Magnetic-field-induced vortex-lattice transition in HgBa₂CuO_{4+δ}

Jeongseop A. Lee,¹ Yizhou Xin,¹ I. Stolt,¹ W. P. Halperin,¹ A. P. Reyes,² P. L. Kuhns,² and M. K. Chan³

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

²National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, USA

³Pulsed Field Facility, National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

(Received 1 September 2016; revised manuscript received 16 November 2016; published 23 January 2017)

Measurements of the ¹⁷O nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) quadrupolar spectrum of apical oxygen in HgBa₂CuO_{4+ δ} were performed over a range of magnetic fields from 6.4–30 T in the superconducting state. Oxygen-isotope-exchanged single crystals were investigated with doping corresponding to superconducting transition temperatures from 74 K underdoped, to 78 K overdoped. The apical oxygen site was chosen since its NMR spectrum has narrow quadrupolar satellites that are well separated from any other resonance. Nonvortex contributions to the spectra can be deconvolved in the time domain to determine the local magnetic field distribution from the vortices. Numerical analysis using Brandt's Ginzburg-Landau theory was used to find structural parameters of the vortex lattice, penetration depth, and coherence length as a function of magnetic field in the vortex solid phase. From this analysis we report a vortex structural transition near 15 T from an oblique lattice with an opening angle of 73° at low magnetic fields to a triangular lattice with 60° stabilized at high field. The temperature for onset of vortex dynamics has been identified from spin-spin relaxation. This is independent of the magnetic field at sufficiently high magnetic field similar to that reported for YBa₂Cu₃O₇ and Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+ δ} and is correlated with mass anisotropy of the material. This behavior is accounted for theoretically only in the limit of very high anisotropy.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.024512

I. INTRODUCTION

The vortex state of high-temperature superconductors (HTS) dominates the magnetic field temperature phase diagram at fields between a very large upper critical field, H_{c2} , and an extremely small lower critical field, H_{c1} . Consequently, vortices play an essential role in the behavior of cuprate superconductors. Technological applications of HTS depend on understanding and controlling the vortex dynamics. Driven by the Lorentz force from an electrical current, they dissipate heat and adversely affect the superconducting critical current. The vortex state of the ideal defect-free material forms a lattice as was predicted by Abrikosov for type II superconductors [1]. The physical structure of this vortex lattice (VL) in HTS reflects the underlying mass anisotropy of the crystal and the nature of the supercurrents circulating around the vortex core having a radius the size of the coherence length, a few nm in size. At high magnetic field the supercurrents from nearby vortices strongly overlap with one another, which together with the electronic structure at the vortex core, determine the symmetry of the VL as well as the vortex dynamics that result from thermal fluctuations or external forces. The effect of vortex-vortex interactions is most easily investigated by varying the vortex density, which is proportional to the applied field. In the present work over the range of fields from H = 6.4-30 T, we have used ¹⁷O nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [2], finding a vortex-lattice transition in the simple, single-layer, cuprate superconductor HgBa₂CuO_{4+δ} (Hg1201).

Thermal fluctuations of vortices are particularly evident in highly anisotropic, layered superconductors, such as HTS cuprates [3,4]. As the temperature is decreased below T_c in a defect-free crystal, the vortices undergo a sharp thermodynamic first-order phase transition into a pinned vortex solid phase [5]. For example, this was demonstrated by Kwok *et al.* [6] who observed the corresponding magnetization discontinuity in YBa₂Cu₃O₇ (Y123) untwinned crystals. The condensation of vortices into a lattice at a melting transition, T_m , is analogous to the first-order liquid-solid phase transition of matter, phenomenologically accounted for by the Lindemann criterion for melting [4,7].

