Effects of intense optical phonon pumping on the structure and electronic properties of yttrium barium copper oxide

M. Fechner* and N. A. Spaldin

Materials Theory, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland ¨ (Received 19 July 2016; revised manuscript received 25 September 2016; published 19 October 2016)

We investigate the structural modulations induced by optical excitation of a polar phonon mode in $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ using first-principles calculations based on density functional theory. We focus on the intense-excitation regime in which we expect that fourth-order phonon-phonon coupling terms dominate and model the structural modulations induced by pulses of such intensity. Our calculations of the phonon-phonon anharmonicities confirm that the cubic coupling between modes, shown in earlier work to cause a quasistatic change in the apical O-Cu distance and a buckling of the $CuO₂$ planes, is the leading contribution at moderate pump strengths. At higher pump strengths (∼10 MV*/*cm) the previously neglected quartic couplings become relevant and produce an additional shearing of the $CuO₂$ planes. Finally, we analyze the changes in the electronic and magnetic properties associated with the induced structural changes.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.94.134307](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.134307)

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultrafast modulation of crystal structures using terahertz (THz) radiation is an emerging technique in condensed-matter physics to study the interplay of structural and electronic properties [\[1\]](#page-7-0). For example, control of electronic phases has been achieved in perovskite-structure manganites by selective pumping of phonon modes $[2,3]$, and driving of spin dynamics has been demonstrated through excitation of coupled spinphonon modes [\[4\]](#page-8-0). Particularly intriguing was the report of induced coherent transport [\[5\]](#page-8-0) in underdoped $YBaCu₃O_{6+\delta}$, on optical pumping of the IR-active B_{1u} mode at 670 cm⁻¹ (20 THz), whose appearance has been associated [\[5–7\]](#page-8-0) with a possible signature of superconductivity above the usual superconducting T_c . The eigenvector of this mode consists of the in-phase displacement of the apical oxygen atoms of the Cu-O planes along the *c* axis [Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (a)] with an associated change of the Cu-apical-oxygen distance, a parameter that has often been suggested to correlate with superconductivity [\[8\]](#page-8-0). The enhanced coherence was therefore associated with an increase (decrease) in inter- (intra-) bilayer Josephson tunneling strength [\[6\]](#page-8-0).

An important breakthrough in understanding this observation followed from the determination, through a combined ultrafast x-ray diffraction (XRD) and *ab initio* density functional theory (DFT) study, of the transient crystal structure during the optical pumping process [\[9\]](#page-8-0). As expected, oscillating staggered dilations/contractions of the Cu-O intra- (inter-) bilayer distances corresponding to the displacements of the B_{1u} mode were observed. In addition, anisotropic changes in the buckling of the in-plane Cu-O bonds were found and were shown to result from a cubic coupling of the *A*^g symmetry mode corresponding to this additional distortion to the square of the pumped B_{1u} mode [\[10\]](#page-8-0).

The basic physics of THz-radiation-induced structural distortion, often referred to as nonlinear phononics $[2,10]$ $[2,10]$, can be seen from analyzing the phonon-phonon interactions. For low field strengths, excitation of a phonon results only in harmonic oscillation of the atoms around their equilibrium positions, described by the harmonic Hamiltonian

$$
Hhar = \frac{\omega^2 Q^2}{2}.
$$
 (1)

The atomic displacements are determined by the normal coordinate of the mode *Q*, which in turn is the eigenvector of the dynamical matrix, and the corresponding eigenvalue *ω* gives the mode frequency. Phonons of different energy are orthogonal and do not interact in the harmonic approximation, and importantly, there is no change in the time-averaged structure with phonon excitation ($\langle Q \rangle_T = 0$).

At higher field strengths and larger amplitudes, however, anharmonic phonon-phonon interactions become noticeable. Using the notation of Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0), the anharmonic terms in the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H^{\text{anh}} = -a_3 Q_{\text{IR}}^2 Q_{\text{G}} - a_4 Q_{\text{IR}}^2 Q_{\text{G}}^2 + \cdots, \qquad (2)
$$

considering terms only up to quartic order. Here Q_{IR} labels an infrared active mode that can be excited by an optical phonon, and Q_G is a general polar or nonpolar mode. We note that the term containing a_3 is only allowed if the symmetry of Q_G is given by an *A*¹ irreducible representation of the crystalstructure point group.

In the case of high- T_c superconducting cuprates, which are centrosymmetric, the third-order coupling is sizable for several modes Q_G of A_g symmetry. As mentioned above, this cubic coupling to A_g modes was shown to be responsible for the shift of the mean atomic displacements measured using femtosecond x-ray diffraction in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0). Note that, in the experiments of Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0), the system was excited using midinfrared optical pulses of ∼300 fs duration with a maximum fluence of 4 mJ/cm^2 , which corresponds to a peak electric field of ∼3 MV*/*cm. This field strength suggests a peak amplitude of the B_{1u} mode corresponding to a 2.2-pm increase in the apical-oxygen-Cu distance.

The product of $Q_{IR}^2 Q_{G}^2$ is totally symmetric, and hence fourth-order coupling of any IR pumped mode to all other phonons is always allowed by symmetry. As mentioned in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0), analysis of the equation of motion shows that,

^{*}michael.fechner@mat.ethz.ch

FIG. 1. Eigendisplacements of selected phonon modes in $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$; the black arrows indicate the relative atomic displacements. (a) The pumped polar $B_{1u}(17)$ phonon mode, (b) the symmetry-conserving $A_g(14)$ phonon mode, and (c) the in-plane mode $B_{2g}(2)$.

for small amplitude excitations, the effect of this coupling is renormalization of the frequency of the Q_G mode by

$$
\omega'_{\rm G} = \omega_{\rm G} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2a_2}{\omega_{\rm G}^2} Q_{\rm IR}^2}.
$$
 (3)

Equation (3) also reveals that this quartic interaction can induce a softening of the Q_G mode and an associated lattice instability, in the case of large Q_{IR} amplitude, strong coupling, and low frequency of the mode Q_G . For the excitation strengths of Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0), fourth-order coupling effects were not observed.

