# **Positron annihilation spectroscopy investigation of vacancy defects in neutron-irradiated 3***C***-SiC**

Xunxiang Hu,<sup>1</sup> Takaaki Koyanagi,<sup>1</sup> Yutai Katoh,<sup>1</sup> and Brian D. Wirth<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA*

(Received 30 September 2016; revised manuscript received 10 January 2017; published 10 March 2017)

Positron annihilation spectroscopy characterization results for neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC are described here, with a specific focus on explaining the size and character of vacancy clusters as a complement to the current understanding of the neutron irradiation response of 3*C*-SiC. Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy was used to capture the irradiation temperature and dose dependence of vacancy defects in 3*C*-SiC following neutron irradiation from 0.01 to 31 dpa in the temperature range from 380 ◦C to 790 ◦C. The neutral and negatively charged vacancy clusters were identified and quantified. The results suggest that the vacancy defects that were measured by positron annihilation spectroscopy technique contribute very little to the transient swelling of SiC. In addition, coincidence Doppler broadening measurement was used to investigate the chemical identity surrounding the positron trapping sites. It was found that silicon vacancy-related defects dominate in the studied materials and the production of the antisite defect  $C_{Si}$  may result in an increase in the probability of positron annihilation with silicon core electrons.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.104103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.104103)

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Silicon carbide (SiC) has been considered for use in nuclear systems as a fuel and structural material since the 1960s, because of its high-temperature strength, chemical inertness, and exceptional irradiation resistance [\[1\]](#page-9-0). Chemically vapor deposited (CVD) SiC has been used as a pressure vessel material for the tristructural-isotropic fuel particles for high temperature gas-cooled reactors and novel accident tolerant fuel concepts for light water reactors [\[2\]](#page-9-0). The use of SiC/SiC composites as the structural materials has also been proposed in various advanced fission reactor concepts and fusion reactors [\[3,4\]](#page-9-0). Driven by the need to increase the safety margins of nuclear reactors in accident scenarios, the research and development of accident-tolerant fuel has become important in the nuclear engineering and materials community. A continuous fiber SiC/SiC composite cladding is under consideration as a possible replacement for zirconium alloy cladding [\[5\]](#page-9-0). The extremely hostile environments in fission and fusion reactors characterized by intense neutron radiation, high temperature, aggressive corrosive coolants, high thermal flux, high particle flux and other features—impose significant challenges to SiC by altering its microstructure and physical properties.

Microstructural development and physical-mechanical property changes in SiC subjected to neutron and ion irradiation have been studied extensively [\[1,3](#page-9-0)[,6–8\]](#page-10-0). Katoh *et al.* [\[8\]](#page-10-0) summarized the irradiation temperature and dose dependence of the microstructures of neutron- and ion-irradiated cubic SiC based on transmission electron microscope (TEM) observations. At relatively low temperatures (*<* ∼800 ◦C), irradiation-produced defect features visible in TEM were small dislocation loops and black dots, which at high temperatures (*>* ∼1000 ◦C) collapsed into Frank faulted loops at intermediate fluence and finally developed into a dislocation network at very high fluences. Cavities were observed by TEM only at irradiation temperatures higher than ∼1000 °C. The irradiation effects upon SiC subjected to high-energy particle irradiation included thermal and electrical transport property changes and dimensional change, or swelling [\[1](#page-9-0)[,8,9\]](#page-10-0). In particular, the transient swelling, which exhibits a strong dependence on the irradiation temperature below ∼1000 ◦C, is considered a critical phenomenon that dictates secondary stresses in irradiated SiC in the presence of an irradiation temperature gradient within the material. Transient swelling in SiC is known to arise from the accumulation of point defects and/or clusters that are not examined or quantified in the conventional electron microscopy. Therefore the contribution of various kinds of defects to the transient swelling in SiC is only insufficiently understood and the characterization of the TEM-invisible defects in SiC remains an open question.

Properties of intrinsic defects (vacancies, interstitials, and antisites) in SiC have previously been studied with various techniques. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy [\[10–12\]](#page-10-0) has been used to study silicon (Si) and carbon (C) vacancies in SiC based on the observation of the hyperfine interaction with <sup>29</sup>Si (nuclear spin  $I = 1/2$ , natural abundance of 4.7%) and <sup>13</sup>C ( $I = 1/2$ , 1.1%) nuclei. In addition, photoluminescence [\[13\]](#page-10-0), deep level transient spectroscopy [\[14\]](#page-10-0), and magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (an element specific technique) [\[15\]](#page-10-0) have also been used to characterize the defects in irradiated SiC. However, information on the sizes and concentrations of vacancy clusters in SiC cannot be determined from these techniques. In contrast, positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS) is a well-established tool for investigating open-volume defects in condensed materials [\[16\]](#page-10-0), and has been extensively employed to characterize the vacancy-type defects in as-grown [\[17,18,19\]](#page-10-0) or irradiated SiC [\[19,20–26\]](#page-10-0). Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) is most commonly used to identify the sizes and concentrations of the vacancy clusters present within the materials. However, most of the available PAS studies of SiC have been focused on high-energy electron- or proton-irradiated SiC. The available PAS data for neutron-irradiated SiC are very limited. Xu *et al.* [\[27\]](#page-10-0) applied PALS measurements and coincidence Doppler broadening (CDB) to investigate the evolution of vacancy-type defects in neutron-irradiated

<sup>1</sup>*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

single crystalline 4H-SiC  $(3.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ m}^{-2}, E > 1 \text{ MeV}, \text{ at}$  $20^{\circ}$ C) during isochronal annealing to define the recovery stages. PALS measurements were also used by Akiyoshi *et al.* [\[20\]](#page-10-0) to study the correlation between vacancy-type defects and the thermal diffusivity in fast neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC manufactured by hot press sintering. The results indicated no significant change in the mean positron lifetime in these heavily neutron-irradiated (28  $\sim$  42 dpa) specimens at irradiation temperatures of  $500\,^{\circ}\text{C} \sim 738\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ . However, the identification and quantification of the detected vacancy clusters present in the studied SiC samples are lacking. The results based on the SiC samples used in these studies [\[20,27\]](#page-10-0) provide very limited insights into understanding neutron irradiation response of the high purity CVD 3*C*-SiC, the leading SiC for nuclear applications. Therefore systematic PAS characterization of neutron-irradiated, high-purity 3*C*-SiC is needed to provide a more complete picture of the evolution of small, TEM-invisible vacancy clusters, because such clusters are suspected to play a role in the swelling, radiation creep, and thermal conductivity degradation of neutron-irradiated SiC [\[1\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, the application of the CDB technique would enable the determination of the chemical identities surrounding positron trapping sites. Yet, CDB measurements have not been widely used in previous positron studies of irradiated SiC.

