Quasiparticle interactions in frustrated Heisenberg chains

Laurens Vanderstraeten,¹ Jutho Haegeman,¹ Frank Verstraete,^{1,2} and Didier Poilblanc³

¹*Ghent University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Krijgslaan 281-S9, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

²*Vienna Center for Quantum Science, Universitat Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Wien, Austria ¨*

³*Laboratoire de Physique Theorique, IRSAMC, CNRS and Universit ´ e de Toulouse, UPS, F-31062 Toulouse, France ´*

(Received 1 April 2016; revised manuscript received 16 May 2016; published 6 June 2016)

Interactions between elementary excitations in quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnets are of experimental relevance and their quantitative theoretical treatment has been a theoretical challenge for many years. Using matrix product states, one can explicitly determine the wave functions of the one- and two-particle excitations, and, consequently, the contributions to dynamical correlations. We apply this framework to the (nonintegrable) frustrated dimerized spin-1*/*2 chain, a model for generic spin-Peierls systems, where low-energy quasiparticle excitations are bound states of topological solitons. The spin structure factor involving two quasiparticle scattering states is obtained in the thermodynamic limit with full momentum and frequency resolution. This allows very subtle features in the two-particle spectral function to be revealed which, we argue, could be seen, e.g., in inelastic neutron scattering of spin-Peierls compounds under a change of the external pressure.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.93.235108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.235108)

I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of one-dimensional quantum magnets has been the subject of extensive experimental and theoretical study. Because of the reduced dimensionality, the quantum fluctuations in these spin chains are especially strong, with a rich variety of quantum phases as a result. The quasiparticles in these systems are collective excitations [\[1\]](#page-5-0), in no way connected to some free particle limit, and exhibit exotic physical properties such as fractional quantum numbers, nontrivial scattering properties, soliton confinement, bound-state formation, etc.

In recent years, the interactions between these quasiparticles have come within the scope of experiments. Most importantly, the spectral functions as measured in inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiments have important contributions from many-particle states [\[2–5\]](#page-5-0). Also, the thermal broadening of one-particle signals can only be accounted for by manyparticle processes [\[6,7\]](#page-5-0). Thirdly, the critical properties of a magnetized spin chain or ladder is understood by identifying it as a condensed gas of interacting magnons on a strongly correlated background state [\[8–11\]](#page-5-0). Lastly, the properties of quasiparticles can be probed in cold-atom experiments [\[12–14\]](#page-5-0) and their interactions are important [\[15,16\]](#page-5-0).

These experimental advances have spurred the development of a number of theoretical tools for simulating the lowenergy dynamics of one-dimensional spin systems. Exact diagonalization is the most straightforward and unbiased approach $[17-21]$, but, due to its exponential scaling, is limited to small system sizes. When frustration is present in the system, quantum Monte Carlo methods are plagued by the sign problem. In recent years, the scope of the densitymatrix renormalization group (DMRG) [\[22\]](#page-5-0) has been extended to study time evolution and, through a Fourier transform, spectral functions [\[23\]](#page-5-0). This method has the advantage of being completely generic and has an efficient scaling in system size, but is limited in its resolution; it is the growth in entanglement during real-time evolution that limits the resolution of the spectral functions. Other DMRG approaches, based on Lanczos methods [\[24\]](#page-5-0) or Chebyshev expansions [\[25\]](#page-5-0), have similar defects. Yet, if one is only interested in low-energy dynamics, this entanglement growth seems counterintuitive, as all states in this low-energy sector are characterized by a small entanglement entropy [\[26–28\]](#page-6-0).

A different strategy consists in targeting the low-lying particle excitations explicitly. For integrable systems, all excited states can be constructed exactly and it has been shown how to compute their spectral weights in an efficient way [\[29–31\]](#page-6-0). Alternatively, if the system can be connected perturbatively to a trivial noninteracting limit, perturbative continuous unitary transformations provide access to the nontrivial properties of low-lying excitation spectra [\[32–35\]](#page-6-0). Both approaches have the advantage that they have a built-in particle picture, but for these particles to be well defined, they need an extensive number of conserved quantities or a well-defined noninteracting limit to perturb from.

It can be shown in full generality, however, that a particle picture should hold for any gapped quantum lattice system [\[36\]](#page-6-0). It is by exploiting the formalism of matrix product states (MPS) [\[37,38\]](#page-6-0) that an accurate variational ansatz for particlelike excitations in one-dimensional spin systems was first constructed [\[39,40\]](#page-6-0). Within this approach, excitations are seen as momentum superpositions of local perturbations on an MPS ground state—it can be seen as an extension of the single-mode approximation [\[41–44\]](#page-6-0)—and can be naturally interpreted as effective particles living on a strongly correlated vacuum state. Subsequently, it has been shown how to model the interactions between these particles and how to compute the two-particle *S* matrix [\[45,46\]](#page-6-0). This information on the two-particle interactions allowed for an effective manyparticle description of, e.g., magnon condensation under strong magnetic fields.

