Fermionic algebraic quantum spin liquid in an octa-kagome frustrated antiferromagnet

Cheng Peng,¹ Shi-Ju Ran,² Tao Liu,¹ Xi Chen,¹ and Gang Su^{1,3,*}

¹*Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics and Computational Materials Physics Laboratory, School of Physical Sciences,*

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

²*ICFO-Institut de Ciencies Fotoniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain*

³*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

(Received 12 September 2016; revised manuscript received 23 December 2016; published 22 February 2017)

We investigate the ground state and finite-temperature properties of the spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on an infinite octa-kagome lattice by utilizing state-of-the-art tensor network-based numerical methods. It is shown that the ground state has a vanishing local magnetization and possesses a 1*/*2-magnetization plateau with an up-down-up-up spin configuration. A quantum phase transition at the critical coupling ratio $J_d/J_t = 0.6$ is found. When $0 < J_d/J_t < 0.6$, the system is in a valence bond state, where an obvious zero-magnetization plateau is observed, implying a gapful spin excitation; when $J_d/J_t > 0.6$, the system exhibits a gapless excitation, in which the dimer-dimer correlation is found decaying in a power law, while the spin-spin and chiral-chiral correlation functions decay exponentially. At the isotropic point $(J_d/J_t = 1)$, we unveil that at low temperature *T*, the specific heat depends linearly on *T*, and the susceptibility tends to a constant for $T \to 0$, giving rise to a Wilson ratio around unity, implying that the system under interest is a fermionic algebraic quantum spin liquid.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.075140](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.075140)

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum spin liquid (QSL) [\[1\]](#page-7-0), also known as quantum disorder or quantum paramagnet, has received considerable attention since it was proposed to describe a possible magnetic disordered state in interacting spin systems even at temperatures down to zero. It is intuitive that in two-dimensional (2D) quantum spin models highly geometric frustration and low coordination number usually lead to strong quantum fluctuations, capable of destroying the semiclassical long range orders in the ground state, and thereby inclining to generate a QSL [\[2\]](#page-7-0). In the past decades, there have been extensive numerical simulations $[3-7]$ and experimental efforts $[8,9]$ showing that the spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model (HAFM) on a kagome lattice is the most promising QSL candidate. However, because of the intractability of the quantum frustrated system, some unsettled issues are still remaining in hot debate, e.g., whether the ground state of the kagome HAFM is a gapped \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid or a gapless Dirac QSL.

Recently, a series of layered compounds $BiOCu₂(XO₃)$ (SO_4) (OH) \cdot H₂O, (*X* = Te, Se), were discovered [\[10,11\]](#page-7-0). The 2D framework built by magnetic Cu^{2+} ions in these compounds shows an extremely unusual lattice (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Such a lattice, we dub it as the *octa-kagome lattice*, does not belong to any of the 2D uniform Archimedean lattices. The OKL can be regarded as a variant of the standard kagome lattice by inserting a dimer between the corner sharing triangles along one direction, which can also be viewed as corner and edge sharing octagons. Owing to strong geometric frustrations and lower coordination numbers in the OKL, the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL could be a long-sought QSL candidate more promising and intriguing than on a kagome lattice.

Motivated by the recently synthesized layered compounds $BiOCu_2(XO_3)(SO_4)(OH) \cdot H_2O$, $(X = Te, Se)$, we shall study systematically the ground state and thermodynamic properties of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL using state-of-the-art tensor network (TN)-based numerical methods. Our results show that the system under investigation possesses a fermionic algebraic QSL phase. This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the model and TN-based simulating methods are described in detail. In Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) by calculating the local magnetization, we shall show that the ground state of this system is magnetically disordered. In Sec. [IV,](#page-4-0) the spatial dependence of spin-spin, dimer-dimer, and chiral-chiral correlation functions of the system under interest in the ground state will be given. In Sec. [V,](#page-5-0) the magnetic curves and the phase diagram in the ground state are presented. In Sec. [VI,](#page-6-0) the temperature dependence of the specific heat and the susceptibility will be discussed. Finally, we give a conclusion in Sec. [VII.](#page-7-0)

II. MODEL AND METHODS

The Hamiltonian under interest reads

$$
\mathbf{H} = J_d \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_t \sum_{\langle lm \rangle} \mathbf{S}_l \cdot \mathbf{S}_m - h \sum_i S_i^z, \qquad (1)
$$

where S_i is the spin operator on the *i*th site, J_d (J_t) is the coupling constant between nearest neighbor spins standing inside the dimer (triangle), as indicated in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) and *h* is the magnetic field. We set $J_t = 1$ as the energy scale.

It is usually challenging to simulate quantum many-body systems. Due to strong correlations and quantum fluctuations, most traditional methods fail to capture their novel properties. For example, mean-field theories underestimate long range fluctuations that may be critically important to the exotic manybody phenomena; quantum Monte Carlo suffers from the notorious sign problem [\[12\]](#page-7-0) when calculating frustrated spin models as well as fermionic models away from the half filling; etc.

In this work, we use state-of-the-art TN algorithms to explore the spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the OKL. A TN scheme is free from the negative-sign problem, and has been demonstrated to be a powerful numerical tool not only in strongly correlated quantum systems [\[13–15\]](#page-7-0), but also in sta-

^{*}Corresponding author: gsu@ucas.ac.cn

FIG. 1. Structure of the octa-kagome lattice (OKL). It can be obtained by stretching the triangles in a kagome lattice (KL) along the horizontal direction. If one stretches all three directions of the triangles in a KL, it will end up with a star lattice. The OKL can also be viewed as corner and edge sharing octagons. The blue balls represent spins sitting on the lattice site, and the red dashed parallelogram depicts a four-site unit cell, where a_1 and a_2 are basis vectors. J_t (black) and J_d (orange) denote Heisenberg exchange couplings between nearest neighbor spins inside triangles and in-between triangles, respectively.

tistical physics $[16–19]$, quantum information $[20–22]$, and so on. The central task in such kinds of algorithms is to compute the TN contraction $[21,23]$, i.e., to sum over all shared bonds in the TN. However, except for some special cases [\[24](#page-7-0)[–27\]](#page-8-0), the contraction of the TN with a regular geometry (e.g., square or honeycomb) has been shown to be NP-hard [\[21\]](#page-7-0).

