Multiband superconductivity in the correlated electron filled skutterudite system $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$

Y. P. Singh,^{1,*} R. B. Adhikari,¹ S. Zhang,¹ K. Huang,^{2,3,†} D. Yazici,^{2,4,‡} I. Jeon,^{2,3} M. B. Maple,^{2,3,4}

M. Dzero,¹ and C. C. Almasan¹

¹Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA

²Center for Advanced Nanoscience, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

³Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

⁴Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92903, USA

(Received 11 July 2016; revised manuscript received 18 September 2016; published 6 October 2016)

Studies of superconductivity in multiband correlated electronic systems have become one of the central topics in condensed-matter and materials physics. In this paper, we present the results of thermodynamic measurements on the superconducting filled skutterudite system $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ ($0 \le x \le 0.2$) to investigate how substitution of Ce at Pr sites affects superconductivity. We find that an increase in Ce concentration leads to a suppression of the superconducting transition temperature from $T_c \sim 7.9$ K for x = 0 to $T_c \sim 0.6$ K for x = 0.14. Our analysis of the specific-heat data for $x \le 0.07$ reveals that superconductivity must develop in at least two bands: the superconducting order parameter has nodes on one Fermi pocket and remains fully gapped on the other. Both the nodal and nodeless gaps decrease, with the nodal gap being suppressed more strongly upon Ce substitution. Ultimately, the higher-Ce-concentration samples (x > 0.07) display a nodeless gap only.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.144502

I. INTRODUCTION

Filled skutterudite compounds with the chemical formula MPt_4Ge_{12} (M = alkaline earth, lanthanide, or actinide) are a relatively new entry into the family of heavy-fermion superconductors. The first Pr-based heavy-fermion superconductor $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ has a superconducting critical temperature $T_c \simeq$ 1.85 K and a Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma \sim 500 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$), revealing a rather significant enhancement of the effective mass of the conduction electrons [1,2]. Interestingly, the related compound PrPt₄Ge₁₂ has a much higher $T_c \simeq 7.9$ K and smaller $\gamma \sim 60 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$), corresponding to a moderate enhancement of the conduction-electron effective mass [3]. It is noteworthy that these materials, among many others in the family of filled skutterudites, have been widely studied recently, mainly due to their potential for thermoelectric applications [4,5] as well as a variety of low-temperature phenomena such as magnetic and quadrupolar order and metal-insulator transitions [1,6-10].

The microscopic nature of superconductivity in both $PrPt_4Ge_{12}$ and $PrOs_4Sb_{12}$ has been the focus of intense experimental effort in recent years. For example, μ -SR experiments on $PrPt_4Ge_{12}$ reveal time-reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB) in the superconducting state, indicating an unconventional symmetry of the pairing amplitude [11]. The substitution of Ce for Pr results in the suppression of the TRSB. In addition, the specific heat of the systems $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ and $PrPt_4Ge_{12-x}Sb_x$ shows a crossover from a power law to an exponential temperature dependence upon increasing the Ce and Sb substituent concentration, which unequivocally

suggests that the superconducting gap becomes fully isotropic at sufficiently high Ce or Sb concentrations [12,13].

Naively, one would not regard these observations as surprising, since several experimental probes have confirmed that the parent compound PrPt₄Ge₁₂ is a multiband superconductor [3,14]. Given that cerium ions have a valence of Ce^{3+} $(4f^1 \text{ configuration})$ corresponding to an odd number of electrons in the 4f shell, one would generally expect a rapid suppression of unconventional superconductivity in response to magnetic scattering [15]. If the two Fermi pockets are not related by crystal symmetry, then the unconventional pairing on one of the Fermi pockets will be more susceptible to magnetic scattering than its conventional (or symmetric) counterpart. Although the viability of this interpretation still remains an open issue, the scenario of coexistence between nodal and nodeless pairing gaps finds support in different experimental findings [3,12,14]. In that regard, it is worth mentioning that the same issues persist for PrOs₄Sb₁₂, for which several experimental groups have provided evidence for nodal as well as nodeless superconductivity [2,9,16-20].