In the present study, PALS measurements were performed to characterize the vacancy-type defects in 3*C*-SiC exposed to various neutron irradiation conditions, and to evaluate their dependence on temperature and irradiation dose. CDB measurements were performed to identify the chemical signatures of the positron trapping sites. The roles of the detected vacancy defects in the transient swelling regime of neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC are discussed. This new information on vacancy cluster evolution following neutron irradiation complements and extends the current understanding of the neutron irradiation response, in particular, the contribution of vacancy clusters to irradiation induced swelling of 3*C*-SiC in the transient swelling regime.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTS**

#### **A. Materials and neutron irradiation**

High purity (*>*99*.*9995%) polycrystalline 3*C*-SiC monolithic samples were used in this study. The samples were synthesized by Rohm and Hass Co. (now Dow Chemical Co.) by the CVD process. Neuron irradiation was performed in the flux trap position of the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) within aluminum capsules that contained a molybdenum container to hold the specimens. The capsules were filled with high-purity helium and were irradiated under a fast neutron flux of  $1 \times 10^{19}$  nm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ( $E > 0.1$  MeV) corresponding to a damage rate of  $\sim$ 1 × 10<sup>-6</sup> dpa (displacement per atom)/s in SiC [\[28\]](#page-10-0). Therefore 1 dpa is equivalent to an exposure to a fast neutron fluence of  $1 \times 10^{25}$  nm<sup>-2</sup>. The irradiation conditions of the 3*C*-SiC studied are summarized in Table I.

#### **B. Positron annihilation spectroscopy**

Following neutron exposure in HFIR, the samples were removed from the capsules and cleaned in alcohol using an

TABLE I. Irradiation conditions of 3*C*-SiC in HFIR.

Sample ID	Irradiation temperature $({}^{\circ}C)$	Irradiation dose (dpa)	
T8A1	380	0.01	
T8A2	380	0.11	
TTN01	380	1.5	
TTN <sub>03</sub>	440	31	
T8B1	540	0.01	
<b>T8B2</b>	540	0.11	
TTN <sub>05</sub>	500	29	
T8D1	790	0.11	
T8E1	760	1.4	
TTN07	750	29	

ultrasonic cleaner. Two identical  $5 \times 5 \times 1$  mm disks were sectioned from the original sample by using a low-speed diamond saw. The samples used for PAS measurements had a conventional sample-source-sample geometry and were prepared by directly evaporating a  $20 \mu L$  Na<sup>22</sup>Cl solution  $(\sim 3.7 \times 10^5 \,\text{B})$  onto the surface of one of the two disks and, after the water evaporated, then covering that disk with the other disk. This "sandwich" was then wrapped in 10*μ*m thick aluminum foil. PAS measurements were performed at room temperature using the PAS system established within the Low-Activation Materials Development and Analysis lab at ORNL [\[29\]](#page-10-0). The system simultaneously measures the time and energy of the two annihilation gamma rays to enable both PALS and CDB analysis. The PALS measurement operates in a double-stop mode and has a calculated system time resolution of ∼160 ps. Each recorded lifetime spectrum contained a total of  $\sim$ 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> counts and was analyzed by fitting the exponential decay of two lifetime components, after deconvolution of the experimental resolution function, which we approximated as a weighted sum of three Gaussians. Data analysis was performed using PALSfit3 developed by Technical University of Denmark [\[30\]](#page-10-0). The energies of the two annihilation gamma rays used for CDB analysis were measured by two HPGe detectors facing the sample-source-sample sandwich from opposite sides.  $1 \times 10^7$  annihilation events were collected for the Doppler-broadening spectra. The experimental setup for CDB measurement had a data/background ratio of  $5 \times 10^4$ . More details for this PAS system are provided in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-10-0).

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### **A. Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy**

## *1. Qualitative analysis of PALS*

In order to illustrate directly the influence of neutron irradiation on the measured positron lifetime, Fig. [1](#page-2-0) plots the normalized positron lifetime spectra (assuming the peak counts to be unity at zero time) of 3*C*-SiC irradiated at three different temperature ranges —(a) 380 °C  $\sim$  440 °C, (b) 500 °C  $\sim$  540 °C, and (c) 750 °C  $\sim$  790 °C—to capture the irradiation dose dependence. These data are plotted separately for the sake of clarity. An apparent increase in the long lifetime portions of the spectra of all irradiated samples was observed in comparison with that of the reference SiC sample. For the samples irradiated in the same temperature range, the long

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Comparison of normalized positron lifetime spectra of neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC at temperatures of (a) 380 °C ~ 440 °C, (b)  $500\degree C \sim 540\degree C$ , and (c)  $750\degree C \sim 790\degree C$  at various dose levels. The lifetime spectrum of the as-received 3*C*-SiC is shown in the black symbols for comparison.



FIG. 2. Comparison of normalized positron lifetime spectra of neutron irradiated 3*C*-SiC at a dose of (a) 0.1 and (b) ∼30 dpa, respectively, at various irradiation temperature.

lifetime parts of the measured spectra became more intense with increasing radiation dose. The highest irradiation dose (∼30 dpa) promoted the most significant enhancement of the long lifetime components. The increase in the long-positron lifetime component of the spectra indicates an increase in either the concentrations or the sizes of the vacancy-type defects present within the studied SiC samples with increasing neutron exposure.

Figure 2 shows the influence of irradiation temperature on the normalized positron lifetime spectra at two irradiation doses, i.e., (a) 0.11 dpa and (b) ∼30 dpa, respectively. Owing to the extremely high migration energies of Si (2.4 eV) and C (3.5 eV) vacancies in SiC [\[31,32\]](#page-10-0), they are believed to be effectively immobile in the two lower irradiation temperature ranges; whereas mobility of Si vacancy is expected at the higher irradiation temperatures from  $750^{\circ}$ C to  $790^{\circ}$ C, although to a very limited extent. The neutron dose shown in Fig.  $2(a)$  is only 0.11 dpa, resulting in insignificant defect evolution. Thus it is not surprising that the observed lifetime spectra are similar across all three irradiation temperatures. In

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 3. Short ( $\tau_1$ ) and long ( $\tau_2$ ) positron lifetime and the associated intensity of  $\tau_2$  resulting from analysis of the measured positron lifetime spectra of neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC as a function of dose at various irradiation temperatures. The analysis results for as-received 3*C*-SiC are also shown for comparison. The identification of the possible vacancy defect clusters is also shown in the figure of the long lifetime (*τ*2). The black hollow symbols shown in the bottom figure correspond to calculated lifetime values for  $\tau_1$  obtained from the trapping model assuming the presence of one type of defect only.

contrast, Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-2-0) shows that the positron lifetime spectrum of TTN07 irradiated to 29 dpa at  $750^{\circ}$ C has a clearly increased long-lifetime component compared with the results of the lower temperature irradiations. This spectrum shift indicates the formation of larger vacancy clusters with longer positron lifetimes as a result of Si vacancy migration. In addition, no significant changes in the lifetime spectra of the two samples, TTN03 and TTN05, irradiated at 440 °C and 500 °C, respectively, were observed. That finding is consistent with the assumption of very limited to nonexistent vacancy mobility in 3*C*-SiC below about 750 ◦C.

