As₂Te₃ glass under high hydrostatic pressure: Polyamorphism, relaxation, and metallization

V. V. Brazhkin,^{1,*} E. Bychkov,² and O. B. Tsiok¹

¹Institute for High Pressure Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Troitsk, Moscow 108840, Russia

(Received 15 November 2016; revised manuscript received 30 January 2017; published 22 February 2017)

High-precision measurements of the specific volume and electrical resistivity of As_2Te_3 glasses are performed under hydrostatic pressures up to 8.5 GPa. A smooth transformation and logarithmic relaxation of the density is observed at pressures higher than 1 GPa. The softening of the effective bulk modulus and the relaxation rate have a sharp maximum at 2.5 GPa, which is indicative of the existence of polyamorphism. At pressures above 4.5 GPa, a new relaxation process begins. During decompression, the pressure dependence of the compressibility exhibits a kink near 4 GPa. The electrical resistivity decreases by almost 8 orders of magnitude, most sharply in the range of 2–3.5 GPa (by 3 orders of magnitude). Smooth metallization occurs at a pressure of 5 GPa; the resistivity decreases to a value of $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \Omega$ cm at 8.1 GPa. Under decompression, the electrical resistivity exhibits a hysteresis and returns to values 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the initial one. The volume and electrical resistivity under normal conditions relax to quasiequilibrium values in several months. The relaxed glasses with a smaller chemical disorder have a lower electrical resistivity. The results together with the data on the structure and dynamics in As₂Te₃ glasses allow conclusions on the mechanism of pressure-induced transformations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.054205

I. INTRODUCTION

Tellurium-based chalcogenide glasses are technologically important substances that have found wide applications in phase change memory and infrared photonics [1]. Of particular interest are the As_xTe_{100-x} glasses that serve as model systems, but an atomistic understanding of the structure-property relations in it remains obscure due to controversies [2–15]. The As₂Te₃ melt, similar to the Te melt, is a metal at small overheating above the melting temperature [16,17]; as a result, cooling-induced crystallization can be avoided only at quenching rates above 100 K/s. The structure of As₂Te₃ glasses is previously considered as similar to the structure of a classical network (As₂S₃-type) based on corner-sharing trigonal AsTe_{3/2} pyramids [2]. However, it was established more recently that stoichiometric glassy g-As₂Te₃ strongly differs in structure of the short-range order from the crystalline phase and is characterized by a chemical disorder of 30%-60%. (Chemical disorder is defined as the number of homopolar As-As bonds to the total number of As-Te and As-As bonds [3-12].) Furthermore, the analysis of the structure of g-As₂Te₃ is also complicated because of a strong dependence on the fabrication procedure [8,15]. Unfortunately, any adequate model of the structure of the short- and intermediate-range orders for g-As₂Te₃ glasses is still absent.

As₂Te₃ glasses have a small semiconducting gap (~0.8 eV); for this reason, these glasses are very attractive for the study of pressure-induced metallization. g-As₂Te₃ glass is the only stoichiometric chalcogenide glass that is metallized at pressures below 10 GPa [18], and it can be studied in bulk samples under pure hydrostatic conditions. At the same time, studies of g-As₂Te₃ under pressure are scarce. The metallization of g-As₂Te₃ was observed at pressures ~10 GPa and was accompanied in some studies by crystallization [19], whereas metallization of amorphous sputtered films of a-As₂Te₃ in Ref. [20] occurred with the conservation of the amorphous state. The superconductivity of the metallic state also has been studied [21]. The electrical resistivity of the bulk glasses g-As₂Te₃ was studied at a pressure up to 6.5 GPa [22]. All studies of the electrical resistivity of compressed a-As₂Te₃ and g-As₂Te₃ were performed under nonhydrostatic conditions, excluding moderate pressures up to 1 GPa [23]. It is interesting that g-As₂Te₃ is an example of a few glasses whose vitrification and crystallization temperatures decrease rapidly (at a rate of 2–3 K/kbar) with an increase in the pressure [24]. The structure and other characteristics of g-As₂Te₃ glasses under pressure, including such a fundamental characteristic as compressibility, have not been studied at all. Since the structure of g-As₂Te₃ glasses strongly differs from the simple network, an anomalous behavior of the compressibility and other characteristics under pressure can be expected.

