# Magnetospectroscopy of excited states in charge-tunable GaAs/AlGaAs [111] quantum dots

M. V. Durnev,<sup>1</sup> M. Vidal,<sup>2</sup> L. Bouet,<sup>2</sup> T. Amand,<sup>2</sup> M. M. Glazov,<sup>1</sup> E. L. Ivchenko,<sup>1</sup> P. Zhou,<sup>2</sup> G. Wang,<sup>2</sup> T. Mano,<sup>3</sup> N. Ha,<sup>3</sup>

T. Kuroda,<sup>3</sup> X. Marie,<sup>2</sup> K. Sakoda,<sup>3</sup> and B. Urbaszek<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ioffe Institute, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Université de Toulouse, INSA-CNRS-UPS, LPCNO, 135 Avenue Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France

<sup>3</sup>National Institute for Material Science, Namiki 1-1, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan

(Received 26 November 2015; revised manuscript received 27 May 2016; published 15 June 2016)

We present a combined experimental and theoretical study of highly charged and excited electron-hole complexes in strain-free (111) GaAs/AlGaAs quantum dots grown by droplet epitaxy. We address the complexes with one of the charge carriers residing in the excited state, namely, the "hot" trions  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$ , and the doubly negatively charged exciton  $X^{2-}$ . Our magnetophotoluminescence experiments performed on single quantum dots in the Faraday geometry uncover characteristic emission patterns for each excited electron-hole complex, which are very different from the photoluminescence spectra observed in (001)-grown quantum dots. We present a detailed theory of the fine structure and magnetophotoluminescence spectra of  $X^{-*}$ ,  $X^{+*}$ , and  $X^{2-}$  complexes, governed by the interplay between the electron-hole Coulomb exchange interaction and the heavy-hole mixing, characteristic for these quantum dots with a trigonal symmetry. Comparison between experiment and theory allows for precise charge state identification, as well as extraction of electron-hole exchange interaction constants and *g* factors for the charge carriers occupying excited states.

#### DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245412

# I. INTRODUCTION

Semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) have been investigated for more than two decades. Due to their nanometer size, QDs are true quantum emitters and single spin memories [1-5] and ideally suited for fundamental studies of quantum phenomena on a single particle level and for experiments in quantum optics and information processing [6,7]. A major breakthrough for QD studies is the embedding of the QD in a charge tunable device [8-14]. In these structures highly charged complexes with one hole or electron in an excited state can be studied [9,10,15–21]. Voltage control of the QD charge state permits clearer identification of the transitions as compared to dots with residual doping. These devices also permit to separate various electron-hole complexes for studying them independently. Most of the studies were done on QDs, grown on (001) GaAs in Stranski-Krastanov process, leading to strained dots with disk or lens shape, characterized by the  $C_{2v}$  point symmetry. Droplet epitaxy technique allows a more flexible approach [22–26] and, particularly, the growth of strain free GaAs dots on (111) surface [27–29].

The advantage of strain free (111)-grown droplet GaAs/AlGaAs QDs is their high  $C_{3v}$  point symmetry. It results in a vanishingly small fine structure splitting of the exciton radiative doublet [27,30,31], which is a necessary requirement for the emission of entangled photon pairs from the biexciton-exciton cascade [32,33]. In addition, the  $C_{3v}$  symmetry with only threefold rotation axis  $z \parallel$  [111] leads to a coupling between the two valence heavy-hole states  $|\pm 3/2\rangle$  in a magnetic field applied along the [111] direction, i.e., in the Faraday geometry. This allows for the simultaneous detection of the initially "dark" and "bright" excitonic states in magnetophotoluminescence (magneto-PL) experiments [34–36].

While neutral excitons, biexcitons, and singly charged excitons, also known as trions, are well studied experimentally and theoretically, only few works report on the magneto-PL of highly charged and excited complexes on  $C_{2v}$  (001)-grown quantum dots [8,11–14]. For  $C_{3v}$  (111)-grown dots only

magneto-PL of neutral ( $X^0$ ) and charged excitons ( $X^+$  with two holes,  $X^-$  with two electrons) as well as of biexciton ( $XX^0$ ) was reported [34–36]. The charge tuning has been demonstrated for (111) QDs quite recently [37], but the magneto-PL of excited and highly charged electron-hole complexes has not been studied in detail so far. The zero-field PL of excited and highly charged electron-hole complexes in trigonal quantum dots has been studied in Refs. [31,38].

Here we report on experimental and theoretical studies of magneto-optical properties of some of the simplest charged complexes with charge carriers, residing in the excited states (p shell in atomlike nomenclature) of (111)-grown quantum dots. We perform in (111) dots magneto-optics experiments involving the "hot" trions,  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$  with one of two identical charge carriers occupying the first excited state as well as the doubly charged exciton complex,  $X^{2-}$ , with three electrons and one hole. The observed magneto-PL patterns of  $C_{3v}$  dots with (roughly) twice as many lines as for  $C_{2v}$  dots are so specific that comparison between experiment and theory allows state identification with a high degree of confidence. Therefore, along with detailed zero-field analysis including polarization and temperature dependent photoluminescence spectroscopy combined with temporal photon correlation measurements [31,38], magneto-PL studies serve as a convenient tool for identification of X<sup>-\*</sup> and X<sup>+\*</sup> complexes. We find that the rich structure of magneto-PL spectra of "hot" and multiple charged electron-hole complexes is a consequence of the heavy-hole mixing, present in our highly symmetric (111) dots. The identification of  $X^{-*}$  and X<sup>+\*</sup> complexes is possible due to the high signal-to-noise ratio in our experiments, which allows one to resolve the large number of lines (some of which are broad and weak in the studied range of magnetic fields) with many characteristic anticrossings.

Comparison between the experiment and developed theory allows us to extract the parameters governing the spindependent fine structure of electron-hole complexes, i.e.,



FIG. 1. PL spectra of QD P in a charge tunable device. (a) The schematic structure of the sample and experimental geometry: the dots are located between the bottom gate (doped layer) and the semitransparent top gate. (b) The schematic structure of the charge tunable device with the conduction band profile. (c) The contour plot of the QD P PL spectrum as a function of bias at zero magnetic field. The main transitions are labeled as in Ref. [37]. The other identified positively and negatively charged complexes are also labeled (see the text for details). Here blue corresponds to <100 counts, red to >3000 counts. Panels (d) and (e) show two typical magneto-PL patterns at fixed bias as a function of the applied magnetic field along [111]. In panel (d) blue corresponds to <100 counts, red to >12800 counts; in panel (e) blue corresponds to <100 counts, red to >40000 counts.

electron-hole exchange constants and components of the g-factor tensor for electrons and holes occupying excited states of the QD. Our knowledge on the electron and hole p states may allow us in future to perform resonant pumping experiments, for example, for optical orientation studies, as, for instance, in Ref. [39].