### *2. Quantitative analysis of PALS*

Fitting the measured positron lifetime spectra with two components using PALSfit3 provided a sufficient fit to the experimental data. We also evaluated the use of threeor four-component fitting, but these did not reproduce the measured lifetime spectra sufficiently or the fitting values of the parameters are unphysical. Correspondingly, in the present study, the measured PALS spectra of all samples were decomposed into two lifetime components by first subtracting the background. The decomposition generated parameters for further analysis, such as positron lifetimes described as  $\tau_1$ , short lifetime;  $\tau_2$ , long lifetime; and I<sub>i</sub>, the associated intensity of each component. The average positron lifetime  $\langle \tau \rangle$  is simply the geometric mean calculated from  $\langle \tau \rangle = \tau_1 I_1 + \tau_2 I_2$ , where  $I_1 + I_2 = 1$ . In contrast to PAS measurements using a sealed positron source, the source component resulting from positron annihilation in a source wrapping foil (e.g., thin Kapton or Mylar films, Ti or Al foil) was neglected and is not resolved in the present study, because the Na22Cl solution was directly deposited onto the sample surface (*<*1% source term). The evolution of the lifetime components as a function of irradiation dose and temperature is plotted in Fig. 3. Under neutron irradiation, defect creation in displacement cascades produces a more complex damaged microstructure. The presence of detectable vacancy clusters in neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC is correlated to the increase in the measured positron lifetimes, as shown in Fig. 3. The general observation is that higher radiation dose promotes an increase in the long lifetime  $(\tau_2)$  component, indicating the growth of vacancy-type defects. The intensity of this long lifetime component in the neutron-irradiated

Samples	Fitted long lifetime, $\tau_2$ , (ps)	Defect	Theoretical positron lifetime <sup>a</sup> (ps)
As-received	196	$V_{Si}^-$	$185 \sim 210$ [21]
T8A1	239	$V_{Si} + V_{C}$	235, 242 [35]
T <sub>8</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	255	$2V_C + V_{Si}$	243, 250 [35]
TTN01	256	$2V_C + V_{Si}$	243, 250 [35]
TTN <sub>03</sub>	263	$(V_{Si} + V_{C})_2$	262, 269 [35]
T8B1	247	$V_{Si} + V_{C}$	235, 242 [35]
T8B2	252	$2V_C + V_{Si}$	243, 250 [35]
TTN <sub>05</sub>	275	$(3V_{Si} + 2V_C)^{-1}$	
T8D1	266	$(V_{Si} + V_{C})_2$	262, 269 [35]
<b>T8E1</b>	287	$(V_{Si} + V_{C})_3$	286 [21]
TTN07	294	$(V_{Si} + V_{C})_4$	

<span id="page-4-0"></span>TABLE II. The fitted long positron lifetimes ( $\tau_2$ ) of neutron-irradiated 3C-SiC and possible vacancy clusters that have positron lifetimes comparable to those of the measured values.

a For the values obtained from Ref. [\[35\]](#page-10-0), the first value is the calibrated lifetime based on a ratio, which was obtained by scaling the calculated positron lifetime (144 ps) of perfect 3*C*-SiC to the experimentally obtained value (140 ps); the second value is directly obtained from simulations.

samples was quite large under all conditions, with values greater than 90%. The mean lifetime  $(\langle \tau \rangle)$  also increased with increasing neutron exposure for the samples irradiated in each temperature range, as shown in Table II.

The measured short lifetimes  $(\tau_1)$  varied over a range of 15 ∼ 73 ps; they are less than the bulk lifetime, since  $\tau_1$  =  $1/(\tau_B^{-1} + \kappa)$ , where  $\tau_B$  is the bulk lifetime (140 ps for SiC) and  $\kappa$  is the positron trapping rate. The derivation of this expression will be discussed further in Sec. [III A 3.](#page-5-0) Here we would like to emphasize that the correlation between  $\tau_1$  and  $\kappa$  can be used to validate the self-consistency of the two-state trapping model. The  $\tau_1$  predicted by using the fitting parameters is shown in the bottom plot of Fig. [3](#page-3-0) in the form of black hollow symbols; it is in good agreement with the experimentally obtained  $\tau_1$ .

The long positron lifetimes of these samples, resulting from positron trapping in the vacancy-type defects, are listed in Table II. Table II also identifies the possible nature of the detected vacancy clusters. Of course, the nature of defects in SiC is complicated by the possibility of various charge states; and correspondingly, the defect charge determines whether or not it could efficiently be detected by PAS. Positively charged vacancy defects will have the lowest probability for positron annihilation as a result of the long-range, repulsive Coulomb interaction between the positive charge and the positron. According to theoretical calculations [\[33\]](#page-10-0) and EPR measurements [\[34\]](#page-10-0), the C vacancy in SiC is positively charged. Therefore C vacancies and positively charged vacancy complexes such as  $V_{C}$ -rich vacancy clusters in SiC are much less likely to trap positrons than is a neutral or negatively charged vacancy or vacancy clusters.

