Elastic and piezoresistive properties of nickel carbides from first principles

Jeffrey Kelling,^{1,2,3,*} Peter Zahn,^{1,3,4} Jörg Schuster,^{3,4,5,6} and Sibylle Gemming^{1,2,3,4,6,†}

¹*Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf, Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Research,*

Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany

²*Institute of Physics, TU Chemnitz, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany*

³*Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf, International Helmholtz Research School for Nanoelectronic Networks (IHRS NanoNet),*

Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany

⁴*Dresden Center for Computational Materials Science (DCMS), TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany*

⁵*Fraunhofer Institute for Electronic Nano Systems (ENAS), Technologie-Campus 3, 09126 Chemnitz, Germany*

⁶*Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden (cfaed), TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany*

(Received 13 April 2016; revised manuscript received 7 November 2016; published 26 January 2017)

The nickel-carbon system has received increased attention over the past years due to the relevance of nickel as a catalyst for carbon nanotube and graphene growth, where nickel carbide intermediates may be involved or carbide interface layers form in the end. Nickel-carbon composite thin films comprising $Ni₃C$ are especially interesting in mechanical sensing applications. Due to the metastability of nickel carbides, formation conditions and the coupling between mechanical and electrical properties are not yet well understood. Using first-principles electronic structure methods, we calculated the elastic properties of $Ni₃C$, $Ni₂C$, and NiC, as well as changes in electronic properties under mechanical strain. We observe that the electronic density of states around the Fermi level does not change under the considered strains of up to 1%, which correspond to stresses up to 3 GPa. Relative changes in conductivity of $Ni₃C$ range up to maximum values of about 10%.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.95.024113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.024113)

I. INTRODUCTION

Nickel-carbon compounds and composite thin films containing amorphous carbon are of high interest for various applications. Thin films have been investigated for their piezoresistive properties [\[1\]](#page-4-0) and as low friction solid lubricants $[2]$. The metastable Ni₂C has been frequently observed in such films [\[3](#page-4-0)[–5\]](#page-5-0) and was suggested to cause piezoresistive behavior [\[1\]](#page-4-0). This carbide has been reported to be hard to distinguish from hcp nickel, where a study [\[5\]](#page-5-0) suggests that hcp nickel is only stable in the presence of carbon and with some carbon content. A metastudy on this subject can be found in Ref. [\[4\]](#page-5-0). A recent study [\[6\]](#page-5-0) confirmed that $Ni₃C$ in such films only decomposes at temperatures well above 250° C.

The nickel-carbon system is also of interest for the catalytic production of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene. CNT growth was achieved both using nickel nanoparticles as a catalyst [\[7,8\]](#page-5-0) and on carbon-nickel nanocomposite thin films [\[9\]](#page-5-0). While studies suggest that carbides do not form during CNT growth from Ni nanoparticles $[10]$, Ni₃C has been observed in nanoparticles after CNT growth by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition was stopped [\[11\]](#page-5-0). A more recent study $[12]$ confirmed that $Ni/Ni₃C$ core-shell structures can indeed be produced. In such a setup, the carbide could act as an advanced contact material for CNT junctions with properties similar to those demonstrated for $Mo_{2}C$ [\[13\]](#page-5-0). The advantage would be that the $Ni₃C-CNT$ unit can be grown bottom-up. $Ni₃C$ does also occur as a parasitic by-product of carbon nanofiber growth on nickel foam [\[14\]](#page-5-0).

Graphene [\[15\]](#page-5-0) and graphene-type interfacial layers [\[16\]](#page-5-0) can be produced by metal-induced crystallization and layer

2469-9950/2017/95(2)/024113(7) 024113-1 ©2017 American Physical Society

inversion as well as by epitaxial growth on transition metals, such as nickel [\[17\]](#page-5-0). In the latter case, one study [\[18\]](#page-5-0) excluded the occurrence of crystalline $Ni₃C$ on a polycrystalline Ni surface by XRD measurements. Others observed an interface layer between {111} nickel and graphene with the stoichiometry Ni₂C by Auger spectroscopy $[19,20]$. In both cases, mechanical details, especially of carbide intermediates, require further study.

The stability of a range of nickel carbides has been investigated by density functional calculations [\[21\]](#page-5-0), yet neglecting the influence of elastic deformations which we address here. The study confirmed that, without externally induced strains, $Ni₃C$ in space group 167 structure, Fig. $1(c)$, is the least unstable carbide and suggests that $Ni₂C$ is most stable in orthorhombic structures of space groups 058 (*Pnnm*) and 060 (*Pbcn*); see Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(b)$.

All in all, especially the phase $Ni₃C$ has potential technical applications in heterojunctions consisting of nickel and carbon allotropes, including (CNTs), acting as electrical circuit elements, for example piezoresistive sensors [\[22\]](#page-5-0). In these applications, the mechanical and piezoresistive properties of a potential carbide layer between nickel and the carbon structure can become relevant when the device is being strained during operation or when the layer is under constant epitaxial stress which may be caused the large surface tension of nickel [\[23\]](#page-5-0).