At the vortex melting transition there is an abrupt change in the frequency of the dynamics. In the vortex liquid phase the spatial fluctuations of the local magnetic field from vortex supercurrents are motionally averaged to zero on the time scale of an NMR measurement, $t \ll 10$ ns, given by the Larmor period. However, in the vortex solid phase the local field distribution is quasistatic with a relevant time scale, $t \gtrsim 50 \,\mu$ s, and the internal vortex field profile contributes to the NMR spectrum, which can be used to determine the VL structure as well as the superconducting penetration depth and coherence length. This information is similar to what can be inferred from muon spin resonance, although it is generally restricted to relatively low magnetic fields as is the case for other techniques such as scanning tunneling microscopy and small angle neutron scattering.

A rich variety of magnetic and electronic orders are evident in the low-field vortex state including unusual checkerboard-type electronic inhomogeneity as reported for Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+ δ} (Bi2212) [8–10]. However, in very high magnetic fields, where vortex-vortex interactions become particularly strong, this behavior is less well established. Exploring the high-field region is the main thrust of our work where we present ¹⁷O NMR measurements of vortex structure and dynamics in Hg1201 single crystals at magnetic fields as high as H = 30 T. The low-field vortex lattice shape in this compound was investigated in detail by Li, *et al.* [11] by small-angle neutron scattering technique, which has revealed a triangular vortex lattice below 0.4 T.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Crystals of Hg1201 were grown at the University of Minnesota. Isotope exchange for ¹⁷O NMR was performed at Northwestern University followed by annealing for typically one week to establish the necessary doping and homogeneity. Characterization was performed using ¹⁷O and ¹⁹⁹Hg nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Laue x-ray diffraction, and lowfield SQUID measurements, the latter in order to determine the superconducting T_c . The suite of single-crystal samples of Hg1201 include those underdoped with $T_c = 74$ K (UD74), 79 K (UD79), 87 K (UD87), optimally doped with $T_c = 94$ K (OP94) and overdoped 78 K (OD78), where some of these samples have been studied previously [12,13]. Their oxygen concentrations correspond to hole doping of p = 0.095, 0.105,0.118, 0.130, and 0.216 respectively, obtained by comparing the measured T_c with the phase diagram [14]. Transition widths from magnetization measurements for all the samples varied from 1.5-5 K.

NMR measurements were taken with the applied magnetic field aligned with the crystal c axis where there exists two sets of five ${}^{17}O$ NMR spectral peaks: one set for oxygen O(1) in the CuO_2 plane, and another for the apical oxygen, O(2). Since the nuclear spin is I = 5/2, these are associated with the central transition, (1/2, -1/2), and four quadrupolar satellites corresponding to the transitions, (5/2, 3/2), (3/2, 1/2), (-1/2, -3/2), and (-3/2, -5/2). In general the transition frequencies are labeled by indices (m, m - 1) where m is the quantum number for the energy levels. For this investigation we have varied the magnetic field from H = 6.4-30 T over a range of temperature, from \sim 4–100 K. NMR measurements with magnetic fields of 14 T and below were performed at Northwestern University. For magnetic fields above 14 T the measurements were performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) in cell 2 of the DC-field facility.

¹⁹⁹Hg NMR was used to verify doping concentrations since the dopant oxygen resides in the HgO plane and its concentration can be correlated with secondary NMR peaks in the spectrum [12,13,15].

III. VORTEX LATTICE MELTING

The spin-spin relaxation rates, T_2^{-1} , of ⁶³Cu and ¹⁷O in the CuO₂ plane were measured to locate T_m of each sample. At temperatures above T_m , the transverse magnetization relaxation has a Gaussian decay principally associated with dynamics of the dipolar local field from near-neighbor copper discussed by Bachman et al. [16]. Upon entry into the vortex solid state, however, a new relaxation mechanism onsets due to thermal fluctuations of vortices that are formed into a vortex lattice, Fig. 1. Their Lorentzian spectral density produces an exponential component to the time dependence of the nuclear magnetization relaxation [16–18]. Spin-spin relaxation rates from three different nuclei, i.e., planar Cu, planar oxygen, O(1), and the apical oxygen, O(2), in our UD87 sample are shown in Fig. 2 in a magnetic field H = 6.4 T. The onset of slow localized vortex field fluctuations is marked by a peak in T_2^{-1} near T = 40 K, independent of nucleus or of field orientation.