Motivated by these questions, we performed lowtemperature specific-heat measurements of superconducting samples of $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$. Our detailed and systematic analysis indicates the presence of multiband superconductivity up to the Ce concentration x = 0.07 and a single nodeless gap for x > 0.07. Furthermore, we argue that at least one of the superconducting order parameters for the parent compound and the lightly doped compound must be nodal. Thus, our findings imply that the nodal gap disappears at high Ce concentrations. Our findings are consistent with the suppression of the TRSB with increasing Ce concentration in these materials, as observed in recent μ -SR experiments [11].

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Polycrystalline samples of $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ were synthesized by arc-melting and annealing according to the procedure described in detail elsewhere [12]. These polycrystalline

^{*}Present address: Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio 44325, USA.

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

[‡]Present address: Faculty of Health Sciences, Artvin Coruh University, Artvin 08100, Turkey.

samples were characterized through Rietveld refinement of powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) data, as well as resistivity and magnetization measurements [12]. This detail characterization shows the purity of the polycrystalline samples used in this study.

The two surfaces of each sample were polished with sand paper to improve the contact between the sample and the specific-heat platform. We performed a series of specific-heat measurements on these polycrystalline samples of $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ (x = 0, 0.01, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.085, 0.1, and 0.14) in zero magnetic field and over the temperature range $0.50 \text{ K} \le T \le 10 \text{ K}$. The specific-heat measurements were performed via a standard thermal relaxation technique by using the He-3 option of the Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in Fig. 1, a clear superconducting transition is observed for $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ samples with $x \le 0.14$. Samples with x > 0.14 do not display a complete superconducting transition for temperatures as low as 0.5 K. For the unambiguous determination of the thermodynamic superconducting transition temperature T_c we used the method of *isoentropic* construction; i.e., we chose T_c such that the entropy around the transition is conserved (see top-left inset to Fig. 1). The bottom-right inset to Fig. 1 shows that T_c is suppressed monotonically with increasing Ce substitution x.

In the absence of any magnetic contribution, the measured specific heat in the normal state is the sum of electronic $C_e = \gamma_n T$ and lattice $C_{ph} = \beta T^3$ contributions; hence, we fit the measured specific heat in the normal state ($T_c < T \le 10$ K) for different Ce concentrations with $C(T) = \gamma_n T + \beta T^3$. The result of such a fit for the x = 0 sample is shown in



FIG. 1. Measured specific heat *C* divided by temperature *T* plotted vs *T* for different Ce substitutent concentrations in $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ ($0 \le x \le 0.14$). The measurements were performed over the temperature range $0.50 \le T \le 10$ K. Top-left inset: Isoentropic construction to obtain the value of superconducting transition temperature T_c . Bottom-right inset: Superconducting transition temperature T_c vs x, obtained from the data in the main panel of the figure by using the isoentropic construction.



FIG. 2. Fit (blue line) of the C(T)/T data (solid filled circles) with $\gamma_n + \beta T^2$ in the temperature range just above T_c to 10 K, performed to extract the lattice contribution to specific heat. The fit yields the parameters $\gamma_n = 73.7 \pm 6$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² and $\beta = 5.2 \pm 0.05$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻⁴.

Fig. 2 and gives $\gamma_n = 73.7 \pm 6 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ and $\beta = 5.2 \pm 0.05 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$. To determine the electronic contribution to the specific heat, we subtract the lattice contribution C_{ph} from the measured specific heat.