The bulk lifetime value of 3*C*-SiC has been reported to be  $140 \pm 4$  ps [\[21,35\]](#page-10-0). In the present study, the measured positron lifetime spectrum of the as-received polycrystalline 3*C*-SiC was also resolved into two lifetime components, for which the mean lifetime of 165 ps was greater than the theoretical value of the positron lifetime in perfect SiC. The long lifetime of  $196 \pm 1$  ps indicates the existence of vacancy-type defects. The identification of the detected defects is often carried out based on a comparison between the measured and the theoretical positron lifetime values of known defects. The calculated positron lifetime of a neutral Si vacancy in 3*C*-SiC ranges from 190 to 210 ps [\[21\]](#page-10-0). The Si vacancy is relatively stable over a large range of electron chemical potential when in negative charge states [\[36\]](#page-10-0). The positron lifetimes of negatively charged vacancies are expected to be shorter than those of the neutral vacancies. This is because the additional negative charge(s) will increase the positron annihilation rate, as well as influence the localization and relaxation processes of the positron trapping. However, Wiktor *et al.* [\[36\]](#page-10-0) report that the differences between the lifetimes of neutral and negatively charged defects are relatively small, ∼5 ps. Therefore the positron lifetime of a negative Si vacancy in 3*C*-SiC is likely in the same range as that of a neutral Si vacancy. In addition, a Si vacancy is a metastable defect that transforms into a C vacancy-antisite complex  $(V<sub>C</sub>-C<sub>Si</sub>)$ , as widely reported in the literature [\[31,37,38\]](#page-10-0). The theoretical positron lifetime of this complex is determined as 197 ps. In spite of its metastability, Si vacancy should still be detected in this case since the associated transformation is a process that is activated only at higher temperatures to overcome the energy barrier of 1.3 eV [\[37\]](#page-10-0). Therefore we deduce that the preexisting defect in the as-received sample was in the form of  $V_{Si}$ . Actually, native vacancies or vacancy clusters are often detected in high-purity SiC. Aavikko *et al.* [\[18\]](#page-10-0) reported that the as-grown high-temperature CVD 4H-SiC contained vacancy clusters consisting of four or five missing atoms and negatively charged, Si-vacancy-related defects with a measured long lifetime component of 250 ps. Similarly, Ling *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-10-0) attributed the measured long lifetime component of  $200 \pm 9$ ps, to the presence of  $V_{Si}$ -related defect in as-grown 6H-SiC.

The identification of specific vacancy clusters in materials by using PAS is always difficult owing to the requirement for accurate theoretical predictions of positron lifetimes for all possible vacancy defects contained in the studied materials. As well, positron lifetimes can be very similar for different defects in some cases. In the current study of neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC, the various charge states and configurations of the detected defects further complicated the interpretation of the measured long lifetime.

Here, we attempt to list the vacancy defects that have similar positron lifetime values in comparison with the measured long lifetimes based on available theoretical calculations, thus, <span id="page-5-0"></span>providing insights into the defect types possibly present within the irradiated samples. For the two samples irradiated to 0.01 dpa, T8A1 and T8B1, the similar long lifetime gives rise to the possible identification of a  $V_{Si} + V_C$  divacancy, which is found to be neutral for a wide range of electron chemical potential [\[35\]](#page-10-0). For T8D1, irradiated at a higher temperature, 790 ◦C, a much longer lifetime was obtained, which is consistent with a neutral  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_2$  tetra-vacancy cluster. The formation of this larger vacancy cluster defect is also consistent with the idea that the thermal diffusion of Si vacancies, and corresponding clustering, does occur at 790 ◦C in 3*C*-SiC. Further, since this cluster is a stoichiometric defect, this tetra-vacancy is believed to be neutral in 3*C*-SiC because of its neutral charge stability [\[35\]](#page-10-0). Although the stabilities of all  $(V_{Si} + V_C)$ <sub>n</sub> type defects are not examined in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-10-0), it is most likely that this type of stoichiometric defect has a neutral charge stability. For the other two samples irradiated to ∼0*.*11 dpa, T8A2 and T8B2, the long lifetime positron annihilation center was identified as  $2V_C + V_{Si}$ , which is most likely neutral in 3*C*-SiC to be detected. The defect identified as the long lifetime defect in specimen TTN01 (380 $°C$ , 1.5 dpa) is also a  $2V_C + V_{Si}$  trivacancy.

As well, we identify a neutral  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_2$  tetravacancy as the dominant vacancy cluster in specimen TTN03, irradiated to a relatively high dose of 31 dpa at  $440^{\circ}$ C. The thermal diffusion of Si and C vacancies in 3*C*-SiC should be negligible at this low temperature, and thus we posit that a combination of radiation-enhanced diffusion along with cascade overlap may be responsible for the formation of this tetra-vacancy cluster. Notably, a somewhat larger vacancy cluster of  $3V_{Si} + 2V_C$ is identified as the dominant long positron lifetime trapping feature in the specimen TTN05 irradiated to 29 dpa at the slightly higher temperature of  $500^{\circ}$ C. The long lifetime component of this sample was measured to be 275 ps, which is between the positron lifetime values for  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_2$  and  $(V_{Si} + V_{C})_3$ . Therefore the existing defect is expected to be larger than  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_2$  and smaller than  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_3$ . Thus it is plausible to expect it to consist of either  $3V_{Si} + 2V_C$  or  $2V_{Si} + 3V_C$ . Since the addition of one C vacancy to a vacancy cluster is observed to have a negligible impact on the calculated positron lifetime [\[35\]](#page-10-0), we concluded that  $3V_{Si} + 2V_C$  was more likely in this case. Further, this particular vacancy cluster should be a stable defect and have a negative charge. Specimens, T8E1 and TTN07, irradiated at 760 ◦C and 750 ◦C to 1.4 dpa and 29 dpa, respectively, contained defects that we identified as  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_3$  and  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_4$ , respectively.

It is commonly accepted that C vacancy has very high migration energy, ∼3*.*5 eV, and is considered immobile in the studied temperature range. In addition to the C vacancy clustering arising from radiation-enhanced diffusion and the severe displacement cascade overlap, the transformation of metastable  $V_{Si}$  to a  $V_C-C_{Si}$  complex at these irradiation temperatures may also play a role. Moreover, it is noted that the measured long lifetime is a superposition of the lifetimes of positrons trapped in all the defects present within the materials. Therefore the examples of the possible defect clusters listed in Table  $II$  should really be considered to be "average" defect sizes.

It is known that the positron lifetime increases with increasing defect size and eventually saturates toward the lifetime associated with positronium formation near a free surface of 479 ps [\[39\]](#page-10-0). The maximum long lifetime acquired from fitting the measured positron lifetime spectra is 294 ps in the case of TTN07, which sets the largest vacancy cluster size investigated in this study. However, this value is still much smaller than that of the saturated lifetime for large vacancy clusters. Therefore the present analysis excludes the possibility of the significant formation of large vacancy clusters in the 3*C*-SiC irradiated up to 31 dpa at temperatures lower than 790 ◦C. This result is consistent with the MD simulation of displacement cascades in SiC [\[40\]](#page-10-0), showing that the cascade lifetime in SiC is very short, about 10 times shorter than that in metals and alloys, and the surviving defects are dominated by Frenkel pairs. The small displacement cascade and the immobile nature of the produced vacancies in the studied temperature range, except the limited mobility of the Si vacancy at the highest irradiation temperature, inhibit the growth of large vacancy clusters.