Generally, there are two ways to deal with the TN simulations: renormalization [\[16,18,19](#page-7-0)[,28–36\]](#page-8-0) and encoding [\[37–39\]](#page-8-0) schemes. The former follows a contraction-and-truncation scheme, while the latter encodes the TN contraction into a local self-consistent problem. Specifically, the renormalization scheme originates from Wilson's numerical renormalization group method [\[40,41\]](#page-8-0), which solves successfully the Kondo [\[42\]](#page-8-0) problem. Then, the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [\[43,44\]](#page-8-0) was proposed by White, where the boundary condition (especially in one dimension) is better considered with entanglement. For 2D systems, algorithms based on the tensor renormalization group and the infinite projected entangled pair state (iPEPS) [\[31\]](#page-8-0) were proposed. The degrees of freedom is coarse grained in such a way that when the tensor is invariant under renormalization, it represents approximately an infinite system.

The encoding scheme follows an opposite way known as the "mean-field" idea that considers well the entanglement with the help of the TN. The mean-field idea is incredibly important in numerical physics, which gives birth of the great density functional theory [\[45,46\]](#page-8-0) and *ab initio* scheme which has been widely used in both physics and chemistry. To better deal with the strong correlations in many-body physics, the dynamic mean-field theory $[47-51]$ and density matrix embedding theory [\[52–54\]](#page-8-0) were also proposed. By combining the mean-field idea with the TN and multilinear algebra, the *ab initio* optimization principle was proposed [\[39\]](#page-8-0), where an infinite TN is equivalently transformed into a local tensor embedded in an entanglement bath.

We here employ three kinds of TN-based algorithms, namely, cluster update [\[55–57\]](#page-8-0) and full update schemes

FIG. 2. (a) The corresponding ground state TN representation on the OKL (black dashes). (b) The unit cell containing two nonequivalent tensors *A* (red circle) and *B* (green circle), and three different diagonal matrices λ_1 (blue diamond), λ_2 (pink diamond), and λ_3 (yellow diamond).

 $[31,58,59]$ of the iPEPS $[29-31]$ (a contraction-and-truncation scheme) and the network contractor dynamics [\[38\]](#page-8-0) (NCD) approach (an encoding scheme) to investigate our model for mutually validating the results obtained by each scheme. Consequently, the calculated results are consistent with each other, which manifests itself in the reliability of our simulations.

A. Tensor-network state ansatz

We start from a TN state ansatz, as shown in Fig. 2, to describe the states at zero temperature. Such a TN state is composed of two nonequivalent tensors *A* and *B*, and three different diagonal matrices λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 . *A* and *B* (each of which contains three virtual bonds that carry the entanglement of the state) are located on the two inequivalent triangles of the OKL. The physical degrees of freedom of the three spins in triangle A are put on tensor *A*, where the dimension of the physical space is 8. In this way, the dimension of the physical bond of tensor *B* is 2, which is the Hilbert space of the spin on the right side with the J_d coupling. Mathematically, such a TN state is written as

$$
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\{s\}} \sum_{\mu,\nu,\xi=1}^{D_c} \bigg(\prod_{k \in TN} [\lambda_1]_{\mu\mu} [\lambda_2]_{\nu\nu} [\lambda_3]_{\xi\xi} A^{s_{k,1}s_{k,2}s_{k,3}}_{\mu\nu\xi} \times B^{s_{k,4}}_{\mu\nu\xi} |s_{k,1}s_{k,2}s_{k,3}s_{k,4}\rangle \bigg),
$$
\n(2)

where k refers to the k th unit cell of the whole lattice with a_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 basis vectors [see Fig. $2(a)$]. To get the ground state, the imaginary time evolution is implemented to minimize the energy of the iPEPS by

$$
|\Psi_{gs}\rangle = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{e^{-\beta \mathbf{H}} |\Psi\rangle}{\| e^{-\beta \mathbf{H}} |\Psi\rangle \|},\tag{3}
$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$.

It is impossible to calculate Eq. (3) exactly in the thermodynamic limit, since the dimension of **H** increases exponentially with the number of lattice sites. Here, we use the

FIG. 3. Graphical representation for the cluster update scheme. (a) The hexagonal cluster is composed of six tensors and twelve diagonal matrices. (b) We contract the physical indices and virtual bonds connected with diagonal matrices λ_2 and λ_3 of the double-layer tensor cluster to get tensor *W*, which will later be canonicalized for updating the diagonal matrices λ_1 , as well as the tensors *A* and *B*. (c) The orthogonal conditions for renewed $\overline{\lambda}_1$ and *W*. (d) Extracting a physical index from the hexagonal cluster by Tucker decomposition.

Trotter-Suzuki decomposition to implement the evolution on the TN state. By splitting the Hamiltonian into two parts, one has $H_a = \sum_k H_{\text{left-trangle}}^{[k]}$ and $H_b = \sum_k (H_{\text{dimer}}^{[k]} + H_{\text{right-trangle}}^{[k]})$, and the first-order Trotter-Suzuki decomposition can be used to approximate the evolution operator, i.e., $e^{-\beta \mathbf{H}} \approx$ $(e^{\tau H_a}e^{\tau H_b})^N + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$, with $\beta = N\tau$. The approximation becomes accurate when the Trotter step *τ* approaches zero. In practical calculations, we decrease τ gradually from 1×10^{-1} to 1×10^{-5} so that the Trotter error becomes negligible.