After subtracting the lattice contribution, the specific heat shows an upturn in the low-temperature region (see Fig. 3), which we attribute to a nuclear Schottky contribution $C_{\text{Sch}} =$ A_n/T^2 due to impurity phases that appear during preparation of the samples. In fact, such an upturn was also observed in previous studies [3,14] and was suppressed in the case of single crystals by properly etching the surface of the crystals. Since the present study was performed on polycrystalline samples, we were unable to eliminate the nuclear Schottky contribution by just etching the surface of the samples. Nevertheless, the upturn in the present study appears randomly throughout the samples with different Ce concentration, leading us to conclude that it is, indeed, the result of impurity phases. Therefore, to determine the electronic contribution to specific heat, we also subtracted the nuclear Schottky contribution $C_{\rm Sch} = A_n/T^2$ for temperatures T < 2 K where the effect of the upturn is evident. We obtained the best fit of the data with the expression $(C - C_{ph}) = \gamma_0 T + BT^m + A_n/T^2$ for $0 \le x \le 0.04$ and with $(C - C_{ph}) = \gamma_0 T + Be^{-\Delta/T} + A_n/T^2$ for x > 0.04. Here γ_0 and A_n/T^2 are the residual specific heat and the nuclear Schottky contribution, respectively. Figures 3(a)and 3(b) show the results of the fitting (red curves) and the electronic contribution to the specific heat (red solid symbols) for the x = 0 and x = 0.07 sample, respectively, after subtracting the residual heat capacity and the nuclear Schottky contribution.

As we have already mentioned in the introduction, there are conflicting reports regarding the symmetry of the superconducting energy gap of PrPt₄Ge₁₂. For example, a specific-heat study based on the BCS model points towards multiband superconductivity with isotropic order parameters [14], while power-law behavior in the specific heat at low temperatures,



FIG. 3. Plot of the specific-heat data $(C - C_{ph})/T$ vs temperature *T* (black circles) for the (a) x = 0 and (b) x = 0.07 samples. The solid red lines are fits to the data as described in the text. The solid red circles represent the electronic contribution to specific heat (right vertical axis), obtained after subtraction of all the other contributions.

together with measurement of the superfluid density through μ -SR measurements, indicate a gap with point nodes [3]. This latter finding is also supported by the time-reversal symmetry breaking observed through another independent μ -SR study, suggesting the superconductivity is of an unconventional nature [11].

To gain a better insight into the nature of the superconducting gap of this system and its evolution with Ce substitution, we first focus on the temperature dependence of the electronic specific heat at low T. As just mentioned, the power-law T dependence of the electronic specific heat at low temperatures ($T \ll T_c$) is a signature of the nodal character of the superconducting order parameter [21], while within the analysis based on the weak-coupling BCS theory, its exponential temperature dependence characterizes an isotropic gap. Thus, in our analysis, we used log-log and semilog plots to discriminate between power-law and exponential behavior, respectively, of C_e in the measured low-T range, as shown in Fig. 4.

Figures 4(a) and 4(c) clearly show that the low-temperature data $(T < 0.3 T_c)$ follow a power-law behavior with an exponent $m \approx 3.5$ -4 for the x = 0 and x = 0.04 samples,



FIG. 4. Log-log plots of $C_e/\gamma_n T_c$ vs T_c/T for (a) x = 0, (c) x = 0.04, and (f) x = 0.07 Ce concentrations. The blue lines show the temperature range over which the power law holds for x = 0 and x = 0.04, and the exponential works for x = 0.07. Semilog plots of $C_e/\gamma_n T_c$ vs T_c/T for (b) x = 0, (d) x = 0.04, and (e) x = 0.07 samples.

respectively. This power-law behavior is typical of all samples with $x \leq 0.04$. While a power law of m = 3 is consistent with point nodes, a power-law exponent m > 3 could be due to

the fact that the gap is not purely nodal. Therefore, the fact that the low-*T* electronic heat capacity follows a power-law *T* dependence with a power of m = 3.5-4 indicates that the nodal gap is dominant for the samples with $x \leq 0.04$. Our result is thus consistent with previously reported point nodes in PrPt₄Ge₁₂ [3]. We note that semilog plots of the same low-*T* data [Figs. 4(b) and 4(d)] show that, indeed, these data do not follow an exponential behavior (the slopes of these plots decrease monotonically with decreasing *T*).