The present work focuses on investigating the elastic properties of the three nickel carbides NiC, Ni₂C, and Ni₃C in their most stable crystallographic structures. Ground state properties of the carbides are compared in Sec. [III A;](#page-2-0) the obtained elastic properties are discussed in Sec. [III B.](#page-3-0) For the experimentally most relevant carbide, $Ni₃C$, the influence of strain on the electronic transport properties is discussed in Sec. [III C.](#page-4-0)

^{*}j.kelling@hzdr.de

[†] s.gemming@hzdr.de

FIG. 1. Overview of the crystal structures used in this work for $Ni₂C$ of space groups 058 [(a), two f.u.] and 060 [(b), four f.u.], both orthorhombic, as well as of $Ni₃C$ [(c), space group 167, six f.u.]. The latter is displayed in a hexagonal unit cell for clarity, where the angle enclosed by the a edges in the basal plane is equal to 120° . A primitive rhombohedral cell of only one-third the size exists which was used for calculations. The gray balls represent carbon and the red ones represent nickel. The unit vector \mathbf{e}_x is always parallel to *a* and **e***^z* is parallel to *c*.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

A. Electronic structure calculations

NiC was calculated in rocksalt (B1) structure, for $Ni₂C$ the structures proposed by Gibson *et al.* [\[21\]](#page-5-0) were used, and for $Ni₃C$ the rhombohedral (bainite, space group 167) structure, which was experimentally found by Nagakura [\[24\]](#page-5-0), was assumed [see Fig. $1(c)$].

All results presented here were obtained applying (DGT), in the (GGA) in the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization [\[25\]](#page-5-0) as the exchange-correlation functional, which is known to give good results for bulk mechanical properties when comparing to experiments [\[26\]](#page-5-0). The plane-wave implementation in the ABINIT package [\[27–29\]](#page-5-0) was used, employing the (PAW) method [\[30\]](#page-5-0). The PAW atomic data sets treat $3d^8 4s^2$ and $2s^2 2p^2$ as valence for nickel [\[31\]](#page-5-0) and carbon [\[32\]](#page-6-0), respectively.

For numerical accuracy, the plane-wave cutoff was converged to $E_{\text{cut}} \sim 980 \text{ eV}$ (=36 Ha). Only, for calculations of fcc nickel, the cutoff was set to about 1360 eV (50 Ha) in order to reach a convergence of total energy below about $2.7 \text{ meV}(1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Ha})$ per atom. At this point energy differences under strain are converged to below about 0.27μ eV(1×10⁻⁸ Ha), which is far more accurate than required for structural relaxation and the calculation of elastic properties. The stronger total energy criterion was chosen with regard to calculating formation enthalpies.

When calculating ground state properties of carbides the Brillouin zone was sampled with a Monkhorst-Pack grid of $12 \times 12 \times 12$ *k* points. Thermal smearing of Fermi-Dirac type [\[33\]](#page-6-0) was fixed to about $27 \text{ meV} (1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Ha})$. Since the unit cells of nickel and diamond are smaller, denser grids of $32 \times 32 \times 32$ and $16 \times 16 \times 16$ *k* points, respectively, were required in order to get comparable sampling accuracy.

The ground state formation energies per f.u. for the carbides were calculated according to

$$
\Delta E_f = E_{\text{Ni}_x\text{C}_y} - x E_{\text{fcc Ni}} - y (E_{\text{diamond}} - 25 \,\text{meV}), \quad (1)
$$

where E_i is the total energy of compound i . Diamond was calculated as the carbon reference structure instead of graphite because the employed method is not capable of correctly calculating van der Vaals interactions. An empiric correction of $\Delta E_C = 25$ meV per carbon atom, also used in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0), was applied to obtain formation energies with respect to graphite.

B. Frozen phonon calculations

Within the linear regime, elastic properties can be described by the elastic tensor \hat{C} , which gives the stress response $\hat{\sigma}$ of a material proportional to a deformation *e*ˆ:

$$
\sigma_i = \sum_j c_{ij} \cdot e_j. \tag{2}
$$

Here, Voigt's notation is used to write the stress and deformation tensors as six-vectors (11 \rightarrow 1; 22 \rightarrow 2; 33 \rightarrow 3; $23 \rightarrow 4$; $13 \rightarrow 5$; $12 \rightarrow 6$), with entries corresponding to three axial strains $(1-3)$ and shear strains $(4-6)$. In this way the elastic tensor can be written as a 6×6 matrix from which all elastic properties can be derived. The bulk modulus is given by

$$
B = \frac{1}{3}(\langle c_{11} \rangle + 2\langle c_{12} \rangle),\tag{3}
$$

where $\langle c_{11} \rangle$ denotes an average over the diagonal axial strain entries and $\langle c_{12} \rangle$ an average over the off-diagonal axial strain entries.