The aim of this work is a high-precision study of the compressibility, relaxation processes, and electrical resistivity of g-As₂Te₃ glasses at high pressures up to 8.5 GPa under pure hydrostatic conditions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Initial glasses were obtained from pure elementary substances As (99.9999%) and Te (99.997%) (Aldrich Chemical Ltd.). To fabricate the glass the pristine As_2Te_3 substances were placed in preliminarily cleaned quartz tubes with an inner diameter of 8 mm, which were then evacuated and pressurized. Melts were aged and mixed for 6 h at 600 °C and, after that, were annealed in water. The initial ingots had pores with sizes of several tens of micrometers, which prevent measurements without additional measures because cracks appeared in the samples even at pressures of 0.7–1.2 GPa. Thus, glass samples needed an additional treatment both for the "healing" of pores and for the removal of chemical order inhomogeneities. A strong dependence of the structure and degree of chemical order on the quenching temperature in glassy arsenic telluride is also well known. A feature of As_2Te_3

²LPCA, UMR 8101 CNRS, Université du Littoral, 59140 Dunkerque, France

^{*}Corresponding author: brazhkin@hppi.troitsk.ru

stoichiometric glass is an increased tendency to crystallization. It appeared that both softening and crystallization of this glass occur in a very narrow temperature range: softening begins at 130 °C–135 °C and crystallization begins at \sim 145 °C (at a heating rate of \sim 1 K/min). The experimentally chosen regime of thermobaric treatment (hot pressing) with the parameters of 0.2 GPa, 136 °C, and 3 min allowed obtaining pore-free samples without a noticeable impurity of a crystalline phase. The required accuracy of maintenance of the parameters of the hot-pressing process appeared to be very high: when the temperature of treatment was increased by 2 °C, about 0.5%–1% of the crystalline phase appeared in the sample.

Neutron diffraction experiments were carried out using the SANDALS diffractometer at the ISIS spallation neutron source (Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory, U.K.). Low-energy Te resonances are limited to the available range of the scattering vector $Q = 4\pi \sin\theta/\lambda$ to 25 Å^{-1} , where 2θ is the scattering angle and λ the neutron wavelength). The neutron diffraction data were corrected for background and container scattering, self-attenuation, multiple scattering, and inelasticity (Placzek) effects to obtain the total neutron structure factor $S_N(Q)$.

High-energy x-ray diffraction experiments were conducted at the 6-ID-D beamline at APS (Argonne National Laboratory, USA). The x-ray energy was 100.329 keV, providing data at Q values up to 30 Å⁻¹. A two-dimensional (2D) setup was used for data collection with a Perkin Elmer model 1621 x-ray area detector. The 2D diffraction patterns were reduced using the Fit2D software. The measured background intensity was subtracted, and corrections were made for the different detector geometries and efficiencies, sample self-attenuation, and Compton scattering using standard procedures giving the total x-ray structure factor $S_X(Q)$.

The resulting glass samples had a density of 5.55 g/cm^3 and were free of pores and impurity of the crystalline phase (see Fig. 1). The compressibility and electrical resistivity were measured for $3 \times 2 \times 1.5$ - and $2.5 \times 1 \times 0.7$ -mm rectangular samples, respectively. After high-pressure study all samples were preserved in a glassy state (see Fig. 1).