This paper is organized as follows. We first introduce the sample and the magneto-PL setup in Sec. II. The main points of our theory are outlined in Sec. III. The key features observed in magneto-PL and the detailed theory for  $X^{-*}$ ,  $X^{+*}$ , and  $X^{2-}$  complexes are presented in Sec. IV. Section V contains the discussion of our results and the paper is summarized in Sec. VI.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

The sample used in this study was grown by droplet epitaxy using a standard molecular beam epitaxy system [27,34]. Start-

ing from the  $n^+$ -GaAs(111)A substrate, the sample consists of 50-nm *n*-GaAs (Si:  $1 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>), 100-nm *n*-Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As (Si:  $1 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>), 20-nm Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As tunnel barrier, GaAs QDs, 120-nm Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As, 70-nm Al<sub>0.5</sub>Ga<sub>0.5</sub>As, and 10-nm GaAs cap. The sample structure, experimental geometry, and conduction band diagram are schematically illustrated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

The morphology analysis using AFM reveals symmetric dots with a typical height of  $\simeq 2...3$  nm and a radius of  $\simeq 15$  nm [36]. In this model system dots are truly isolated as they are not connected by a 2D wetting layer [27,40], contrary to Stranski-Krastanov dots and QDs formed at quantum well interface fluctuations [41]. A semitransparent Ti/Au layer with a nominal thickness of 6 nm serves as a Schottky top gate for charge tunability of the device; see Fig. 1(b). Application of a bias voltage allows the controlled charging of the QDs from -3|e| to +2|e| [37]. Single dot PL at 4 K is recorded with a homebuilt confocal microscope with a detection spot diameter of  $\simeq 1 \ \mu m [36,40]$ . The detected PL signal is dispersed by a spectrometer and detected by a Si-CCD camera (spectral precision of 1  $\mu eV$  and spectral resolution around 50  $\mu eV$ ). Optical excitation is achieved by pumping the AlGaAs barrier with a HeNe laser at 1.96 eV. Laser polarization control and PL polarization analysis is performed with Glan-Taylor polarisers and liquid crystal wave plates. Magnetic fields up to 9 T can be applied parallel to the growth axis [111] (Faraday geometry) that is also the angular momentum quantization axis and the light propagation axis. In what follows we denote different QDs in the sample by capital Latin letters, A, B, C, etc. The experimental spectra are presented for several characteristic quantum dots, namely, C, F, H, K, N, P, U, X.

Figure 1 shows the results of charge tuning in our sample for one of the QDs, i.e., QD P, as we present its PL contour plot as a function of applied voltage; see Fig. 1(c). We observe the main exciton complexes  $X^+$ ,  $X^0$ ,  $X^-$ ,  $X^{2-}$ , and  $X^{3-}$  as well as two other groups of transitions appearing at the same bias as  $X^+$  and  $\overline{X^-}$ . Based on comparison with the theory presented in Sec. IV, we identify these two complexes as the "hot" trion states  $X^{+*}$  and  $X^{-*}$  with one charge carrier (hole or electron, respectively), residing in an excited shell. We have performed magneto-PL for all observed complexes. The intriguing magneto-PL of  $X^+$ ,  $X^0$ , and  $X^-$  has been analyzed previously revealing heavy-hole mixing [34,36] in a longitudinal magnetic field. Here we concentrate on the very unusual magneto-PL of transitions of hot and highly charged complexes, such as the  $X^{+*}$  shown in Fig. 1(d) and  $X^{2-}$  shown in Fig. 1(e). Our theory, introduced in the next two sections, aims to reproduce the evolution of the main transitions observed as a function of applied magnetic field.

#### **III. THEORY: GENERAL MODEL**

This section is aimed at the description of basic features of the theoretical model for the energy and PL spectra of the hot and multiply charged electron-hole complexes in trigonal quantum dots in the presence of a longitudinal magnetic field  $\boldsymbol{B} \parallel [111]$ . The detailed theory as well as experimental spectra for each of the studied complexes, namely, hot charged trions  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$ , and a doubly negatively charged trion  $X^{2-}$ , are given in Sec. IV.

We start with the description of quantum confinement of many particle electron-hole complexes in trigonal quantum dots and follow the model developed in Ref. [36] and applied to evaluate hole wave functions and g factors. In our model we assume strong confinement of electrons and holes inside a dot, which allows one to treat the Coulomb interaction as a small perturbation, which influences essentially (i) the spectral positions of emission of corresponding electron-hole complexes via its binding energy [42], and (ii) the fine spin structure of the electron-hole energy spectrum via electronelectron (hole-hole) and electron-hole exchange interaction being, along with the Zeeman splittings, the focus of our work. This approach is valid for quantum dots with a size smaller than the exciton Bohr radius, which is typically the case in our experiment [36]. To model confinement in the dot we assume the separable form for a single-particle potential  $V_{e,h}(\mathbf{r}_{e,h}) = V_{\perp}^{(e,h)}(z_{e,h}) + V_{\parallel}^{(e,h)}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{e,h})$  acting on the electrons, *e*, and holes, *h*, respectively;  $\mathbf{r}_{e,h}$  is the position vector of corresponding charge carrier. Here  $V_{\perp}(z)$  and  $V_{\parallel}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$  describe carrier confinement in the *z* direction and in the lateral plane of a dot, respectively, and we use cylindrical coordinates  $\mathbf{r} = (\boldsymbol{\rho}, z)$ , with the *z* axis parallel to the growth axis [111]. The in-plane axes are chosen as  $x \parallel [11\bar{2}]$  and  $y \parallel [\bar{1}10]$ . This separable form is a good approximation for our trigonal dots having shapes of flattened pyramids with its lateral size much larger than its height [27,36].

The form of the confining potentials can be chosen following Ref. [36] in accordance with the trigonal point symmetry  $C_{3v}$  of the QD. Particularly, the potential  $V_{\perp}(z)$  has no specific parity with respect to the mirror reflection  $z \rightarrow -z$  and can be taken in the form of a triangular well. The in-plane potential can be taken as a combination of an isotropic parabolic potential [43–45] and a trigonal contribution proportional to  $\cos 3\varphi$  with  $\varphi$  being the azimuthal angle of the position vector reckoned from x axis.

The separable form of a confining potential allows one to factorize the wave function  $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$  of an electron (hole) localized in the quantum dot into a product of z- and  $\rho$ -dependent parts

$$\Psi_{nl}(\mathbf{r}) = F_n(z)\psi_l(\boldsymbol{\rho}). \tag{1}$$

Here indices n = 1, 2, ... and l = 1, 2, ... are the quantum numbers characterizing confinement of the z- and in-plane motion, respectively. Since in our dots confinement in the growth direction is much stronger than in the lateral plane, the first excited states in the dot are the states corresponding to n = 1, l > 1 [36]. The symmetry of the single-particle states underlies the fine structure of the electon-hole complexes energy spectrum and their magneto-optical properties. Therefore, it is instructive to classify  $\Psi_{nl}$  according to the irreducible representations of  $C_{3v}$  point symmetry group. Since any function  $F_n(z)$  transforms according to the identity representation,  $\Gamma_1$  (or  $A_1$ ) [46], the transformation properties of the wave function (1) are governed by the symmetry of the in-plane envelope  $\psi_l(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ . Due to the presence of the threefold rotation axis, the in-plane envelopes can transform either according to  $\Gamma_1$  or according to the twofold degenerate representation  $\Gamma_3$  (E). In atomlike nomenclature or shell model, widely used to classify the states in QDs [44,47,48],  $\Gamma_1$  state can be attributed to the *s* shell and the  $\Gamma_3$  doublet to the twofold degenerate p shells.

In what follows we consider ground *s*-type state (l = 1) and two excited *p*-type states (l = 2,3) of the in-plane motion. In order to simplify further treatment we assume that the base of a dot is slightly elongated in one direction, so that the degeneracy of the *p* states is lifted. By analogy with elliptically shaped  $C_{2v}$  dots, we refer to the corresponding states as  $p_x$  and  $p_y$ , and in the following we will consider only one state with a lower energy, i.e.,  $p_x$ . As we will show later, in Sec. V, the magneto-PL spectra of X<sup>-\*</sup> and X<sup>+\*</sup> do not change when the orbital degeneracy of *p*-shell states is taken into account.

