}} = 0 \quad (\text{with } \mathcal{I}), \tag{7}
$$

$$
j_{\mu,s_0}^{\text{even}} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{\jmath}_{\mu s_0} f_{\text{even}} = 0 \quad \text{(with } \mathcal{I}\text{)}.
$$
 (8)

These symmetry analyses indicate that the nonlinear spin current $\propto E^2$ in the Boltzmann transport requires broken inversion, but it does not require broken time-reversal-symmetric systems. In the following sections, we study a few examples of noncentrosymmetric systems with SOI that support the nonlinear spin current.

IV. SURFACE STATE OF THE 3D TI

We start with the surface of a 3D TI. It is described by the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{TI}} = v(k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x)$, where *v* is the velocity of the Weyl cone. The energy dispersion is $\varepsilon^I = I v k$, with $I = \pm$, and the spin polarization for each branch in the *k* space is $\langle \pm, k | \vec{\sigma} | \pm, k \rangle = \pm (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0)$, where $k_x = k \cos \phi, k_y = k \sin \phi$. We show the Fermi surface (FS) and the spin direction for the upper branch together with the second-order distribution function in Fig. $1(a)$. By using spincurrent operators, $\hat{j}_{x s_x} = \hat{j}_{y s_y} = 0$ and $\hat{j}_{x s_y} = -\hat{j}_{y s_x} = \frac{1}{2} v$, we can show that $j_{\mu,s_\nu}^{(n)} \propto \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}$ $\frac{\partial^n f_0^{\pm}}{\partial k_x^n} = 0$. Namely, all the spin currents are zero.

However, nonzero spin currents are generated in the presence of the parabolic term $k^2/(2m)$ in the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{TI} :

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm TI} = \frac{k^2}{2m} + v(k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x). \tag{9}
$$

The emergence of the parabolic dispersion is expected in general when the system has band asymmetry between the electron and hole bands. The energy dispersion is given by

$$
\varepsilon^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{k^2}{2m} \pm vk,\tag{10}
$$

and the Fermi surface is formed by one of these two branches depending on the sign of the chemical potential μ . The Fermi momentum is determined as $k_F^{\pm} = \mp mv \pm \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2v^2}$, with \pm corresponding to the sign of μ . The velocity operators

in this case are given as

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_x} = \frac{k_x}{m} + v\sigma_y, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_y} = \frac{k_y}{m} - v\sigma_x.
$$
 (11)

The spin-current operators are given by

$$
\hat{j}_{xs_x} = \frac{k_x}{2m} \sigma_x, \quad \hat{j}_{xs_y} = \frac{k_x}{2m} \sigma_y + \frac{1}{2} v,
$$

$$
\hat{j}_{ys_x} = \frac{k_y}{2m} \sigma_x - \frac{1}{2} v, \quad \hat{j}_{ys_y} = \frac{k_y}{2m} \sigma_y,
$$
 (12)

which are summarized as $\hat{J}_{\mu s_{\nu}} = \frac{k_{\mu}}{2m} \sigma_{\nu}$ up to irrelevant constant terms. Their expectation values for each branch of the Weyl cone are

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{x s_x} | \pm, k \rangle = \mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \cos \phi,
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{x s_y} | \pm, k \rangle = \pm \frac{k}{2m} \cos^2 \phi + \frac{1}{2} v,
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{y s_x} | \pm, k \rangle = \mp \frac{k}{2m} \sin^2 \phi - \frac{1}{2} v,
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{y s_y} | \pm, k \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \cos \phi.
$$
 (13)

As expected from the symmetry argument, all the linear spin currents vanish after the ϕ integration; $j_{x,s_x}^{(1)} = j_{x,s_y}^{(1)} = j_{y,s_x}^{(1)} = j_{y,s_x}^{(1)}$ $j_{y,s_y}^{(1)} = 0$. This result can be shown explicitly as follows. All the expectation values of the spin currents are zeroth or second order in $\cos \phi$ or $\sin \phi$, while $f_1 \propto \cos \phi$. The product of these two terms is first or third order in cos *φ* or sin *φ*, which vanishes through the ϕ integration.

Second-order spin currents are calculated by integration by part at zero temperature as

$$
j_{x,s_y}^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | f_{xs_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm}
$$

\n
$$
= \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \cos^2 \phi + v \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\times \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial \epsilon^{\pm}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \epsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2 m} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \left[\cos^3 \phi + 2 \sin^2 \phi \cos \phi \right]
$$

\n
$$
\times \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \epsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \mp \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \delta(k - k_F^{\pm})
$$

\n
$$
= \pm \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} [-mv + \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2 v^2}].
$$
 (14)

By similar calculations shown in the Appendix, we have $j_{y,s_x}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{5} j_{x,s_y}^{(2)}$ and $j_{x,s_x}^{(2)} = j_{y,s_y}^{(2)} = 0$. Note that the signs of the spin currents depend on the sign of the chemical potential μ .