The aim of this paper is to extend the investigation of Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0) to evaluate the effect of the third- and fourth-order anharmonic couplings on the structure and electronic and magnetic properties when a phonon is excited by an intense optical pulse. We first use first-principles electronic structure calculations to compute the anharmonic coupling constants between the 17-THz polar B_{1u} phonon mode of YBa₂Cu₃O₇ and all other phonon modes of the material. We then solve the equations of motion using the first-principles coupling constants to calculate the structural modulations induced by excitation with an optical pulse. We focus particularly on the case of large-amplitude oscillations of the B_{1u} mode, for which we predict additional structural modulations due to the quartic coupling. Finally, we discuss the requirements for entering the regime in which such interactions dominate, offering a motivation for the provision of THz sources at free-electron lasers.

II. ANHARMONIC PHONON INTERACTIONS IN YTTRIUM BARIUM COPPER OXIDE

We begin by using density functional theory to calculate the third- and fourth-order phonon-phonon coupling constants for $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$. We choose $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ since it captures all the characteristics, such as the dopant oxygen atom and the *P mmm* orthorhombic symmetry, of the $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.5+x}$ family and is computationally convenient because of its small total number of atoms per unit cell. Note that the values for the coupling constants that we obtain differ quantitatively but not

TABLE I. Experimental (EXP) [\[12\]](#page-8-0) atomic positions and those calculated in this work (DFT) for $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$.

Atom	Wykoff position	\boldsymbol{x}	y	z (DFT)	Z (EXP)
Y	1h	0.5	0.5	0.500	0.500
Ba	2t	0.5	0.5	0.181	0.185
Cu ₁	1a	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.000
Cu ₂	2q	0.0	0.0	0.353	0.355
O ₁	2q	0.0	0.0	0.161	0.158
O ₂	2r	0.0	0.5	0.379	0.378
O_3	2s	0.5	0.0	0.379	0.378
O_4	1e	0.0	0.5	0.000	0.000

qualitatively from those of Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0), in which $YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5}$ was studied.

First, we calculate the lowest-energy atomic positions using the experimental lattice constants of $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ taken from Ref. $[12]$ ($a = 3.82$ Å, $b = 3.88$ Å and $c = 11.67$ Å). We use the local-density approximation (LDA) to density functional theory as implemented within the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [\[13\]](#page-8-0), with the default projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials [\[14\]](#page-8-0) with the following valence electronic configurations: Y, $4s^24p^65s^24d^1$; Ba, $5s^25p^64s^2$; Cu, $3p^64s^13d^{10}$; and O, $2s^22p^4$. After testing the convergence of forces, phonon frequencies, and anharmonic coupling constants, we chose a $15 \times 15 \times 10$ *k*-point mesh in combination with a cutoff energy of 800 eV. Since the ions should be in their equilibrium positions for the calculation of the phonons, we relax the internal coordinates until the forces on the ions are less than 0.1 meV/ \AA . The resulting atomic positions are compared with experiment in Table I and show satisfactory agreement.

Next, we calculate the phonon eigenfrequencies and eigenvectors using density functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [\[15\]](#page-8-0). IR radiation only excites phonon modes at the zone center $(q = 0)$, and consequently, we focus on these modes within our investigation. The $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ unit cell contains 13 atoms and so has 39 phonon modes with the following irreducible representations in the *mmm* point group: $5A_g \otimes$ 5*B*_{2*g*} ⊗ 5*B*_{3*g*} ⊗ 8*B*_{1*u*} ⊗ 8*B*_{2*u*} ⊗ 8*B*_{3*u*}. Our calculated mode frequencies are listed in Table [II,](#page-2-0) together with those obtained in other theoretical works [\[16\]](#page-8-0) as well as from Raman/neutron scattering and IR spectroscopy $[17–21]$. We note that the largest difference between our results and other calculations or experiments is less than $\Delta f = 0.9$ Thz.

Finally, we calculate the anharmonic coupling constants. The effective potential describing a polar IR mode (which will be resonantly excited by the pump pulse) and a general phonon mode *G* is, to fourth order,

$$
V(Q_{\text{IR}}, Q_{\text{G}}) = \frac{w_{\text{IR}}^2}{2} Q_{\text{IR}}^2 + \frac{w_{\text{G}}^2}{2} Q_{\text{G}}^2
$$

$$
+ a_3 Q_{\text{IR}}^2 Q_{\text{G}} + a_4 Q_{\text{IR}}^2 Q_{\text{G}}^2
$$

$$
+ \frac{g_{\text{IR}}^2}{4} Q_{\text{IR}}^4 + \frac{g_{\text{G}}^2}{4} Q_{\text{G}}^4, \tag{4}
$$

where w_{IR} and w_{G} are the frequencies of the IR and *G* modes, respectively, and *a*3, *a*4, *g*IR, and *g*^G are anharmonic coupling

TABLE II. $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ phonon mode frequencies (in THz) obtained in this work (DFT^{*}), calculated using DFT[†] in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-8-0), and measured experimentally (EXP), collected from Refs. [\[17–21\]](#page-8-0).