High-pressure experiments were performed in a Toroid apparatus [25]. The volume of amorphous samples under hydrostatic pressure was measured at room temperature (294 K) by the strain gauge method [26]. This method was successfully applied to study both oxide and chalcogenide glasses (see, e.g., [27,28]). The absolute error of measuring the volume in this method is 0.15% and the sensitivity of measurements is 10^{-5} . A methanol-ethanol (4:1) mixture with a hydrostatic limit of about 10 GPa was used as a pressure-transmitting medium. The pressure was measured by a calibrated manganin gauge. The reproducibility of the pressure scale was better than 0.01 GPa.

The volume was measured under the continuous variation of the pressure at a rate of 0.08–0.12 and 0.03–0.05 GPa/min with increasing and decreasing pressure, respectively. When studying the kinetics of densification of glasses at a fixed pressure, the pressure was maintained with an accuracy of 2 MPa.

The electrical resistivity of the glasses was measured by the four-probe method. We experimentally selected a low-temperature solder, three-component eutectic In–Bi–Sn



FIG. 1. A low-Q part of the Faber-Ziman neutron $S_N(Q)$ (blue) and x-ray $S_X(Q)$ (green) structure factors of glassy g-As₂Te₃ plotted together with the diffraction pattern for the α -As₂Te₃ crystalline polymorph (red). The pulsed neutron data corresponds to freshly prepared g-As₂Te₃, and the high-energy $S_X(Q)$ was obtained for g-As₂Te₃ recovered from 8.5 GPa.

(3:1:1 atomic). The possible error of data on the absolute value of the electrical resistivity is determined by errors of determination of the geometric factor of the samples and was estimated as 7%-10%.

III. RESULTS

The pressure dependences of the volume of g-As₂Te₃ for three different samples are shown in Fig. 2. Both at an increase and a decrease in the pressure, measurements were performed with a small pressure step of 0.02 GPa; for this reason, the resulting curve is almost continuous and does not require interpolation. It is seen that the compression curves are not approximated by a single simple equation of state and the elastic behavior is observed only up to a pressure of 1 GPa. The bulk modulus in the initial segment of compression is $B = (15.85 \pm 0.1)$ GPa and its derivative is $dB/dP = 6.1 \pm 0.1$. The volume decreases anomalously in the pressure range of 2-3.5 GPa, and an additional increase in the density in this transformation is about 2.5%. Pressure hysteresis between the curves at increasing and decreasing pressures is small and the residual densification is about 2%; in this case, the volume under normal conditions relaxes to the initial value in several months. A logarithmic time dependence (relaxation) of the volume of g-As₂Te₃ glass samples at a fixed pressure is observed at pressures above 1 GPa (Fig. 3). Deviation from the logarithmic dependence at small times is due to a finite rate of the pressure increase in the experiment. The relaxation rate has a sharp maximum at 2.5 GPa (see the



FIG. 2. Pressure dependences of the volume of glassy As_2Te_3 at an increase (closed points) and a decrease (open points) in the pressure obtained in three experiments. In one of the experiments, the glass densification kinetics at pressure points marked by arrows was studied. The solid line is the approximation of the initial segments (0–1.2 GPa) of V(P) dependences by the Murnaghan equation $[B_0 = (15.85 \pm 0.1)$ GPa and $dB/dP = 6.1 \pm 0.1]$. The inset shows a magnified image of the region near the maximum pressure, where densification kinetics was studied on one of the samples.

inset of Fig. 3); in this case, the relaxation rate is close to the maximum values for $GeSe_2$ glasses, for which a transition to a flexible network is observed in a narrow pressure range [28].

The effective bulk moduli of glasses was obtained by direct differentiation over points without additional processing. Figure 4 shows the effective bulk moduli for g-As₂Te₃ glasses as functions of the pressure and density. A linear increase in the bulk modulus with the pressure is observed up to 1 GPa; with a further increase in the pressure, the derivative dB/dP decreases and becomes negative (the bulk modulus is softened). This is



FIG. 3. Relaxation of the volume of glassy As₂Te₃ at a fixed pressure. Numbers near the curves are the exposure pressures in gigapascals. The inset shows the pressure dependence of the stationary relaxation rate determined as $-d(V/V_0)/d(\log t)$ in the linear segment of the time dependences.