With this approach, one can explicitly determine the wave functions of the one- and two-particle excitations, and, consequently, the contributions to dynamical correlations [\[46\]](#page-6-0). In this way, it allows for an efficient evaluation of spectral functions by combining the advantages of the aforementioned methods. Indeed, because it targets the exact particle excitations in the thermodynamic limit, this framework has access to the low-lying part of the spectral function with full resolution in momentum and frequency. Moreover, as it is based on matrix

FIG. 1. The spectral function $S^{xx}(q,\omega)$ of the *XXZ* chain with $J_z = 4$; momentum cuts at $q = \pi/8$ (blue), $q = 2\pi/8$ (red), and $q = 3\pi/8$ (orange). The orange curve shows a divergence at the band's edge. Note that the plotting range cuts off the orange curve; because our method works directly in the thermodynamic limit, we can reproduce this divergence to arbitrary precision. A comparison with Fig. 5 in Ref. [\[47\]](#page-6-0) shows a very strong similarity.

product states, it is applicable to generic quantum spin chains with relatively low numerical resources.

In Ref. [\[46\]](#page-6-0) a detailed account of this method has been presented. In the present contribution, we show its versatility by applying the method to the frustrated spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg chain. We track the effect of the quasiparticle interactions on the spectral function in the two-particle band. Before that, we give some nontrivial benchmark results on the spectral function of the gapped *XXZ* antiferromagnet, which can be directly compared with Bethe ansatz results.

II. BENCHMARK: THE *XXZ* **CHAIN**

The *XXZ* spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg chain is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \sum_{n} \left(S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + J_z S_n^z S_{n+1}^z \right)
$$

and is gapped for $J_z > 1$. The model is integrable [\[48\]](#page-6-0) and the spectrum can be computed exactly with the Bethe ansatz. In this regime there is a twofold-degenerate ground state with a finite Néel order parameter, and the elementary excitations are spinons carrying a fractional spin of 1/2 [\[49\]](#page-6-0). The first contributions to the spectral function

$$
S^{xx}(q,\omega) = dt \sum_{n} e^{i\omega t} e^{iqn} \langle S_n^x(t) S_0^x(0) \rangle
$$

come from two-spinon states, which can be computed exactly [\[47,50\]](#page-6-0) using Bethe ansatz techniques.

In Ref. [\[39\]](#page-6-0) the dispersion relation of the individual spinon excitations were computed to very high precision. With our method, two-spinon scattering states can be determined and their contributions to the spectral function evaluated. In Fig. 1 we have plotted three momentum cuts of $S^{xx}(q,\omega)$ for $J_z =$ 4 which can be compared to the Bethe ansatz results from Ref. [\[47\]](#page-6-0). The correspondence is very good, which confirms the accuracy of our method.

FIG. 2. The frustrated and dimerized chain.

III. THE FRUSTRATED HEISENBERG CHAIN

An excellent model to study the signature of quasiparticle interactions in the spectral function is the frustrated and dimerized spin-1*/*2 Heisenberg chain, given by the Hamiltonian (see Fig. 2)

$$
H = J_1 \sum_n [1 + \delta(-1)^n] \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} + J_2 \sum_n \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+2}.
$$

It has been shown [\[51\]](#page-6-0) that spin-Peierls compounds such as $CuGe₂$ and $NaV₂O₅$ can be described with this model, where the dimerization term arises from a three-dimensional coupling of the spin chains. The physics of spinon confinement due to this three-dimensional coupling has recently attracted a lot of experimental and theoretical attention [\[52–54\]](#page-6-0).

Without explicit dimerization ($\delta = 0$) it is known [\[55,56\]](#page-6-0) that a gap opens at $J_2/J_1 \approx 0.241$ [\[57\]](#page-6-0), accompanied by a spontaneous lattice dimerization and a twofold-degenerate ground state. At $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$ the Majumdar-Ghosh model [\[58\]](#page-6-0) is retrieved, for which the ground state is an exact product state of dimers. Throughout the dimerized phase, the elementary excitations can be pictured as dressed defects in the dimerization pattern [\[59,60\]](#page-6-0) and behave as topological solitons *s* and antisolitons *s* interpolating between the two ground states. The solitons have spin 1*/*2. No bound states occur [\[61\]](#page-6-0), so the physical spectrum starts with a soliton/antisoliton scattering continuum at $2\Delta_s$ (with Δ_s the soliton gap).