Nonzero spin-current generation is naturally understood in terms of the spin direction at the FS and the second-order distribution function possessing a quadrupole structure [see Fig. $1(a)$]. Namely, the distribution function is positive toward the $\pm x$ direction, and hence, both the $+y$ spin flowing in the +*x* direction and the −*y* spin flowing in the −*x* direction are accelerated by the application of *E* parallel to the *x* direction. In total, $j_{x,s_y}^{(2)}$ becomes positive. Similarly, since f_2 is negative toward the $\pm y$ directions, both the $-x$ spin flowing in the +*y* direction and the +*x* spin flowing in the −*y* direction are negatively accelerated, thus resulting in the positive $j_{y,s_x}^{(2)}$.

In order to clarify the real-space texture of the generated spin current, we define the spin current toward the *θ* direction as

$$
\vec{j}_{\theta s}^{(2)} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} j_{\theta s_x}^{(2)} \\ j_{\theta s_y}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} j_{x s_x}^{(2)} \cos \theta + j_{y s_x}^{(2)} \sin \theta \\ j_{x s_y}^{(2)} \cos \theta + j_{y s_y}^{(2)} \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} . \tag{15}
$$

We show the polar plot of $\vec{j}_{\theta s}^{(2)}$ in Fig. [1\(b\),](#page-1-0) where the blue line shows the amplitude of the spin current $|\vec{j}_{\theta s}^{(2)}|$, while the black arrows show the direction of the spin polarization $\vec{J}_{\theta s}^{(2)}/|\vec{J}_{\theta s}^{(2)}|$. Using the fact $j_{x s_y}^{(2)} = 5 j_{y s_x}^{(2)}$ and $j_{x s_x}^{(2)} = j_{y s_y}^{(2)} = 0$, the magnitude of the spin current is given by $|\vec{j}_{\theta s}^{(2)}| = \sqrt{(j_{\theta s_x}^{(2)})^2 + (j_{\theta s_y}^{(2)})^2}$ $|j_{y_s}^{(2)}|\sqrt{25\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta}$, which well describes the blue curve in Fig. $1(b)$.

V. RASHBA-DRESSELHAUS SYSTEM

Rashba- and Dresselhaus-type SOIs are present in wide classes of materials without inversion symmetry. The Hamiltonian including the Rashba-type and linear Dresselhaus-type SOIs is

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{RD}} = \frac{k^2}{2m} + \alpha (k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x) + \beta (k_x \sigma_x - k_y \sigma_y), \quad (16)
$$

where *m* is the electron effective mass, *α* is the Rashba SOI strength, and β is the Dresselhaus SOI strength. There are two bands indexed by $I = \pm$,

$$
\varepsilon^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{k^2}{2m} \pm k\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta\sin 2\phi}.
$$
 (17)

The spin polarization in the *k* space is $\langle \pm, k | \vec{\sigma} | \pm, k \rangle =$ $\pm(\cos\varphi, -\sin\varphi, 0)$, where $\varphi \equiv \arg[(\beta k_x - \alpha k_y) + i(\beta k_y - \alpha_k)]$ αk_x)]. We show FSs and the spin textures for various values of tan⁻¹(β/α) in Fig. 2 while keeping $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$. FSs are anisotropic for the general Rashba-Dresselhaus system. In this case there are two FSs, in contrast to the case of the surface state of TIs.

FIG. 2. Spin textures of the Fermi surfaces of the Rashba-Dresselhaus system. (a) For tan⁻¹(β/α) = 0 (Rashba system). (b) For *π/*6. (c) For *π/*3. (d) For *π/*2 (Dresselhaus system). The shading shows the schematic image of the distribution function of the second order in *E*. Here, we have set $\mu = 0.2, m = 1$, and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$.

The anisotropic Fermi momentum for the upper band is $k_{F+}^+ = -mA + \sqrt{m^2A^2 + 2m\mu}$, while those for lower bands are $k_{F-}^{\pm} = +mA \pm \sqrt{m^2A^2 + 2m\mu}$, with $A(\phi) =$ $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta \sin 2\phi}$ *. For* $\mu > 0$ *,* k_{F+}^+ *and* k_{F-}^+ *form Fermi* surfaces, while k_{F-}^+ and k_{F-}^- do for $\mu < 0$. Note that the Fermi surface for $\mu < 0$ vanishes for ϕ such that $m^2 A(\phi)^2 + 2m\mu < 0$. Velocity operators are given as

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_x} = \frac{k_x}{m} + \alpha \sigma_y + \beta \sigma_x, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial k_y} = \frac{k_y}{m} - \alpha \sigma_x - \beta \sigma_y. \quad (18)
$$