The fine structure of the electron-hole complexes in the studied dots is governed by an interplay of the electron-hole exchange interaction, whose precise form is detailed below in Sec. IV where the particular complexes are identified, and Zeeman effects for individual charge carriers. For the

conduction band electrons, whose Bloch functions transform according to the spinor representation  $\Gamma_4$ , the Zeeman effect in **B** || [111] can be described as

$$\mathcal{H}_B^e = \frac{1}{2} g_e^{(nl)} \mu_B B_z \sigma_z^{(e)}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $\sigma_z^{(e)}$  is the *z*-component Pauli matrix, and  $g_e^{(nl)}$  (in what follows  $g_e^{(s)}$  or  $g_e^{(p)}$ ) is the electron *g* factor in *nl* orbital state. The doubly degenerate hole state transforms according to the reducible  $\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6$  representation of the  $C_{3v}$  point group, and the magnetoinduced mixing of heavy holes with opposite projections  $\pm 3/2$  of angular momentum onto the growth axis is of top importance. The latter effect is a specific feature of the trigonal  $C_{3v}$  symmetry, and was uncovered experimentally in Ref. [34] followed by the microscopic theory presented in Ref. [36]. As a consequence of the heavy-hole mixing, the effective Zeeman Hamiltonian for heavy holes (n = 1) in the field **B** || [111] has the form [34,36]

$$\mathcal{H}_{B}^{h} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{B} B_{z} \left( g_{h1}^{(l)} \sigma_{z}^{(h)} + g_{h2}^{(l)} \sigma_{x}^{(h)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \mu_{B} B_{z} \begin{pmatrix} g_{h1}^{(l)} & g_{h2}^{(l)} \\ g_{h2}^{(l)} & -g_{h1}^{(l)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

so that the effective g-factor tensor of the heavy hole contains both the diagonal  $(g_{h1}^{(l)})$  and off-diagonal  $(g_{h2}^{(l)})$  components (in what follows l = s, p). Here  $\sigma^{(h)} = (\sigma_x^{(h)}, \sigma_y^{(h)}, \sigma_z^{(h)})$  is the pseudovector composed of Pauli matrices acting in the space of  $\pm 3/2$  Bloch functions of heavy holes. As it was established in previous studies on neutral excitons X<sup>0</sup> and ground trion states X<sup>-</sup> and X<sup>+</sup>, the values of  $g_{h1}$  and  $g_{h2}$  for the *s* hole are of the same order of magnitude [34,36].

To calculate the PL spectra of the studied electron-hole complexes we apply the model similar to the model of Refs. [48,49]. We consider a quantum dot that is nonresonantly excited with a continuous-wave laser, so that the steady-state populations of the spin states are formed. The PL spectra in  $\sigma^+$  and  $\sigma^-$  polarizations are given by the Fermi golden rule:

$$I_{\pm}(\omega) = A \sum_{if} |M_{fi}^{\pm}|^2 n(E_i) \Delta(E_i - E_f + \delta E_{\text{dia}} - \hbar \omega).$$
(4)

Here  $|i\rangle$  is the initial state of the complex,  $|f\rangle$  is the final state of the QD after optical recombination,  $M_{fi}^{\pm}$  is the matrix element of an optical transition, defined by selection rules and wave functions of *i* and *f* states,  $E_{i,f}$  are the energies of the initial and final states,  $\hbar\omega$  is the energy of an emitted photon, and A is a constant. Note that we consider here only the radiative recombination processes involving an electron and a hole in the ground shells, i.e., s-shell electron and s-shell hole. To include the diamagnetic shift of electron-hole complex levels we introduce an energy shift  $\delta E_{dia} = \alpha_d B_z^2$  common for all lines of a given complex, where  $\alpha_d$  is a parameter. Its evaluation as well as the evaluation of precise energy positions of the initial and final states are beyond the scope of the present paper. While calculating the PL intensity we assume the initial levels *i* to be equally populated, i.e., take for the occupation numbers  $n(E_i) = 1$ . To model the broadening of PL lines we use the Lorentzian

$$\Delta(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma}{E^2 + \gamma^2},$$

with the broadening parameter  $\gamma$ . This parameter may, in general, be different for different initial and final states of a given complex; however, in the model we use a single value of  $\gamma$  for all summands in Eq. (4).

### **IV. IDENTIFICATION OF THE COMPLEXES**

In this section we present detailed theoretical and experimental description of the three excited complexes, which are the most pronounced in the experimental PL spectra, namely, the hot charged trions  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$ , which involve electron or hole in the excited shell, and the doubly charged exciton  $X^{2-}$ . The results are presented in a form of comparison between the theory and experiment for three different, representative QDs. Such a comparative analysis allowed us to identify these three complexes in magneto-PL experiments for many QDs. Experimental PL spectra and extracted fine structure parameters for an additional set of QDs are presented in Sec. V.

#### A. Hot negatively charged trion X<sup>-\*</sup>

Let us start our description with the hot negatively charged trion  $X^{-*}$ . This complex comprises a heavy hole in the s state and two electrons, one of which occupies the ground, s-shell state and the other resides in the excited p state. The schematic structure of the complex and calculated (see below for details) magneto-PL spectrum are depicted in Fig. 2(c). For comparison, the experimental magneto-PL spectrum of X<sup>-\*</sup> measured in  $\sigma^+$  polarization is presented in Fig. 2(a). The spectrum in  $\sigma^-$  polarization is obtained from the one presented in Fig. 2(a) by changing the sign of the magnetic field. At zero magnetic field the X<sup>-\*</sup> PL spectrum consists of two lines (a doublet) separated by 100...200  $\mu$ eV with the higher energy transition having higher intensity. When a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B_z)$  is applied, the lower energy line is split into two lines for each detected circular polarization, while an additional low intensity line appears at lower energy. As a result, in each,  $\sigma^+$  or  $\sigma^-$  polarization, the X<sup>-\*</sup> emission consists of four lines, see Fig. 2(b) for a typical emission spectrum found in the experiment, to give eight lines for the linearly polarized analyzer. As we will show later, the appearance of the low intensity (dark at  $B_z = 0$ ) line is a characteristic feature of the underlying  $C_{3v}$  symmetry of the dots, resulting from the heavy-hole mixing.

We now focus on theoretical description of X<sup>-\*</sup>. The spin states of X<sup>-\*</sup> are defined by the *z* component of the heavy-hole angular momentum ( $J_z = \pm 3/2$ ), the value of the total electron spin  $I^{(e)} = s_1^{(e)} + s_2^{(e)}$ , and its *z* projection  $I_z^{(e)}$ . Here  $s_1^{(e)}$  and  $s_2^{(e)}$  are the spins of the first and the second electron. It is well known [50,51] that due to the electron-electron (*e-e*) exchange interaction, the ground state of two electrons occupying *s* and *p* levels in a quantum dot is a triplet state, characterized by a total momentum  $I^{(e)} = 1$  and an antisymmetric combination



FIG. 2. Summary of theoretical and experimental results for magneto-PL of the X<sup>-\*</sup> complex (QD C). (a) Measured PL pattern in  $\sigma^+$  polarization and (b) measured PL spectra in  $\sigma^+$  polarization for two values of magnetic field, (c) theoretical calculation of the PL pattern, (d) energy levels and schematic illustration of selection rules in  $\sigma^+$  polarization. The lower part of the panel (d) shows energy levels of the *p*-electron ( $e^*$ ) which remains after X<sup>-\*</sup> recombination; dashed arrows depict the transitions that become active due to heavy-hole mixing, i.e.,  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \neq 0$ . The parameters used in calculations are  $\Delta_0 = 190 \ \mu eV$ ,  $g_e^{(p)} = 0.47$ ,  $\gamma = 25 \ \mu eV$ , and  $\alpha_d = 5 \ \mu eV/T^2$ , where the value of  $\Delta_0$  is directly taken from the spectrum shown in panel (b).

of lateral envelopes  $\psi_s(\boldsymbol{\rho})$  and  $\psi_p(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ :

$$|\mathbf{T},m\rangle_e = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi_m[\psi_s(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1)\psi_p(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) - \psi_p(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1)\psi_s(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2)].$$
(5)

Here  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are the in-plane electron coordinates,  $\chi_m$  is the spin-dependent part of the wave function, and  $m \equiv I_z^{(e)} = -1, 0, 1$ . As compared with the symmetric combination, two-electron wave function (5) minimizes Coulomb repulsion between the charge carriers. The two-electron singlet state is far above in energy (typically [37] ~5 meV) from the triplet one, and is therefore disregarded in our model. As a result, the six states of X<sup>-\*</sup> can be distinguished by the total angular momentum of the hole and the electron triplet  $S_z(X^{-*}) = J_z + I_z^{(e)} = \pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \pm 5/2.$ 