Symmetry	DFT^*	DFT^{\dagger}	EXP	Symmetry DFT*		DFT^{\dagger}	EXP
$A_{\rm g}$	3.7	3.6	3.5	B_{1u}	3.9	3.9	
	4.5	4.5	4.4		4.9	5.0	4.7
	10.7	10.2	10.0		5.9	5.8	5.8
	12.5	12.2	13.1		7.4	6.5	
	14.4	14.2	14.9		7.6	7.2	
					10.0	9.7	9.0
					16.9	16.5	16.9
B_{2g}	2.1	1.9	2.1	B_{2u}	2.7	2.5	2.5
	4.5	4.2	4.3		4.5	4.0	3.8
	7.3	6.7	6.3		5.0	4.6	4.7
	11.7	11.6	11.1		5.6	5.3	5.8
	17.9	17.3	17.4		8.7	8.1	8.5
					10.9	10.8	10.6
					18.0	17.4	18.0
B_{3g}	2.4	2.4	2.5	B_{3u}	2.4	2.4	2.4
	4.3	4.2	4.2		4.9	4.8	4.7
	9.4	8.8	9.1		5.4	5.1	5.7
	11.2	11.0	11.3		10.5	10.1	10.3
	16.4	15.8	15.8		10.7	10.3	10.8
					15.4	14.8	14.4
					16.5	15.9	16.4

constants (the fourth-order single-mode terms are always real and positive, and so by convention their constants are written as g^2). As discussed above, the symmetry of the driven mode determines which of the anharmonic coupling constants are nonzero. For the case in which Q_{IR} is a polar mode from the B_{1u} irreducible representation of the *mmm* point group, as in the experiments of Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0), only a mode G of A_g symmetry allows $a_3 \neq 0$, whereas *G* modes of all symmetries have $a_4 \neq 0$.

We take the B_{1u} mode at $f = 16.9$ THz, in which the inplane oxygen atoms displace relative to the Cu atoms along the c axis [Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (a)] to be the driven IR mode; this is the mode that was driven experimentally in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0). This mode, which we refer to as $B_{1u}(17)$ in the following, has nonzero a_3 cubic coupling with the 5 A_g modes and a_4 quartic coupling with all 33 modes. We then map the DFT total energies, calculated by freezing in appropriate combinations of phonon modes of known amplitudes, on to the potential expression of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0) to extract the coupling constants by performing least-meansquare fits of the energy surfaces with a_i and g_i as the free parameters.

In Table III we list the cubic coupling constants between $B_{1u}(17)$ and all five A_g modes, as well as the quartic couplings for the six *B* symmetry modes that have a quartic coupling magnitude greater than 0.01 eV/ A^3 with the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode. To allow for a direct comparison between couplings to modes of different frequencies and between the cubic and quartic anharmonicities, we make a coordinate transformation $\tilde{Q} =$ *ω Q* and list also the corresponding renormalized coupling constants $\tilde{a}_3 = \frac{a_3}{\omega_G \omega_{IR}^2}$ and $\tilde{a}_4 = \frac{a_4}{\omega_G^2 \omega_{IR}^2}$.

TABLE III. Anharmonic coupling constants a_i between $B_{1u}(17)$ and other modes. All 5 A_g modes that show cubic coupling are listed; of the remaining 28 modes, only those with a quartic coupling magnitude with $B_{1u}(17)$ greater than 0.01 eV/ \AA^3 are given.

	Cubic							
f (Thz)	Symmetry	a_3	a_4 $\left[\frac{eV}{\sqrt{u}}\frac{\hat{A}}{\hat{A}}\right]$ $\left[\frac{eV}{\sqrt{u}}\frac{\hat{A}}{\hat{A}}\right]$	$ a_3 /(\omega_{\rm R}\omega_{\rm IR}^2)$				
3.7	A_{g}	0.04	0.00	0.12				
4.5	A_g	0.02	0.01	0.05				
12.5	A_{g}	-0.21	0.04	0.22				
14.4	A_{g}	0.70	0.31	0.61				
	Quartic							
f	Symmetry		a_4	$ a_4 /(\omega_R^2 \omega_R^2)$				
(Thz)			$[{\rm eV/\AA}^3]$					
2.1	B_{2g}		-0.01	0.17				
4.4	B_{3u}		-0.01	0.07				
7.3	B_{2g}		-0.06	0.15				
8.7	B_{3u}		-0.07	0.14				
9.4	B_{3g}		-0.05	0.08				
10.7	B_{2u}		-0.07	0.10				

We see that the $A_g(14)$ mode exhibits the largest nominal and renormalized cubic coupling constants and in addition the strongest quartic coupling to $B_{1u}(17)$. One can understand this qualitatively based on two factors: First, its pattern of atomic displacements, shown in Fig. $1(b)$, is similar to that of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode, with relative Cu-O displacements along the *c* axis, and second, its frequency is the closest of all the *Ag* modes to that of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode.

To illustrate the changes in the potential landscape caused by the cubic and quartic anharmonicities, we show in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) the potential $V(Q_{\text{IR}}, Q_{\text{G}})$ for the $B_{1u}(17)$ IR mode coupled to the $A_g(14)$ mode [Fig. [2\(a\)\]](#page-3-0) and the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode [Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-3-0). In Fig. $2(a)$ we set the quartic coupling a_4 to zero to isolate the effects of the cubic anharmonicity. In both cases the *x* axis indicates the amplitude of the *G* mode, and the different curves correspond to different amplitudes of the IR mode. The expected shift of the minimum of the energy well to a nonzero value of the *G* mode amplitude is seen clearly in the cubic coupling case [Fig. $2(a)$]. The sign of the coupling constant determines whether the minimum occurs at positive or negative *G* mode amplitude. Since the IR mode amplitudes always appear as squared in the expression for the potential energy, they do not affect the sign of the minimum position, but the magnitude of the minimum shift is larger for a larger IR mode amplitude. In Fig. $2(b)$ we see that renormalization of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode frequency by the quartic coupling causes it to soften and, eventually, to become imaginary with increasing IR mode amplitude, indicating a structural instability for large amplitudes.