From these, we have spin-current operators as

$$
\hat{j}_{xs_x} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_x}{m} \sigma_x + \beta \right), \quad \hat{j}_{xs_y} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_x}{m} \sigma_y + \alpha \right),
$$

$$
\hat{j}_{ys_x} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_y}{m} \sigma_x - \alpha \right), \quad \hat{j}_{ys_y} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k_y}{m} \sigma_y - \beta \right), \quad (19)
$$

which are again summarized as $\hat{J}_{\mu s_{\nu}} = \frac{k_{\mu}}{2m} \sigma_{\nu}$ + const. Their expectation values for each band are

$$
\langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{x s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \cos \phi \cos \varphi + \beta \right),
$$

$$
\langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{x s_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mp \frac{k}{m} \cos \phi \sin \varphi + \alpha \right),
$$

$$
\langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{y s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \cos \varphi - \alpha \right),
$$

$$
\langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{y s_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mp \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \sin \varphi - \beta \right).
$$
 (20)

Using these results, we numerically calculated the secondorder spin current for some values of tan⁻¹(β/α) and the direction of the applied electric field θ_E , where $E =$ $E(\cos \theta_E, \sin \theta_E)$. The polar plot of the spin current is summarized in Fig. [3.](#page-4-0) Note that the distribution function under the application of the electric field in the general direction is obtained with a simple substitution, $E \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \to E \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k}$, in Eqs. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(3\)](#page-1-0). We have numerically confirmed that all the first-order spin currents are zero, which is consistent with the symmetry requirement. We have also confirmed the chemical potential dependence is negligible when $\mu > 0$. Detailed arguments for the Rashba system $[\tan^{-1}(\beta/\alpha) = 0,$ the leftmost column in Fig. [3\]](#page-4-0) and the Dresselhaus system $[\tan^{-1}(\beta/\alpha) = \pi/2$, the rightmost column in Fig. [3\]](#page-4-0) are given below.

A. Rashba system

We first investigate the pure Rashba system, for which $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta = 0$, and $\theta_E = 0$. The eigenstates and the spin polarization are the same as those in the surface state of 3D TIs; $\langle \pm, k | \tilde{\sigma} | \pm, k \rangle = \pm (\cos \varphi, -\sin \varphi, 0) =$ $\pm(-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0)$. We show the spin textures of the FSs in the pure Rashba system in Fig. $2(a)$. The spin texture forms vortex structures, whose directions are opposite between the inner and outer FSs. All the first-order spin currents are analytically shown to vanish by the ϕ integration; $j_{x,s_x}^{(1)R}$ = $j_{x,s_y}^{(1)R} = j_{y,s_x}^{(1)R} = j_{y,s_y}^{(1)R} = 0$, where the superscript *R* indicates the Rashba system. This is consistent with the symmetry

FIG. 3. Spin-polarization directions of the spin current in the Rashba-Dresselhaus system with general interaction strength and the electric-field direction. The blue curves show the magnitude of the spin current, while the vectors show the direction of the spin polarization. The horizontal axis is the Rashba-Dresselhaus ratio $\tan^{-1}(\beta/\alpha)$, and the vertical axis is the electric-field direction θ_E , where $\mathbf{E} = (E \cos \theta_E, E \sin \theta_E)$. Here, we have set $\mu = 0.2, m = 1$, and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$.

argument. Furthermore, second-order spin currents are calculated as

$$
j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | j_{x s_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \cos^2 \phi + \alpha \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\times \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\pm} \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2 m} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \left[\cos^3 \phi + 2 \sin^2 \phi \cos \phi \right]
$$

\n
$$
\times \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\pm} \mp \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \times \begin{cases} k_{F+}^+ - k_{F-}^+ & (\mu > 0) \\ -k_{F-}^+ + k_{F-}^- & (\mu < 0) \\ \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2 \alpha^2} & (\mu < 0). \end{cases}
$$
 (21)

Similarly, we have $j_{y,s_x}^{(2)R} = \frac{1}{5} j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R}$ and $j_{x,s_x}^{(2)R} = j_{y,s_y}^{(2)R} = 0$ This relation is the same as that in the case of the TI. We note that the sign of the spin currents is opposite that in the TI.

The polar plot of the second-order spin current in the Rashba system is shown in Fig. 3 in the panel corresponding to tan⁻¹(β/α) = 0 and $\theta_E = 0$. The shape of the pattern is exactly the same as that in 3D TIs [Fig. $1(b)$] but the spin polarization is opposite.