In the simplest model where the trigonal effects are neglected, the electron-hole exchange interaction which defines the fine structure of the  $X^{-*}$  complex at zero magnetic field is described by the following Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) = -\Delta_0 \sigma_z^{(h)} I_z^{(e)},\tag{6}$$

where  $\Delta_0$  is the constant of the electron-hole (*e*-*h*) exchange interaction between the heavy hole and the pair of electrons in

the triplet state ( $\Delta_0 > 0$ ). This constant is an average

$$\Delta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_0^{ss} + \delta_0^{ps} \right) \tag{7}$$

of the constants of the interaction between the *s* electron and *s* hole  $(\delta_0^{ss})$  and the *p* electron and *s* hole  $(\delta_0^{ps})$  [52]. It follows from Eq. (6) that the energy spectrum of X<sup>-\*</sup> at zero magnetic field constitutes three Kramers degenerate levels with the angular momentum components  $\pm 1/2$ ,  $\pm 3/2$ , and  $\pm 5/2$ , split by  $\Delta_0$ ; see Fig. 2(d). At **B** = 0 only  $\pm 1/2$  and  $\pm 3/2$  states are optically active (see below for details) resulting in the doublet at **B** = 0; see Fig. 2.

The Zeeman splitting of spin-degenerate levels in the longitudinal magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = (0,0,B_z)$  is described by the following Hamiltonian, cf. Eqs. (2) and (3),

$$\mathcal{H}_{B}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) = g_{1}\mu_{B}I_{z}^{(e)}B_{z} + \frac{1}{2} [g_{h1}^{(s)}\sigma_{z}^{(h)} + g_{h2}^{(s)}\sigma_{x}^{(h)}]\mu_{B}B_{z}, \qquad (8)$$

where  $g_1$  is the effective g factor of the triplet state. Making use of the explicit form of two-electron wave function (5), we express the triplet g factor  $g_1$  via s- and p-states electron gfactors,  $g_e^{(s)}$  and  $g_e^{(p)}$ , as [53]

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( g_e^{(s)} + g_e^{(p)} \right). \tag{9}$$

The total X<sup>-\*</sup> Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(X^{-*}) = \mathcal{H}_0(X^{-*}) + \mathcal{H}_B(X^{-*})$  assumes according to Eqs. (6) and (8) the blockdiagonal form in the basis (+5/2, -5/2, +1/2, -1/2, +3/2, -3/2)

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{4\times4}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{H}_{2\times2}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(10)

The blocks entering Eq. (10) read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{4\times 4}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_B B_z \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} 2g_1 + g_{h1}^{(s)} & 0 & 0 & g_{h2}^{(s)} \\ 0 & -2g_1 - g_{h1}^{(s)} & g_{h2}^{(s)} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{h2}^{(s)} & -2g_1 + g_{h1}^{(s)} & 0 \\ g_{h2}^{(s)} & 0 & 0 & 2g_1 - g_{h1}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{X}^{-*}) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_B B_z \begin{pmatrix} g_{h1}^{(s)} & g_{h2}^{(s)} \\ g_{h2}^{(s)} & -g_{h1}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

Note that the pair  $|\pm 3/2\rangle$  is decoupled from the other states. The structure of the block  $\mathcal{H}_{4\times4}$  closely resembles the effective Hamiltonian of a neutral exciton  $X^0$  in a trigonal dot [34,36]. The corresponding behavior of  $\mathcal{H}_{4\times4}$  eigenenergies with the characteristic level anticrossing is shown in Fig. 2(d) by dashed and dashed-dotted curves. Since the triplet state with  $I_z^{(e)} = 0$  is affected neither by the exchange interaction, nor by the magnetic field, the block  $\mathcal{H}_{2\times2}$ , Eq. (12), corresponds to a single heavy hole. Its energy spectrum consists of two

TABLE I. g factors of s states and s-s electron-hole exchange constants in the studied dots. Parameters are extracted from the analysis of the  $X^0$  complex.

	QDC QDK		QDP
$\overline{g_e^{(s)}}$	0.46	0.45	0.32
$g_{h1}^{(s)}$	0.04	0.38	0.70
$g_{h2}^{(s)}$	0.92	0.76	0.57
$\delta_0^{ss}$ (µeV)	290	350	160
$\delta_1^{ss}$ (µeV)	8	6	0

levels with the energies [34]  $\varepsilon_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{(g_{h1}^{(s)})^2 + (g_{h2}^{(s)})^2} \mu_B B_z/2$ [see Fig. 2(d)], and the corresponding eigenstates are linear combinations of  $|+3/2\rangle$  and  $|-3/2\rangle$  states.

Next we turn to the selection rules for optical transitions. Allowed optical transitions in  $\sigma^+$  polarization for  $B_z \ge 0$ are sketched in Fig. 2(d). The final state after optical recombination of the *s* hole and *s* electron is the electron in p state  $(e^*)$ , described by the Hamiltonian (2). In the absence of heavy-hole mixing, i.e., at  $g_{h2}^{(s)} = 0$ , active optical transitions in  $\sigma^+$  polarization are  $|+3/2\rangle_{X^{-*}} \rightarrow |+1/2\rangle_{e^*}$  and  $|+1/2\rangle_{X^{-*}} \rightarrow |-1/2\rangle_{e^*}$ , where the subscripts denote corresponding initial and final QD states. However, at  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \neq 0$  the states  $|+3/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$  and  $|-3/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$ , as well as  $|+1/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$  and  $|-5/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$ , are intermixed, and therefore all the four states become optically active in  $\sigma^+$  polarization. The selection rules in  $\sigma^-$  polarization can be obtained by changing the sign of all spin projections. To summarize, the PL spectrum of  $X^{-*}$  in a given circular polarization consists of four lines in total, two of which can be referred as "bright" ones, i.e., active even for  $g_{h2}^{(s)} = 0$ , and the others as "dark" ones, active only because  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \neq 0$ .

Figure 2(c) presents the results of our calculations for the magneto-PL spectrum of  $X^{-*}$  with the parameters given in the figure caption. We use the values of g factors of the s hole and s electron that were experimentally determined from the analysis of the ground-state exciton X<sup>0</sup> using procedure explained in Refs. [34,36]; see Table I. The only fitting parameters are the exchange interaction constant  $\Delta_0$  and g factor of the electron excited state  $g_e^{(p)}$ . The value of  $\Delta_0$  is determined from the experimental value of doublet splitting at  $B_z = 0$ ; see Fig. 2(b). The value of  $g_e^{(p)}$  is extracted from the fitting of experimental splittings of the two "inner" lines (corresponding to initial  $|\pm 3/2\rangle_{\chi^{-*}}$  states) in  $\sigma^+$  and  $\sigma^-$  polarizations. This fitting allows one to extract independently  $g_e^{(p)}$  and  $g_h^{(s)} \equiv \sqrt{(g_{h1}^{(s)})^2 + (g_{h2}^{(s)})^2}$ . The obtained value is  $g_e^{(p)} = 0.47$ , which only slightly differs from  $g_e^{(s)}$ . The value  $g_h^{(s)} = 0.78$ , extracted from the fitting of X<sup>-\*</sup> PL spectrum, is very close to the one presented in Table I for  $X^0$  (the small difference may be related to Coulomb-induced contributions to the effective hole g factor).

One can see that the model reproduces well all the characteristic features of the  $X^{-*}$  PL spectrum observed in the experiment. The crucial point for the identification of  $X^{-*}$  complex is the appearance of low-energy "dark" transitions as the magnetic field amplitude increases. As shown above, this is

due to the admixture of  $|\pm 1/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$  component in  $|\mp 5/2\rangle_{X^{-*}}$  state.