#### *3. Determination of defect concentration*

The vacancy defect concentration can be estimated in the framework of the two-state trapping model, in which positrons are assumed to annihilate with electrons in the bulk state or in the trapped state in vacancy-type defects [\[41\]](#page-10-0). The positron lifetimes obtained by fitting the measured lifetime spectra are expressed as

$$
\tau_1 = \frac{1}{\tau_B^{-1} + \kappa},\tag{1}
$$

$$
\tau_2 = \tau_V, \tag{2}
$$

where  $\tau_B$  is the positron lifetime in the bulk, which is 140 ps in 3*C*-SiC;  $\tau_V$  is the positron lifetime in vacancy-type defects; and  $\kappa$  is the net positron trapping rate of the defects:

$$
\kappa = \frac{I_2}{I_1} \left( \tau_B^{-1} - \tau_2^{-1} \right). \tag{3}
$$

The trapping rate is usually assumed to be proportional to the defect concentration  $(C_V)$ ,

$$
\kappa = \mu C_V,\tag{4}
$$

where  $\mu$  is the specific positron trapping coefficient for each defect, and is a function of defect type, charge state, and size.

The specific positron trapping coefficients for vacancies at 300 K typically range between  $0.5 \times 10^{15}$  and  $5 \times 10^{15}$  s<sup>-1</sup> in semiconductors. The values of this parameter for the neutral and negative defects have been found to differ by about a factor of  $1.5 \sim 3.5$ . In this work, we use a factor of 2. Therefore, for a trapping coefficient of a neutral Si vacancy of  $0.55 \times 10^{15}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, a value of  $1.1 \times 10^{15}$  s<sup>-1</sup> is expected for the negative Si vacancy, as reported in Ref. [\[24\]](#page-10-0). For small neutral vacancy clusters, Brauer *et al.* [\[21\]](#page-10-0) assumed that  $\mu_{V_n} = n\mu_V$ for a cluster of *n* vacancies. However, the positron trapping rate into defects is determined by two processes, i.e., first the diffusion of the positron to the trap and secondly the transition into the trap. Considering that the positron diffusion is sufficiently fast, the trapping ability of a defect is limited by the transition rate, which is proportional to the surface area of the defect, or  $r^2$ , where  $r$  is the equivalent trapping radius

Samples	Trapping rate, $\kappa$ , $(\times 10^9 \text{ s})$	Specific trapping coefficient, $\mu$ , $(\times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1})$	Concentration (appm)
As-received	6.1	11.0	5.6
T8A1	30.8	6.3	49.0
T8A2	38.1	7.0	27.1
TTN01	48.1	7.0	34.3
TTN03	69.6	10.0	69.8
T8B1	63.4	6.3	101.0
<b>T8B2</b>	70.6	7.0	50.4
TTN <sub>05</sub>	61.9	25.1	24.7
T8D1	54.1	10.0	54.3
T8E1	42.1	13.1	32.2
TTN07	94.7	15.8	59.9

TABLE III. The positron trapping rates, the corresponding specific trapping coefficients, and the resulting calculated concentrations of the vacancy defects in neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC.

of a defect [\[41\]](#page-10-0). Therefore we assume that  $\mu_{V_n} = \frac{r_{V_n}^2}{r_V^2} \mu_V$ , where  $r_{V_n}$  is the equivalent radius of a cluster containing *n* vacancies. The specific trapping coefficients used in this study are listed in Table III. Substituting the fitted parameters (shown in Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0) into Eqs.  $(1)$ – $(4)$ , together with the application of the corresponding specific trapping coefficients, results in the prediction of the number density of the detected vacancy-type defects in neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC. The results are shown in Table III. Note that determining the positron coefficient is not an easy task and the uncertainty of  $\mu$  for a specific defect is roughly a factor of  $2 \sim 3$ , which also linearly changes the predicted defect concentration. Thus large uncertainties are expected for the predicted number density.

# *4. Swelling contribution from PAS-detectable vacancy defects in SiC*

The neutron damaged microstructure of SiC produces swelling that accompanies a thermal conductivity decrease. One well-known phenomenon in SiC in the irradiation temperature regime investigated here is the transient swelling, which is known to be inversely proportional to temperature and to saturate with increasing dose. For irradiation temperatures above the amorphization threshold (∼150 ◦C) and below the transition to the void swelling regime ( $> \sim 1000$  °C), the swelling of SiC increases following a power law with dose until it approaches saturation, with a steady decrease in the saturation swelling level with increasing irradiation temperature [\[9,42\]](#page-10-0). This temperature range from 150 °C  $\sim$  $1000\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  is referred to as the "transient swelling" regime, in which only C and Si interstitials are sufficiently thermally mobile in SiC. Therefore it is supposed that the swelling of SiC in this regime is caused by the accumulation of matrix defects such as isolated vacancies, self-interstitial atom clusters, and antisite defects, while the contribution of vacancy type-defects to the observed swelling remains a matter of debate.

In Sec. [III A 3,](#page-5-0) the number densities of the possible PASdetectable vacancy clusters were determined. Correspondingly, we can calculate the contribution of these vacancy clusters to the volumetric swelling of SiC by simply assuming that the atomic volume of these vacancy clusters is equivalent to the volume swelling of the sample without considering the relaxation volumes. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the measured swelling data and the calculated volume swelling based on the PAS lifetime analysis. In general, the volume swelling derived from PAS data was about two orders of magnitude lower than the measured values. The measured swelling data showed a clear negative dependence on irradiation temperature. However, this was not observed in the prediction based on the PAS lifetime analysis. Therefore our results indicate that the swelling contribution of neutral or negatively charged vacancy-type defects in neutron-irradiated SiC as measured by PAS is very minor. Note that PAS may be sensitive to only a fraction of the existing vacancy defects in the neutron-irradiated SiC, i.e., the neutral and negatively charged vacancy defects. MD simulations of the displacement cascade in SiC [\[40\]](#page-10-0) did reveal that more C defects survive, and these most likely are positively charged. Furthermore, the transformation of Si vacancy to  $V_C-C_{Si}$  complex can also reduce the Si vacancy concentration. Further work is



FIG. 4. Comparison of volume swelling derived from PAS lifetime analysis and measured swelling as a function of radiation dose.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms controlling the swelling of SiC exposed to neutron irradiation, but these results certainly indicate very little contribution of vacancy clusters to the transient swelling of SiC subjected to neutron irradiation.