The entries of the elastic tensor were calculated using the (FP) method, where the stress response was derived from ground state calculations of the deformed primitive cell. A more detailed explanation can be found in [\[34\]](#page-6-0). The six primitive deformations were applied separately with magnitudes ranging up to 1%. All elastic constants were then determined using Eq. (2). The diagonal entries of the tensor can also be determined from the total energies of the same calculations:

$$
E_{\delta} = E_0 + \frac{V_0}{2} \sum_{i} c_{ii} \cdot e_i^2, \tag{4}
$$

where E_0 and V_0 are the total energy and volume of the unstrained cell. The calculated tensors were checked for consistency by comparing the results of Eqs. (2) and (4). The calculation parameters were converged until the difference between the diagonal tensor elements from both equations was less than 2GPa. This criterion called for using a $48\times48\times48$ *k*-point grid for the deformed cell of NiC; for the other materials it was met by using the aforementioned simulation parameters.

If the material's unit cell exhibits internal degrees of freedom, performing a ground state calculation of the deformed cell without relaxation of the ion positions yields entries of the so-called *clamped-ion* elastic tensor \hat{C}^c . To obtain the more physical *relaxed-ion* elastic tensor \hat{C}^r , the internal atomic coordinates were relaxed using the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm as implemented in ABINIT until all forces were below 5×10[−]4eV*/*A. ˚

C. Electronic transport

Electronic transport was calculated assuming constant relaxation time *τ* within the Boltzmann formalism where the conductivity tensor at zero temperature is given as [\[35\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\varsigma_{ij} = \tau \frac{e^2}{(2\pi)^3 \hbar} \sum_n \int_{\varepsilon^n(\mathbf{k}) = E_{\text{Fermi}}} dS \frac{v_i^n(\mathbf{k}) v_j^n(\mathbf{k})}{|\mathbf{v}^n(\mathbf{k})|}
$$

with $\mathbf{v}^n(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon^n(\mathbf{k}),$ (5)

where ε^n is the eigenenergy of the *n*th band and $\mathbf{v}^n(\mathbf{k})$ the vector of the corresponding group velocity. *e* denotes the electron charge and *i,j* denote Cartesian vector components.

Off-diagonal elements of ζ_{ij} are zero by symmetry. For the relaxation time *τ* no specific value is assumed, though it might be anisotropic ($\tau_{zz} \neq \tau_{xx} = \tau_{yy}$) in the case of Ni₃C due to its rhombohedral structure [\[36\]](#page-6-0). The integrals on the right-hand side of Eq. (5) reflect the anisotropy of the band structure of the unperturbed, but eventually strained, systems at the Fermi level. Assuming that *τ* remains constant under strain in the linear regime, since no new scattering centers are created, predictions can be made about the change of conductivity under strain.

For strained cells, the band structure with relaxed ion positions was used as basis for these calculations.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Ground state results

The lattice parameters of the investigated materials are available in the literature, some even from experiments. The lattice constant calculated for fcc nickel in the present work $(a_{\text{Ni}} = 3.524 \text{ Å})$ agrees very well with values found in the literature [\[21,](#page-5-0)[37\]](#page-6-0). The obtained lattice parameter for diamond $(a_{\text{diamond}} = 3.577 \text{ Å})$ is only slightly larger than the experimental value of 3.567 Å [[38\]](#page-6-0). Lattice parameters obtained for the carbides as well as formation enthalpies will be given for comparison, the latter with respect to fcc Ni and graphite.

(a) NiC. Assuming rocksalt structure, the lattice parameter $a_{\text{NiC}} = 4.073 \text{ Å}$ was obtained, which is in good agreement with Ref. $[21]$ (4.077 Å) and other numerical studies cited therein. The calculated formation enthalpy of $\Delta E_{f,NiC} = 49.7 \text{ kcal/mol}$ of f.u. also agrees with Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0) (48.6 kcal*/*mol).

(b) Ni_{2} C. The calculated values for the two investigated structures are summarized in Table I. The lattice parameters are in excellent agreement with Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0). Only, the formation enthalpies stated therein disagree with the present results (see Table I, values in parentheses). However, there is agreement on

TABLE I. Lattice parameters, formation enthalpies, and total energies for the two considered orthorhombic structures of $Ni₂C$. Length values are given in \AA , formation enthalpies in kcal/mol of f.u. Values from Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0) are given in parentheses.

	Ni ₂ C (058)	Ni ₂ C (060)
a	4.72(4.72)	4.19(4.19)
\boldsymbol{b}	4.19(4.17)	5.51(5.51)
\mathcal{C}_{0}^{2}	2.93(2.92)	4.94(4.94)
ΔE_f	12.2(7.9)	12.0(7.9)

the prediction that both structures are essentially degenerate, with the variant of space group 060 being less than 5 meV lower in total energy.

(c) Ni₂C. The obtained lattice parameters $a = 4.60 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 13.00 \text{ Å}$ are in good agreement with Ref. [[21\]](#page-5-0) $(a = 4.49 \text{ Å},$ $c = 13.02 \text{ Å}$) and electron diffraction measurements [[39\]](#page-6-0) $(a = 4.553 \text{ Å}, c = 12.92 \text{ Å}).$ A formation enthalpy of $\Delta E_{f,Ni_3C} = 6.3 \text{ kcal/mol}$ was obtained, which is identical to the value reported in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0) and reflects the thermal decomposition observed in [\[40\]](#page-6-0).