To conclude this subsection it is instructive to compare our experimental and theoretical results with the data of Ref. [12] where the identification of the excited trion X<sup>-\*</sup> complex has been carried out in GaAs quantum-well QDs grown on (001) surface and of Ref. [11] for InGaAs QDs. The spectra for triplet states involving *p*-shell electron in the (001) QDs are presented in Ref. [12], Figs. 4(a), 4(e) and Ref. [11], Fig. 5(a). Similar to our results Ref. [11] extracts the electron-hole exchange interaction constant  $\delta_0^{ss} \sim 230 \,\mu\text{eV}$ . The main difference with our results, Fig. 2, which makes simpler the spectra observed in Refs. [11,12], is the absence of magnetoinduced heavy-hole mixing in the previously studied systems. Additional lines that we observe in sufficiently strong magnetic fields  $B_z \gtrsim 4$  T provide a direct access to dark states unaccessible in (001)-grown QDs in the Faraday configuration of magnetic field.

## B. Hot positively charged trion X<sup>+\*</sup>

We will next discuss the hot positively charged trion  $X^{+*}$ ; see Fig. 3. This complex comprises an electron in the *s* state and two holes, one in the *s*-shell and one in the *p*-shell state.



FIG. 3. Summary of theoretical and experimental results on magneto-PL of the X<sup>+\*</sup> complex (QD K). (a) Measured PL pattern in  $\sigma^+$  polarization and (b) measured PL spectra in  $\sigma^+$  polarization at two values of magnetic field, (c) theoretical calculation of the PL pattern, (d) energy levels and schematic illustration of selection rules in  $\sigma^+$  polarization. Solid and dashed lines in panel (d) show dispersions of two decoupled blocks of Hamiltonian, Eqs. (16) and (17). The lower part of the panel (d) shows energy levels of the *p* hole  $(h^*)$  that occurs after X<sup>+\*</sup> recombination; dashed arrows depict the transitions that become active due to heavy-hole mixing, i.e.,  $g_{h2}^{(p)} \neq 0$  and  $g_{h2}^{(p)} \neq 0$ . The parameters used in calculations are  $\tilde{\Delta}_0 = 250 \,\mu\text{eV}$ ,  $g_{h1}^{(p)} = 2.5$ ,  $g_{h2}^{(p)} = 0.4$ ,  $\gamma = 40 \,\mu\text{eV}$ , and  $\alpha_d = 13 \,\mu\text{eV/T}^2$ , where the value of  $\tilde{\Delta}_0$  is directly taken from the spectrum shown in panel (b).

Although the X<sup>+\*</sup> complex is the charge conjugate of the X<sup>-\*</sup> complex considered in the previous subsection, its fine structure in the magnetic field is very different from that of its negatively charged counterpart, as seen in Fig. 3(c) where the calculated spectrum is shown. The experimental magneto-PL spectrum of  $X^{+*}$  is presented in Fig. 3(a). At zero magnetic field the PL spectrum of  $X^{+*}$ , as well as the spectrum of  $X^{-*}$ , consists of a doublet separated by 150...250  $\mu$ eV. When a magnetic field is applied, the PL spectrum evolves into a complex pattern: the magneto PL contour plot has an asymmetric "scissors"-like shape with two high intensity transitions for each detected circular polarization. In  $\sigma^+$ polarization up to six lines are resolved at magnetic fields  $B_z \gtrsim 4$  T; see Fig. 3(b). It is clearly seen that some of these lines correspond to dark transitions and appear only due to the admixture of the bright states, typical for (111) grown dots.

Similar to the case of X<sup>-\*</sup>, we consider only two heavyhole triplet states with antisymmetric orbital wave function; cf. Eq. (5). As a result, the two-hole state can be labeled by the *z* component of the total angular momentum of the pair,  $F_z^{(h)} =$ -3,0,3. Hence the six states of X<sup>+\*</sup> can be distinguished by the *z* component of the total spin  $S_z(X^{+*}) = s_z^{(e)} + F_z^{(h)} =$  $\pm 1/2, \pm 5/2, \pm 7/2$ . In the absence of magnetic field the active states are  $\pm 1/2$  and  $\pm 5/2$  giving rise to two doublets; see below for details.

The effective X<sup>+\*</sup> Hamiltonian which takes into account both *e*-*h* exchange interaction and the Zeeman effect in the field  $\boldsymbol{B} \parallel [111]$  has the form

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{X}^{+*}) = -\tilde{\Delta}_0 \sigma_z^{(e)} I_z^{(h)} + \frac{1}{2} g_e^{(s)} \mu_B \sigma_z^{(e)} B_z + \left( g_3 I_z^{(h)} + g_3' I_x^{(h)} \right) \mu_B B_z,$$
(13)

where  $\sigma_z^{(e)}$  is the electron spin-*z* Pauli matrix, and we introduced 3×3 matrices of pseudospin 1 operator  $I^{(h)} = (I_x^{(h)}, I_y^{(h)}, I_z^{(h)})$  as a sum of single heavy-hole pseudospin operators,  $F_z^{(h)} = 3I_z^{(h)}$ . The electron-hole exchange interaction constant in X<sup>+\*</sup>,  $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ , is defined by analogy with X<sup>-\*</sup> as

$$\tilde{\Delta}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_0^{ss} + \delta_0^{sp} \right),\tag{14}$$

where  $\delta_0^{sp}$  stands for the *s*-electron and *p*-hole exchange constant. Due to heavy-hole mixing in the longitudinal magnetic field, Eq. (3), the Zeeman effect for two-hole triplet is described by two *g* factors, being related with the *g* factors of a single *s* and *p* hole as follows [54]:

$$g_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{h1}^{(s)} + g_{h1}^{(p)} \right), \quad g_3' = \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{h2}^{(s)} + g_{h2}^{(p)} \right). \tag{15}$$

The Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(X^{+*})$  written in the basis (+7/2, -5/2, +1/2, -7/2, +5/2, -1/2) consists of two independent blocks,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{X}^{+*}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{3\times3}^+(\mathbf{X}^{+*}) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{H}_{3\times3}^-(\mathbf{X}^{+*}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (16)$$

describing the fine structure of the hole triplet separately for the spin-up and spin-down electron and given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{3\times3}^{\pm}(\mathbf{X}^{+*}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\Delta}_{0} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \tilde{\Delta}_{0} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{B}B_{z} \begin{pmatrix} \pm (g_{e}^{(s)} + 2g_{3}) & 0 & \sqrt{2}g_{3}'\\ 0 & \pm (g_{e}^{(s)} - 2g_{3}) & \sqrt{2}g_{3}'\\ \sqrt{2}g_{3}' & \sqrt{2}g_{3}' & \pm g_{e}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(17)

The eigenenergies of the blocks  $\mathcal{H}_{3\times 3}^+$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{3\times 3}^-$  are depicted in Fig. 3(d) by solid and dashed lines, respectively.

The final state of a QD after optical recombination of X<sup>+\*</sup> involving s-shell electron and s-shell hole is the p-shell hole  $(h^*)$  described by the 2×2 Hamiltonian (3) with g factors  $g_{h1}^{(p)}$ and  $g_{h2}^{(p)}$ . The selection rules in a given circular polarization are determined by the orientation of the electron spin, so that the states described by  $\mathcal{H}^+_{3\times 3}$  ( $\mathcal{H}^-_{3\times 3}$ ) are active in  $\sigma^-$  ( $\sigma^+$ ) polarization. In the absence of heavy-hole mixing, i.e., at  $g'_3 = 0$ and  $g_{h2}^{(p)} = 0$ , optical transitions active in the  $\sigma^+$  polarization are  $|-1/2\rangle_{X^{+*}} \rightarrow |-3/2\rangle_{h^*}$  and  $|+5/2\rangle_{X^{+*}} \rightarrow |+3/2\rangle_{h^*}$ . With inclusion of the heavy-hole mixing the situation becomes diverse. First of all, due to mixing of the triplet states within the blocks  $\mathcal{H}_{3\times 3}^{\pm}$ , the  $|\pm 7/2\rangle_{X^{+*}}$  states become optically active. Secondly, since the p holes in the final states  $|\pm 3/2\rangle_{h^*}$  are coupled, the transitions to both p-hole states are possible in a given circular polarization. As a result, the X<sup>+\*</sup> magneto-PL spectrum in a given circular polarization consists of six lines in total: two bright ones and four dark ones.