Moreover, the concentration of missing Si atoms from the original lattice sites could be determined based on the PAS-detected vacancy clusters. These displaced Si atoms exist either in the interstitial sites or in the form of antisite defects, which contribute to the transient swelling as well. However, due to the fact that the individual swelling contribution of interstitial and antisite defects of SiC are still an open question and the detailed distribution of these missing Si atoms is unknown, the swelling contribution from these Si atoms will not be discussed in the current study.

## **B. Coincident Doppler broadening**

Positrons eventually are annihilated by electrons, and this annihilation predominantly produces two gamma rays travelling in approximately opposite directions, as is necessitated by energy and momentum conservation during annihilation. The total energy of the two annihilating gamma rays is Doppler shifted as a result of sharing the net center of mass momentum of the positron-electron pair. Thus, in the annihilation process, one gamma ray is upshifted in energy, while the other is downshifted. The energy shift of each photon is expressed by

$$
\Delta E = \frac{1}{2} p_L c = \frac{1}{2} \theta_L m_0 c^2,\tag{5}
$$

where  $\Delta E$  is the photon energy difference from the nominal value (511 keV),  $p<sub>L</sub>$  is the corresponding longitudinal momentum shift along the direction of gamma ray emission, in an atomic unit (1 a.u. = 7.28 mrad  $\times m_0c$ ), and  $\theta_L$  is the angular deviation of the photons from  $180^\circ$ . Since  $p<sub>L</sub>$  has element-specific spectral distributions that correspond to the momentum distributions of the annihilation electrons, analysis of the orbital electron momentum spectrum (OEMS) provides information on the local chemical environment where the positron annihilates. The OEMS is typically represented as the fraction of annihilation events at each momentum interval as a function of *pL*, normalized by the measured spectrum of a reference SiC sample (so-called ratio curves).

Figure 5 shows the ratio curves of the normalized OEMS, in which the momentum spectra of the annihilation photons measured from neutron-irradiated samples have been divided by those of unirradiated 3*C*-SiC. As expected, after irradiation, the ratio curves were higher than 1 in the low momentum region  $(p_L < \sim 0.4$  a.u.), since positrons have a high possibility of being annihilated with the valence electrons of atoms around a vacancy-type defect in irradiated 3*C*-SiC. In turn, the high momentum region, which results from positron annihilation with core electrons, was reduced. In addition, the contributions from the positron annihilation events occurring in SiC bulk matrix were limited and could be neglected, given that the longer lifetime intensities of the neutron irradiated samples were greater than 90%. For the samples irradiated in the two lower temperature ranges, as shown in Figs.  $5(a)$  and  $5(b)$ , the low momentum parts were enhanced and the intensities of the high momentum parts were decreasing with increasing radiation dose. However, the change in the ratio curves of the samples irradiated to dose levels greater than 0.11 dpa



FIG. 5. The ratio curves of the normalized OEMS of neutronirradiated 3*C*-SiC to that of unirradiated 3*C*-SiC. (a) 380 °C  $\sim$ 440 °C, (b) 500 °C  $\sim$  540 °C, and (c) 750 °C  $\sim$  790 °C.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

FIG. 6. OEMS ratio to UHP Si and C to determine the relative position of C and Si peaks.

was insignificant, implying similar damaged microstructures in these samples in general, and relatively little change with increasing dose. The irradiation dose dependence was more significant for 3*C*-SiC irradiated at 750 ◦C ∼ 790 ◦C, as shown in Fig.  $5(c)$ . The high temperature did promote the formation of larger vacancy clusters, which agreed with the positron lifetime analysis. In order to elucidate the damage production under various irradiation conditions, the CDB spectra of 3*C*-SiC were compared with those of unirradiated C and Si. The Si peak appeared in the high momentum region at ∼2*.*6 a*.*u*.*, whereas C peaked at ∼1*.*4 a*.*u*.*, as shown in Fig. 6. For the samples irradiated to 0.01 dpa (T8A1 and T8B1), the ratio curves showed a prominent C peak in the high momentum region; this result indicated that the positrons were mainly trapped at Si related vacancy defects surrounded by C, since the electron momentum in the higher momentum region arose from the positron annihilation with core electrons of C around Si vacancies. In contrast, the Si peak is much weaker because positively charged C vacancies surrounded by Si atoms have a lower probability of trapping positrons. The Si peak signals mainly arose from the positron annihilation events with the core electrons of Si atoms surrounding  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_{n}$ . Because of the much higher positron affinity of  $C$  [\[43\]](#page-10-0), positrons have a higher probability of being annihilated with core electrons of C in comparison with that of Si, although the annihilations with valence electrons are still prominent. Therefore the electron momentum spectra associated with the Si peak were always at a low level. For all other samples irradiated to dose levels greater than 0.1 dpa, the prominent C peak disappeared. However, the insignificant variations in the high momentum regions at all irradiation conditions restricted the qualitative analysis to provide more information regarding the microstructural evolution.



FIG. 7. (a)  $S-W_C$  and (b)  $S-W_{Si}$  plots for neutron irradiated 3C-SiC. The identified vacancy defects from PALS analysis were also shown in (a).

S parameter

Again, the high-momentum part of the OEMS arose from positron annihilations with core electrons and hence contains information on the chemical identity of the atoms close to the positron annihilation site. The parameters *S* and *W* are commonly used to assess the chemical signatures of the annihilation sites and to estimate the relative positron annihilation with valence and core electrons, respectively. The low-momentum shape parameter *S* is the fraction of the low momentum annihilation defined by the specific  $P_L$  values in the momentum window from 0 to 0.4 a.u. Since C and Si core electrons coexist in 3*C*-SiC,  $W_C$  and  $W_{Si}$  are the corresponding high momentum annihilation fractions, which are defined here as 1*.*1 ∼ 1*.*7 a*.*u*.* and 2*.*2 ∼ 3*.*2 a*.*u*.*, respectively. Figure 7 plots the *S*-*W*<sub>C</sub> and *S*-*W*<sub>Si</sub> pairs obtained from the OEMS <span id="page-9-0"></span>data. Each data point in an *S-W* plot refers to one specific microstructure. In irradiated pure metals, the (*S*, *W*) points generally fall on a line segment because only interstitial- and vacancy-type defect clusters are formed. Figure  $7(a)$  shows an approximately linear relationship between  $S$  and  $W_C$ , which indicates that the Si vacancy-related defect responsible for positron trapping is the same under all of the irradiation conditions present in this study. This is consistent with the identified vacancy defects from PALS analysis, as shown in Fig. [7\(a\).](#page-8-0)

In contrast, the correlation between *S* and  $W_{Si}$  [Fig. [7\(b\)\]](#page-8-0) was more complicated. This plot can be divided into two separate line segments. The first segment consisted of the samples irradiated to 0.01 dpa. Since the majority of the positrons were trapped in vacancy-type defects,  $W_{Si}$  arose from the positron annihilation with the core electrons of Si atoms around the positron trapping sites. Therefore C-vacancy was contained in the detected vacancy type defect, which is consistent with the identification of  $V_{Si} + V_C$  neutral clusters by positron lifetime analysis.