FIG. 1. Transverse magnetization decay of 63 Cu in OP94 ($T_m = 50$ K) at H = 6.4 T under a Hahn echo sequence, ($\pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - echo$). The magnetization is obtained by area under the echo and τ is the pulse spacing. At temperatures above T_m the decay profile is Gaussian. This is contrasted by a Lorentzian decay at temperatures below T_m .

For strongly anisotropic superconductors the magnetic field temperature vortex melting phase diagram is predicted to have a limiting behavior at high applied magnetic field. This behavior is characterized by a field-independent melting transition temperature provided that the applied field is larger than a crossover field that varies inversely with the square of the mass anisotropy, γ . In this high-field limit electromagnetic interaction between vortices in the CuO₂ plane dominates interplanar Josephson coupling resulting in quasitwo-dimensional (2D) vortex dynamics of pancake vortices. As the field is increased T_m asymptotically approaches the following 2D limit,

$$T_m^{2D} = A \frac{\Phi_0^2 d}{8\pi \sqrt{3} k_b [4\pi \lambda_{ab}(0)]^2}.$$
 (1)



FIG. 2. The spin-spin relaxation rate for ¹⁷O and ⁶³Cu NMR showing the vortex melting transition in sample UD87 for H = 6.4 T. This transition is indicated by a peak in the relaxation rate when the magnetization decay is analyzed as a pure Gaussian [16]. More precisely, the appearance of a solid vortex on cooling is marked by the onset of a Lorentzian component to the otherwise Gaussian recovery [16]. Solid lines are guide to eye.



FIG. 3. The vortex phase diagram for a number of cuprate superconductors shows sharp upward curvature with a field-independent behavior for formation of the vortex lattice. This was theoretically predicted to occur at sufficiently high field by Glazman and Koshelev [7] in the two-dimensional limit, applicable to highly anisotropic HTS, and was experimentally observed in Bi2212 by Chen *et al.* [19]. The vertical dashed line indicates the high-field, two-dimensional limit of the melting transition. However, similar behavior was observed for Y123 (Bachman *et al.* [16]) and in Hg1201 (this work), indicated by solid vertical lines, but cannot be understood in the same context (see text).

The flux quantum is, ϕ_0 , and the interlayer separation is d, which is 0.95 nm for Hg1201. The zero-temperature limit of the penetration depth for currents in the *ab* plane is denoted by $\lambda_{ab}(0)$. The constant, $A \sim 0.61$, depends on the Lindemann criterion for melting and can be numerically computed [4,19–22]. Most importantly the observed 2D limit found for optimally doped Bi2212, $T_m^{2D} = 12$ K corresponds to a penetration depth $\lambda_{ab}(0) = 220 \text{ nm}$ consistent with independent measurements [23,24] falling in their range from 180-270 nm thereby providing strong affirmation for the theory [7]. For Hg1201 UD79 and UD87 we find no apparent field dependence of T_m from H = 6.4-30.0 T, suggesting the crystals are already in the 2D lattice limit. However, this is not the case. The observed high-field limit for melting is 42 K for UD79 and 45 K for UD87, shown in Fig. 3. According to the 2D melting theory this would correspond to penetration depths of 76 nm and 74 nm, respectively, considerably at odds with the previous reports 171 nm [25] and with our measurements \sim 190 nm discussed in Sec. IV. The same discrepancy exists for optimally doped Y123 where the 2D limit would imply a penetration depth of ~ 61 nm at odds with the reported value of $\sim 150 \text{ nm}$ [26]. We infer that the field independence of the melting, defined by abrupt changes in vortex dynamical time scales and their spectral density [16] must have a different explanation. It is possible that collective vortex pinning is responsible. However, spatial correlation between near-neighbor and next-near-neighbor vortices is largely immune to disorder leaving the magnetic field distribution relatively unaffected in contrast to neutron scattering, a point emphasized by Brandt [27].