By considering the translation invariance, we can adopt the local operation instead of evolving the whole system, and optimize the environment around the local tensors. Incidentally, for finite-temperature thermal states, the imaginary-time evolution of the density operator can be implemented similarly.

B. Cluster update

We choose a hexagon consisting of six tensors as the environment for the cluster update, as depicted in Fig. $3(a)$. The cluster tensors are transformed into a superorthogonal form [\[37\]](#page-8-0) in order to approximate the global environment optimally. Taking Fig. $3(b)$ as an example, we build a double-layer structure of the cluster tensor and contract all physical indices and virtual bonds on the *bra* and *ket* layers except the bonds connected by λ_1 . For convenience, the shaded part of Fig. 3(b) is represented by *W*. The superorthogonalization is much like the canonicalization for an infinite one-dimensional (1D) lattice [\[60\]](#page-8-0). The update of $\overline{\lambda}_1$ and \overline{W} leads to the conditions

$$
\sum_{\mu,\mu'=1}^{D_c} \delta_{\mu\mu'}[\overline{\lambda}_1]_{\mu\mu}[\overline{\lambda}_1]_{\mu'\mu'} \overline{W}_{\mu\mu',\nu\nu'} = \eta \delta_{\nu\nu'}, \tag{4}
$$

$$
\sum_{\nu,\nu'=1}^{D_c} \overline{W}_{\mu\mu',\nu\nu'} [\overline{\lambda}_1]_{\nu\nu} [\overline{\lambda}_1]_{\nu'\nu'} \delta_{\nu\nu'} = \eta \delta_{\mu\mu'}.
$$
 (5)

FIG. 4. (a) Singular value decomposition (SVD) of the double layer cluster in Fig. $3(d)$. (b) Permutation of physical indices from *A* using Tucker decomposition. (c) Absorbing the physical indices into *B*.

Figure $3(c)$ is the graphical representation of Eqs. (4) and (5). The update of *W* is actually acting on *A* and *B* along the λ_1 direction, where *A* and *B* are renewed to \overline{A} and *B*. Operations on the other two directions are similar. We iterate this procedure until the cluster satisfies simultaneously the orthogonality conditions in all three directions. Then, the environment of the cluster can be best approximated by the converged diagonal matrices $\overline{\lambda}_1$, $\overline{\lambda}_2$, and $\overline{\lambda}_3$.

Then we permute the physical indices from A to B to evolve the interactions on the B triangles. This operation will increase the bond dimensions, and a truncation is needed. Taking Fig. $3(d)$ as an example, we leave one physical index and the corresponding virtual bonds of A open and others contracted in the cluster. We use *Y* to denote the intermediate reduced density matrix, where the dimension of *Y* is $2D_c \times 2D_c$. Moreover, *Y* is a Hermitian matrix because of the double-layer structure. Then, we decompose *Y* using the SVD and only keep the basis corresponding to the D_c dominant singular values. This procedure is shown in Fig. $4(a)$, where U_1 is the unitary matrix given by the SVD holding the spared physical index of *Y*, and λ_1 is the square root of the singular spectrum after truncation. U_2 , U_3 , $\overline{\lambda}_2$, and $\overline{\lambda}_3$ are obtained in the similar way.

Finally, we change the position of all three physical indices from *A* into *B*, as depicted in Figs. $4(b)$ and $4(c)$. In such a way, the evolutions given by the interactions of the triangles A and B are implemented in turn, where the geometry and the bond dimensions are kept unchanged.

C. Full update

Unlike the cluster update scheme, the full update scheme needs to contract all the 2D TNs in order to truncate and obtain

physical quantities. There are two widely used ways to simulate the whole environment, namely, the infinite time-evolving block decimation (iTEBD) [\[28\]](#page-8-0) and the corner transfer matrix renormalization group (CTMRG) [\[33,34\]](#page-8-0). Here, we use the iTEBD in our calculation, where the TN is contracted to a matrix product state (MPS) on its boundary. A full update can achieve a higher accuracy than local optimization methods, but the computation cost is significantly large. We set the bond dimension of the MPS in iTEBD as D_c^2 to balance the accuracy and cost. The permutation of physical indices and variational optimization of the truncation matrices follow Refs. [\[31,57–59\]](#page-8-0).

D. Network Contractor Dynamics

NCD was first proposed to solve the TN contractions in the calculation of the partition function of 2D quantum models. We adopt the NCD algorithm to optimize the environment around the local tensors in a ground state simulation. Different from the renormalization, NCD follows a TN encoding strategy [\[39\]](#page-8-0), and the TN structure is also different from that in Fig. [2.](#page-1-0) The specific cell tensor is shown in Fig. $5(a)$, which contains six nonequivalent tensors with A_1 , B_1 , A_2 , and B_2 located on triangles, and P_1 , P_2 on dimers. When mapping onto the

FIG. 5. (a) Unit cell of the TN in the NCD scheme, where a_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 are basis vectors. (b) The construction of T^{cell} . (c) Transformation from T^{cell} to "defect." The defect is constructed by six contractors v^n $(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 6)$ obtained by Eq. (6), and each contractor is denoted by a yellow circle connected with a bold black line.