III. STRUCTURAL DYNAMICS

We now turn to the main task of the paper, the determination of the response of the structure following pulsed excitation of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode resulting from phonon-phonon coupling. To model this situation we treat each pair of anharmonically

FIG. 2. Calculated potential landscapes $V(Q_{\rm IR}, Q_{\rm G})$ as a function of the *G* mode amplitude for different IR mode amplitudes. (a) Cubic coupling of the polar $B_{1u}(17)$ and $A_{1g}(14)$ modes. Excitation of the IR mode displaces the potential minimum for the *G* mode to a nonzero value. (b) Quartic coupling of the $B_{1u}(17)$ and $B_{2g}(2)$ modes. The *G*-mode potential softens for small IR amplitude and evolves into a symmetric double well with minima at nonzero *G* mode amplitude at large IR amplitude.

coupled phonon modes as coupled classical oscillators [\[10\]](#page-8-0) and solve their equation of motion numerically to obtain the time evolution of both modes after the excitation:

$$
\ddot{Q} + \nabla_{Q}[V(Q_{\text{IR}}, Q_{\text{G}}) - F(t)Q_{\text{IR}}] = 0. \tag{5}
$$

Here the vector Q contains the eigenvectors of both phonon modes, the dots denote time derivatives, and ∇ *Q* is the gradient operator acting on the mode amplitude $[\nabla$ ^{*Q*} = (*∂/∂Q*IR*,∂/∂Q*G)]. To model the excitation of the IR mode in the manner of the experimental investigations $[9,11]$, we add a pulselike driving term, $F(t) = F_0 \cos(\omega t) e^{-t^2/(2\sigma^2)}$. Here F_0 is the pulse amplitude, ω is the pulse frequency, and σ is the temporal width of the pulse envelope. For ultrashort pulses $(\sigma \rightarrow 0)$ the driving term can be described by a δ function. The experimental pulses, however, exhibit a temporal intensity distribution of 0.3 ps (FWHM), which is of the order of the period of the excited polar phonon mode. Consequently, we use a finite $\sigma_0 = 0.18$ ps obtained by converting the FWHM value. We set ω_{IR} to the frequency of mode $B_{1u}(17)$ and take the driving force amplitude $F_0 = 30 \,\text{meV/A}$, which corresponds to a peak electric field strength of 3.0 MV/cm, consistent with the experimental value [\[9\]](#page-8-0).

For the cubic coupling case we then solve explicitly the following differential equations:

$$
\ddot{Q}_{IR} + \omega_{IR}^2 Q_{IR} = F(t) - 2a_3 Q_G Q_{IR} - g_{IR}^2 Q_{IR}^3, \quad (6)
$$

$$
\ddot{Q}_G + \omega_G^2 Q_G = -2a_3 Q_{IR}^2 - g_G^2 Q_G^3,\tag{7}
$$

neglecting the quartic term since its inclusion does not alter qualitatively or quantitatively the dynamics. To study the effect of modes that couple only with quartic anharmonicity we solve

$$
\ddot{Q}_{IR} + \omega_{IR}^2 Q_{IR} = F(t) - 2a_4 Q_{G}^2 Q_{IR} - g_{IR}^2 Q_{IR}^3, \quad (8)
$$

$$
\ddot{Q}_G + \omega_G^2 Q_G = -2a_4 Q_G Q_{IR}^2 - g_G^2 Q_G^3. \tag{9}
$$

A. Cubic anharmonicities

We begin by solving the cubic coupling equations [Eqs. (6) and (7)] for the case of the $B_{1u}(14)$ IR pulse coupled to the $A_g(14)$ *G* mode. In Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-4-0) we show the time evolution of the amplitudes of the IR and *G* modes together with the envelope of the pump pulse $F(t)$ (time $t = 0$ is set to the maximum of the pump pulse). We see that on excitation of the B_{1u} mode, oscillations of the $A_g(14)$ mode are induced through the cubic anharmonic coupling. As shown in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-8-0), the form of the cubic coupling causes the $A_g(14)$ mode to oscillate around a nonzero displacement; in this case with pulse strength $F_0 =$ 30 meV/ \AA , the average displacement $Q = -0.03 \sqrt{u} \ \AA$. This change in average structure has been referred to as a transient structural distortion in the literature.

In Fig. $3(b)$ we show the Fourier transforms of the timedependent amplitudes of both modes. We obtain two main peaks, at frequencies of 16.5 and 0 THz for the $B_{1u}(17)$ and $A_{\rm g}(14)$ modes, respectively. We see that the frequency of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode is shifted by 0.5 THz from its eigenfrequency as a result of the anharmonic coupling which renormalizes the frequency according to Eq. (6) :

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{\rm IR} = \omega_{\rm IR} \sqrt{1 + \frac{2a_3 Q_{\rm G}}{\omega_{\rm IR}}}.
$$
\n(10)

Since a_3 is negative, the frequency decreases as expected. The small zero-frequency peak obtained for the $A_{\varphi}(14)$ mode indicates the static displacement. We note that the small oscillating part of $A_g(14)$ also gives rise to an even smaller peak at 14 THz; however, it is much weaker than the zero-frequency peak and is not visible on the scale of Fig. $3(b)$.

Next, we vary the strength F_0 of the pump pulse and show in Fig. $3(c)$ the resulting maximum amplitudes of the $B_{1u}(17)$ (blue line) and $A_g(14)$ (orange line) modes together with the average displacement of the $A_g(14)$ mode [the average displacement of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode is always zero]. For pump strengths up to the range studied previously, the maximum and average displacements of the *A*^g mode are equal to each other, consistent with a static off-centering, and follow the linear increase of the maximum amplitude of the B_{1u} mode. At pump strengths larger than 2*.*0*F*0, however, we observe a new behavior, with the maximum amplitude of the $A_g(14)$ mode increasing nonlinearly while its average amplitude starts to saturate. Next, we analyze the dynamics of this strong-field behavior.