FIG. 4. The mass anisotropy (open symbols) for three HTS compounds is shown as a function of doping compared with the temperature for melting, T_m (closed symbols). Data from NMR [19,39], μ SR [37], torque [28,29,42,43], transport (open symbols) [34–36], SQUID (star) [40,41], and Josephson plasma resonance (cross) measurements [38] indicate that Bi2212 is much more anisotropic than Hg1201 or Y123 [38,40]. The behavior for Bi2212 is consistent with the theory for 2D melting [7] but is not appropriate for Hg1201 or Y123 as discussed in the text. The melting transitions determined at low field from μ SR [32], NMR [16,19,39], and heat capacity [30,31,33] are also shown for comparison.

The most obvious difference in the comparison of Bi2212 with the other two compounds, Hg1201 and Y123, is in their much smaller mass anisotropy. This point is made explicit in Fig. 4 where the temperature difference between the vortex melting transition and the superconducting transition is compared with the mass anisotropy as a function of doping over a wide range taken from the literature [16,19,28–43]. We emphasize that the melting transitions observed from the NMR relaxation measurement do not identify a thermodynamic phase transition. The change in the vortex dynamic time scale could well be an indication of a crossover in the behaviors of vortices. Nonetheless, generality of the field-independent T_m observed in a number of different HTS compounds is compelling and appears to correlate well with the mass anisotropy.

IV. VORTEX-LATTICE STRUCTURE

Upon cooling into the vortex solid state, a new NMR line shape emerges from the normal state spectrum that is a convolution with the vortex spectrum commonly known as the Redfield pattern, Fig. 5, characterized by a long high-frequency tail reflecting local magnetic fields near or inside the cores of vortices. The Redfield pattern is simply proportional to the probability distribution for local magnetic fields that is associated with the vortex state probed by the nuclei in the crystal. It can be used to construct the vortex lattice structure making NMR a powerful complimentary tool to other experimental techniques such as μ SR and small angle neutron scattering (SANS) [44].

Since the spatial information of the VL is represented by an average encoded in a single NMR spectrum, one needs to decode it to reconstruct the lattice structure. This is done



FIG. 5. The vortex magnetic-field distribution versus internal magnetic field, B_{int} , relative to the applied field. The NMR spectrum shown in the figure was obtained for the O(2)(3/2, 1/2) transition at 35 K in the applied field H = 16.5 T compared with the calculated spectrum determined using Brandt's algorithm, optimized to fit the experimental spectrum using the Levenberg-Marquardt method. A nonvortex contribution to the spectrum was measured above the vortex melting transition temperature for the same NMR quadrupolar satellite and at the same field, which was then convolved with a raw spectrum to obtain the vortex field distribution. A fit constrained to be for a tetragonal lattice ($\alpha = 90^{\circ}$) is shown for comparison as a black dashed line. The inset figure displays the magnetic field contours for the set of four VL-related parameters in the calculation.

by fitting a spectrum to a probability density distribution of magnetic fields from an ideal VL configuration that minimizes the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) free energy [45].

We have used a fast convergent iterative method developed by Brandt [46] to find a GL solution based on the following parameters that define the VL: the coherence length, ξ ; the penetration depth, λ ; the VL lattice constant, A₀; and the lattice obliqueness angle, α . The lattice constant anisotropy, η , defined in Fig. 5, can be calculated from the vortex density equation assuming single flux quantization,

$$\eta = \frac{\Phi_0}{H_0 A_0^2 \sin \alpha}.$$
 (2)

Two parameters, α and η , represent an angle between two vectors defining a vortex lattice and length anisotropy ratio between the lattice vectors, respectively. For example, a triangular VL is given by $(\alpha, \eta) = (60^\circ, 1)$ or an elongated rectangular lattice by $(90^\circ, \neq 1)$. Typically, about 20 iterations are needed to obtain a single GL solution using Brandt's algorithm. Once a solution was found, a next set of vortex parameters was estimated based on the Levenberg-Marquardt optimization criteria and this entire process was iterated for convergence. This fitting process is completed typically after 60–70 iterations and a best spectrum with the result of the fit is shown in Fig. 5, with the optimized parameter sets as a function of temperature and magnetic field shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