OKL, there are eight inequivalent lattice sites in the cell tensor of NCD, twice as large as the cell tensors of cluster update and full update schemes. Physical indices are on P_1 , A_2 , and B_2 , indicating that the Hamiltonian splits into two parts, where the first part contains interactions between spins sitting on the dimer denoted by P_1 and on triangles denoted by A_2 and *B*2, and the rest of the interactions are included in the second part of the Hamiltonian. Imaginary time evolution is applied to minimize the ground state energy, and consequently, the NCD procedure plays the role of superorthogonalization to approximate the whole TN contraction. As explained in Ref. [\[38\]](#page-8-0), the whole TN contraction is simplified to a local contraction of a tensor cluster T^{cell} with six contractors $v^n, n \in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$. *T*^{cell} is a six-order tensor obtained by contracting the physical indices and connected virtual bonds of the double-layer cell tensors, as represented in Fig. 5(b), where we use bold black lines to indicate a fat index that contains double virtual bonds in one of the six directions. Each contractor v^n is a vector whose dimension is the same as the *n*th index of T^{cell} . T^{cell} and v^n need to meet the following self-consistent relation

$$
\sum_{g_{n\neq \varepsilon}} T^{\text{cell}}_{g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4 g_5 g_6} \prod_{n\neq \varepsilon} v_{g_n}^n \propto v_{g_\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}.
$$
 (6)

Equation (6) includes six self-consistent equations for $\varepsilon \in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$, which should be satisfied simultaneously. Analytically, the six contractors solved from Eq. (6) are precisely those given by the rank-1 decomposition $[61]$ of T^{cell} . The rank-1 tensor, which we call a defect, is given by a direct product of the six contractors. The graphic representation of the defect is shown in Fig. $5(c)$. The defect is actually the first-order approximation of T^{cell} . If one substitutes the minimal number of T^{cell} 's with the defects so that no loop appears, then the original TN will become a tree framework. Thanks to the self-consistent conditions, there is no need to compute the whole contraction of such a tree, and only are the local contraction of T^{cell} and the contractors v_n required. In this sense, the physical quantities calculated from the "defective" TN can be viewed as a mean-field approximation of the exact one. In addition, we can introduce more loops into the defected TN to achieve a higher accuracy, but the computing cost increases inevitably.

III. DISORDERED GROUND STATE

Let us now study the ground state properties of the spin-1/2 HAFM on the infinite OKL for isotropic point $(J_d = 1)$. To testify to the reliability of our calculations, we compare the ground state energy e_g (per site) obtained by different methods including NCD, cluster update, and full update of iPEPS (Fig. [6\)](#page-4-0). We found that for large bond dimension D_c > 4, all schemes give consistent results, showing the reliability of our calculations. A power law dependence is found, with which the energy of infinite D_c is $e_g = -0.4524$ by extrapolation, which is lower than −0*.*4386(5), the extrapolated ground state energy of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on a kagome lattice given by the DMRG $[5,6]$.

In Fig. [7,](#page-4-0) we present the local magnetization $\langle S_i^{\alpha} \rangle$ on each nonequivalent site in a unit cell for $h = 0$. Small values of

FIG. 6. The calculated energy per site for the ground state, *eg*, versus inverse bond dimension $1/D_c$ (up to $D_c = 10$ for NCD, $D_c =$ 15 for the cluster update, and $D_c = 7$ for the full update). It is shown that e_g decreases with enhancing D_c . Power law fittings for NCD (blue solid line), the cluster update (red dashed line), and the full update (black dash-dotted line) are given, and e_g is extrapolated to be −0*.*4517 (NCD), −0*.*4518 (cluster update), and −0*.*4524 (full update) in the infinite D_c limit.

 $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ and $\langle S_i^x \rangle$ caused by the truncation error can be observed. By increasing D_c , $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ and $\langle S_i^x \rangle$ decay rapidly, and the data are fitted (the dashed lines in Fig. 7) with the function $f(D_c) = p(1/D_c)^q$, where *p* and *q* are fitting parameters. Thus, the extrapolation of local magnetic moments gives a zero magnetization in the $D_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit. In particular, as the average values of $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ and $\langle S_i^x \rangle$ only fluctuate in the vicinity of zero with the increase of D_c , we may use a linear fitting for the average magnetic moments that gives negligible intercepts

FIG. 7. The local magnetic moments (a) $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ and (b) $\langle S_i^x \rangle$ versus inverse bond dimension $1/D_c$ calculated by the cluster update, where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denote four inequivalent sites in a unit cell marked in the inset of (a), and the "average" represents the intracellular mean magnetic moment. The local magnetic moments (c) $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ and (d) $\langle S_i^x \rangle$ versus inverse bond dimension $1/D_c$ calculated by NCD, where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ denote eight sites in an expanded cell in the inset of (c), and the average denotes the average of the magnetic moments over these eight sites.

FIG. 8. Spatial dependence of correlation functions of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL in (a) semilog and (b) log-log plots. The vertical direction is represented by subscript "⊥" and the horizontal direction is marked by " $=$ ". In the vertical direction, the spin-spin (fitting with blue solid line), chiral-chiral (fitting with red dash-dotted line), and dimer-dimer (fitting with black dotted line) correlation functions show exponential decaying behaviors. In the horizontal direction, the spin-spin (fitting with blue short-dashed line) and chiralchiral (fitting with red dash-dot-dotted line) correlation functions show exponential decaying behaviors, while the dimer-dimer (fitting with black dashed line) correlation function shows a power law decay. All correlation functions are calculated by the full update algorithm with $D_c = 5$.

about 10[−]5. The absence of local magnetic moments strongly suggest that it does not have conventional magnetic orders in the ground state, i.e., no traditional SO(3) symmetry is broken.

IV. SPIN-SPIN, DIMER-DIMER, AND CHIRAL-CHIRAL CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In Fig. 8, we present the spatial dependence of several correlation functions in the ground state for the system under interest with $J_d = 1$. The spin-spin correlation function $|\langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle|$ along the horizontal axis is found to decay exponentially, satisfying $f(|i - j|) = \alpha \exp(-|i - j|/\xi)$ with $\alpha = 0.084$ and the correlation length $\xi = 0.16$, which shows that the spin-spin correlation of this system is short ranged and the ground state is magnetically disordered.