B. Dresselhaus system

We next investigate the Dresselhaus system, for which $\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha = 0$, and $\theta_E = 0$. We show the spin direction of the FSs in the pure Dresselhaus system in Fig. $2(d)$. The spin texture forms hedgehog structures, whose directions are opposite between the inner and outer FSs; $\langle \pm, k | \vec{\sigma} | \pm, k \rangle =$ $\pm(\cos\varphi, -\sin\varphi, 0) = \pm(\cos\varphi, -\sin\varphi, 0)$. The eigenenergy and the distribution functions between the Rashba Hamiltonian and the Dresselhaus Hamiltonian are the same. The only difference is the expectation value of the spin-current operators. We find the relation between the expectation values of the spin-current operators of the Rashba and the Dresselhaus systems as

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}^D_{x s_x} | \pm, k \rangle_D |_{\beta \to \alpha} = \langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}^R_{x s_y} | \pm, k \rangle_R, \qquad (22)
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{J}_{xs_y}^D | \pm, k \rangle_D |_{\beta \to \alpha} = \langle \pm, k | \hat{J}_{xs_x}^R | \pm, k \rangle_R, \qquad (23)
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}^D_{\mathit{ys}_x} | \pm, k \rangle_D |_{\beta \to \alpha} = \langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}^R_{\mathit{ys}_y} | \pm, k \rangle_R, \qquad (24)
$$

$$
\langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{\mathit{ys}_{\mathit{y}}}^D | \pm, k \rangle_D |_{\beta \to \alpha} = \langle \pm, k | \hat{\jmath}_{\mathit{ys}_{\mathit{x}}}^R | \pm, k \rangle_R, \qquad (25)
$$

where superscript and subscript *R* and *D* denote the Rashba and Dresselhaus systems, respectively. These relations and the equivalence of the band dispersion guarantee all the linear spin currents are zero, as expected. Furthermore, the second-order spin currents are given by $j_{x,s_x}^{(2)D} = 5j_{y,s_y}^{(2)D} = j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R} = 5j_{y,s_x}^{(2)R}$ and $j_{x,s_y}^{(2)D} = j_{y,s_x}^{(2)D} = 0$, where the superscript *D* indicates the Dresselhaus system. The polar plot of the second-order spin current in the Dresselhaus system is shown in Fig. 3; see the panel corresponding to tan⁻¹(β/α) = $\pi/2$ and $\theta_E = 0$. The peanutlike shape is exactly the same as those in 3D TIs and the Rashba system, but the spin polarization reflects the hedgehog structure at FSs.

C. Carrier density and temperature dependences

Now we consider the dependence of the spin current on the carrier density n and temperature T . We show the carrier density and temperature dependence of $j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R} (= j_{x,s_x}^{(2)D} =$ $5j_{y,s_x}^{(2)R} = 5j_{y,s_y}^{(2)D}$ in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0) We take the Rashba system as an example here, but the generic features are common for other cases also. Equation (21) and Fig. $4(a)$ indicate that the magnitude of the spin current at the zero temperature increases with the increase in carrier density *n* and becomes constant for $n > n_D$, with $n_D = m^2\alpha^2/\pi$ being the carrier density corresponding to the Dirac point. According to Eq. (21), the magnitude of the spin current is proportional to the difference in the Fermi momentum defined for each FS, which is constant above the Dirac point. The constant spin current above the Dirac point indicates that the effect of finite temperature is tiny, as shown in Fig. [4\(b\).](#page-5-0)

FIG. 4. The carrier density and the temperature dependence of the second-order spin current in the Rashba system $j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R}$. $n_D = m^2 \alpha^2 / \pi$ is the carrier density corresponding to the Dirac point at the zero temperature. Here, we have set $m = \alpha = 1$.

VI. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that the spin current of the second order in *E* is generated in noncentrosymmetric systems with nontrivial spin textures in the momentum space. We also note that the amplitude of the spin current is 2 or 3 orders of magnitude larger than the previous proposal on TMDs [\[32\]](#page-8-0), indicating that our mechanism can generate nonlinear spin current more efficiently. In TMDs, the anisotropic Fermi surface due to the trigonal warping plays a crucial role in the spin-current generation. The authors of Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-0) claimed that the generated nonlinear spin current normalized by the linear charge current is

$$
\frac{j_s^{(2)}(2/\hbar)}{j_c^{(1)}/e} = \frac{3\gamma e \tau E}{\hbar},
$$
\n(26)