The results from our analysis show that λ and ξ are field and temperature independent but the structure of the VL inferred



FIG. 6. Vortex lattice properties in Hg1201 obtained from line shape analysis at various fields as a function of temperature. These are the VL lattice constant, A₀; the lattice obliqueness angle, α ; the coherence length, ξ ; and the penetration depth, λ . The statistical error representative of each data set is shown as a single error bar. Within statistical error there is no discernible field and temperature dependence of the penetration depth and coherence length. The lowfield data from H = 6.4 T was obtained from vortex fitting of the central transition, (1/2, -1/2), spectra from the planar ⁶³Cu site (6 T). The remainder of the data was obtained from planar ¹⁷O at the (3/2, 1/2) transition.

from α and η suggests a field-induced structural transition for *H* between 10 T and 20 T, from an oblique lattice with $\alpha = 73 \pm 7^{\circ}$ to a triangular lattice with $\alpha = 60 \pm 5^{\circ}$, Fig. 7.

A SANS experiment with Y123 revealed a low-field firstorder structural transition, from a triangular lattice below 6.5 T to an oblique lattice at 10.8 T [47–49]. This transition reflects the fourfold symmetry $d_{x^2-y^2}$ of the superconducting order parameter [50]. For Hg1201 the stable VL at low fields, below



FIG. 7. The result of the vortex analysis for the vortex structure parameters averaged over temperature at each field as a function of field. The decreasing trend in the VL spacing, A_0 , mostly reflects the increasing vortex density as the external field is increased. It is also affected by the VL angle as shown in Eq. (2). The lattice obliqueness, α , indicates a vortex structural transition from an oblique to a triangular lattice near 15 T.

0.4 T, is triangular [11]. Consequently, for consistency with the present experiment there must be a transition or crossover in Hg1201 to an oblique structure for magnetic fields between 0.4 and 6.4 T.

There is no other experimental evidence for a high-field vortex transition back to a triangular structure. In theoretical work with more detailed treatment of the *d*-wave order parameter in the vortex state [51], it was shown that anisotropic *d*-wave superconductors could undergo a secondary transition due to a mixed pairing state in high fields. Field dependence of the free energies of multiple vortex configurations was also calculated by Ichioka *et al.* [50], showing that the square VL is the stable configuration at high magnetic fields for a pure *d*-wave state, although the difference in free energy between square and triangular goes toward zero approaching the upper critical field.

In principle, an alternative explanation for our NMR line shape measurements could be c-axis disorder of the VL onsetting at high magnetic field. However, the signature of this type of disorder, as for example reported for Bi2212 by Mounce *et al.* [52], is a decrease in the linewidth with increasing magnetic field, which we do not observe in Hg1201 [13].

V. CONCLUSION

The single-layer Hg1201 cuprate compound offers an attractive platform to investigate vortex structure and dynamics using 17 O NMR. This material is available in single crystals that can be tuned from underdoped to overdoped where the

- [1] A. A. Abrikosov, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 2, 199 (1957).
- [2] A. M. Mounce, S. Oh, and W. P. Halperin, Front. Phys. 6, 450 (2012).
- [3] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1973 (1988).
- [4] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1106 (1989).
- [5] W. A. Al-Saidi and D. Stroud, Phys. Rev. B 68, 144511 (2003).
- [6] W. K. Kwok, J. Fendrich, S. Fleshler, U. Welp, J. Downey, and G. W. Crabtree, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1092 (1994).
- [7] L. I. Glazman and A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. B 43, 2835 (1991).
- [8] J. E. Hoffman, Science 295, 466 (2002).
- [9] W. D. Wise, M. C. Boyer, K. Chatterjee, T. Kondo, T. Takeuchi, H. Ikuta, Y. Wang, and E. W. Hudson, Nat. Phys. 4, 5 (2008).
- [10] A. M. Mounce, S. Oh, S. Mukhopadhyay, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. L. Kuhns, K. Fujita, M. Ishikado, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 057003 (2011).
- [11] Y. Li, N. Egetenmeyer, J. L. Gavilano, N. Barišić, and M. Greven, Phys. Rev. B 83, 054507 (2011).
- [12] A. Mounce, Ph.D. thesis, Northwestern University, 2013.
- [13] J. A. Lee, Y. Xin, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. L. Kuhns, and M. K. Chan, arXiv:1603.08839v1.
- [14] A. Yamamoto, W.-Z. Hu, and S. Tajima, Phys. Rev. B 63, 024504 (2000).
- [15] J. Haase, D. Rybicki, C. P. Slichter, M. Greven, G. Yu, Y. Li, and X. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B 85, 104517 (2012).
- [16] H. N. Bachman, A. P. Reyes, V. F. Mitrovic, W. P. Halperin, A. Kleinhammes, P. Kuhns, and W. G. Moulton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1726 (1998).