Figure 3(c) presents the results of calculations of the X<sup>+\*</sup> magneto-PL spectrum. The resemblance with the experimental results shown in Fig. 3(a) is striking. Our simulation reproduces well both the PL asymmetry with the two intense lines at  $B_z < 0$  and the complicated structure at  $B_z > 0$  with characteristic anticrossings of the lines and activation of the dark transitions. The total number of lines, six, is also consistent with the experimental spectrum. We note that the dark states are more pronounced in the experiment as compared with the model calculations, because their steady-state occupancies may be higher due to longer radiative decay times.

# C. Double negatively charged exciton X<sup>2-</sup>

Another important application of our theory is the analysis of the  $X^{2-}$  complex, which comprises a hole and three electrons: two of them occupy the ground *s*-shell state and form the spin singlet state, and one is in the *p* state; see the inset to Fig. 4(c). The final state after optical recombination of  $X^{2-}$  is a pair of electrons, one being in *s*-shell and the other in *p*-shell orbital state. Their spins can be either in the triplet or in the singlet state. While optical recombination to both singlet and triplet states is observed at zero magnetic field, see Fig. 1(c) and Ref. [37], for the magneto-PL studies we focus only on the transitions to the triplet state, which are labeled as " $X^{2-}_{triplet}$ " in Fig. 1(c). The measured magneto-PL spectrum of  $X^{2-}$  is presented in Fig. 4(a). At zero magnetic field  $X^{2-}$ 



FIG. 4. Summary of theoretical and experimental results on magneto-PL of the X<sup>2-</sup> complex (QD P). (a) Measured PL pattern in  $\sigma^+$  polarization and (b) measured PL spectra in  $\sigma^+$  polarization at two values of magnetic field, (c) theoretical calculation of the PL pattern, (d) energy levels and schematic illustration of selection rules in  $\sigma^+$  polarization. The lower part of the panel (d) shows energy levels of the pair of electrons (T<sub>e</sub>) remaining after X<sup>2-</sup> recombination; dashed arrows depict the transitions that are active due to heavy-hole mixing, i.e.,  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \neq 0$ . The parameters used in calculations are  $\delta_0^{ps} = 70 \ \mu eV$ ,  $\delta_1^{ps} = 140 \ \mu eV$ ,  $g_e^{(p)} = 0.32$ ,  $\gamma = 30 \ \mu eV$ , and  $\alpha_d = 9 \ \mu eV/T^2$ .

the spectrum consists of two lines separated by 100...150  $\mu$ eV with the lower energy transition having higher intensity. In the longitudinal magnetic field the lower energy line splits into two lines, while the higher energy line does not demonstrate any splitting. As a result, at  $B_z \neq 0$  there are in total three lines; see Fig. 4(b).

Since the total spin of paired electrons in the singlet state is zero, the  $X^{2-}$  states are conveniently classified by the total spin of the *s* hole and *p* electron, which equals  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 2$ . Since neither exchange interaction nor magnetic field affect the singlet pair, the  $X^{2-}$  complex can be viewed as the excited state of a neutral exciton  $X^{0*}$  with the electron residing in the *p* state. The only difference is that both  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm 2$  doublets are optically active; see below. The effective  $X^{2-}$  Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(X^{2-}) = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_0^{ps} \sigma_z^{(e)} \sigma_z^{(h)} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_1^{ps} \left( \sigma_x^{(e)} \sigma_x^{(h)} + \sigma_y^{(e)} \sigma_y^{(h)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_e^{(p)} \mu_B \sigma_z^{(e)} B_z + \frac{1}{2} \mu_B B_z \left( g_{h1}^{(s)} \sigma_z^{(h)} + g_{h2}^{(s)} \sigma_x^{(h)} \right).$$
(18)

Here we introduced the parameter  $\delta_1^{ps}$ , which gives rise to the anisotropic splitting of the  $\pm 1$  doublet at  $B_z = 0$ . The value of  $\delta_1^{ps}$  is mainly defined by the long-range exchange interaction between the *s* hole and *p* electron and can be comparable or larger than  $\delta_0^{ps}$  even in highly symmetric quantum dots [55,56].

Nonzero value of  $\delta_1^{ps}$  results in formation of mixed eigenstates  $|x\rangle = (|-1\rangle - |+1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $|y\rangle = i(|+1\rangle + |-1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , split in energy by  $|\delta_1^{ps}|$ . Here, as before, we assume that the main axes of the dot are the *x* and *y*.

The radiative recombination of  $X^{2-}$  complex involves, just like that of  $X^{\pm*}$ , *s*-shell electron and *s*-shell hole. As already noted we take into account only the transitions to the two-electron triplet state, described by the simple Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(T_e) = g_1 \mu_B I_z^{(e)} B_z$ . There are three bright transitions:  $|x\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |0\rangle_f$ ,  $|y\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |0\rangle_{T_e}$ , and  $|+2\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |+1\rangle_{T_e}$  being active even in the absence of the hole mixing,  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \equiv 0$ . Besides, there are four additional dark transitions active due to  $g_{h2}^{(s)} \neq 0$ :  $|-2\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |0\rangle_{T_e}$ ,  $|+2\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |0\rangle_f$ ,  $|x\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |+1\rangle_{T_e}$ , and  $|y\rangle_{X^{2-}} \rightarrow |+1\rangle_{T_e}$ ; see Fig. 4(d).

The results of our calculations of  $X^{2-}$  magneto-PL spectrum are presented in Fig. 4(c). In our modeling we set  $\delta_1^{ps} = 2\delta_0^{ps}$ , so that  $|y\rangle_i$  and  $|\pm 2\rangle_i$  levels are degenerate at  $B_z = 0$ . In that case the evolution of bright transitions with the increasing magnetic field is consistent with experiment, i.e., the lower energy line splits into two line, while the higher energy line remains degenerate. The low intensity dark lines are probably not resolved in the experiment. Overall, we observe rather good agreement between the theory and the experiment. We stress that the observation of dark states in magnetospectroscopy of (111) QDs makes these objects unique to study all details of exciton fine structure. Previously, doubly charged excitons were identified in standard (001) grown quantum dots, e.g., Refs. [20,21], without getting full access to their fine structure.

#### V. DISCUSSION

In Sec. IV we have identified transitions stemming from several electron-hole complexes in magneto-PL of single (111) QDs. Using comparison of theoretical and experimental results we were able to recognize hot trions  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$  in two representative dots, QD C and QD K, respectively, and X<sup>2-</sup> in QD P. In fact, such an identification is not restricted to these three particular QDs, and these complexes have been observed in many studied dots in the sample. The experimental data demonstrating emission patterns of  $X^{-*}$ ,  $X^{+*}$ , and  $X^{2-}$ complexes in several other QDs are presented in Fig. 5. One can see that the characteristic magneto-PL spectra shown in Figs. 2, 3, and 4 are well reproducible from dot to dot. The asymmetry of the spectra and the appearance of the magneticfield-induced dark lines, optically active due to the intrinsic heavy-hole mixing in these dots, serve as specific signatures of the studied complexes.