where γ is the coefficient of the trigonal warping, which has the dimension of the length. [See Eqs. (3) and (5) in Ref. $[32]$. We have replaced the coefficient β in Ref. $[32]$ by *γ* to avoid confusion.] The *γ* values are summarized in Table 1 in Ref. $[32]$, which is of the order of 0.1–1 A for $MoS₂$ and GaSe. To show that our proposed method is a more efficient mechanism to generate spin current, we calculated the same ratio for our system and define γ parameter by Eq. (26). For a Rashba system with $\mu > 0$, for example, the linear charge current $j_c^{(1)} = \frac{e^2 \tau E}{2\pi} (2\mu + m\alpha^2)$, and the second-order spin current is given in Eq. (21) . The γ value is calculated as $\gamma = \frac{5\alpha}{12} \frac{1}{2\mu + m\alpha^2} < \frac{5}{12m\alpha}$. The maximum value of this ratio is achieved by setting $\mu = 0$. In this case the *γ* value is 630 Å for GaAs by substituting $\alpha \simeq 0.1$ eV Å [[35\]](#page-8-0) and $m \simeq 0.3 m_e$ [\[36\]](#page-8-0). For the bulk Rashba semiconductor BiTeI, the *γ* parameter is found to be 8.1 A by assuming $\alpha \simeq 3.9 \text{ eV}$ A [\[33\]](#page-8-0) and $m \simeq 0.15 m_e$ [\[34\]](#page-8-0). Here, m_e is the electron mass in the vacuum. For the surface of the TI, the linear charge current is $j_c^{(1)} = \frac{e^2 \tau E}{4\pi m}[-mv + \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2v^2}]\sqrt{2m\mu + m^2v^2}$, and the second-order spin current is given in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-0). The *γ* parameter is found to be $\gamma = \frac{5}{12} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\mu + m^2 v^2}} \sim \frac{5}{12m v}$ by assuming $2m\mu \ll$ m^2v^2 . This value is about 17 Å for the 3D TI Bi₂Se₃ using $v \approx 6.2 \times 10^5$ m/s [\[37\]](#page-8-0) and $m = 0.53 m_e$ [\[38\]](#page-8-0). These three values are much larger than that discussed in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-8-0). Thus, we can conclude that our proposed method is a more efficient mechanism to generate the nonlinear spin current.

Generation of spin current proportional to $E²$ indicates that the dc spin current is induced by the ac electric field $E(t) = E e^{i\omega t}$. The time-dependent Boltzmann equation yields the second-order distribution function, which is composed of two terms: the time-independent term and the one with 2*ω* frequency [\[30\]](#page-8-0). The latter one vanishes with time averaging, while the former gives us a finite rectified spin current, which is calculable with a procedure equivalent to that in the present study. This rectified spin current can be induced, for example, by shining a terahertz light.

Under irradiation of the light on systems with spin-split bands, the circular photogalvanic effect also contributes to the spin current associated with the charge current. The interband transition with the optical selection rule gives us an unbalanced distribution of the positive and negative momenta on the spin-split band, resulting in the spin-polarized photocurrent [\[22,23\]](#page-8-0). Similarly, photocurrent is also generated by the spin galvanic effect. The optical spin accumulation by the absorption of the circularly polarized light results in the photocurrent induction in the asymmetric spin-flip scattering processes [\[39,40\]](#page-8-0). However, these phenomena can be excluded by using linearly polarized terahertz light, which does not selectively excite electrons with lifted spin degeneracy.

We next estimate the magnitude of the spin current for various systems. We define the 3D spin conductivity as $j_{x, s_y}^{(2)}/[E\hbar/(2ec)]$, where *c* is the lattice constant for the thickness direction and we assume a reasonable value for the magnitude of the electric field $E \simeq 10^{2-5}$ V/m. The spin conductivity is of the order of $2 \times 10^{2-5}$ Ω^{-1} m⁻¹ for GaAs when substituting $\tau \simeq 2.5$ ps and $c = 5.7$ Å. It is of the order of $7 \times 10^{0-3}$ Ω^{-1} m⁻¹ for BiTe,I with $\tau \simeq 0.072$ ps $[41]$ and $c = 6.9$ Å. As for 3D TIs, it is of the order of $1.3 \times 10^{2-5}$ Ω^{-1} m⁻¹ for Bi₂Se₃ when substituting $\tau \simeq 3.1$ ps [\[42\]](#page-8-0), $c = 29 \text{ Å}$, and $\mu = 0.1 \text{ eV}$. These values are larger than the typical value of the spin Hall conductivity, 10^{0-4} Ω^{-1} m⁻¹ [\[16\]](#page-8-0). The effect of finite temperature summarized in Fig. 4 has a peculiar feature. For a typical sheet carrier density of the order of \sim 10¹³ cm⁻², the carrier density *n/n_D* is of the order of 104 for GaAs and 1 for BiTeI. Room temperature in Fig. 4, 300 K/ $(m\alpha^2/k_B)$, is about 400 for GaAs and 0.1 for BiTeI. As seen in Fig. 4, we may conclude that the spin current never decreases, even at room temperature.