If the composition of the atoms surrounding the positron trapping sites in other samples has no change in comparison with that of the 3*C*-SiC irradiated to 0.01 dpa (i.e., those located on the first segment), the  $W_{\text{Si}}$  is expected to continuously decrease and fall on the extended parts [shown as a black dashed line in Fig.  $7(b)$ ] of the first segment with increasing radiation dose. However, the *S-W*<sub>Si</sub> pairs of all other samples irradiated to  $>0.1$  dpa fall on a different line segment and  $W_{\text{Si}}$ has relatively larger values compared with the expected values based on the hypothesis that the chemical identity of the atoms surrounding the positron trapping sites is independent of the irradiation temperature and dose. This suggests that more Si atoms are surrounding the positron trapping sites and a new type of defect appears as the radiation dose increases. It could be an antisite defect,  $Si<sub>C</sub>$  or  $C<sub>Si</sub>$ , formed through the short-range migration of Si and C, resulting in recombination with  $V_C$  and V<sub>Si</sub> created during neutron irradiation. Considering that the formation energy of  $C_{Si}$  (1.32 eV) is much less than that of  $Si<sub>C</sub>$  (7.20 eV) [\[32\]](#page-10-0), it is expected that  $C<sub>Si</sub>$  will be the prevalent type of antisite. Moreover, the transformation of Si vacancy to  $V_c-C_{Si}$  complex becomes more and more significant with increasing temperature, resulting in an increase in the probability of positrons annihilating with core electrons of Si atoms. Therefore the deduction that more  $C_{Si}$  is being generated is consistent with the CDB measurement showing that more Si atoms are surrounding the positron trapping sites with increasing irradiation dose.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Both PALS and CDB were used to investigate the vacancy defects in the neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC. The irradiation temperature and dose dependence of vacancy defects in SiC were captured by analyzing the measured positron lifetime spectra. The lifetime measurements indicated a minimal effect of irradiation temperature on vacancy defect evolution, presumably owing to the low thermal mobility of Si and C vacancies (they are essentially immobile) in the temperature regime of 380 °C  $\sim$  540 °C. Vacancy clusters were detected at higher radiation doses, as a result of either irradiation enhanced diffusion or displacement cascade overlap. For neutron irradiation temperatures above 750 ◦C, an obvious increase in the long lifetime component of the positron lifetime was observed, presumably owing to the mobility of Si vacancies leading to the formation of larger, stoichiometric  $V_{Si} + V_C$  clusters. These positron measurements and analyses of the positron lifetime components exclude the possibility that large vacancy clusters are generated in these neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC specimens in the temperature range from 380 ◦C to 790 °C. The largest vacancy cluster that could be identified is the stoichiometric defect,  $(V_{Si} + V_C)_4$ , in a sample irradiated to 29 dpa at  $750\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , in which Si vacancies are believed to have limited thermal mobility. CDB measurements helped to elucidate the chemical identities of the atoms surrounding the positron trapping sites. The irradiation temperature and dose dependence of vacancy defects derived from the OEMS was consistent with those derived from PALS analysis. *S-W<sub>C</sub>* plot indicated that the Si vacancy related defects dominate in all studied materials. The deduction of  $C_{Si}$  production is consistent with the measured *S-W*<sub>Si</sub> plot.

Last but not least, the volumetric swelling of neutronirradiated 3*C*-SiC from vacancy clusters was predicted by utilizing the number density of the identified vacancy defects determined from a positron trapping model. The comparison with experimental data showed that the PAS-detectable vacancy clusters made only a minor contribution to the observed transient swelling of neutron-irradiated 3*C*-SiC. The present work suggests that more work is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms controlling the swelling of SiC exposed to neutron irradiation.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The work presented in this paper was partially supported by Laboratory Directed R&D funds at ORNL. The research was also sponsored by the US Department of Energy Office of Fusion Energy Science under Grant No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle LLC, and Grant No. DOE-DE-SC0006661 with the University of Tennessee, Knoxville. A portion of this research used resources at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by ORNL.

- [1] L. L. Snead, T. Nozawa, Y. Katoh, T.-S. Byun, S. Kondo, and D. A. Petti, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2007.05.016) **[371](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2007.05.016)**, [329](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2007.05.016) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2007.05.016).
- [2] R. J. Price, Nucl. Technol. **35**, 320 (1977).
- [3] [Y. Katoh, L. L. Snead, I. Szlufarska, and W. J. Weber,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2012.03.005) Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. **[16](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2012.03.005)**, [143](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2012.03.005) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cossms.2012.03.005).
- [4] A. Hasegawa, A. Kohyama, R. H. Jones, L. L. Snead, B. Riccardi, and P. Fenici, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(00)00374-3) **[283-287](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(00)00374-3)**, [128](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(00)00374-3) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3115(00)00374-3).
- [5] S. J. Zinkle, K. A. Terrani, J. C. Gehin, L. J. Ott, and L. L. Snead, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.12.005) **[448](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.12.005)**, [374](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.12.005) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.12.005).

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>POSITRON ANNIHILATION SPECTROSCOPY . . . PHYSICAL REVIEW B **95**, 104103 (2017)