For the relaxed, strain-free geometries, all carbides of nickel investigated here are metastable at $T = 0K$, nonmagnetic, and metallic as observed previously $[21]$. Ni₂C exhibits a very low (DOS) around the Fermi energy. The DOS for the investigated carbides and the reference phases are plotted in Fig. 2.

In all carbides the C 2*s* band is located below the conduction band. It is shifted to lower energies (shifted left in Fig. 2) for $Ni₂C$ and $Ni₃C$ in comparison to the carbide with higher carbon content, NiC, indicating that a deeper potential well for electrons is provided by the carbon atoms. They are also more strongly negatively charged than in NiC. The Ni 3*d* states are located below the Fermi energy above about -5 eV for Ni₂C and $Ni₃C$; for NiC they are spread over a broader energy range, starting at around −6 eV. The Ni 3*d* orbitals do contribute to the DOS at the Fermi level, but much less than in fcc nickel, where the 3*d* DOS of the minority spin peaks at the Fermi

FIG. 2. Total and projected DOS of carbides compared to those of the reference phases. For display, Gaussian smearing of about 270 meV(1×10[−]² Ha) was applied. Atomic orbitals are indicated as obtained from calculations of projected DOS; filled areas under curves indicate the fraction of DOS attributed to C sites. The arrows indicate the bonding band with C 2*p* and hybrid Ni states.

TABLE II. Calculated elastic constants *cij* and bulk modulus *B* for the considered carbide phases and the reference phases diamond and fcc nickel in GPa. For phases with atomic degrees of freedom on the unit cell (Ni_2C, Ni_3C) both clamped-ion and relaxed-ion results are listed. All omitted entries are given by or are zero by symmetry. For space group 167 (Ni₃C) the following relation holds: $c_{56} = c_{14} = -c_{24}$.

	c_{11}	c_{22}	C_{33}	c_{12}	c_{13}	c_{23}	C_{44}	c_{55}	c_{66}	c_{14}	B
diamond	1049			129			564				435
NiC	296			231			50				256
$Ni2C$ (058), clamped	316	262	378	218	175	193	116	91	145		236
$Ni2C$ (058), relaxed	307	234	344	203	160	163	88	87	145		215
$Ni2C$ (060), clamped	279	343	359	213	203	171	90	125	135		239
$Ni2C$ (060), relaxed	251	333	335	205	186	163	78	91	113		225
$Ni3C$ clamped	321		309	176	184		116		72	-11	227
$Ni3C$ relaxed	272		276	157	150		91		57	-22	219
fcc Ni	266			156			129				192

level. Ni 4*s* and C 2*p* states also contribute to the DOS at the Fermi level. The part of the conduction band below about −5 eV is predominately composed of C 2*p* states hybridizing with Ni states; see arrows in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0)

B. Elastic constants

As a reference, the elastic tensors of fcc Ni and diamond were calculated and the nonzero, not symmetrically equivalent elements are provided in Table II. The calculated bulk modulus for diamond is identical to earlier theoretical works [\[41\]](#page-6-0) and also the tensor components agree with earlier literature data [\[42\]](#page-6-0). The bulk modulus for Ni is within about 10GPa of experimental results [\[43\]](#page-6-0). This deviation is predominantly attributed to the approximations involved in DFT. The following predictions for the elastic properties of nickel carbides can be expected to have about the same accuracy.

All carbides exhibit a larger bulk modulus than nickel and a much lower one than diamond, as apparent from the last column of Table II. Being the carbide with the highest carbon content, NiC shows the largest bulk modulus of the carbides. Evidently, the bulk modulus increases with increasing carbon content; that is, the substances become harder. Table II lists all calculated nonzero and not symmetrically equivalent elastic constants. The carbides $Ni₂C$ and $Ni₃C$ exhibit less symmetric unit cells, resulting in more independent entries in the elastic tensor.

(a) Ni₂C. Both investigated hypothetical forms of Ni₂C are predicted to be equally hard and even show quite similar anisotropies, probably due to the fact that both are orthorhombic. The elastic properties of the sample should not depend on the relative prevalence of these two phases. Still, judging by the elastic tensors, deforming one cell into the equilibrium shape of the other and allowing the atoms to rearrange into the other structure by relaxation requires overcoming a large potential barrier [\[44\]](#page-6-0). Thus, even under stress, both structures can be expected to coexist in one sample.

(b) $Ni₃C$. Judging by the obtained bulk moduli, a macroscopically isotropic polycrystalline sample of $Ni₃C$ is predicted to be about as hard as the less stable Ni₂C. Even for the most extreme simulated deformations of 1% stresses were found to be still in the linear regime. Using the

calculated value for c_{11}^r , a compression in the e_1 direction of this magnitude corresponds to applying a pressure of about 2*.*7 GPa, which by far exceeds pressures achievable in most experiments.