The analysis of measured PL spectra of  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$  at  $B_z = 0$  using Eqs. (7) and (14) allows us to extract the values of exchange interaction constants for *s*- and *p*-shell electrons and holes for the QDs presented in Fig. 5; see Table II. As described in Sec. IV A, fitting of the Zeeman splitting between the magneto-PL lines of  $X^{-*}$  allows us to extract the value of the *p*-shell electron *g* factor  $g_e^{(p)}$ ; see Table II. The obtained values of  $g_e^{(p)}$  only slightly differ from the ones for the *s*-shell electrons. This is in agreement with model expectations, since the electron *g* factor is controlled by the distance to the valence band [57], resulting in small difference between *s*-



FIG. 5. Experimental magneto-PL patterns of three recognized excited electron-hole complexes:  $X^{-*}$  [panels (a)–(c)],  $X^{+*}$  [panels (d)–(f)], and  $X^{2-}$  [panels (g)–(i)] presented for several dots.

TABLE II. Values of *p*-electron *g* factor and electron-hole exchange constants for several dots presented in Fig. 5. The values were extracted after the fitting of PL spectra of  $X^0$ ,  $X^{-*}$ , and  $X^{+*}$ . The values for exchange constants are given in  $\mu eV$ .

	QDC	QDX	QDN	QDU	QDF
$\delta_0^{ss}$	290	280	260	290	240
$\delta_0^{ps}$	90	110	160	190	170
$\delta_0^{sp}$		100	120	30	100
$g_e^{(p)}$	0.47	0.32			0.57

and *p*-shell *g* factors owing to small separation between *s*and *p*-shell states as compared to the band gap energy. By contrast, the hole *g* factors are, to a large extent, controlled by the magnetoinduced mixing of the valence band states. Hence the values of hole *g* factors are expected to be very sensitive to the orbital shape of the wave function [36]. This is in agreement with our results: to obtain a characteristic crossing of X<sup>+\*</sup> PL lines in  $\sigma^+$  polarization at  $B_z < 0$  (vector **B** is antiparallel to the light propagation direction) one should use large positive values of  $g_{h1}^{(p)} (g_{h1}^{(p)} \approx 2...3)$ , while the values of  $g_{h2}^{(p)}$  are comparable with those for an *s* hole. The detailed modeling of *g* factors for excited hole states in QDs as well as the analysis of  $B_z^2$  effects, particularly, the diamagnetic shifts [35], are beyond the scope of the present paper.

Finally, we note that in order to describe the excited state of the carrier in-plane motion we take into account only single, lowest in energy, p orbital assuming that the dot shape is slightly anisotropic and the symmetry deviates from the perfect  $C_{3v}$  point symmetry. This requirement can be relaxed for the hot positively and negatively charged trions if the spin-orbit coupling is neglected. In this case, at B = 0 the states  $p_x \pm i p_y$ are degenerate. In the presence of  $B \parallel z$ , the degeneracy is lifted due to the orbital effect of magnetic field,  $\propto L \cdot B$ , where L(L = 1) is the orbital angular momentum operator. However, since the optical transitions involve only s-shell electrons and holes, neither the energy position nor the intensities of the PL lines are affected by the orbital character of the wave function of the *p*-shell carrier. As a result, the magneto-PL spectra of  $X^{+*}$  and  $X^{-*}$  do not change if the orbital degeneracy of *p*-shell states is taken into account. By contrast, the  $X^{2-}$  emission spectrum can be reproduced only if the QD anisotropy is taken into account. Hence the magneto-PL of multiply charged exciton states opens the route to study weak anisotropy of such almost perfectly shaped QDs.

- A. K. Nowak, S. L. Portalupi, V. Giesz, O. Gazzano, C. Dal Savio, P.-F. Braun, K. Karrai, C. Arnold, L. Lanco, I. Sagnes, A. Lemaitre, and P. Senellart, Nat. Commun. 5, 3240 (2014).
- [2] I. J. Luxmoore, N. A. Wasley, A. J. Ramsay, A. C. T. Thijssen, R. Oulton, M. Hugues, S. Kasture, V. G. Achanta, A. M. Fox, and M. S. Skolnick, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 037402 (2013).
- [3] J. Nilsson, R. M. Stevenson, K. H. A. Chan, J. Skiba-Szymanska, M. Lucamarini, M. B. Ward, A. J. Bennett, C. L. Salter, I. Farrer, D. A. Ritchie, and A. J. Shields, Nat. Photon. 7, 311 (2013).
- [4] K. De Greve, L. Yu, P. L. McMahon, J. S. Pelc, C. M. Natarajan, N. Y. Kim, E. Abe, S. Maier, C. Schneider, M. Kamp, S. Hofling, R. H. Hadfield, A. Forchel, M. M. Fejer, and Y. Yamamoto, Nature (London) 491, 421 (2012).
- [5] E. Poem, Y. Kodriano, C. Tradonsky, N. H. Lindner, B. D. Gerardot, P. M. Petroff, and D. Gershoni, Nat. Phys. 6, 993 (2010).
- [6] C. H. H. Schulte, J. Hansom, A. E. Jones, C. Matthiesen, C. Le Gall, and M. Atature, Nature (London) 525, 222 (2015).
- [7] A. Delteil, Z. Sun, W.-b. Gao, E. Togan, S. Faelt, and A. Imamoğlu, Nat. Phys. 12, 218 (2016).
- [8] R. J. Warburton, C. Schaflein, D. Haft, F. Bickel, A. Lorke, K. Karrai, J. M. Garcia, W. Schoenfeld, and P. M. Petroff, Nature (London) 405, 926 (2000).
- [9] M. E. Ware, E. A. Stinaff, D. Gammon, M. F. Doty, A. S. Bracker, D. Gershoni, V. L. Korenev, S. C. Badescu, Y. Lyanda-Geller, and T. L. Reinecke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 177403 (2005).
- [10] M. Ediger, G. Bester, A. Badolato, P. M. Petroff, K. Karrai, A. Zunger, and R. J. Warburton, Nat. Phys. 3, 774 (2007).
- [11] V. Jovanov, S. Kapfinger, M. Bichler, G. Abstreiter, and J. J. Finley, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235321 (2011).
- [12] H. Sanada, T. Sogawa, H. Gotoh, Y. Tokura, H. Yamaguchi, H. Nakano, and H. Kamada, Phys. Rev. B 79, 121303 (2009).
- [13] D. Dufåker, K. F. Karlsson, L. O. Mereni, V. Dimastrodonato, G. Juska, E. Pelucchi, and P. O. Holtz, Phys. Rev. B 88, 045321 (2013).

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented a combined theoretical and experimental study of magneto-PL spectroscopy of the excited and multiply charged electron-hole complexes in (111)-grown GaAs quantum dots. Using the developed theory for  $X^{-*}$ ,  $X^{+*}$ , and  $X^{2-}$  magneto-PL, we have identified  $X^{-*}$  and  $X^{+*}$  trions as well as  $X^{2-}$  doubly charged exciton in the experimental magneto-PL spectra of many QDs. We have revealed that the key ingredient to describe the experimentally observed behavior of magneto-PL lines is the effect of magnetoinduced mixing of  $|\pm 3/2\rangle$  heavy hole states, specific to the dots of  $C_{3v}$  symmetry. The characteristic magneto-PL patterns of  $X^{-*}$ ,  $X^{+*}$ , and  $X^{2-}$  complexes are well reproducible from dot to dot, and can be used to identify these complexes in further experiments.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge funding from ERC Grant No. 306719, RFBR Project No. 16-32-60175, RF President Grants No. MD-5726.2015.2 and No. MK-7389.2016.2, "Dynasty" foundation, and LIA CNRS-Ioffe RAS ILNACS. X.M. acknowledges funding from Institut Universitaire de France.