Finally, we discuss the validity of the present work. Our derivation of the second-order distribution function is based on the expansion with respect to $\tau e E \frac{\partial}{\partial h k_x} \sim \tau e E /(\hbar k_0)$, where $k_0 \sim m\alpha/\hbar^2$ is the typical momentum of, for instance, the Rashba system. For the convergence of the expansion, the electric field *E* must satisfy $E \ll m\alpha/(e\hbar\tau)$. This condition has two physical interpretations. One is that the energy due to the electric field eE/k_0 must be much smaller than the disorder broadening \hbar/τ , and the other is the distance between two FSs $m\alpha/\hbar^2$ must be much larger than the shift of the distribution function in the momentum space $\tau eE/\hbar$ to avoid the level mixing by the applied electric field. The upper limit of the electric field is of the order of 10^3 V/m for GaAs, 10^{6-7} V/m for BiTeI, and 10^{5-6} V/m for Bi₂Se₃; the latter two values are sufficiently large for the usual terahertz experiments (∼105 V*/*m). Note that two FSs come very close when *α* ∼ *β*. In this case, the distance between two FSs in the momentum space becomes $m|\alpha - \beta|/\hbar^2 \sim 0$, and hence, our results are not valid near the persistent helix phase, $\alpha = \beta$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Kawasaki and Y. Tokura for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT KAKENHI (Grants No. JP25400317, No. JP15H05854, and No. JP17K05490; M.E.), the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative Theory Center Grant (T.M.), and the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research (Grants No. 24224009 and No. 26103006) from MEXT, Japan, and the ImPACT Program of Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (Cabinet Office, government of Japan; N.N.). K.W.K. acknowledges support from the Overseas Research Program for Young Scientists through the Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS). This work is also supported by CREST, JST (JPMJCR16F1).

APPENDIX: DERIVATION OF SECOND-ORDER SPIN CURRENT

In this Appendix, we show the derivation of the spin currents, $j_{x,s_x}^{(2)}, j_{y,s_x}^{(2)}, j_{y,s_y}^{(2)}$, for the surface of 3D TIs and the Rashba system, which is skipped in the main text.

1. Surface of 3D TI

For surface states of 3D TIs, the spin currents are given by

$$
j_{x,s_x}^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{x,s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \pm \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \frac{\sin^3 \phi}{m} \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] = 0,
$$
 (A1)
\n
$$
j_{y,s_x}^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{y,s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \sin^2 \phi - v \right) \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \left[\frac{1}{m} \cos \phi \sin^2 \phi \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] = \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm v \right) \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon}
$$

\n
$$
= \pm \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} [-mv + \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2 v^2}] = \frac{1}{5} j_{x,s_y}^{(2)}
$$
 (A2)

and

$$
j_{y,s_y}^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{ys_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = -\int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{xs_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = -j_{x,s_x}^{(2)} = 0. \tag{A3}
$$

2. Rashba system

For Rashba systems, the spin currents are given by

$$
j_{x,s_x}^{(2)R} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | j_{x,s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{m} \sin \phi \cos \phi \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\pm} \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \frac{\sin^3 \phi}{m} \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] = 0,
$$
 (A4)

$$
j_{y,s_x}^{(2)R} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{\jmath}_{ys_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \sin^2 \phi - \alpha \right) \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\pm} \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi^2} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} \left[\frac{1}{m} \cos \phi \sin^2 \phi \right] \left[\left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] = \sum_{\pm} \mp \frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \left(\frac{k}{m} \pm \alpha \right) \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon}
$$

\n
$$
= -\frac{e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{16\pi m} \times \begin{cases} m\alpha & (\mu > 0) \\ \sqrt{2m\mu + m^2 \alpha^2} & (\mu < 0) \end{cases} = \frac{1}{5} j_{x,s_y}^{(2)R}
$$
 (A5)

and

$$
j_{y,s_y}^{(2)R} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{J}_{y s_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = -\int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | \hat{J}_{x s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = -j_{x,s_x}^{(2)R} = 0.
$$
 (A6)

3. The 1*/m* **expansion in the surface of TIs**

In this section, we investigate the effect of the parabola term in the Hamiltonian of the surface of a 3D TI. When we expand the second-order spin current with respect to $1/m$, we obtain

$$
j_{x,s_y}^{(2)} = 5j_{y,s_x}^{(2)} = \pm \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m}[-mv + \sqrt{m^2 v^2 + 2m\mu}]
$$

=
$$
\pm \frac{5e^2 \tau^2 E^2 v}{32\pi} \left[\frac{\mu}{mv^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{mv^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{mv^2} \right)^3 + O\left[\left(\frac{\mu}{mv^2} \right)^4 \right] \right] = \pm \frac{5\mu e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi mv} + O\left[\left(\frac{\mu}{mv^2} \right)^2 \right].
$$
 (A7)

The leading term is the expression for $j_{xs_y}^{(2)}$, which is obtained only by considering the correction to the current operator due to the *k*² dispersion. Namely, when we evaluate the expectation value $\langle I, k | \hat{J}_{\mu s_v} | I, k \rangle$, we use $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = k^2/(2m) + v(k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x)$ for the Hamiltonian but $\varepsilon^{\pm} = \pm vk$ for the distribution function. In this situation, for example, $j_{x,s_y}^{(2)}$ is given by

$$
j_{x,s_y}^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | j_{\mu s_y} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2 = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{m} \cos^2 \phi + v \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 E^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} (\pm v) \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= -\frac{v e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{8\pi m} \int d^2 \mathbf{k} [\cos^3 \phi + 2 \sin^2 \phi \cos \phi] \cos \phi \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} = -\frac{5 v e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{5 v e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m} \int k dk \delta(\pm v k - \mu)
$$

\n
$$
= \pm \frac{5 \mu e^2 \tau^2 E^2}{32\pi m v}.
$$
 (A8)

This indicates that the spin current at the TI surface arises from the interplay between the surface Weyl state exhibiting a nontrivial spin texture and the effect of the k^2 dispersion introducing the k linear term in the current operator.