The chiral-chiral correlation function is defined by $|\langle C_i C_j \rangle|$ = $|\langle [\mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{i_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{i_3})] [\mathbf{S}_{j_1} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{j_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{j_3})] \rangle - \langle \mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot$ $(\mathbf{S}_{i_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{i_3}) \setminus (\mathbf{S}_{j_1} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{j_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{j_3}))$, where the lattice sites *i* and *j* belong to the left triangles along the horizontal direction. It is found that the chiral-chiral correlation function also decays exponentially with $\alpha = 0.0054$ and $\xi = 0.11$, revealing the absence of a long-range spin chiral order.

The dimer-dimer correlation function, which is defined by $|\langle D_i D_j \rangle| = |\langle (S_i^z S_{i+1}^z)(S_j^z S_{j+1}^z) \rangle - \langle S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \rangle \langle S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \rangle|$ for the *i*th and *j* th dimers, is disclosed to exhibit a power-law decay as of the form $|\langle D_i D_j \rangle| \sim 1/|i - j|^{\eta}$ with $\eta = 17.96$ [Fig. 8(b)]. This fact signatures the possible existence of an algebraic QSL in this system.

Here it is interesting to ask if the correlations along the vertical axis behave the same as those along the horizontal axis. To answer this question, we also calculated the three

FIG. 9. Magnetic curves of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL. (a) $J_d = 0.2, 0.8$, and 1, (b) $J_d = 0.1, 0.4$, and 0.55 under low magnetic fields. Inset of (a): Up-down-up-up (UDUU) spin configuration in a unit cell in the $M = 1/4$ plateau phase.

correlation functions in the vertical direction. The results show that the behaviors in this direction are different, as shown in Fig. [8.](#page-4-0) It is seen that all three correlations along the vertical axis decay exponentially, fitted by the function $f(|i - j|) =$ α exp($-|i-j|/\xi$), with $|\langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle|$ fitted with $\alpha = 0.034$ and *ξ* = 0.94, $|$ $\langle C_i C_j \rangle$ fitted with α = 0.00075 and *ξ* = 0.47, and $|\langle D_i D_j \rangle|$ fitted with $\alpha = 0.00038$ and $\xi = 0.68$. This is owing to the nonequivalent lattice structure along the two axes. It is the introduction of J_d that causes the lattice essentially distinct from a combination of decoupled zig-zag spin chains, of which the ground state is a valence bond state (VBS) with twofold degeneracy and a finite magnetic excitation gap [\[62,63\]](#page-8-0). A strong J_d coupling (especially at the isotropic point) is crucial in the critical phase, which will be discussed later.

We would like to mention that the nature of the correlations presented here is similar to the case with a resonating valence bond (RVB) wave function constructed on a square lattice, where an exponentially decaying spin-spin correlation and a power-law decaying dimer-dimer correlation were also observed [\[64,65\]](#page-8-0).

V. MAGNETIC CURVES AND PHASE DIAGRAM IN GROUND STATE

The magnetization per site *M* as a function of magnetic field *h* in the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL is presented in Fig. 9. One may observe that in magnetic curves [Fig. $9(a)$], for $J_d = 0.2$, three plateaus with $M = 0, \frac{1}{4}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$ are observed, while for $J_d = 0.8$ and 1, apart from the two plateaus with $M = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, no $M = 0$ plateau is found. These results imply that depending on J_d , there may be two phases in the system, one phase with a zero-magnetization plateau and the other phase without. As the width of the $M = 0$ plateau gives the gap from the singlet ground state to the first triplet excited state, we find that in the phase with small J_d the spin excitation is gapful, while in the other phase with large J_d it is gapless. For a closer inspection, we calculated the cases with small J_d under weaker magnetic fields, as given in Fig. $9(b)$. The results demonstrate that the spin gap decreases with increasing J_d , suggesting that there must be a critical point J_d^c , at which a quantum phase transition

FIG. 10. Spin gap as a function of J_d for the spin-1/2 HAFM on the OKL. The inset is the second-order derivative of the ground state energy with respect to J_d in the absence of a magnetic field. It is clear that the point $J_d = 0.6$ is singular, at which the spin gap closes.

(QPT) happens: for $J_d < J_d^c$ the ground state is in a gapped phase, and for $J_d > J_d^c$ it is in a gapless phase.

Another interesting phenomenon in magnetic curves is the occurrence of an $M = 1/4$ plateau, which can also be called an $M/M_s = 1/2$ plateau (briefly 1/2-magnetization plateau) with $M_s = 1/2$ the saturation magnetization per site. The frustrated Heisenberg models on lattices with triangular structures lead usually to a 1*/*3-magnetization plateau, which has been found in, e.g., kagome [\[66–68\]](#page-8-0) and Husimi [\[69\]](#page-8-0) lattices. The occurrence of the 1*/*2-magnetization plateau in the present system is understandable, because the unit cell of the OKL contains four inequivalent lattice sites, leading to the periodicity *n* of the ground state being 4, consistent with the condition of $n(S - M)$ = integer. To explore the nature of this 1*/*2 plateau, we calculated the local magnetic moment at four inequivalent sites in a unit cell, and found in this plateau phase the spin configuration is of UDUU, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. $9(a)$. Such a plateau is a commensurate, classical state stabilized by quantum fluctuations.

To determine accurately the quantum critical point (QCP) J_d^c , we calculated the spin gap as a function of J_d , as given in Fig. 10, which gives $J_d^c = 0.6$. To further confirm this point, we also studied the second-order derivative of the ground state energy with respect to J_d (the inset of Fig. 10), which reveals a sharp dip at the same point, indicating the QPT indeed appears at $J_d^c = 0.6$.