The origin of the new behavior of the $A_g(14)$ mode at high pump strength is the shift in frequency of the $B_{1u}(17)$ pump mode due to the mutual anharmonic coupling. At the pump strength corresponding to the divergence between the maximum and average values of the $A_g(14)$ mode, the frequency of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode shifts so that it matches the

FIG. 3. (a) Time evolution of the $B_{1u}(17)$ (blue dashed line) and $A_g(14)$ (solid orange line) phonon modes after excitation of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode by a pulse $F(t)$ (pulse envelope shown by dotted green line). (b) Fourier transform of the time-dependent amplitudes from (a). The straight lines mark the eigenmode frequencies of the uncoupled $A_g(14)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$ modes. (c) Maximal amplitudes of the $B_{1u}(17)$ and $A_g(14)$ modes and average amplitude of the $A_g(14)$ mode as a function of pump strength *F* relative to the reference F_R . (d) Time evolution of the $B_{1u}(17)$ (blue dashed line) and $A_g(14)$ (solid orange line) modes after excitation with a pulse of strength 2.5 F_0 . (e) Maximal and average amplitudes as a function of pulse width σ relative to the value used in the previous calculation, $\sigma_0 = 0.18$ ps. (f) Maximal and average amplitudes as a function of pulse frequency ω relative to the resonance frequency of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode $\omega_0 = 17$ THz.

eigenfrequency of the $A_g(14)$ mode, and the resonant coupling drives the amplified oscillations of the $A_g(14)$ mode. We illustrate this behavior in Fig. $3(d)$, which shows the amplitudes of the two modes for $F = 2.5F_0$. Coupling between the A_g and B_{1u} modes causes strong oscillation of the A_g mode amplitude, which in turn shifts the frequency of the B_{1u} mode off resonance, so that the $A_{\rm g}$ amplitude oscillations decrease and the cycle repeats.

In the remaining two panels of Fig. 3 we show the effect of changing the pulse width σ [Fig. 3(e)] and the pulse frequency ω [Fig. 3(f)]. As expected, we find that the effect of increasing the pulse width is similar to that of increasing the pulse amplitude since both contribute to an increase in the pulse intensity. Typical resonance behavior for a driven oscillator is seen in the dependence of the responses on the pulse frequency [Fig. $3(f)$], with the amplitudes dropping off rapidly as the pump frequency is moved off resonance (at $\omega = \omega_0$) from the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode. We see also that the maximum induced amplitude of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode occurs at a frequency slightly lower than its eigenfrequency because of its anharmonic coupling to the $A_{\rm g}$ mode.

We find that the other phonon modes of A_g symmetry that couple in cubic order to $B_{1u}(17)$ show similar behavior. For the $A_{\rm g}(4)$ mode the induced quasistatic off-centering amplitude is 0.01 \sqrt{u} Å after excitation of B_{1u} by our reference pulse with strength F_0 . For the two other A_g modes the static off-centered amplitudes are half of this size. The dynamics of the mode oscillation as a function of pulse strength, frequency, and width is qualitatively similar for all modes. We note finally that in a real system the excitation of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode generates a structure that is a superposition of the displacements caused by all relevant A_g modes, rather than just the one considered here.

Finally, we discuss our results with respect to the experimental findings of Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0). In Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0), time-resolved x-ray diffraction on optically pumped $YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.5+x}$ found a quasistatic structural change corresponding to a reduction in the Cu-apical-oxygen distance of 2.2 pm, which was attributed to cubic anharmonic phonon-phonon coupling. This is the same distortion pattern that we obtain in this work for $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$, suggesting that it should be found across the entire yttrium barium copper oxide series and is at least qualitatively independent of the doping concentration. We note, however, that our calculations do not capture the second finding of Ref. [\[9\]](#page-8-0), that of induced changes in the inter- and intraplane distances, since the smaller unit-cell size that we use in this work does not allow this degree of freedom.

Induced changes in electronic and magnetic properties

We now discuss the effect of the structural modulations induced by phonon excitation on the electronic and magnetic properties. We focus in particular on the changes in the magnetic exchange interactions and the density of states at the Fermi level, both of which are believed to be relevant for superconductivity in cuprate superconductors [\[8,22\]](#page-8-0). We distinguish between the effect of the change in the time-averaged structure associated with the shift in the minimum of the potential well to a nonzero value of the $A_g(14)$ mode previously referred to

FIG. 4. Calculated densities of states at the Fermi level N_{E_F} as a function of (a) the $A_g(14)$ mode amplitude and (b) the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode amplitude. The dashed vertical lines indicate the values of the averaged $A_g(14)$ mode amplitude [in (a)] and $B_{1u}(17)$ maximum amplitude [in (b)] at our reference pump strength.

as a transient structural distortion (we call this the quasistatic contribution) and the ongoing oscillations around this average structure (which we call the oscillatory contribution) that are dominated by the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode.

We begin with the density of states at the Fermi energy N_{E_F} . In the equilibrium structure, $N_{EF} = 4.14$ states, with the majority contribution (2.47 states) coming from the copperoxygen planes and smaller contributions from the copper ions in the chains (0.64 state) and the apical oxygen atoms (0.41 state). (Note that the difference between the total and local values occurs because the local contributions are obtained from projecting the density of states into atomic spheres, so interstitial contributions are not captured.) Figure $4(a)$ shows the change in N_{E_F} as a function of the amplitude of the $A_{\rm g}(14)$ mode, with the vertical line indicating the value of the quasistatic distortion at our reference pump strength. We find a reduction in electron count of around −1*.*4% for the negative amplitudes that are present in the quasistatic structure; the density of states in the copper-oxygen planes is largely unchanged, however. In Fig. $4(b)$ we show the corresponding variation in N_{E_F} as a function of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode amplitude, with the vertical lines indicating the maximum amplitudes at our reference pulse strength. Here N_{E_F} increases quadratically with *B*1u(17) mode amplitude, reaching ∼2*.*7% difference at the maximum amplitude, with the change dominated by the states in the $CuO₂$ planes. Consequently, although the timeaveraged amplitude of the polar $B_{1u}(17)$ mode is zero, its oscillation causes a change in N_{E_F} comparable in magnitude to that caused by the quasistatic distortion and likely more relevant for the description of the physics in the copper-oxygen planes.