4. Numerical calculation in the Rashba-Dresselhaus system

The second-order spin current in the coexistence of the Rashba and Dresselhaus terms $j_{x,s_x}^{(2)}$ is calculated as

$$
j_{x,s_x}^{(2)} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \pm, \mathbf{k} | j_{x,s_x} | \pm, \mathbf{k} \rangle f_2^{\pm} = \sum_{\pm} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \frac{k}{m} \cos \phi \cos \phi + \beta \right) \right] \left[e^2 \tau^2 \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \varepsilon^{\pm}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) \frac{\partial f_0^{\pm}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right]
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\pm} \pm \frac{e^2 \tau^2}{8\pi^2 m} \int k dk d\phi \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \varepsilon^{\pm}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) \delta(\varepsilon^{\pm} - \mu) \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) [k \cos \phi \cos \phi].
$$
 (A9)

The analytical integration over *k* is possible for given values of ϕ with the use of the relations

$$
\delta(\varepsilon^{+} - \mu) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{k}{m} + A \right|^{-1} \delta(k - k_{F+}^{+}) & (\mu > 0), \\ 0 & (\mu < 0), \end{cases}
$$
 (A10)

$$
\delta(\varepsilon^{-} - \mu) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{k}{m} - A \right|^{-1} \delta(k - k_{F-}^{+}) & (\mu > 0), \\ \left| \frac{k}{m} - A \right|^{-1} \delta(k - k_{F-}^{+}) + \left| \frac{k}{m} - A \right|^{-1} \delta(k - k_{F-}^{-}) & (\mu < 0, m^{2} A^{2} + 2m\mu > 0), \\ 0 & (\mu < 0, m^{2} A^{2} + 2m\mu < 0). \end{cases}
$$
(A11)

Then, the integral over *φ* is evaluated numerically. Similar calculations are carried out for the other components of the second-order spin current. This expression is used in the Fig. [3](#page-4-0) in the main text.