By summarizing our calculated results, we present the ground state phase diagram of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL in the J_d -*h* plane, as shown in Fig. [11.](#page-6-0) It can be seen that when $h = 0$, the phase for $J_d < 0.6$ is a VBS; as in the limit of $J_d \rightarrow 0$, the system approaches to an uncoupled zig-zag spin chain, whose ground state is a VBS with twofold degeneracy and a finite magnetic excitation gap [\[62,63\]](#page-8-0). Because there is no quantum phase transition for $J_d < J_d^c$, the system should stay in the same VBS phase. For $J_d > 0.6$, the system enters into a gapless QSL state, which is evidenced by the algebraically decaying dimer-dimer correlations and vanishing local magnetic moments. When $h > 0$, the VBS state is gradually melted by closing the spin gap, and the system

FIG. 11. The ground state phase diagram for the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL. A quantum phase transition from the VBS phase to the QSL phase happens at the critical point $J_d^c = 0.6$. UDUU: the 1*/*2-magnetization plateau phase with an up-down-up-up spin configuration.

enters into a spin canted state. By increasing the magnetic field further, the system enters into the 1*/*2-magnetization plateau (with $M = 1/4$) phase, in which the spin gap opens again, and the spin configurations are arranged in UDUU alignments. Above the UDUU phase, it enters into another spin canted phase. By increasing *h* further, all spins are polarized. It should be remarked that all the phase boundaries in this phase diagram are obtained by observing various critical magnetic fields.

VI. THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

Next, we explore the thermodynamic properties of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL using the optimized decimation of the tensor network state $[37]$. The free energy can be obtained by collecting all renormalization factors down to the targeted temperature. Alternatively, one can also get the physical quantities by calculating the expectation values of local operators with tensor-network thermodynamic states. Considering the precision and cost of thermal-state TN algorithms, we chose the cluster update scheme to contract the "environment" around the local inequivalent tensors. The energy as well as other thermodynamic quantities including specific heat and susceptibility are thus calculated. To keep a higher accuracy, we adopted the second-order Trotter-Suzuki decomposition and fixed the Trotter slice to be 0.01 in the calculations of thermodynamic properties.

We obtain the temperature dependence of the specific heat by $C(T) = \partial f / \partial T$, where *f* is the free energy per site. Figure 12 gives the results for $J_d = 10^{-4}$ and 1. It is observed that at high temperature, both go to converge, and $C(T)$ decreases down to zero with increasing temperature. But at low temperature (see the inset of Fig. 12), both cases show intrinsically distinct behaviors: the specific heat for $J_d = 10^{-4}$ exhibits two peaks and is pretty close to the ED result of the zig-zag spin chain with eight triangles, which also verifies the reliability of our method. When $T \to 0$, $C(T)$ shows an exponentially decaying behavior, suggesting that there should

FIG. 12. Specific heat $C(T)$ versus temperature T of the spin-1/2 HAFM on the OKL for $J_d = 10^{-4}$ (blue solid circles) and $J_d = 1$ (red open circles). Inset: the low-temperature part of $C(T)$. For $J_d = 1$ below $T = 0.25$, $C(T)$ can be well fitted by a polynomial $C(T) =$ $1.741T - 7.96T^2 + 22.51T^3 - 25.61T^4$ (black line), and for $J_d =$ 10^{-4} below $T = 0.8$, $C(T)$ is also better compared with an exact diagonalization (ED) result (cyan dashes) of the zig-zag spin chain containing eight triangles. Here the bond dimension is $D_c = 20$.

be a finite excitation gap, being well consistent with the result in the ground state, as the system in this case is almost dimerized; for $J_d = 1$, the specific heat exhibits a single peak, and when *T* is very low, *C*(*T*) obeys a polynomial behavior of the form $C(T) = 1.741T - 7.96T^2 + 22.51T^3 - 25.61T^4$. When $T \to 0$, $C(T)$ is linearly dependent on the temperature, which indicates the existence of gapless excitations, and implies that the system is critical. It is also consistent with the preceding result that the ground state is an algebraic QSL.

Such criticality is further evidenced by the susceptibility at low temperature. The susceptibility is calculated according to $\chi(T) = [M(h + \Delta h)|_T - M(h)|_T]/\Delta h$, where $\Delta h = 0.01$ is taken. The results for $J_d = 10^{-4}$ and 1 are presented in Fig. 13. One may see that both curves obey the Curie-Weiss law at

FIG. 13. Zero-field magnetic susceptibility *χ* as a function of temperature *T* of the spin-1/2 HAFM on the OKL for $J_d = 1$ (red open circles) and $J_d = 10^{-4}$ (blue solid circles). Inset: the low-temperature part of susceptibility, where the case of $J_d = 1$ can be fitted with a polynomial $χ(T) = 0.09566 - 0.06828T + 2.438T^2$ (black line), and that of $J_d = 10^{-4}$ behaves in an exponential way. Here the bond dimension is $D_c = 20$.

high temperature and exhibit a sharp peak at low temperature due to antiferromagnetic interactions. Significant differences occur when $T \to 0$. As shown in the inset of Fig. [13,](#page-6-0) for $J_d = 10^{-4}$, χ goes to zero in an exponential way, revealing the existence of a finite spin gap, while for $J_d = 1$, χ converges to a finite constant in a polynomial of the form $\chi(T) = 0.09566$ – $0.06828T + 2.438T^2$, being reminiscent of a Luttinger liquid behavior, and consistent again with the critical feature of the ground state.

In addition, it is quite interesting to look at the Wilson ratio (WR) R_w for the present critical system at the isotropic point. The WR is defined by $R_w = (4/3)(\pi k_B/g\mu_B)^2 \chi/(C/T)$, where χ is the susceptibility, *C* is the specific heat, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, *g* is the Landé factor, and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. For simplicity we have assumed $k_B = g\mu_B = 1$. It is known that for a free electron gas, $R_w = 1$. For most QSL theories, the WR is usually less than one [1]. For the present system with $J_d = 1$, at $T \rightarrow 0$, χ tends to a constant, and $C(T) \sim T$, which gives $R_w \approx 0.72$. In consideration of the fact that the linear temperature dependence of the specific heat resembles the Luttinger liquid behavior, and the WR *Rw* is on the order of unity, which are analogous to the behaviors induced by fermionic quasiparticles, we conclude that the present isotropic system should be a fermionic gapless QSL.