FIG. 4. (a, upper and left scales) Apparent bulk moduli of glassy As_2Te_3 obtained from the initial V(P) data by the formula B = -VdP/dV at an increase (closed symbols) and a decrease (open symbols) in the pressure; the solid lines emphasize a kink on the pressure dependence of the bulk modulus at a decrease in the pressure. (b, lower and right scales) Same data for two experiments recalculated as functions of the density of samples; colors and symbols representing results of various experiments correspond to the notation in Fig. 1.

accompanied by intense volume relaxation, which continues up to the highest pressures, and the bulk modulus obtained by differentiation corresponds to the effective relaxing values. The difference between the relaxing and relaxed bulk moduli indicates the existence of activation processes and smooth transformations in glasses [27–29]. The maximum softening of the relaxing bulk modulus is observed at 2.5 GPa, coinciding with the pressure at which the relaxation rate is maximal. After long-term isobaric relaxations, the effective bulk modulus at a further increase in the pressure first corresponds to high relaxed values and then decreases to effective relaxing values corresponding to a curve with a constant loading rate ("forgets" its prehistory) (see Fig. 4). Small irregularities in the relaxing bulk modulus reproduce variations of the rate of the pressure in a region where relaxation occurs. At pressures above 4.5 GPa, the slope of the pressure dependence of the effective bulk modulus decreases also, apparently because of the gradual adding of new relaxation processes.

After decompression, glasses behave elastically up to 1.5 GPa and the bulk modulus corresponds to relaxed values. At lower pressures, a more intense decrease in the effective bulk modulus begins because of relaxation processes in the reverse transformation. It is interesting that the pressure dependence of the bulk modulus at decreasing pressure exhibits a kink at 4 GPa, where the derivative dB/dP decreases from 8 to 5.5. The bulk moduli of g-As₂Te₃ glasses at decreasing pressure are close to those after isobaric relaxations at the increasing-pressure stage (see Fig. 4), which likely indicates that the structure of the short-range order in these glasses is the same at increasing and decreasing pressure [28]. It is interesting that the density dependences of the effective bulk



FIG. 5. Pressure dependences of the electrical resistivity of glassy As_2Te_3 at an increase (closed symbols) and a decrease (open symbols) in the pressure according to the results of two experiments (1 – "fresh" glass sample after quenching from a melt; 2 – sample relaxed after hot pressing) in comparison to the data from (triangles) [22] and (circles) [20] at an increase (filled symbols) and a decrease (open symbols) in the pressure. The inset shows the kinetics of variation of the electrical resistivity at the maximum pressure.

moduli at increasing and decreasing pressure are joined in the density range of 6.55-6.7 g/cm³ (see the lower plot in Fig. 4).

Figure 5 shows the pressure dependences of the electrical resistivity of the glasses for both "fresh" glass samples after quenching from a melt and samples relaxed after hot pressing. The initial resistivity of relaxed glasses $(1.1 - 1.2) \times 10^3 \,\Omega \,\mathrm{cm})$ is an order of magnitude lower than that of quenched glasses $[(0.83-0.95)\times10^4 \,\Omega \,\mathrm{cm}]$. For comparison, the figure shows early data for amorphous films a-As₂Te₃ and g-As₂Te₃ bulk glasses obtained under nonhydrostatic conditions [20,22]. It is seen that previous rough measurements were performed with a large error, particularly at pressures P > 2 GPa. The electrical resistivity of glasses decreases exponentially with an increase in the pressure to 1.5-2 GPa; after that, an anomalously fast decrease in the electrical resistivity by almost 3 orders of magnitude is observed in the narrow pressure range of 2-3.5 GPa, where the largest changes in the volume (by 5.8%) were also observed. At pressures above 3 GPa, the pressure dependences of the electrical resistivity for different types of glasses are almost joined in one; i.e., a glass "forgets" the features of the initial state. Since the characteristic values of the minimum metallic conductivity for chalcogenide glasses are $(1-4) \times 10^{-3} \Omega$ cm [30], it can be concluded that a transition to a metallic state occurs at pressures of 4-5 GPa. Metallization proceeds smoothly without crystallization. With a further compression, the resistivity continues to slowly decrease, reaching $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \Omega$ cm at 8.1 GPa. One can note the resistivity of the metallic melt varies from 3×10^{-2} to $6 \times 10^{-4} \Omega$ cm [16]. The time logarithmic relaxation of the resistivity is observed up to maximum pressures as for the volume (see the inset of Fig. 5). The reverse metal-insulator transition at decompression occurs at 2.5–3.5 GPa. After decompression, the electrical resistivity of the samples was 2 and 3 orders of magnitude (!) lower than the initial value for the hot-pressed and quenched samples,