On the other hand, Figs. 4(e) and 4(f) show that the specificheat data from the x = 0.07 sample displays exponential behavior. This exponential behavior is typical of all the samples with x > 0.04 and seems to indicate that the nodeless gap is dominant for the samples with x > 0.04.

To further explore the nature of the superconducting pairing, we used the following expression to evaluate the electronic specific heat C_e in the superconducting state [22]:

$$C_{e}(\Delta,T) = 2\nu_{F}\beta k_{B}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}d\phi\int_{0}^{\pi}\sin\theta d\theta$$
$$\times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial E}\right)\left[E^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\beta\frac{d\Delta^{2}}{d\beta}\right]d\epsilon, \quad (1)$$

where ν_F is the density of states evaluated at the Fermi energy, $\beta = 1/k_BT$, $E = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$, $f = (1 + e^{\beta E})^{-1}$, $\Delta = \Delta_0$ for isotropic *s*-wave pairing, and $\Delta = \Delta_0 \sin n\theta$ for the case where the pairing wave function has point nodes. Based on this approach, we used a superposition of two different superconducting gaps Δ_i (*i* = 1,2), one nodal (Δ_2) and one nodeless (Δ_1). Hence, there are two contributions to the electronic specific heat C_e :

$$C_{e}(T) = r_{1}C_{e}(\Delta_{1}, T) + r_{2}C_{e}(\Delta_{2}, T),$$
(2)

where $r_i \in [0,1]$ are weights for each contribution with $r_1 + r_2 = 1$. We note that we subtracted the residual specific heat from the electronic contribution to be able to use the BCS model.

We show the fit of the zero-field electronic specific-heat data for $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ with a two band model [23] in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) for the x = 0 and x = 0.07 samples, respectively. The data for the undoped sample are reproduced very well by using a smaller nodeless gap ($\Delta_1 = 1.08 \text{ meV}$) with a relative weight $r_1 = 0.25$ and a larger nodal gap with point nodes $(\Delta_2 = 1.7 \text{ meV})$ with a relative weight $r_2 = 0.75$ [Fig. 5(a)]. The data for the x = 0.07 sample are reproduced very well by using an nodeless gap ($\Delta_1 = 0.63 \text{ meV}$) with a relative weight $r_1 = 0.9$, and a nodal gap ($\Delta_2 = 0.94$ meV) with a relative weight $r_2 = 0.1$ [Fig. 5(b)]. The zero-field electronic specificheat data for $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ could be fit very well with a larger nodal gap and a smaller nodeless gap for all samples with $0 \le x \le 0.07$, implying that there are at least two Fermi surfaces in this doping range. All these excellent fits were done by taking n = 1 in the expression for the gap corresponding to the case where the pairing wave function has point nodes. This choice of *n* implies the breaking of the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) in this system. The implication of the TRS breaking in this system is in agreement with earlier studies [11]. In this regard, it would be intriguing to see whether the TRS breaking can be observed in the measurements of the Kerr effect. Finally,



FIG. 5. Plot of the electronic specific heat C_e/T vs T/T_c for $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ with (a) x = 0 and (b) x = 0.07 using nodal and nodeless gaps and (c) x = 0.085 with a nodeless gap. The red solid line gives the overall fit of the data, while the green and blue lines are the individual contributions of the nodal and nodeless gaps, respectively. Insets: Low-*T* region of the data in main panel. The details of the gap values are discussed in the text.

for alloys with $x \ge 0.085$, the specific-heat data could be fit very well with only one nodeless gap, as shown in Fig. 5(c) for the x = 0.085 sample.



FIG. 6. Gap values Δ plotted vs Ce concentration x. The green solid circles are the nodal gap and the blue solid squares are the nodeless gap used to reproduce the total heat capacity. Inset shows contribution of the nodal and nodeless gaps in the main panel plotted vs Ce concentration.