Next, we evaluate the magnetic exchange interactions by mapping our calculated DFT total energy differences onto

FIG. 5. (a) Schematic of the two copper-oxygen planes of $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ indicating the two magnetic exchanges, J_{inter} and J_{intra} , that we consider in this work. Calculated magnetic exchange interaction as a function of mode amplitude for (b) $A_g(14)$, (c) $B_{1u}(17)$, and (d) $B_{2g}(2)$ mode amplitudes. The vertical dashed lines in (b) and (c) indicate the values of average and maximum mode amplitude induced by the reference pulse for $A_g(14)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$, respectively. In (d) the vertical lines show the induced maximum amplitude of $B_{2g}(2)$ after excitation of the system with a field pulse four times stronger than the reference pulse. Note the different *y*-axis scales.

a Heisenberg model using the approach of Refs. [\[23,24\]](#page-8-0). We use a simple Heisenberg model with only two magnetic exchanges, J_{inter} and J_{intra} [Fig. 5(a)], as measures of the exchange between and within the copper-oxygen planes, respectively. In the ground-state structure we find that both exchanges are antiferromagnetic, with $J_{\text{inter}} = 11.5 \text{ meV}$ and $J_{intra} = 7.0$ meV. [Note that these values for the overdoped system are smaller than those for underdoped cuprates, which are typically on the order of 100 meV. Our calculations for the parent compound $YBa₂Cu₃O₆$ (not shown) indeed reproduce these larger values.] In Fig. $5(b)$ we show J_{inter} and J_{intra} as a function of the $A_{\text{g}}(14)$ mode amplitude, again with the vertical dashed line indicating the amplitude at the quasistatic structure induced by our reference pulse. Both *J*inter and *J*intra decrease, by around 13% and 5%, respectively. In Fig. $5(c)$ we show our calculated values of J_{inter} and J_{intra} as a function of the polar $B_{1u}(17)$ mode amplitude, again with the vertical lines indicating the maximum amplitudes at our reference pulse strength. We find a quadratic increase of both magnetic exchanges with mode amplitude, with J_{inter} and J_{intra} increasing by 17% and 122%, respectively, at the maximum amplitude of $B_{1u}(17)$. These oscillatory changes exceed those found for the quasistatic structure.

To summarize this section, we find that excitation of the $B_{1u}(17)$ phonon mode causes a quasistatic decrease in both the magnetic exchanges and the density of states at the Fermi energy, accompanied by strong oscillations of these values.

FIG. 6. (a) Time evolution of the $B_{1u}(17)$ (blue dashed line) and $B_{2g}(2)$ (orange line) phonon modes after excitation of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode by a pulse *F*(*t*) (pulse envelope shown by the dotted green line). (b) Fourier transform of the time-dependent amplitudes in (a). The straight lines mark the mode frequencies of $B_{2g}(2)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$. Note that the spectra of $B_{2g}(2)$ is scaled by a factor of 100. (c) Maximum amplitudes of the $B_{2g}(2)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$ modes after excitation with different pulse strengths *F* given relative to the reference value $F_0 = 3$ MV/cm. (d) Oscillation frequencies *f* of the $B_{2g}(2)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$ modes (relative to their unperturbed eigenfrequencies f_0) as a function of excitation pulse strength. Time evolution of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode for a range of $B_{1u}(17)$ mode pulse strengths (e) below and (f) above the critical strength at which the frequency of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode becomes zero. Note that the time range is extended with respect to that shown in (a).

B. Quartic anharmonicities

In this section we analyze the coupling between the pumped $B_{1u}(17)$ mode and the coupled *B* modes that do not allow cubic coupling by symmetry in order to isolate the effects of the quartic anharmonicities. Since quartic coupling renormalizes the mode frequencies according to Eq. (3) we focus on the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode, which has the largest renormalization due to its low frequency. In Figs. $6(a)$ and $6(b)$ we show, respectively, the time dependence of the amplitudes and the corresponding Fourier transforms for the $B_{2g}(2)$ and $B_{1u}(17)$ modes after excitation of the polar mode by our reference pulse of strength $F_0 = 3 \text{ MV/cm}$. At this pulse strength, we see that the quartic anharmonic coupling induces no noticeable oscillation of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode. The Fourier transform of the oscillation pattern shows a peak at the original $B_{1u}(17)$ mode eigenfrequency and a tiny peak at the $B_{2g}(2)$ frequency which is hardly visible on this scale. Both frequencies are indicated by the vertical black lines in Fig. 6(b).

Next, we investigate the effect of the pump strength and show in Fig. $6(c)$ the evolution of the maximum amplitudes for both modes as a function of the pump strength *F* relative to the reference value F_0 . (Note that, since the cubic coupling is zero by symmetry, the average displacement of both modes is always zero.) As expected, the maximum amplitude of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode increases linearly with the pulse strength. The behavior of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode, however, is strikingly different. Its maximum amplitude is at first independent of pump strength (on this scale it is indistinguishable from zero), until at $F = 3.6F_0 = 10.8$ MV/cm it shows an abrupt nonlinear

increase, rapidly becoming comparable to the amplitude of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode. To understand this behavior, we show in Fig. $6(d)$ the frequencies of the $B_{1u}(17)$ and $B_{2g}(2)$ modes as a function of normalized pulse strength. We see that increasing the pulse strength does not affect the frequency of $B_{1u}(17)$ over the range studied. For $B_{2g}(2)$, however, we find that the frequency *decreases* with pulse strength, corresponding to the renormalization due to the quartic coupling $[Eq. (3)]$ $[Eq. (3)]$ $[Eq. (3)]$ and reaches zero at the pulse strength (∼3*.*6*F*0) corresponding to the sharp increase in the maximum amplitude. At higher pump strength the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode frequency is again finite and increases slightly.