VII. CONCLUSION

The ground state and thermodynamic properties of the spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL have been systematically studied with the aid of powerful TN numerical simulations. We adopted three kinds of TN algorithms in calculations of the ground state energy per site, which gives −0*.*4524 by the infinite *Dc* extrapolation in the thermodynamic limit, lower than −0*.*4386(5) on a kagome lattice. The magnetic order is melted in the ground state due to strong frustration induced by corner sharing triangles. A QPT is found in this system. It is disclosed that below the QCP, the system has a finite spin gap and is in a VBS state, while above the QCP, the system is in a gapless QSL state. At the isotropic point, we uncover that the dimer-dimer correlation function decays algebraically, while the spin-spin and chiral-chiral correlation functions behavior in an exponential way. In addition, the specific heat at low temperature is shown to depend linearly on temperature, exhibiting a Luttinger liquid behavior, and the susceptibility tends to a finite constant when $T \to 0$, which indicates a gapless excitation in the system. The Wilson ratio is found to be 0.72, close to 1. All these features reveal that the isotropic spin-1*/*2 HAFM on the OKL is a fermionic gapless QSL.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Wei Li, Xin Yan, and Yi-Zhen Huang for useful discussions, and also appreciate Guang-Zhao Qin and Xuan-Ting Ji for technical assistance. In particular, we thank Ying-Ying Tang and Zhang-Zhen He for useful discussions on the compounds with OKL. This work was supported in part by the MOST of China (Grants No. 2012CB932900 and No. 2013CB933401), the NSFC (Grant No. 14474279), and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB07010100). S.J.R was supported by ERC AdG OSYRIS (ERC-2013-AdG Grant No. 339106), the Spanish MINECO grants FOQUS (FIS2013- 46768-P), FISICATEAMO (FIS2016-79508-P), and "Severo Ochoa" Programme (SEV-2015-0522), Catalan AGAUR SGR 874, Fundacio Cellex, EU FETPRO QUIC and CERCA ´ Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya.