- [6] R. J. Price, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115(73)90077-9) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115(73)90077-9)**, [47](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115(73)90077-9) [\(1973\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3115(73)90077-9).
- [7] V. A. Nikolaenko, V. G. Gordeyev, and V. N. Kuznetsov, [Radiation Effects](https://doi.org/10.1080/00337577608243030) **[27](https://doi.org/10.1080/00337577608243030)**, [163](https://doi.org/10.1080/00337577608243030) [\(1976\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/00337577608243030).
- [8] Y. Katoh, N. Hashimoto, S. Kondo, L. L. Snead, and A. Kohyama, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.02.007) **[351](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.02.007)**, [228](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.02.007) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2006.02.007).
- [9] L. L. Snead, Y. Katoh, T. Koyanagi, K. Terrani, and E. D. Specht, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2016.01.010) **[471](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2016.01.010)**, [92](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2016.01.010) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2016.01.010).
- [10] T. Umeda, N. T. Son, J. Isoya, E. Janzen, T. Ohshima, N. Morishita, H. Itoh, A. Gali, and M. Bockstedte, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.145501) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.145501)**, [145501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.145501) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.145501).
- [11] S. B. Orlinski, J. Schmidt, E. N. Mokhov, and P. G. Baranov, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.125207) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.125207)**, [125207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.125207) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.125207).
- [12] P. G. Baranov, I. V. Ilyin, A. A. Soltamova, and E. N. Mokhov, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085120) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085120)**, [085120](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085120) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.085120).
- [13] H. Itoh, M. Yoshikawa, I. Nashiyama, H. Okumura, S. Misawa, and S. Yoshida, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.359008) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.359008)**, [837](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.359008) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.359008).
- [14] G. Alfieri and T. Kimoto, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2964184) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2964184)**, [032108](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2964184) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2964184).
- [15] C. T. Brigden, I. Farnan, and P. R. Hania, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.09.033) **[444](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.09.033)**, [92](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.09.033) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.09.033).
- [16] F. Tuomisto and I. Makkonen, [Rev. Modern Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1583) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1583)**, [1583](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1583) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1583).
- [17] [C. C. Ling, A. H. Deng, S. Fung, and C. D. Beling,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050007) Appl. Phys. A **[70](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050007)**, [33](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050007) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050007).
- [18] R. Aavikko, K. Saarinen, F. Tuomisto, B. Magnusson, N. T. Son, and E. Janzén, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085208)* [75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085208), [085208](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085208) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.085208).
- [19] A. Kawasuso, H. Itoh, S. Okada, and H. Okumura, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363615) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363615)**, [5639](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363615) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.363615).
- [20] M. Akiyoshi, H. Tsuchida, I. Takagi, T. Yoshiie, X. Qiu, K. Sato, and T. Yano, [J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223131.2012.686809) **[49](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223131.2012.686809)**, [595](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223131.2012.686809) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/00223131.2012.686809).
- [21] G. Brauer, W. Anwand, P. G. Coleman, A. P. Knights, F. Plazaola, Y. Pacaud, W. Skorupa, J. Störmer, and P. Willutzki, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.3084) **[54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.3084)**, [3084](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.3084) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.3084).
- $[22]$  G. Brauer, W. Anwand, E.-M. Nicht, J. Kuriplach, M. Sob, [N. Wagner, P. G. Coleman, M. J. Puska, and T. Korhonen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.2512) Phys. Rev. B **[54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.2512)**, [2512](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.2512) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.2512).
- [23] S. Dannefaer, D. Craigen, and D. Kerr, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1928) **[51](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1928)**, [1928](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1928) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1928).
- [24] L. Henry, M. F. Barthe, C. Corbel, P. Desgardin, G. Blondiaux, S. Arpiainen, and L. Liszkay, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.115210) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.115210)**, [115210](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.115210) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.115210).
- [25] [A. Kawasuso, H. Itoh, D. B. Cha, and S. Okada,](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.264-268.611) Mater. Sci. Forum **[264-268](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.264-268.611)**, [611](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.264-268.611) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.264-268.611).
- [26] A. Kawasuso, H. Itoh, N. Morishita, M. Yoshikawa, T. Ohshima, [I. Nashiyama, S. Okada, H. Okumura, and S. Yoshida,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050759) Appl. Phys. A **[67](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050759)**, [209](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050759) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390050759).
- [27] Q. Xu, T. Yoshiie, and M. Okada, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2008.12.326) **[386-388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2008.12.326)**, [169](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2008.12.326) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2008.12.326).
- [28] [Y. Katoh, L. L. Snead, C. M. Parish, and T. Hinoki,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2012.11.035) J. Nucl. Mater. **[434](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2012.11.035)**, [141](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2012.11.035) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2012.11.035).
- [29] X. Hu, T. Koyanagi, M. Fukuda, Y. Katoh, L. L. Snead, and B. D. Wirth, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2015.12.040) **[470](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2015.12.040)**, [278](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2015.12.040) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2015.12.040).
- [30] P. Kirkegaard, J. V. Olsen, and M. Eldrup, <http://palsfit.dk> (2016).
- [31] M. Bockstedte, A. Mattausch, and O. Pankratov, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.205201)* **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.205201)**, [205201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.205201) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.205201).
- [32] [F. Gao, E. J. Bylaska, W. J. Weber, and L. R. Corrales,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.245208) *Phys.* Rev. B **[64](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.245208)**, [245208](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.245208) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.245208).
- [33] [L. Torpo, M. Marlo, T. Staab, and R. M. Nieminen,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/28/305) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[13](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/28/305)**, [6203](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/28/305) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/28/305).
- [34] N. T. Son, B. Magnusson, Z. Zolnai, A. Ellison, and E. Janzén, [Mater. Sci. Forum](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.433-436.45) **[433-436](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.433-436.45)**, [45](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.433-436.45) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.433-436.45).
- [35] J. Wiktor, X. Kerbiriou, G. Jomard, S. Esnouf, M.-F. Barthe, and M. Bertolus, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.155203) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.155203)**, [155203](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.155203) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.155203).
- [36] [J. Wiktor, G. Jomard, M. Torrent, and M. Bertolus,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235207) *Phys. Rev.* B **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235207)**, [235207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235207) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235207).
- [37] M. Bockstedte, A. Gali, T. Umeda, N. T. Son, J. Isoya, and E. Janzén, [Mater. Sci. Forum](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.527-529.539) **[527-529](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.527-529.539)**, [539](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.527-529.539) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.527-529.539).
- [38] M. Bockstedte, A. Gali, A. Mattausch, O. Pankratov, and J. W. Steeds, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.200844048) **[245](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.200844048)**, [1281](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.200844048) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.200844048).
- [39] H. Ceeh, C. Hugenschmidt, K. Schreckenbach, S. A. Gärtner, P. G. Thirolf, F. Fleischer, and D. Schwalm, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.062508) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.062508)**, [062508](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.062508) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.062508).
- [40] F. Gao and W. J. Weber, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.054101) **[63](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.054101)**, [054101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.054101) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.054101).
- [41] R. W. Siegel, [Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ms.10.080180.002141) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ms.10.080180.002141)**, [393](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ms.10.080180.002141) [\(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ms.10.080180.002141).
- [42] Y. Katoh, K. Ozawa, C. Shih, T. Nozawa, R. J. Shinavski, A. Hasegawa, and L. L. Snead, [J. Nucl. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.06.040) **[448](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.06.040)**, [448](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.06.040) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.06.040).
- [43] [J. M. Campillo Robles, E. Ogando, and F. Plazaola,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/17/176222) J. Phys. Condens. Matter **[19](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/17/176222)**, [176222](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/17/176222) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/17/176222).