The doping dependence of the two gaps is shown in Fig. 6. With increasing Ce concentration, the magnitude of the nodal gap decreases faster than that of the nodeless gap, consistent with the fact that the impurity scattering is more detrimental to the nodal gap than the nodeless gap. The inset of Fig. 6 shows the doping dependence of the relative weight of the two gaps. Note that the contribution of the nodal gap decreases and that of the nodeless gap increases with increasing Ce concentration. Therefore, the density of states on the Fermi pocket with the nodal gap decreases with increasing Ce concentration and almost disappears for x > 0.07. This is why the heat-capacity data can be reproduced with only a single nodeless gap for samples with for x > 0.07. The coexistence of nodal and nodeless gaps has also been observed in the Pr(Os,Ru)₄Sb₁₂ family of skutterudite materials [24], suggesting that such a coexistence of the nodal and nodeless gaps may be a general feature of the skutterudites.

Our experimental results show that the ratio of the largest pairing gap at zero temperature to the critical temperature is approximately $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_c \sim 4$, which exceeds slightly the BCS value of 3.53, implying that PrPt₄Ge₁₂ belongs to a class of weakly to moderately coupled superconductors. Thus we may speculate that conventional electron-phonon interactions can be considered as a viable mediator of Cooper pairing in this material. In that regard future measurements of the isotope effect should help to either confirm or discard this scenario.

We reconcile the fact that the low-*T* electronic heat capacity follows a power-law (exponential) behavior for all samples with $x \le 0.04$ (x > 0.04) with the fact that all of the heat-

capacity data can be fit very well with a two-gap model—with one nodal and one nodeless gap—by drawing the reader's attention to the insets of Fig. 5. The inset of Fig. 5(a) shows that the nodeless gap is almost temperature independent in the low-temperature region so that the overall contribution to the heat capacity is governed by the nodal gap in this temperature range; hence, consistent with the power-law *T* dependence of C_e at low *T* [Fig. 4(a)]. This behavior is typical for $0 \le x \le$ 0.04. On the other hand, the inset of Fig. 5(b) shows that the nodal gap has a negligible temperature dependence for $0.04 < x \le 0.07$ so that the overall contribution to the heat capacity is governed by the nodeless gap in this temperature range; hence, the heat capacity follows an exponential *T* dependence [Fig. 4(e)].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we analyzed low-temperature specific-heat data to investigate the nature of the superconducting order parameter in the Pr-based filled skutterudite system $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$. Our findings indicate that specific heat has contributions from two Fermi pockets: one contribution originates from the pairing with a nodeless gap function, while the other one is best described by a model with a nodal gap function. The effect of Ce substitution is displayed in the monotonic suppression of the superconducting transition temperature. The larger gap remains nodal for Ce substitution below x = 0.07, while only the nodeless gap survives for x > 0.07. The results of our present work demonstrate that the previously observed predominately T^3 dependence of C/T for x = 0 and $e^{-\Delta/T}$ dependence at high Ce doping is due to the dominant anisotropic character of the SC gap in PrPt₄Ge₁₂ and isotropic SC gap at higher Ce doping, respectively. This property of $Pr_{1-x}Ce_xPt_4Ge_{12}$ compounds resembles closely the multiband scenario of the La-substituted PrOs₄Sb₁₂ system, where the presence of both the nodal and the nodeless gap on different parts of the Fermi surface has been suggested. Our results are also consistent with the recent findings of unconventional superconductivity suggested by the TRSB in the parent compound and its suppression through Ce doping.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work at KSU was financially supported by the National Science Foundation grants DMR-1505826 (R.B.A., Y.P.S., S.Z., and C.C.A.) and DMR-1506547 (M.D.). Materials synthesis and characterization at UCSD were supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering, under Grant No. DE-FG02-04ER46105. Low-temperature measurements at UCSD were sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR 1206553.

Y.P.S. and R.B.A. contributed equally to this work.