To better understand the nature of the oscillations in the range of the critical pump strength we show in Figs. $6(e)$ and $6(f)$ the time-dependent amplitudes of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode for pulse strengths slightly below and above the critical value of $F = 3.6F_0$, respectively. For pulse strengths below the critical value we see that the oscillations remain sinusoidal but show a strong increase in wavelength and amplitude as the critical value is approached. In contrast, for pulse strengths above the critical value, the response is nonsinusoidal, and the oscillation amplitudes are substantially higher (note the different *y*-axis scale) due to the dynamical instability of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode. These large-amplitude oscillations of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode have a drastic effect on the $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ structure. From Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-1-0) which shows the eigendisplacement of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode, we see that the induced structural change is a shear of adjacent $CuO₂$ bilayers in opposite directions in the $a-b$ plane (the two $CuO₂$ layers within a bilayer shear in the same direction). The

oscillations, shown in Fig. $6(f)$, reach amplitudes of 0.8 \sqrt{u} $\rm \AA$, which correspond to movements of the atoms in the BaO plane of about 5 pm and of about 2 pm relative motion of the BaO and $CuO₂$ planes. Interestingly, the frequency renormalization of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) suggests imaginary frequencies for amplitudes larger than $Q_{IR}^{crit} =$ 0.91 \sqrt{u} Å of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode. In our simulations, however, we find that the instability manifests only at amplitudes of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode above $Q_{IR} = 1.35 \sqrt{u} \text{ Å}$ [Figs. [6\(c\)](#page-6-0) and $6(d)$]. This corresponds to the pulse strength at which the amplitude of the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode exceeds the critical amplitude for around half of the time; a maximum amplitude equal to the critical value is insufficient. For further increasing amplitudes of $B_{1u}(17)$, the time that must be spent above the critical value in order for the instability to manifest increases as $t_{\text{crit.}} = 4 \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{Q_{\text{IR}}^{\text{crit}}}{Q_{\text{IR}}} \right) / \left(2 \pi f_{\text{IR}} \right)$ and eventually saturates, reflected within Fig. $6(d)$ in the range beyond $F = 3.6F_0$. (This last result is obtained trivially from the time that a sinusoidal oscillation spends above a specific amplitude.)

Finally, we discuss the oscillations in the electronic properties caused by the quartic coupling to the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode for a pulse of $3.8F_0$ [Fig. [6\(f\)\]](#page-6-0). Since the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode eigenvector consists of a shear of the two copper-oxygen planes in the bilayer, we expect a strong effect on the interplane exchange interactions, which we indeed see in Fig. [5\(d\):](#page-5-0) At maximum amplitude J_{inter} reduces by 164% to -7.3 meV . J_{intra} is largely unaffected by excitation of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode, as is the density of states at the Fermi energy (not shown). We note that this shear motion induces an ultrafast oscillating stress along the *b* direction. We extract the value of this stress using the frozen-phonon method and obtain a value of $∼1$ GPa for an amplitude of $0.8\sqrt{u}$ Å of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode. In addition to the distortion of the $B_{2g}(2)$ mode, fluctuations of the exchange values caused by the $B_{1u}(17)$ mode also occur here, at the correspondingly higher frequency. Consequently, strong pumping will cause a temporal slow sign change of the interlayer exchange interactions accompanied by fast oscillations of the intralayer exchanges.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In summary, we have computed the anharmonic phononphonon couplings up to fourth order in $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$ and explored the structural dynamics induced by pulsed pumping of an IR phonon mode. Consistent with previous work [\[9\]](#page-8-0), we found that cubic phonon-phonon coupling of type $Q_{\text{IR}}^2 Q_{\text{G}},$ with *G* being a mode of A_g symmetry, dominates at lower excitation strengths. In addition, we found several low-frequency modes that exhibit a sizable quartic coupling of the form $Q_{\rm IR}^2 Q_{\rm G}^2$. Our computations of the effect of pulsed excitation of an IR mode revealed that various kinds of structural dynamics can be triggered in $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$, depending on the pulse strength. For low pulse strengths ($F \lessapprox 3$ MV/cm), the cubic

coupling causes a quasistatic modulation of the structure, whereas the quartic is not significant.

For moderate to large pulse strengths ($F \gtrsim 6$ MV/cm), the quasistatic displacement caused by the cubic coupling exhibits additional large-amplitude modulations of the *A*^g mode. In addition, for even stronger pulse strengths ($F \gtrsim 10.5 \,\mathrm{MV/cm}$) the quartic coupling becomes activated, and the coupled $B₀(2)$ mode become imaginary, at which point its oscillation becomes nonsinusoidal with large amplitude. These effects were not discussed in earlier work, which used lower pulse strengths, but are accessible, for example, with free-electron lasers, for which field strengths reach up to 50 MV/cm. Indeed, our predicted induction of a high-frequency dynamical shear strain at high field provides a motivation for provision of THz sources at free-electron lasers to allow experimental exploration of this regime, where measurement of the induced changes in the superconducting behavior could be valuable in understanding the pairing mechanism.

In particular, we saw that while the induced changes in the quasistatic structure do not have a strong effect on the electronic properties, the oscillating changes, particularly along the eigenvector of the B_{1u} mode, strongly modify the magnetic exchange interactions, which are likely relevant for superconductivity [\[8\]](#page-8-0). While we emphasize that our calculations are for the overdoped regime, the strong sinusoidal oscillation that our simulations reveal in the intra- and interplanar magnetic exchange interactions could be relevant for the observed signatures of coherent transport above the equilibrium critical temperature [\[5,6\]](#page-8-0). In addition to the superconducting properties, it was recently suggested that coupled spin-lattice fluctuations could be a source of magnetic quadrupolar order related to the pseudogap phase of cuprate oxides [\[25\]](#page-8-0). Whether such an order is suppressed or enhanced by an additional induced fluctuation of the magnetic exchange interaction is an intriguing question, and its experimental resolution could shed additional light on the relevance of spin-lattice coupling in cuprates.