Investigating the DOS and band structure of deformed cells, no qualitative difference with respect to that obtained for the equilibrium geometry was found [\[44\]](#page-6-0). For purely axial strains and compressions [i.e., e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 ; see Fig. 3(b)] bands move slightly closer to the Fermi level under strain and farther away

FIG. 3. Band structure of equilibrium $Ni₃C$ for a large energy range (a) and for a small interval around E_{Fermi} in comparison with 1% uniaxially distorted cells [(b) and (c)]. The displayed deformation directions are the ones corresponding to the largest strain (b) and shear (c) components of the relaxed elastic tensor. Bands of positively deformed cells are plotted in red dash-dotted lines, negative deformations in blue dashed lines.

TABLE III. Relative changes in transport properties of $Ni₃C$ under strain in the $\mathbf{e}_1(x)$ and $\mathbf{e}_3(z)$ directions, respectively. Within the accuracy of the calculations, transport coefficients in x and y directions are affected equally by the considered strain values.

strain	$\Delta \zeta_{xx}/\zeta_{xx}$	Δ ζ _{zz} $/\zeta$ _{zz}	$\Delta v_x/v_x$	$\Delta v_z/v_z$	
$e_1 = +1\%$	-3%	θ	-2%	$-0.4%$	
$e_1 = -1\%$	$+3\%$	θ	$+2\%$	$+0.6%$	
$e_3 = +1\%$	$+4\%$	$+10\%$	$+1\%$	$+4\%$	

under compression. This can be attributed to changing overlaps between atomic orbitals. This difference is marginal close to and at the Fermi level, the region most relevant to transport properties. For pure shear deformations no significant changes are observed; see Fig. $3(c)$ $3(c)$. Band structures shown in Fig. 3 for deformed cells use relaxed ion positions. Clamped cells show qualitatively identical changes; only the shift of bands for strains and compressions is larger.

C. Electronic transport under strain

A closer analysis of the $Ni₃C$ band structure yields a density of states of 2*.*83 eV[−]¹ per f.u. and anisotropic averaged Fermi velocities of $v_x = v_y = 0.90 \times 10^6$ m/s and $v_z = 1.10 \times 10^6$ m/s. This results in an in-plane/out-of-plane conductivity anisotropy of about 0.67.

The respective effect of axial strains in the *x* and *z* directions (**e1** and **e3**) on electronic transport was investigated. For strains up to $\pm 1\%$ the DOS remains unaffected within the precision of the calculation. Table III summarizes relative changes under strain in both conductance and Fermi velocities. The strongest changes in conductance can be observed for strains along **e**3, where the parallel conductance in the *z* direction changes by 10%; the anisotropy increases slightly. For small strains along e_1 the in-plane isotropy (xx, yy) is unaffected, while the inplane/out-of-plane anisotropy changes slightly.

Computational and analytical investigations of the piezoresistivity of semiconducting (CNTs) suggest an increase in resistance of well above 50% under longitudinal strains of about 0.3% [\[45,46\]](#page-6-0). To exert the required stress on a $Ni₃C$ contacted CNT, the contact material would be strained by about 1%, given the ratio of the established Young's modulus of (CNTs) of about 1000 GPa [\[47\]](#page-6-0) and the elastic coefficients we obtained for axial strains in $Ni₃C$. This would cause a change in conductivity of 3% to 10% in the contact material, which is significantly smaller than the effect observed in the CNT. Thus, the piezoresistive properties of a device with Ni-contacted (CNTs) as functional structure are dominated by the electronic response of the (CNTs) to mechanical deformations.

For isotropic compression of a polycrystalline sample, linear combination of the axial effects suggests a reduction of conductivity. This is mostly a result of the relatively large decrease in conductivity by axial compression in the *z* direction.

The piezoresistive effect observed by Uhlig *et al.* in $Ni₃C$ containing nickel-carbon thin films [1,3] under hydrostatic pressure is opposite to this prediction for bulk $Ni₃C$ based on our calculations. Thus our study excludes the possibility that these observations are dominated by bulk effects in $Ni₃C$ grains. One may speculate that they emerge from effects in nickel grains, since nickel itself is known to show piezoresistive effects [\[48,49\]](#page-6-0). Effects at interfaces in the nickel-carbon mixture may also play a role.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The complete sets of elastic constants of nickel carbides have been calculated in a way that they can be expected to be within 10 GPa of experimental values. The electronic structure and electronic transport properties of bulk $Ni₃C$ under stress have been investigated. Assuming a constant relaxation time τ , changes in conductivity not exceeding about 4% in-plane and about 10% out-of-plane for stresses below 3*GPa* are predicted. As a contact material in sensing applications these changes are of minor significance. These results also show that $Ni₃C$ does not contribute significantly to the piezoresistive effects observed in nickel-carbon thin films by Uhlig and coworkers [1].

For the formation enthalpy of both $Ni₂C$ variants, the absolute values obtained here differ quantitatively from those by Gibson *et al.* [\[21\]](#page-5-0), but qualitatively both studies agree on the relative ordering with respect to the other carbide phases. On the enthalpy difference between the ground state $Ni₂C$ variants the agreement is excellent. The studies also agree on the formation enthalpies of the other carbides.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Robert Wenisch for fruitful discussions. This work has been partially financed by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the German Helmholtz Association via the International Helmholtz Research School NanoNet (VH-KO-606) and the Helmholtz W2/W3 Programm für exzellente Wissenschaftlerinnen (W2/W3-026). We gratefully acknowledge partial funding by the DFG via Research Unit FOR1713 (SMINT) and the DFG, Excellence Initiative, Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden (cfaed), EXC 1056. We thank the HZDR computing center for provided computational resources.