- E. D. Bauer, N. A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, V. S. Zapf, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B 65, 100506 (2002).
- [2] M. B. Maple, N. A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, W. M. Yuhasz, and T. Yanagisawa, J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 19, 299 (2006).
- [3] A. Maisuradze, M. Nicklas, R. Gumeniuk, C. Baines, W. Schnelle, H. Rosner, A. Leithe-Jasper, Y. Grin, and R. Khasanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 147002 (2009).

- [4] B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, and R. K. Williams, Science 272, 1325 (1996).
- [5] V. Keppens, D. Mandrus, B. C. Sales, B. C. Chakoumakos, P. Dai, R. Coldea, M. B. Maple, D. A. Gajewski, E. J. Freeman, and S. Bennington, Nature (London) 395, 876 (1998).
- [6] Y. Aoki, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, J. Alloys Compd. 408, 21 (2006).
- [7] T. Cichorek, A. C. Mota, F. Steglich, N. A. Frederick, W. M. Yuhasz, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 107002 (2005).
- [8] M. B. Maple, E. D. Bauer, N. A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, W. A. Yuhasz, and V. S. Zapf, Phys. B (Amsterdam, Neth.) **328**, 29 (2003).
- [9] M. B. Maple, R. E. Baumbach, J. J. Hamlin, P. C. Ho, L. Shu, D. E. MacLaughlin, Z. Henkie, R. Wawryk, T. Cichorek, and A. Pietraszko, in *Strongly Correlated Electron Phenomena in the Filled Skutterudites* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2009), p. 1.
- [10] C. Uher, Semicond. Semimetals 69, 139 (2000).
- [11] J. Zhang, D. E. MacLaughlin, A. D. Hillier, Z. F. Ding, K. Huang, M. B. Maple, and L. Shu, Phys. Rev. B 91, 104523 (2015).
- [12] K. Huang, L. Shu, I. K. Lum, B. D. White, M. Janoschek, D. Yazici, J. J. Hamlin, D. A. Zocco, P.-C. Ho, R. E. Baumbach *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 035145 (2014).
- [13] I. Jeon, K. Huang, D. Yazici, N. Kanchanavatee, B. D. White, P.-C. Ho, S. Jang, N. Pouse, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B 93, 104507 (2016).

- [14] J. L. Zhang, Y. Chen, L. Jiao, R. Gumeniuk, M. Nicklas, Y. H. Chen, L. Yang, B. H. Fu, W. Schnelle, H. Rosner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 87, 064502 (2013).
- [15] K. Huang, D. Yazici, B. D. White, I. Jeon, A. Breindel, N. Pouse, and M. B. Maple (unpublished).
- [16] G. Seyfarth, J. P. Brison, M.-A. Méasson, J. Flouquet, K. Izawa, Y. Matsuda, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 107004 (2005).
- [17] M. Yogi, T. Nagai, Y. Imamura, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, and H. Harima, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 124702 (2006).
- [18] H. Sato, K. Tanaka, D. Kikuchi, T. Namiki, R. Higashinaka, Y. Aoki, M. Kohgi, H. Sugawara, K. Kuwahara, and K. Iwasa, Phys. C (Amsterdam, Neth.) 470, S525 (2010).
- [19] E. E. M. Chia, M. B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 247003 (2003).
- [20] E. E. M. Chia, M. B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, Phys. Rev. B 69, 180509 (2004).
- [21] M. Sigrist and K. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 239 (1991).
- [22] C. L. Huang, J.-Y. Lin, C. P. Sun, T. K. Lee, J. D. Kim, E. M. Choi, S. I. Lee, and H. D. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 73, 012502 (2006).
- [23] F. Bouquet, Y. Wang, R. A. Fisher, D. G. Hinks, J. D. Jorgensen, A. Junod, and N. E. Phillips, Europhys. Lett. 56, 856 (2001).
- [24] E. E. M. Chia, D. Vandervelde, M. B. Salamon, D. Kikuchi, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, L303 (2005).