FIG. 6. Relaxation of the (left scale, open symbols) volume and (right scale, closed symbols) electrical resistivity of glassy As_2Te_3 samples after decompression. Large symbols are measured electrical resistivities for two different samples remaining after the measurements of V(P) and the volume relaxation.

respectively, although the residual densification was as small as 2% (Fig. 5). A long-term aging under normal conditions results in an increase in the resistivity to values characteristic of hot-pressed samples. The time dependences of the volume and resistivity of samples under normal conditions are shown in Fig. 6. It is interesting that the rate of resistivity change



FIG. 7. Derivative of the electrical resistivity of glassy As₂Te₃ versus the variation of the volume per 1% ΔV . The results of two experiments (Fig. 5) at (closed symbols) an increase and (open symbols) a decrease in the pressure are recalculated using the V(P) curves averaged over two experiments (Fig. 2) at an increase and a decrease in the pressure. The colors and symbols representing various experiments are the same as in Fig. 5. The points at the end of the dependence at decreasing pressure at ΔV smaller than 10% are smoothed. The volume dependence of the electrical resistivity cannot be correctly plotted in this region because of strong relaxations of both the volume and electrical resistivity. The values of the derivative at relaxations at the maximum pressure and after decompression, which were obtained as the ratios of steady relaxation rates of the electrical resistivity and volume, are also represented on the plot.



FIG. 8. (a) X-ray total correlation functions $T_X(r)$ for pristine g-As₂Te₃, glassy As₂Te₃ after heating to 130 °C, a temperature close to T_g , and g-As₂Te₃ recovered from 8.5 GPa; a three-peak fitting of $T_X(r)$ for (b) the sample recovered from 8.5 GPa and (c) pristine glassy As₂Te₃. The first-neighbor correlations are shown in red (As-As, ~2.40 Å), green (As-Te, ~2.65 Å), and blue (Te-Te, ~2.80 Å). The fitting parameters are shown in Table I.

with the volume at increasing and decreasing pressure is much lower than that at isobaric relaxation (see Fig. 7). A decrease in the resistivity when the volume changes by 1% is only 25% (instead of 100% for isobaric aging) at the maximum pressures and is 70%-120% (instead of 570% for relaxation at atmospheric pressure) at the minimum pressures.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The results allow a number of conclusions on the features of the behavior of g-As₂Te₃ glasses under pressure and on their metallization. First, glasses can be classified into



FIG. 9. Temperature dependencies of resistivity of glassy As_2Te_3 before (closed symbols) and after (open symbols) high-pressure experiments. Only two of about 30 curves are shown for the relaxation behavior of resistivity after high pressure.