- [1] S. Datta and B. Das, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.102730) **[56](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.102730)**, [665](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.102730) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.102730).
- [2] S. Gardelis, C. G. Smith, C. H. W. Barnes, E. H. Linfield, and D. A. Ritchie, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.7764) **[60](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.7764)**, [7764](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.7764) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.7764).
- [3] G. Schmidt, D. Ferrand, L. W. Molenkamp, A. T. Filip, and B. J. van Wees, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R4790) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R4790)**, [R4790](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R4790) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R4790).
- [4] C.-M. Hu, J. Nitta, A. Jensen, J. B. Hansen, and H. Takayanagi, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.125333) **[63](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.125333)**, [125333](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.125333) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.125333).
- [5] J. E. Hirsch, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1834) **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1834)**, [1834](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1834) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1834).
- [6] S. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.393) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.393)**, [393](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.393) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.393).
- [7] Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105514) **[306](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105514)**, [1910](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105514) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105514).
- [8] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087128) **[301](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087128)**, [1348](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087128) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087128).
- [9] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.156804) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.156804)**, [156804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.156804) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.156804).
- [10] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.235206) **[69](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.235206)**, [235206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.235206) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.235206).
- [11] J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.126603) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.126603)**, [126603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.126603) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.126603).
- [12] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.047204) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.047204)**, [047204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.047204) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.047204).
- [13] H. A. Engel, B. I. Halperin, and E. I. Rashba, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.166605) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.166605)**, [166605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.166605) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.166605).
- [14] N. Sugimoto, S. Onoda, S. Murakami, and N. Nagaosa, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.113305) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.113305)**, [113305](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.113305) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.113305).
- [15] S. O. Valenzuela and M. Tinkham, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04937) **[442](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04937)**, [176](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04937) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04937).
- [16] J. Sinova, S. O. Valenzuela, J. Wunderlich, C. H. Back, and T. Jungwirth, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.1213) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.1213)**, [1213](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.1213) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.1213).
- [17] [E. Saitoh, M. Ueda, H. Miyajima, and G. Tatara,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2199473) Appl. Phys. Lett. **[88](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2199473)**, [182509](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2199473) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2199473).
- [18] K. Ando, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, H. Kurebayashi, T. Trypiniotis, C. H. W. Barnes, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3052) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3052)**, [655](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3052) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3052).
- [19] S. Dushenko, H. Ago, K. Kawahara, T. Tsuda, S. Kuwabata, T. Takenobu, T. Shinjo, Y. Ando, and M. Shiraishi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.166102) **[116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.166102)**, [166102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.166102) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.166102).
- [20] E. Lesne, Y. Fu, S. Oyarzun, J. C. Rojas-Sánchez, D. C. Vaz, H. Naganuma, G. Sicoli, J.-P. Attané, M. Jamet, E. Jacquet, J.-M. George, A. Barthélémy, H. Jaffrès, A. Fert, M. Bibes, and L. Vila, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4726) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4726)**, [1261](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4726) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4726).
- [21] K. Kondou, R. Yoshimi, A. Tsukazaki, Y. Fukuma, J. Matsuno, K. S. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tokura, and Y. Otani, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3833) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3833)**, [1027](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3833) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3833).
- [22] S. D. Ganichev, E. L. Ivchenko, S. N. Danilov, J. Eroms, W. Wegscheider, D. Weiss, and W. Prettl, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4358) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4358)**, [4358](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4358) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4358).
- [23] [S. D. Ganichev, E. L. Ivchenko, and W. Prettl,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-9477(02)00371-5) Phys. E (Amsterdam, Neth.) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-9477(02)00371-5)**, [166](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-9477(02)00371-5) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1386-9477(02)00371-5).
- [24] G. L. J. A. Rikken, J. Fölling, and P. Wyder, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.236602) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.236602)**, [236602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.236602) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.236602).
- [25] V. Krstic, S. Roth, M. Burghard, K. Kern, and G. L. J. A. Rikken, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1523895) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1523895)**, [11315](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1523895) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1523895).
- [26] G. L. J. A. Rikken and P. Wyder, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.016601) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.016601)**, [016601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.016601) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.016601).
- [27] F. Pop, P. Auban-Senzier, E. Canadell, G. L. J. A. Rikken, and N. Avarvari, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4757) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4757)**, [3757](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4757) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4757).
- [28] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146603) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146603)**, [146603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146603) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146603).
- [29] K. Yasuda, A. Tsukazaki, R. Yoshimi, K. S. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.127202) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.127202)**, [127202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.127202) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.127202).
- [30] I. Sodemann and L. Fu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.216806) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.216806)**, [216806](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.216806) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.216806).
- [31] T. Morimoto, S. Zhong, J. Orenstein, and J. E. Moore, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245121) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245121)**, [245121](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245121) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.245121).
- [32] H. Yu, Y. Wu, G. B. Liu, X. Xu, and W. Yao, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.156603) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.156603)**, [156603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.156603) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.156603).
- [33] K. Ishizaka, M. S. Bahramy, H. Murakawa, M. Sakano, T. Shimojima, T. Sonobe, K. Koizumi, S. Shin, H. Miyahara, A. Kimura, K. Miyamoto, T. Okuda, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, R. Arita, N. Nagaosa, K. Kobayashi, Y. Murakami, R. Kumai, Y. Kaneko, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, [Nat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3051) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3051)**, [521](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3051) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3051).
- [34] M. Sakano, M. S. Bahramy, A. Katayama, T. Shimojima, H. Murakawa, Y. Kaneko, W. Malaeb, S. Shin, K. Ono, H. Kumigashira, R. Arita, N. Nagaosa, H. Y. Hwang, Y. Tokura, and K. Ishizaka, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.107204) **[110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.107204)**, [107204](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.107204) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.107204)
- [35] R. A. Simmons, S. R. Jin, S. J. Sweeney, and S. K. Clowes, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4932122) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4932122)**, [142401](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4932122) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4932122).
- [36] G. H. Glover, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1662343) **[44](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1662343)**, [1295](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1662343) [\(1973\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1662343).
- [37] H. Zhang, C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, X. Dai, Z. Fang, and S.-C. Zhang, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1270) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1270)**, [438](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1270) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1270).
- [38] K. W. Kim, T. Morimoto, and N. Nagaosa, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035134) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035134)**, [035134](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035134) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035134).
- [39] S. D. Ganichev, E. L. Ivchenko, V. V. Bel'kov, S. A. Tarasenko, M. Sollinger, D. Weiss, W. Wegscheider, and W. Prettl, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/417153a) **[417](https://doi.org/10.1038/417153a)**, [153](https://doi.org/10.1038/417153a) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/417153a).
- [40] S. D. Ganichev, V. V. Bel'kov, L. E. Golub, E. L. Ivchenko, P. Schneider, S. Giglberger, J. Eroms, J. De Boeck, G. Borghs, W. Wegscheider, D. Weiss, and W. Prettl, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.256601) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.256601)**, [256601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.256601) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.256601).
- [41] C. R. Wang, J. C. Tung, R. Sankar, C. T. Hsieh, Y. Y. Chien, G. Y. Guo, F. C. Chou, and W. L. Lee, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.081104) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.081104)**, [081104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.081104) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.081104).
- [42] Y. D. Glinka, S. Babakiray, T. A. Johnson, A. D. Bristow, M. B. Holcomb, and D. Lederman, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824821) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824821)**, [151903](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824821) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824821).