- [14] B. Van Hattem, P. Corfdir, P. Brereton, P. Pearce, A. M. Graham, M. J. Stanley, M. Hugues, M. Hopkinson, and R. T. Phillips, Phys. Rev. B 87, 205308 (2013).
- [15] B. Urbaszek, R. J. Warburton, K. Karrai, B. D. Gerardot, P. M. Petroff, and J. M. Garcia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 247403 (2003).
- [16] K. Karrai, R. J. Warburton, C. Schulhauser, A. Hogele, B. Urbaszek, E. J. McGhee, A. O. Govorov, J. M. Garcia, B. D. Gerardot, and P. M. Petroff, Nature (London) 427, 135 (2004).
- [17] X. Y. Chang, X. M. Dou, B. Q. Sun, Y. H. Xiong, Z. C. Niu, H. Q. Ni, and D. S. Jiang, J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 103716 (2009).
- [18] V. Mlinar, M. Bozkurt, J. M. Ulloa, M. Ediger, G. Bester, A. Badolato, P. M. Koenraad, R. J. Warburton, and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 80, 165425 (2009).
- [19] T. Warming, E. Siebert, A. Schliwa, E. Stock, R. Zimmermann, and D. Bimberg, Phys. Rev. B 79, 125316 (2009).
- [20] A. Hartmann, Y. Ducommun, E. Kapon, U. Hohenester, and E. Molinari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5648 (2000).
- [21] Y. Benny, Y. Kodriano, E. Poem, D. Gershoni, T. A. Truong, and P. M. Petroff, Phys. Rev. B 86, 085306 (2012).
- [22] N. Koguchi, S. Takahashi, and T. Chikyow, J. Cryst. Growth 111, 688 (1991).
- [23] Z. Wang, B. Liang, K. Sablon, J. Lee, Y. Mazur, N. Strom, and G. Salamo, Small 3, 235 (2007).
- [24] D. P. Kumah, S. Shusterman, Y. Paltiel, Y. Yacoby, and R. Clarke, Nat. Nanotechnol. 4, 835 (2009).
- [25] A. Graf, D. Sonnenberg, V. Paulava, A. Schliwa, C. Heyn, and W. Hansen, Phys. Rev. B 89, 115314 (2014).
- [26] L. Cavigli, S. Bietti, N. Accanto, S. Minari, M. Abbarchi, G. Isella, C. Frigeri, A. Vinattieri, M. Gurioli, and S. Sanguinetti, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 231112 (2012).
- [27] T. Mano, M. Abbarchi, T. Kuroda, B. McSkimming, A. Ohtake, K. Mitsuishi, and K. Sakoda, Appl. Phys. Express 3, 065203 (2010).
- [28] E. Stock, T. Warming, I. Ostapenko, S. Rodt, A. Schliwa, J. A. Töfflinger, A. Lochmann, A. I. Toropov, S. A. Moshchenko,

D. V. Dmitriev, V. A. Haisler, and D. Bimberg, Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**, 093112 (2010).

- [29] J. Treu, C. Schneider, A. Huggenberger, T. Braun, S. Reitzenstein, S. Höfling, and M. Kamp, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 022102 (2012).
- [30] R. Singh and G. Bester, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 063601 (2009).
- [31] K. F. Karlsson, M. A. Dupertuis, D. Y. Oberli, E. Pelucchi, A. Rudra, P. O. Holtz, and E. Kapon, Phys. Rev. B 81, 161307 (2010).
- [32] T. Kuroda, T. Mano, N. Ha, H. Nakajima, H. Kumano, B. Urbaszek, M. Jo, M. Abbarchi, Y. Sakuma, K. Sakoda, I. Suemune, X. Marie, and T. Amand, Phys. Rev. B 88, 041306 (2013).
- [33] G. Juska, V. Dimastrodonato, L. O. Mereni, A. Gocalinska, and E. Pelucchi, Nat. Photon. 7, 527 (2013).
- [34] G. Sallen, B. Urbaszek, M. M. Glazov, E. L. Ivchenko, T. Kuroda, T. Mano, S. Kunz, M. Abbarchi, K. Sakoda, D. Lagarde, A. Balocchi, X. Marie, and T. Amand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 166604 (2011).
- [35] D. Y. Oberli, Phys. Rev. B 85, 155305 (2012).
- [36] M. V. Durnev, M. M. Glazov, E. L. Ivchenko, M. Jo, T. Mano, T. Kuroda, K. Sakoda, S. Kunz, G. Sallen, L. Bouet, X. Marie, D. Lagarde, T. Amand, and B. Urbaszek, Phys. Rev. B 87, 085315 (2013).
- [37] L. Bouet, M. Vidal, T. Mano, N. Ha, T. Kuroda, M. V. Durnev, M. M. Glazov, E. L. Ivchenko, X. Marie, T. Amand, K. Sakoda, G. Wang, and B. Urbaszek, Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 082111 (2014).
- [38] K. F. Karlsson, D. Y. Oberli, M. A. Dupertuis, V. Troncale, M. Byszewski, E. Pelucchi, A. Rudra, P. O. Holtz, and E. Kapon, New J. Phys. 17, 103017 (2015).
- [39] Y. Igarashi, M. Shirane, Y. Ota, M. Nomura, N. Kumagai, S. Ohkouchi, A. Kirihara, S. Ishida, S. Iwamoto, S. Yorozu, and Y. Arakawa, Phys. Rev. B 81, 245304 (2010).
- [40] G. Sallen, S. Kunz, T. Amand, L. Bouet, T. Kuroda, T. Mano, D. Paget, O. Krebs, X. Marie, K. Sakoda, and B. Urbaszek, Nat. Commun. 5, 3268 (2014).
- [41] A. S. Bracker, E. A. Stinaff, D. Gammon, M. E. Ware, J. G. Tischler, A. Shabaev, A. L. Efros, D. Park, D. Gershoni,

V. L. Korenev, and I. A. Merkulov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047402 (2005).

- [42] Binding energy evaluation is beyond the scope of the present paper.
- [43] W. Que, Phys. Rev. B 45, 11036 (1992).
- [44] P. Hawrylak, Phys. Rev. B 60, 5597 (1999).
- [45] M. Semina, R. Sergeev, and R. Suris, Semiconductors 40, 1338 (2006).
- [46] G. F. Koster, R. G. Wheeler, J. O. Dimmock, and H. Statz, *Properties of the Thirty-two Point Groups* (MIT Press, Boston, MA, 1963).
- [47] M. Bayer, T. Gutbrod, A. Forchel, V. D. Kulakovskii, A. Gorbunov, M. Michel, R. Steffen, and K. H. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 58, 4740 (1998).
- [48] M. M. Glazov, E. L. Ivchenko, L. Besombes, Y. Léger, L. Maingault, and H. Mariette, Phys. Rev. B 75, 205313 (2007).
- [49] M. M. Glazov, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 025301 (2010).
- [50] S. Cortez, O. Krebs, S. Laurent, M. Senes, X. Marie, P. Voisin, R. Ferreira, G. Bastard, J.-M. Gérard, and T. Amand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 207401 (2002).
- [51] M. M. Glazov and V. D. Kulakovskii, Phys. Rev. B 79, 195305 (2009).
- [52] Weak cubic contributions to the exchange interaction which may mix bright and dark states at B = 0 are neglected hereafter.
- [53] Four *p*-shell electron states transform according to  $\Gamma_3 \times \Gamma_4 = \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6$  in  $C_{3v}$  point symmetry group. The magnetic field induced mixing of  $\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6$  analogous to that for heavy holes is disregarded.
- [54] In  $C_{3v}$  symmetry a quadruplet of *p*-shell heavy hole states transforms according to  $\Gamma_4 + \Gamma_4$  representation. The magnetic field induces splitting of each of the doublets and mixing of them giving rise to two *g* factors,  $g_{h1}^{(p)}$  and  $g_{h2}^{(p)}$ .
- [55] M. Glazov, E. Ivchenko, R. Baltz, and E. Tsitsishvili, Int. J. Nanosci. 6, 265 (2007).
- [56] M. Ediger, G. Bester, B. D. Gerardot, A. Badolato, P. M. Petroff, K. Karrai, A. Zunger, and R. J. Warburton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 036808 (2007).
- [57] E. L. Ivchenko, *Optical Spectroscopy of Semiconductor Nanostructures* (Alpha Science, Harrow, UK, 2005).