- [1] Steffen Uhlig, Hanna Schmid-Engel, Tobias Speicher, and Günter Schultes, Pressure sensitivity of piezoresistive nickelcarbon Ni:a-C:H thin films, [Sens. Actuators A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2012.12.027) **[193](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2012.12.027)**, [129](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2012.12.027) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2012.12.027).
- [2] Zachary L. Schaefer, Kaitlyn M. Weeber, Rajiv Misra, Peter Schiffer, and Raymond E. Schaak, Bridging hcp Ni and Ni₃C via

a Ni3C1[−]*^x* solid solution: Tunable composition and magnetism in colloidal nickel carbide nanoparticles, [Chem. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm200410s) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm200410s)**, [2475](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm200410s) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm200410s).

[3] Steffen Uhlig, Rudolf Struis, Hanna Schmid-Engel, Jochen Bock, Anne-Catherine Probst, Olivia Freitag-Weber, Ivo Zizak,

Roman Chernikov, and Gunter Schultes, Piezoresistive Ni:a-C:H ¨ thin films containing hcp Ni or $Ni₃C$ investigated by XRD, EXAFS, and wavelet analysis, [Diam. Relat. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2013.01.013) **[34](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2013.01.013)**, [25](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2013.01.013) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2013.01.013).

- [4] Lin He, Hexagonal close-packed nickel or $Ni₃C$? J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **[322](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2010.01.020)**, [1991](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2010.01.020) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2010.01.020).
- [5] Andrej Furlan, Jun Lu, Lars Hultman, Ulf Jansson, and Martin Magnuson, Crystallization characteristics and chemical bonding [properties of nickel carbide thin film nanocomposites,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/41/415501) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[26](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/41/415501)**, [415501](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/41/415501) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/41/415501).
- [6] Bernhard C. Bayer, David A. Bosworth, F. Benjamin Michaelis, Raoul Blume, Gerlinde Habler, Rainer Abart, Robert S. Weatherup, Piran R. Kidambi, Jeremy J. Baumberg, Axel Knop-Gericke, Robert Schloegl, Carsten Baehtz, Zoe H. Barber, Jannik C. Meyer, and Stephan Hofmann, *In situ* observations of phase transitions in metastable nickel (carbide)/carbon nanocomposites, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01555) **[120](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01555)**, [22571](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01555) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b01555).
- [7] Shintaro Sato, Akio Kawabata, Mizuhisa Nihei, and Yuji Awano, Growth of diameter-controlled carbon nanotubes using monodisperse nickel nanoparticles obtained with a differential mobility analyzer, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2003.10.076) **[382](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2003.10.076)**, [361](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2003.10.076) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2003.10.076).
- [8] Anders Börjesson and Kim Bolton, First-principles studies of the effect of nickel carbide catalyst composition on carbon nanotube growth, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1045707) **[114](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1045707)**, [18045](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1045707) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1045707).
- [9] Matthias Krause, Miro Haluška, Gintautas Abrasonis, and Sibylle Gemming, SWCNT growth from C:Ni nanocomposites, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200107) **[249](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200107)**, [2357](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200107) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200107).
- [10] Ming Lin, Joyce Pei Ying Tan, Chris Boothroyd, Kian Ping Loh, Eng Soon Tok, and Yong-Lim Foo, Direct observation of single-walled carbon nanotube growth at the atomistic scale, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl052356k) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl052356k)**, [449](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl052356k) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl052356k).
- [11] C. Ducati, I. Alexandrou, M. Chhowalla, J. Robertson, and G. A. J. Amaratunga, The role of the catalytic particle in the growth of carbon nanotubes by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1728293) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1728293)**, [6387](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1728293) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1728293).
- [12] Wei Zhou, Kun Zheng, Lin He, Rongming Wang, Lin Guo, Chinping Chen, Xiaodong Han, and Ze Zhang, $Ni/Ni₃C$ coreshell nanochains and its magnetic properties: One-step synthesis at low temperature, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073291j) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073291j)**, [1147](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073291j) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl073291j).
- [13] Qing Cao, Shu-Jen Han, Jerry Tersoff, Aaron D. Franklin, Yu Zhu, Zhen Zhang, George S. Tulevski, Jianshi Tang, and Wilfried Haensch, End-bonded contacts for carbon nanotube transistors with low, size-independent resistance, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8006) **[350](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8006)**, [68](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8006) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8006).
- [14] James R. McDonough, Jang Wook Choi, Yuan Yang, Fabio La Mantia, Yuegang Zhang, and Yi Cui, Carbon nanofiber supercapacitors with large areal capacitances, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3273864) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3273864)**, [243109](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3273864) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3273864).
- [15] Maxwell Zheng, Kuniharu Takei, Benjamin Hsia, Hui Fang, Xiaobo Zhang, Nicola Ferralis, Hyunhyub Ko, Yu-Lun Chueh, Yuegang Zhang, Roya Maboudian, and Ali Javey, Metalcatalyzed crystallization of amorphous carbon to graphene, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3318263) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3318263)**, [063110](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3318263) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3318263).
- [16] R. Wenisch, R. Hübner, F. Munnik, S. Melkhanova, S. Gemming, G. Abrasonis, and M. Krause, Nickel-enhanced graphitic ordering of carbon ad-atoms during physical vapor deposition, [Carbon](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.085) **[100](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.085)**, [656](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.085) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2015.12.085).
- [17] Samuel Grandthyll, Stefan Gsell, Michael Weinl, Matthias Schreck, Stefan Hüfner, and Frank Müller, Epitaxial growth of graphene on transition metal surfaces: Chemical vapor