The dimerization *δ* favors one of the two ground states and confines the solitons into bound states with a linear potential between a $s\bar{s}$ pair [\[61–](#page-6-0)[63\]](#page-7-0). This implies that the $s\bar{s}$ continuum is split up into a stack of discrete triplet and singlet bound states (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0). If Δ is the energy of the lowest-lying triplet, a two-triplet continuum will start at 2Δ , such that $s\bar{s}$ bound states with an energy above this threshold will not be stable. This is the effect of string breaking: if the energy cost of having the wrong ground state between the $s\bar{s}$ is too high, the bound state will decay to a $s\bar{s}$ - $s\bar{s}$ pair. Not too far up in the continuum, however, we expect that unstable, yet long-lived bound states will leave their signature on the spectral function.

We will study this $s\bar{s}$ - $s\bar{s}$ continuum through a computation of the spectral function for small dimerization *δ* such that the underlying soliton physics can be observed. We will look at the momentum-frequency-resolved spin-spin dynamical correlation function as observed in INS, defined as

$$
S(q,\omega) = \int dt \, \mathrm{e}^{i\omega t} \sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{iqn} \langle S_n^-(t) S_0^+(0) \rangle,
$$

where $S_n^{+/-}(t)$ are the ladder operators at site *n* in the Heisenberg picture and the expectation value $\langle \dots \rangle$ is with respect to the ground state. All results were obtained by applying the MPS framework as developed in Ref. [\[46\]](#page-6-0).

FIG. 3. A sketch of the bound-state spectrum; different excitations can be seen as bound-state solutions of a linear potential. The strength of the potential ($\propto \delta$) can be tuned more or less independently from the mass Δ_s of the confined solitons. A number of triplet (blue) and singlet (red) *ss*¯ bound states appear above the two-soliton energy $2\Delta_s$; these appear at energies $2\Delta_s + E_{b,i}$, with $E_{b,i}$ the (positive) binding energy of the *i*th solution of the linear confining *ss*¯ potential. Above the edge of the $s\bar{s}$ - $s\bar{s}$ continuum (green), these solutions are no longer stable against decay into scattering states of two *ss*¯ states. Note that the stability of a bound state depends on its momentum (see Fig. 4).

In Fig. 4 the elementary excitation spectrum is plotted for $J_2 = 1/2$ and different values of the dimerization. Whereas two-triplet and singlet *ss*¯ bound states are stable over the full Brillouin zone for all values of δ , a third triplet excitation is stable only for small values of *δ* and closes the dispersion's minimum; it merges into the continuum and loses stability for larger momenta. At the zone center, a triplet emerges again from the two-particle continuum. This bound state was also observed in systems with larger dimerization [\[35\]](#page-6-0) with the use of perturbative continuous unitary transformations, starting from the isolated dimer limit ($\delta \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore, it seems plausible that the physical origin of this bound state is not connected to the underlying soliton physics (which is only valid for small *δ*).

Let us first focus on the stability of the third triplet bound state at the minimum of the dispersion as a function of *δ*. In Fig. [5](#page-3-0) we have plotted momentum slices of $S(q,\omega)$ at $q = \pi$ inside the two-particle continuum. For $\delta = 0.09$ most of the spectral weight is in the *δ* peak of the bound state. As *δ* is increased, the bound state comes closer to the continuum at $\omega_{\text{edge}} = 2\Delta(\delta)$, and the two-particle continuum gains spectral weight and becomes sharply peaked. Just as the bound state enters the continuum, the spectral function diverges; by plotting the maximum of the spectral function as a function of δ [inset of Fig. [5\]](#page-3-0), the exact value for δ can be pinpointed for which the third triplet *ss*¯ becomes unstable. For larger

FIG. 4. The elementary excitation spectrum for $J_1 = 1$, $J_2 = 1/2$, and four different values of δ. Because the ground state has a two-site unit cell, the Brillouin zone is confined to momenta $q \in [0, \pi)$ (in units of inverse lattice spacing a^{-1}). For all values of *δ* one can observe two stable triplet (blue) and singlet (red) *ss*¯ bound states over the full momentum range. For small values of *δ*, a third triplet is stable at the minimum. At the center of the Brillouin zone a third triplet state is stable for all values of *δ*.