dopant oxygen is located in the HgO plane, far from the apical and planar oxygen sites in the crystal. The NMR spectra are narrow, and in the case of the apical quadrupolar satellites, immune from overlap with other resonances, and relatively immune from hyperfine coupling to the electronic structure in the CuO₂ plane and electronic disorder and charge order modulations. Using the apical oxygen quadrupolar satellite resonance we have determined a magnetic-field-independent melting behavior of vortices up to high magnetic field, 30 T, and show that the vortex interactions deviate substantially from two dimensionality, different from Bi2212 but similar to Y123 compounds. Our analysis of the vortex structure in Hg1201 indicates a vortex lattice transition from oblique at low magnetic fields, ~6 T, to triangular at high fields above 15 T.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge contributions from Andrew Mounce, discussions with Vesna Mitrovic, and we thank Martin Greven for supplying the unprocessed Hg1201 crystals. Research was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Awards DE-FG02-05ER46248 (Northwestern University). M.K.C. is supported by funds from the US Department of Energy BES Grant No. LANLF100. A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is supported by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1157490 and the State of Florida.

- [17] B. J. Suh, F. Borsa, J. Sok, D. R. Torgeson, M. Corti, A. Rigamonti, and Q. Xiong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1928 (1996).
- [18] B. J. Suh, F. Borsa, J. Sok, D. R. Torgeson, M. Xu, Q. Xiong, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B 54, 545 (1996).
- [19] B. Chen, W. P. Halperin, P. Guptasarma, D. G. Hinks, V. F. Mitrovic, A. P. Reyes, and P. L. Kuhns, Nature Phys. 3, 239 (2007).
- [20] E. Brandt, Physica C 162–164, 1167 (1989).
- [21] V. Vinokur, B. Khaykovich, E. Zeldov, M. Konczykowski, R. A. Doyle, and P. H. Kes, Physica C 295, 209 (1998).
- [22] A. E. Koshelev (private communication).
- [23] V. G. Kogan, M. Ledvij, A. Y. Simonov, J. H. Cho, and D. C. Johnston, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1870 (1993).
- [24] R. Prozorov, R. W. Giannetta, A. Carrington, P. Fournier, R. L. Greene, P. Guptasarma, D. G. Hinks, and A. R. Banks, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 4202 (2000).
- [25] C. Panagopoulos, J. R. Cooper, and T. Xiang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2320 (1997).
- [26] P. Zimmermann, H. Keller, S. L. Lee, I. M. Savić, M. Warden, D. Zech, R. Cubitt, E. M. Forgan, E. Kaldis, J. Karpinski, and C. Krüger, Phys. Rev. B 52, 541 (1995).
- [27] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3213 (1991).
- [28] B. Janossy, H. Gu, R. Cabanel, and L. Fruchter, Physica C 193, 344 (1992).
- [29] T. Chien, W. Datars, B. Veal, A. Paulikas, P. Kostic, C. Gu, and Y. Jiang, Physica C 229, 273 (1994).