[deposition versus liquid phase deposition,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/24/31/314204) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[24](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/24/31/314204)**, [314204](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/24/31/314204) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/24/31/314204).

- [18] Robert S. Weatherup, Bernhard C. Bayer, Raoul Blume, Caterina Ducati, Carsten Baehtz, Robert Schlögl, and Stephan Hofmann, *In situ* characterization of alloy catalysts for low-temperature graphene growth, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl202036y) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl202036y)**, [4154](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl202036y) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl202036y).
- [19] Jayeeta Lahiri, Travis S. Miller, Andrew J. Ross, Lyudmyla Adamska, Ivan I. Oleynik, and Matthias Batzill, Graphene growth and stability at nickel surfaces, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025001) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025001)**, [025001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025001) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/025001).
- [20] Peter Jacobson, Bernhard Stöger, Andreas Garhofer, Gareth S. Parkinson, Michael Schmid, Roman Caudillo, Florian Mittendorfer, Josef Redinger, and Ulrike Diebold, Nickel carbide as a source of grain rotation in epitaxial graphene, [ACS Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn300625y) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn300625y)**, [3564](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn300625y) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nn300625y).
- [21] Josh S. Gibson, Jamal Uddin, Thomas R. Cundari, Nelli K. Bodiford, and Angela K. Wilson, First-principle study of [structure and stability of nickel carbides,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/44/445503) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[22](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/44/445503)**, [445503](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/44/445503) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/44/445503).
- [22] Christian Wagner, Jörg Schuster, and Thomas Gessner, DFT investigations of the piezoresistive effect of carbon nanotubes for sensor application, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200113) **[249](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200113)**, [2450](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200113) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.201200113).
- [23] A. Erbe, W. Jiang, Z. Bao, D. Abusch-Magder, D. M. Tennant, E. Garfunkel, and N. Zhitenev, Nanoscale patterning in application to materials and device structures, [J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.2130353) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.2130353)**, [3132](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.2130353) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.2130353).
- [24] Sigemaro Nagakura, Study of metallic carbides by electron diffraction. Part I. Formation and decomposition of nickel carbide, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.482) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.482)**, [482](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.482) [\(1957\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.482).
- [25] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865)**, [3865](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865).
- [26] Stefan Kurth, John P. Perdew, and Peter Blaha, Molecular and solid-state tests of density functional approximations: LSD, GGAs, and meta-GGAs, [Int. J. Quantum Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1999)75:4/5%3C889::AID-QUA54%3E3.0.CO;2-8) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1999)75:4/5%3C889::AID-QUA54%3E3.0.CO;2-8)**, [889](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1999)75:4/5%3C889::AID-QUA54%3E3.0.CO;2-8) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1999)75:4/5%3C889::AID-QUA54%3E3.0.CO;2-8).
- [27] Xavier Gonze, B. Amadon, P.-M. Anglade, Jean-Michel Beuken, F. Bottin, P. Boulanger, F. Bruneval, D. Caliste, R Caracas, M. Côté, Thierry Deutsch, Luigi Genovese, Ph. Ghosez, M. Giantomassi, Stefan Goedecker, D. R. Hamann, P. Hermet, F. Jollet, G. Jomard, and S. Leroux, ABINIT: First-principles [approach to material and nanosystem properties,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.007) Comput. Phys. Commun. **[180](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.007)**, [2582](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.007) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.007).
- [28] Xavier Gonze, J. Beuken, R. Caracas, F. Detraux, M. Fuchs, G. Rignanese, L. Sindic, M. Verstraete, G. Zerah, F. Jollet, M. Torrent, A. Roy, M. Mikami, P. Ghosez, J. Raty, and D. C. Allan, First-principles computation of material properties: The ABINIT software project, [Comput. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0256(02)00325-7) **[25](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0256(02)00325-7)**, [478](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0256(02)00325-7) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-0256(02)00325-7).
- [29] Xavier Gonze, G. M. Rignanese, M. Verstraete, J. M. Beuken, Y. Pouillon, R. Caracas, F. Jollet, M. Torrent, G. Zerah, M. Mikami, P. Ghosez, M. Veithen, J. Y. Raty, V. Olevano, F. Bruneval, L. Reining, R Godby, G. Onida, D. R. Hamann, and D. C. Allan, A brief introduction to the ABINIT software package, [Z. Kristallogr. - Cryst. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.220.5.558.65066) **[220](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.220.5.558.65066)**, [558](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.220.5.558.65066) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1524/zkri.220.5.558.65066).
- [30] Marc Torrent, François Jollet, François Bottin, Gilles Zérah, and Xavier Gonze, Implementation of the projector augmented wave method in the ABINIT code: Application to the study of iron under pressure, [Comput. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2007.07.020) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2007.07.020)**, [337](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2007.07.020) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2007.07.020).