- [1] L. Balents, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917) **[464](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917)**, [199](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917).
- [2] J. Richter, J. Schulenburg, and A. Honecker, [Lect. Notes Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0119592) **[645](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0119592)**, [85](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0119592) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0119592).
- [3] V. Elser, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2405) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2405)**, [2405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2405) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2405).
- [4] H. C. Jiang, Z. Y. Weng, and D. N. Sheng, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.117203) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.117203)**, [117203](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.117203) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.117203).
- [5] S. Yan, D. Huse, and S. R. White, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080) **[332](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080)**, [1173](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080).
- [6] S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, and U. Schollwöck, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201)**, [067201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201).
- [7] H. C. Jiang, Z. H. Wang, and L. Balents, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2465) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2465)**, [902](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2465) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2465).
- [8] T.-H. Han, J. S. Helton, S. Chu, D. G. Nocera, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, C. Broholm, and Y. S. Lee, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11659) **[492](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11659)**, [406](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11659) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11659).
- [9] M. Yoshida, M. Takigawa, H. Yoshida, Y. Okamoto, and Z. Hiroi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.077207) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.077207)**, [077207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.077207) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.077207).
- [10] Y.-Y. Tang and Z.-Z. He, *International Workshop on Unconventional Phenomena in Low-Dimensional Correlated Systems, Beijing, 5-8 June 2015*.
- [11] Y.-Y. Tang, C. Peng, W.-B. Guo, J.-F. Wang, H.-P. Xiang, S.-Y. Zhang, G. Su, and Z.-Z. He (unpublished).
- [12] M. Troyer and U. J. Wiese, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201)**, [170201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201).
- [13] F. Verstraete, V. Murg, and J. I. Cirac, [Adv. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366) **[57](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366)**, [143](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366).
- [14] G. Evenbly and G. Vidal, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0237-4) **[145](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0237-4)**, [891](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0237-4) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-011-0237-4).
- [15] R. Orús, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.06.013) **[349](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.06.013)**, [117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.06.013) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.06.013).
- [16] M. Levin and C. P. Nave, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.120601) **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.120601)**, [120601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.120601) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.120601).
- [17] Q. N. Chen, M. P. Qin, J. Chen, Z. C. Wei, H. H. Zhao, B. Normand, and T. Xiang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.165701) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.165701)**, [165701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.165701) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.165701).
- [18] T. Nishino, Y. Hieida, K. Okunishi, N. Maeshima, Y. Akutsu, and A. Gendiar, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.105.409) **[105](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.105.409)**, [409](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.105.409) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.105.409).
- [19] Z. Y. Xie, J. Chen, M. P. Qin, J. W. Zhu, L. P. Yang, and T. Xiang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.045139) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.045139)**, [045139](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.045139) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.045139).
- [20] [F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, D. Perez-Garcia, and J. I. Cirac,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.220601) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.220601)**, [220601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.220601) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.220601).
- [21] [N. Schuch, M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506)**, [140506](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506).
- [22] [X. Chen, B. Zeng, Z. C. Gu, B. Yoshida, and I. L. Chuang,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.220501) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.220501)**, [220501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.220501) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.220501).
- [23] T. Huckle, K. Waldherr, and T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, Linear Algebra Appl. **[438](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2011.12.019)**, [750](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2011.12.019) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2011.12.019).
- [24] Y.-Y. Shi, L.-M. Duan, and G. Vidal, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.022320) **[74](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.022320)**, [022320](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.022320) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.022320).
- [25] G. Vidal, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501)**, [110501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.110501).
- [26] [S. J. Denny, J. D. Biamonte, D. Jaksch, and S. R. Clark,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/1/015309) J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **[45](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/1/015309)**, [015309](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/1/015309) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/1/015309).
- [27] M. Wang, S.-J. Ran, T. Liu, Y. Zhao, Q.-R. Zheng, and G. Su, [Eur. Phys. J. B](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2015-60745-5) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2015-60745-5)**, [27](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2015-60745-5) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2015-60745-5).
- [28] G. Vidal, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.070201) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.070201)**, [070201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.070201) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.070201).
- [29] F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac, [arXiv:cond-mat/0407066](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:cond-mat/0407066) [condmat.str-el].
- [30] F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.060302) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.060302)**, [060302\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.060302) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.060302).
- [31] J. Jordan, R. Orús, G. Vidal, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac, *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.250602)**, [250602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.250602) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.250602).
- [32] Z.-C. Gu, M. Levin, and X.-G. Wen, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205116) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205116)**, [205116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205116) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.205116).
- [33] T. Nishino and K. Okunishi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.65.891) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.65.891)**, [891](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.65.891) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.65.891).
- [34] T. Nishino and K. Okunishi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.3040) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.3040)**, [3040](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.3040) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.66.3040).
- [35] Z. Y. Xie, H. C. Jiang, Q. N. Chen, Z. Y. Weng, and T. Xiang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.160601) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.160601)**, [160601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.160601) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.160601).
- [36] H. H. Zhao, Z. Y. Xie, Q. N. Chen, Z. C. Wei, J. W. Cai, and T. Xiang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174411) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174411)**, [174411](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174411) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.174411).
- [37] S.-J. Ran, W. Li, B. Xi, Z. Zhang, and G. Su, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134429) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134429)**, [134429](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134429) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134429); W. Li, S.-J. Ran, S.-S. Gong, Y. Zhao, B. Xi, F. Ye, and G. Su, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.127202) **[106](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.127202)**, [127202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.127202) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.127202).
- [38] S.-J. Ran, B. Xi, T. Liu, and G. Su, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.064407) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.064407)**, [064407](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.064407) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.064407).
- [39] S.-J. Ran, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.053310) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.053310)**, [053310](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.053310) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.93.053310).
- [40] K. G. Wilson, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.55.583) **[55](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.55.583)**, [583](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.55.583) [\(1983\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.55.583).
- [41] K. G. Wilson, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773) **[47](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773)**, [773](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773) [\(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.47.773).
- [42] J. Kondo, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.32.37) **[32](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.32.37)**, [37](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.32.37) [\(1964\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.32.37).
- [43] S. R. White, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) **[69](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863)**, [2863](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863).
- [44] S. R. White, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345)**, [10345](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345).
- [45] K. Burke, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4704546) **[136](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4704546)**, [150901](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4704546) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4704546).
- [46] A. D. Becke, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4869598) **[140](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4869598)**, [18A301](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4869598) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4869598).
- [47] W. Metzner and D. Vollhardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324)**, [324](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324).
- [48] A. Georges and W. Krauth, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1240) **[69](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1240)**, [1240](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1240) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1240).
- [49] [A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[68](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13)**, [13](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13).
-
- [50] G. Kotliar, S. Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, V. S. Oudovenko, O. Parcollet, and C. A. Marianetti, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865)**, [865](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.865).
- [51] J. Merino and O. Gunnarsson, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/5/052201) **[25](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/5/052201)**, [052201](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/5/052201) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/5/052201).
- [52] G. Knizia and G. K.-L. Chan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.186404) **[109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.186404)**, [186404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.186404) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.186404).
- [53] G. Knizia and G. K.-L. Chan, [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct301044e) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct301044e)**, [1428](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct301044e) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct301044e).
- [54] I. W. Bulik, G. E. Scuseria, and J. Dukelsky, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.035140) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.035140)**, [035140](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.035140) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.035140).
- [55] L. Wang and F. Verstraete, [arXiv:1110.4362](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1110.4362) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [56] W. Li, J. von Delft, and T. Xiang, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.195137) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.195137)**, [195137](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.195137) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.195137).
- [57] T. Liu, W. Li, and G. Su, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032114) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032114)**, [032114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032114) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.94.032114).
- [58] M. Lubasch, J. I. Cirac, and M.-C. Bañuls, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.064425)* [90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.064425), [064425](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.064425) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.064425).
- [59] H. N. Phien, J. A. Bengua, H. D. Tuan, P. Corboz, and R. Orús, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035142) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035142)**, [035142](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035142) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.035142).
- [60] R. Orús and G. Vidal, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.155117)* **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.155117)**, [155117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.155117) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.155117).
- [61] [L. De Lathauwer, B. De Moor, and J. Vandewalle,](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479896305696) SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **[21](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479896305696)**, [1253](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479896305696) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895479896305696).
- [62] T. Nakamura and K. Kubo, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6393) **[53](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6393)**, [6393](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6393) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6393).
- [63] [D. Sen, B. S. Shastry, R. E. Walstedt, and R. Cava,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6401) *Phys. Rev.* B **[53](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6401)**, [6401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6401) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.6401).
- [64] A. F. Albuquerque and F. Alet, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.180408) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.180408)**, [180408](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.180408) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.180408).
- [65] L. Wang, D. Poilblanc, Z. C. Gu, X. G. Wen, and F. Verstraete, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.037202) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.037202)**, [037202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.037202) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.037202).
- [66] Y. Narumi, K. Katsumata, Z. Honda, J.-C. Domenge, P. Sindzingre, C. Lhuillier, Y. Shimaoka, T. C. Kobayashi, and K. Kindo, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10164-5) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10164-5)**, [705](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10164-5) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10164-5).
- [67] J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, and H. J. Schmidt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.167207) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.167207)**, [167207](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.167207) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.167207).
- [68] S. Capponi, O. Derzhko, A. Honecker, A. M. Laüchli, and J. Richter, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.144416) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.144416)**, [144416](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.144416) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.144416).
- [69] [T. Liu, S.-J. Ran, W. Li, X. Yan, Y. Zhao, and G. Su,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.054426) Phys. Rev. B **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.054426)**, [054426](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.054426) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.054426).