FIG. 10. Hypothetical transformation of (As₄Te₆)_n ribbon in crystalline α -As₂Te₃ into two chemically ordered motifs of g-As₂Te₃. (a) A single $(As_4Te_6)_n$ ribbon in the crystalline structure of monoclinic α -As₂Te₃ with octahedral As(2) and trigonal As(1) sites and a wide distribution of As-Te first-neighbor distances, 2.82 ± 0.11 Å. Since the As-Te interatomic distances in liquid and glassy As₂Te₃ are remarkably shorter, 2.65 ± 0.03 Å, the network topology of α -As₂Te₃ will not survive on melting, giving rise to alternative connectivity. (b) The bonding/nonbonding limit is set at 2.85 Å. A single $(As_4Te_6)_n$ ribbon appears to be split into three chains: (i) the central string composed of edge-sharing pyramids, ES-AsTe_{3/3}, and (ii) two lateral chains consisting of corner-sharing units, CS-AsTeTe_{2/2}. The central string, ES-AsTe_{3/3}, loses every third As atom, transforming (c) into a chain consisting of AsTe_{3/2} pyramids with alternate edge and corner sharing. (d) The two lateral chains with remaining As species are forming a new As-Te ribbon consisting of As₃Te₃ and As₆Te₆ rings.

three groups depending on prehistory: (i) first type- freshly prepared glasses after quenching from the melt, (ii) second type- relaxed glasses obtained by hot pressing, and (iii) third type- glasses after hydrostatic compression up to 8.5 GPa and subsequent relaxation. The analysis of the structural data (see Fig. 8 and corresponding Table I) shows that the minimum and maximum chemical disorders are inherent in the samples of the third and first types, respectively. At first glance, this is counterintuitive because metallization under pressure usually reduces the degree of covalence and increases chemical disorder. However, at the same time, a fast decrease in the vitrification and crystallization temperature with an increase in the pressure should significantly accelerate local diffusion under compression. It can be assumed that the "healing" of defects and chemical disorder occurs at pressures of 2.5–3.5 GPa even more intensively than at the annealing of glasses at low pressures. Another counterintuitive fact is that samples with smaller chemical disorder have a lower electrical resistivity (see Fig. 9). We suppose that a large number of wrong neighbors and defects lead to a high degree of localization of carriers and to an increase in the gap in mobility, in spite of a formally higher "metallicity" (the number of Te-Te neighbors).

The sharp softening of the effective bulk modulus of g-As₂Te₃ at pressures of 2–2.5 GPa is quite similar to the behavior of GeSe₂ glasses [28]. It can be assumed that

Sample	As-As		As-Te		Те-Те			
	r (Å)	N _{As-As}	r (Å)	N _{As-Te}	r (Å)	N _{Te-Te}	N _{Te-(As,Te)}	Chemical disorder
A	2.41	0.64	2.65	2.36	2.78	0.53	2.10	0.21
В	2.40	0.53	2.65	2.47	2.78	0.51	2.16	0.18
С	2.40	0.43	2.66	2.57	2.81	0.44	2.15	0.14

TABLE I. Structural parameters (nearest-neighbor distances and partial coordination numbers) of glassy As_2Te_3 (A) before and (B) after thermal and (C) high-pressure treatment.^{a,b}

^aChemical disorder is defined as the number of homopolar As-As bonds to the total number of As-Te and As-As bonds, $\frac{N_{As-As}}{N_{As-As}+N_{As-Te}}$

^bThe mean-square deviations (MSD) of the calculated parameters are ± 0.01 Å for As-As and As-Te bond lengths, and ± 0.02 Å for Te-Te distances. The MSD values for coordination numbers are ± 0.02 for $N_{\text{As-As}}$ and $N_{\text{As-Te}}$, and ± 0.04 for $N_{\text{Te-Te}}$.