Finally, we note that the link between the dynamic and quasistatic structural changes of either cubic or quartic anharmonic origin and the magnetic exchanges suggests nonlinear phononics as a route to novel coupled phonon-magnon behavior. An example of such a coupling could be the recent work of Ref. [\[26\]](#page-8-0), in which intense optical excitation of two orthogonal phonon modes has been shown to excite a magnon.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by ETH Zurich, by the ERC Advanced Grant program, Grant No. 291151, and by the NCCR MARVEL, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. Calculations were performed at the Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) under project ID s624. We thank A. Cavalleri, A. Georges, and R. Mankowsky for useful discussions.

[3] M. Rini, R. Tobey, N. Dean, J. Itatani, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, R. W. Schoenlein, and A. Cavalleri, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06119) **[449](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06119)**, [72](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06119) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06119).

^[1] J. Orenstein, [Phys. Today](https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.1717) **[65](https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.1717)**[\(9\),](https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.1717) [44](https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.1717) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.3.1717).

^[2] M. Först, C. Manzoni, S. Kaiser, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, R. Merlin, and A. Cavalleri, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2055) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2055)**, [854](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2055) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2055).

- [4] T. Kubacka, J. A. Johnson, M. C. Hoffmann, C. Vicario, S. de Jong, P. Beaud, S. Grübel, S.-W. Huang, L. Huber, L. Patthey *et al.*, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242862) **[343](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242862)**, [1333](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242862) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242862).
- [5] S. Kaiser, C. R. Hunt, D. Nicoletti, W. Hu, I. Gierz, H. Y. Liu, M. Le Tacon, T. Loew, D. Haug, B. Keimer *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.184516) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.184516)**, [184516](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.184516) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.184516).
- [6] [W. Hu, S. Kaiser, D. Nicoletti, C. R. Hunt, and I. Gierz,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3963) Nat. Mater. **[13](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3963)**, [705](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3963) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3963).
- [7] C. R. Hunt, D. Nicoletti, S. Kaiser, D. Pröpper, T. Loew, J. Porras, B. Keimer, and A. Cavalleri, [arXiv:1607.08655](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1607.08655) (2016).
- [8] W. E. Pickett, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.433) **[61](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.433)**, [433](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.433) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.433).
- [9] R. Mankowsky, A. Subedi, M. Först, S. O. Mariager, M. Chollet, H. T. Lemke, J. S. Robinson, J. M. Glownia, M. P. Minitti, A. Frano, M. Fechner, N. A. Spaldin, T. Loew, B. Keimer, A. Georges, and A. Cavalleri, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13875) **[516](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13875)**, [71](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13875) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13875).
- [10] A. Subedi, A. Cavalleri, and A. Georges, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.220301) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.220301)**, [220301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.220301) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.220301).
- [11] R. Mankowsky, M. Först, T. Loew, J. Porras, B. Keimer, and A. Cavalleri, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094308) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094308)**, [094308](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094308) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094308).
- [12] [M. Andersson, O. Rapp, and R. Tellgren,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(93)90174-O) Phys. C (Amsterdam, Neth.) **[205](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(93)90174-O)**, [105](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(93)90174-O) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(93)90174-O).
- [13] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169)* [54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169), [11169](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169).
- [14] P. E. Blöchl, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953)* [50](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953), [17953](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953).
- [15] [S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515)**, [515](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.515).
- [16] K. P. Bohnen, R. Heid, and M. Krauss, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00143-x) **[64](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00143-x)**, [104](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00143-x) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-00143-x).
- [17] R. Liu, C. Thomsen, W. Kress, M. Cardona, B. Gegenheimer, F. W. de Wette, J. Prade, A. D. Kulkarni, and U. Schröder, *Phys.* Rev. B **[37](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.7971)**, [7971](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.7971) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.7971).
- [18] [G. Burns, F. H. Dacol, F. Holtzberg, and D. L. Kaiser,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(88)90812-5) Solid State Commun. **[66](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(88)90812-5)**, [217](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(88)90812-5) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(88)90812-5).
- [19] K. F. McCarty, J. Z. Liu, R. N. Shelton, and H. B. Radousky, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.8792) **[41](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.8792)**, [8792](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.8792) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.8792).
- [20] T. Strach, T. Ruf, E. Schönherr, and M. Cardona, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.16460)* **[51](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.16460)**, [16460](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.16460) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.16460).
- [21] C. Bernhard, T. Holden, J. Humlicek, D. Munzar, A. Golnik, M. [Klaser, T. Wolf, L. Carr, C. Homes, B. Keimer](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(01)00451-3) *et al.*, Solid State Commun. **[121](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(01)00451-3)**, [93](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(01)00451-3) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-1098(01)00451-3).
- [22] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165) **[518](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165)**, [179](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165).
- [23] H. J. Xiang, E. J. Kan, S.-H. Wei, M. H. Whangbo, and X. G. Gong, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.224429) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.224429)**, [224429](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.224429) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.224429).
- [24] L. K. Wagner and P. Abbamonte, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.125129) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.125129)**, [125129](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.125129) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.125129).
- [25] M. Fechner, M. J. A. Fierz, F. Thöle, U. Staub, and N. A. Spaldin, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.174419) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.174419)**, [174419](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.174419) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.174419).
- [26] T. F. Nova, A. Cartella, A. Cantaluppi, M. Foerst, D. Bossini, R. V. Mikhaylovskiy, A. V. Kimel, R. Merlin, and A. Cavalleri, [arXiv:1512.06351.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1512.06351)