- [31] ABINIT PAW Atomic Data Ni, September 2014, [http://www.abinit.org/downloads/PAW2/TABLES/MAIN/028](http://www.abinit.org/downloads/PAW2/TABLES/MAIN/028-ni/Ni-GGA-atompaw) ni/Ni-GGA-atompaw.
- [32] Bernd Meyer and Francois Jollet, ABINIT PAW Atomic Data C, September 2014, http://www.abinit.org/downloads/ [PAW2/TABLES/MAIN/006-c/C-GGA-hard-uspp.](http://www.abinit.org/downloads/PAW2/TABLES/MAIN/006-c/C-GGA-hard-uspp)
- [33] ABINIT Input Variable occopt, October 2015, http://www. [abinit.org/doc/helpfiles/for-v7.10/input_variables/varbas.html#](http://www.abinit.org/doc/helpfiles/for-v7.10/input_variables/varbas.html#occopt) occopt.
- [34] Martin F.-X. Wagner and Wolfgang Windl, Lattice stability, elastic constants, and macroscopic moduli of NiTi martensites from first principles, [Acta Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2008.08.043) **[56](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2008.08.043)**, [6232](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2008.08.043) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2008.08.043).
- [35] J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972).
- [36] B. Yu. Yavorsky, N. F. Hinsche, I. Mertig, and P. Zahn, Electronic structure and transport anisotropy of $Bi₂Te₃$ and $Sb₂Te₃$, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165208) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165208)**, [165208](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165208) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165208).
- [37] A. Hull, A new method of x-ray crystal analysis, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.10.661) **[10](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.10.661)**, [661](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.10.661) [\(1917\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.10.661).
- [38] T. Yamanaka, S. Morimoto, and H. Kanda, Influence of the isotope ratio on the lattice constant of diamond, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.9341) **[49](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.9341)**, [9341](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.9341) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.9341).
- [39] Sigemaro Nagakura, Study of metallic carbides by electron diffraction. Part II. Crystal structure analysis of nickel carbide, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.13.1005) **[13](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.13.1005)**, [1005](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.13.1005) [\(1958\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.13.1005).
- [40] Ray-Tung Chiang, Ray-Kuang Chiang, and Fuh-Sheng Shieu, Emergence of interstitial-atom-free HCP nickel phase during the thermal decomposition of Ni3C nanoparticles, [RSC Adv.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra01874e) **[4](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra01874e)**, [19488](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra01874e) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ra01874e).
- [41] Marvin Cohen, Calculation of bulk moduli of diamond and zincblende solids, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.7988) **[32](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.7988)**, [7988](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.7988) [\(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.7988).
- [42] R. H. Telling, C. J. Pickard, M. C. Payne, and J. E. Field, Theoretical Strength and Cleavage of Diamond, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5160) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5160)**, [5160](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5160) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5160).
- [43] S. Rekhi, S. K. Saxena, R. Ahuja, B. Johansson, and J. Hu, Experimental and theoretical investigations on the compressibility of nanocrystalline nickel, [J. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017974904559) **[36](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017974904559)**, [4719](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017974904559) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017974904559).
- [44] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.024113) 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.024113 for the DOS of the simulated compounds, both in equilibrium and strained, and a plot of the energy required to deform the two considered cells for $Ni₂C$ into one another assuming linearity.
- [45] Saša Dmitrović, Ivanka Milošević, Milan Damnjanović, and Tatjana Vuković, Electronic properties of strained carbon nanotubes: Impact of induced deformations, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02455) **[119](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02455)**, [13922](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02455) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02455).
- [46] Christian Wagner, Jörg Schuster, and Thomas Gessner, Empirical transport model of strained CNT transistors used for sensor applications, [J. Comput. Electron.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0823-4) **[15](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0823-4)**, [881](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0823-4) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10825-016-0823-4).
- [47] Yang Wu, Mingyuan Huang, Feng Wang, X. M. Henry Huang, Sami Rosenblatt, Limin Huang, Hugen Yan, Stephen P. O'Brien, James Hone, and Tony F. Heinz, Determination of the Young's modulus of structurally defined carbon nanotubes, [Nano Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801563q) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801563q)**, [4158](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801563q) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801563q).
- [48] G. C. Kuczynski, Effect of elastic strain on the electrical resistance of metals, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.61) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.61)**, [61](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.61) [\(1954\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.61).
- [49] [E. Klokholm, Piezoresistance in evaporated nickel films,](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1317950) J. Vac. Sci. Technol. **[10](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1317950)**, [235](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1317950) [\(1973\)](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1317950).