local elastic constants for structural units, which are $AsTe_{3/2}$ pyramids joined along the edges (tetrahedra for GeSe₂), are softened as for GeSe₂ glasses. One can suppose that the amorphous network for g-As₂Te₃ is built on both cornerand edge-sharing $AsTe_{3/2}$ pyramids (see Fig. 10). Intense relaxation processes in g-As₂Te₃ at pressures of 2-3 GPa are likely due to a change in the type of connectivity of pyramids from a "common edge" to a "common corner" similar to g-GeSe₂. The sharpest decrease in the electrical resistivity by 3 orders of magnitude under variation of pressure from 2 to 3.5 GPa begins at the same pressures. At the same time, there are significant differences in behavior of these two glasses. The softening of bulk moduli of GeSe₂ in the range of 2-3 GPa occurs in the elastic regime and intense relaxation processes begin only at a further increase in the pressure [28]. Relaxation processes and softening of the effective bulk modulus in As₂Te₃ glasses begin simultaneously at pressures above 1 GPa. It can be assumed that inelastic chemical ordering processes are imposed on the processes of softening of the bulk modulus and the subsequent change in the intermediate-range order.

Because of a low vitrification temperature and a high mobility of atoms, the samples at pressures of 3-3.5 GPa "forget" their prehistory and the electrical resistivities of different initial samples approach a common dependence. The metallization of As₂Te₃ glasses occurs at pressures of 4–5 GPa, which is half of the previously accepted value. At pressures above 4.5 GPa, the smooth variation of the intermediate order possibly occurs without a significant change in coordination, and chemical ordering possibly continues with easier diffusion in the metallic state. A possible error in preceding measurements of the electrical resistivity in [20,22] was due to nonhydrostatic conditions and poor measuring contacts; furthermore, amorphous films rather than bulk glasses were studied in [20]. The absolutely smooth pressure dependence of the electrical resistivity in the wide pressure range of 5-8.5 GPa indicates that As₂Te₃ glasses in the metallic state are not crystallized.

An interesting effect detected in this work is a kink on the pressure dependence of the bulk modulus near 4 GPa at a decrease in the pressure. Any noticeable structural transformations and relaxations are absent in this pressure range at decreasing pressure. This behavior is possibly attributed to a significant contribution from conduction electrons to the bulk modulus and its derivative in the metal region. The residual densification ($\approx 2\%$) and anomalously low electrical resistivity ($\sim 10 \ \Omega \ cm$) immediately after decompression are apparently due to the partial irreversibility of a change in the type of connectivity of AsTe_{3/2} pyramids. The amorphous network relaxes to a quasiequilibrium state at long-term aging (for 10⁷ s) under normal conditions. An anomalously high rate of the resistivity variation with the volume at isobaric relaxation (see Fig. 6) indicates that relaxation processes without changing the volume affect the resistivity.

In summary, we revealed the main characteristics of transformations in g-As₂Te₃ glasses under pressure. The elastic behavior is observed up to 1 GPa; a polyamorphic transformation without changing the coordination number, which is accompanied by a strong softening of the effective bulk modulus and the intensive logarithmic relaxation of the density, occurs from 1.5 to 3 GPa; an inelastic behavior with weak relaxation associated with chemical ordering is observed in the range of 4.5-8.5 GPa; and smooth metallization occurs at 4-5 GPa. The transformation in the glasses is almost completely reversible. Subsequent detailed structural studies of polyamorphism and metallization in g-As₂Te₃ glasses under pressure, as well as ab initio computer simulations, are desirable. However, as was mentioned above, the data on the short- and intermediate-range orders in As₂Te₃ glasses even at normal pressure are contradictory. We hope that this work will stimulate detailed experimental and theoretical studies of the structure and dynamics of As₂Te₃ glasses at normal and high pressures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to N. F. Borovikov and I. P. Zibrov for assistance in the chemical and phase analysis of the samples. The authors also thank Dr. C. J. Benmore for the help with high-energy x-ray diffraction measurements. Work at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, was supported in part by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH1135. This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 14-22-00093).

As₂Te₃ GLASS UNDER HIGH HYDROSTATIC ...