- [30] A. Schilling, R. A. Fisher, N. E. Phillips, U. Welp, D. Dasgupta, W. K. Kwok, and G. W. Crabtree, Nature (London) 382, 791 (1996).
- [31] M. Roulin, A. Junod, and E. Walker, Physica C 296, 137 (1998).
- [32] J. E. Sonier, J. H. Brewer, R. F. Kiefl, D. A. Bonn, J. Chakhalian, S. R. Dunsiger, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, W. A. MacFarlane, R. I. Miller, D. R. Noakes, T. M. Riseman, and C. E. Stronach, Phys. Rev. B 61, R890 (2000).
- [33] A. Schilling, U. Welp, W. K. Kwok, and G. W. Crabtree, Phys. Rev. B 65, 054505 (2002).
- [34] S. L. Lee, M. Warden, H. Keller, J. W. Schneider, D. Zech, P. Zimmermann, R. Cubitt, E. M. Forgan, M. T. Wylie, P. H. Kes, T. W. Li, A. A. Menovsky, and Z. Tarnawski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 922 (1995).
- [35] S. L. Lee, P. Zimmermann, H. Keller, M. Warden, I. M. Savić, R. Schauwecker, D. Zech, R. Cubitt, E. M. Forgan, P. H. Kes, T. W. Li, A. A. Menovsky, and Z. Tarnawski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3862 (1993).
- [36] T. Jacobs, S. Sridhar, Q. Li, G. D. Gu, and N. Koshizuka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4516 (1995).
- [37] C. Bernhard, C. Wenger, C. Niedermayer, D. M. Pooke, J. L. Tallon, Y. Kotaka, J. Shimoyama, K. Kishio, D. R. Noakes, C. E. Stronach, T. Sembiring, and E. J. Ansaldo, Phys. Rev. B 52, R7050 (1995).
- [38] S. Colson, M. Konczykowski, M. B. Gaifullin, Y. Matsuda, P. Gierłowski, M. Li, P. H. Kes, and C. J. van der Beek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 137002 (2003).
- [39] B. Chen, Ph.D. thesis, Northwestern University, 2007.
- [40] E. Giannini, R. Gladyshevskii, N. Clayton, N. Musolino, V. Garnier, A. Piriou, and R. Flükiger, Curr. Appl. Phys. 8, 115 (2008).

- [41] G. Le Bras, J. Hammann, A. Bertinotti, D. Colson, A. Forget, J. F. Marucco, and V. Viallet, Czech. J. Phys. 46, 1769 (1996).
- [42] J. Hofer, J. Karpinski, M. Willemin, G. Meijer, E. Kopnin, R. Molinski, H. Schwer, C. Rossel, and H. Keller, Physica C 297, 103 (1998).
- [43] D. D. Xia, G. Yu, X. Zhao, B. Li, X. Liu, L. Ji, M. K. Chan, and M. Greven, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 25, 115010 (2012).
- [44] M. R. Eskildsen, M. Kugler, S. Tanaka, J. Jun, S. M. Kazakov, J. Karpinski, and Ø. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 187003 (2002).
- [45] V. F. Mitrović, E. E. Sigmund, M. Eschrig, H. N. Bachman, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. Kuhns, and W. G. Moulton, Nature (London) 413, 501 (2001).
- [46] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2208 (1997).
- [47] B. Keimer, W. Shih, R. Erwin, and J. Lynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3459 (1994).
- [48] I. Maggio-Aprile, C. Renner, A. Erb, E. Walker, and Ø. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2754 (1995).
- [49] J. S. White, V. Hinkov, R. W. Heslop, R. J. Lycett, E. M. Forgan, C. Bowell, S. Strässle, A. B. Abrahamsen, M. Laver, C. D. Dewhurst, J. Kohlbrecher, J. L. Gavilano, J. Mesot, B. Keimer, and A. Erb, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 097001 (2009).
- [50] M. Ichioka, A. Hasegawa, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8902 (1999).
- [51] A. J. Berlinsky, A. L. Fetter, M. Franz, C. Kallin, and P. I. Soininen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2200 (1995).
- [52] A. M. Mounce, S. Oh, S. Mukhopadhyay, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. L. Kuhns, K. Fujita, M. Ishikado, and S. Uchida, Nat. Phys. 7, 125 (2011).