- [1] M. Wuttig and N. Yamada, Nat. Mater. 6, 824 (2007).
- [2] J. Cornet and D. Rossier, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 12, 85 (1973).
- [3] Q. Ma, D. Raoux, and S. Benazeth, Phys. Rev. B 48, 16332 (1993).
- [4] K. Abe, O. Uemura, T. Usuki, Y. Kameda, and M. Sakurai, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 232–234, 682 (1998).
- [5] G. Faigel, L. Granasy, I. Vincze, and H. Dewaard, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 57, 411 (1983).
- [6] P. Jóvári, S. N. Yannopoulos, I. Kaban, A. Kalampounias, I. Lishchynskyy, B. Beuneu, O. Kostadinova, E. Welter, and A. Schöps, J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 214502 (2008).
- [7] S. Sen, S. Joshi, B. G. Aitken, and S. Khalid, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 354, 4620 (2008).
- [8] A. Tverjanovich, K. Rodionov, and E. Bychkov, J. Solid State Chem. 190, 271 (2012).
- [9] D. C. Kaseman, I. Hung, K. Lee, K. Kovnir, Z. Gan, B. Aitken, and S. Sen, J. Phys. Chem. B 119, 2081 (2015).
- [10] M. Dongol, T. Gerber, M. Hafiz, M. Abou-Zied, and A. F. Elhady, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18, 6213 (2006).
- [11] T. G. Edwards, E. L. Gjersing, S. Sen, S. C. Currie, and B. G. Aitken, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 357, 3036 (2011).
- [12] M. Tenhover, P. Boolchand, and W. J. Bresser, Phys. Rev. B 27, 7533 (1983).
- [13] S. S. K. Titus, R. Chatterjee, S. Asokan, and A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. B 48, 14650 (1993).
- [14] S. Sen, S. Soyer Uzun, C. J. Benmore, and B. J. Aitken, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 405401 (2010).
- [15] A. Tverjanovich, M. Yagodkina, and V. Strykanov, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 223, 86 (1998).

- [16] H. Endo, H. Hoshino, H. Ikemoto, and T. Miyanaga, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 6077 (2000).
- [17] C. Otjacques, J. Y. Raty, F. Hippert, H. Schober, M. Johnson, R. Céolin, and J. P. Gaspard, Phys. Rev. B 82, 054202 (2010).
- [18] G. Parthasarathy and E. S. R. Gopal, Bull. Mater. Sci. 7, 271 (1985).
- [19] S. Minomura, in Amorphous Semiconductors–Technologies and Devices, edited byY. Hamakawa (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978), p. 245.
- [20] N. Sakai and H. Fritzsche, Phys. Rev. B 15, 973 (1977).
- [21] I. V. Berman, N. V. Brandt, I. E. Kostyleva, S. K. Pavlov, V. I. Sidorov, and S. M. Chudinov, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43, 48 (1986) [JETP Lett. 43, 62 (1986)].
- [22] G. Ramani, A. Giridhar, and A. K. Singh, Philos. Mag. B 39, 385 (1979).
- [23] J. Kristofic, J. J. Mares, and V. Smid, Phys. Status Solidi A 89, 333 (1985).
- [24] K. Ramesh, J. Phys. Chem. B 118, 8848 (2014).
- [25] L. G. Khvostantsev, V. N. Slesarev, and V. V. Brazhkin, High Press. Res. 24, 371 (2004).
- [26] O. B. Tsiok, V. V. Bredikhin, V. A. Sidorov, and L. G. Khvostantsev, High Press. Res. 10, 523 (1992).
- [27] O. B. Tsiok, V. V. Brazhkin, A. G. Lyapin, and L. G. Khvostantsev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 999 (1998).
- [28] V. V. Brazhkin, E. Bychkov, and O. B. Tsiok, Phys. Chem. B 120, 358 (2016).
- [29] V. V. Brazhkin, O. B. Tsiok, and Y. Katayama, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 89, 285 (2009) [JETP Lett. 89, 244 (2009)].
- [30] V. V. Struzhkin, A. F. Goncharov, R. Caracas, H.-K. Mao, and R. J. Hemley, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165133 (2008).