FIG. 5. The spectral function $S(q,\omega)$ at momentum $q = \pi$ just above the first triplet-triplet threshold for $J_1 = 1$, $J_2 = 1/2$, and different values of the dimerization: $\delta = 0.09$ (red), $\delta = 0.104$ (blue), $\delta = 0.12$ (green), and $\delta = 0.17$ (magenta); the energies ω have been shifted by twice the triplet gap $2\Delta(\delta)$. For $\delta = 0.09$ the third triplet bound state (at the minimum of the dispersion relation) falls below the continuum, but, as the gap becomes smaller, the peak above the threshold becomes larger. As the bound state enters the continuum, the spectral function diverges. For larger *δ* the bound state decays into two *ss*¯ states and becomes a resonance in the spectral function. This resonance travels to higher energies as δ is further increased. Inset: The maximum of the spectral function (above ω_{edge}) as a function of *δ* showing a divergence at *δ* ≈ 0.11 exactly where the third bound state becomes unstable. The blue line is a guide to the eye; the largest data points fall outside the plotting region.

δ, the maximum of the spectral function travels through the continuum as a signature of an unstable yet long-lived *ss*¯ state.

Figure [4](#page-2-0) illustrates that the bound state loses stability for larger momenta. In Fig. 6 the two-particle spectral function is plotted in the second half of the Brillouin zone (which carries most of its weight) for $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$ and $\delta = 0.1$, for which the third bound state is stable at the minimum of the two-particle continuum. We now observe that as the momentum moves away from the minimum, the bound state enters the continuum as a sharp resonance and survives throughout a large portion of the Brillouin zone.

Further up in frequency, the two-particle spectral function shows a nontrivial structure because of the different overlapping two-particle continua. In the absence of special symmetries, there are nonzero scattering amplitudes linking the different two-particle sectors. As a result, the eigenstates will mix up these sectors and the spectral function obtains its characteristic banded structure.

Another strong resonance appears when the bound state around the zone center enters the continuum. Surprisingly, the bound state does not connect immediately to the resonance at the minimum of the dispersion relation, as another resonance seems to run away with all the spectral weight. This other resonance can be explained as a combined effect from (i) the attractive interaction between the triplet bound states, and (ii) a divergence of the density of states within the continuum. The latter is a consequence of the folding inside the two-triplet continuum: there are regions inside the scattering continuum for which there are two different combinations of one-triplet states that give rise to the same total momentum and energy. The boundary lines of this folding region exhibit, just like the

momentum q

FIG. 6. The logarithm of the two-particle spectral function $\log_{10} S(q,\omega)$ in the second half of the Brillouin zone for $J_1 = 1$, $J_2 = 1/2$, and *δ* = 0*.*1. Only the two-particle contributions are plotted; the sharp *δ* functions of the one-particle states are not shown. A small imaginary frequency $\epsilon = 0.01$ is added for aesthetic reasons (note that we have full resolution in *q* and ω as our methods work in the thermodynamic limit, see the other plots) and to mimic experimental resolution. We have also plotted the edges of the different two-particle continua in red. The lower edge of the first band consists of states with momenta $\kappa_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{q}{2}$ and $\kappa_2 = -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{q}{2}$. The blue line indicates the states with equal individual momenta $\kappa_{1,2} = q/2$, leading to a diverging density of states on that line. Between the blue line and the upper edge of the continuum is the folding region, where different combinations of one-particle states can give rise to the same total momentum and energy. The green line follows the dispersion of the third $s\bar{s}$ bound state where it is stable. We can see that the dispersion of the higher two-particle continua gives a banded structure to the spectral function.

FIG. 7. The spectral function $S(q,\omega)$ (blue) and the scattering phase in the $S = 1$ sector $\phi_1(q, \omega)$ (red) as a function of the rescaled energy $\omega - \omega_{\text{edge}}$ and for fixed momentum $q = 0.56\pi$, where ω_{edge} is the lower edge of the two-particle continuum. We can observe that the scattering phase rotates rapidly at the point where the resonance is located, signaling a strong interaction between the particles at this point. Off the resonance, the dispersion of the scattering phase behaves smoothly. At $\omega - \omega_{\text{edge}} \approx 0.123$ one enters the folding region (with a diverging density of states); beyond that point the *S* matrix is a 18×18 matrix, which can no longer be written in terms of three phases.

edges of the two-particle continuum, a square-root divergence in the density of states. Figure [6](#page-3-0) shows, however, that the resonance does not coincide with this line. The reason is that the particles have an attractive interaction and, consequently, a negative binding energy which brings the resonance down in energy. This binding energy goes to zero as the resonance travels towards the upper edge of the continuum.

In order to corroborate this picture, the particle interactions can be further characterized by studying the two-triplet *S* matrix. In the case of triplet-triplet scattering, this *S* matrix is a

 9×9 unitary matrix, which can be diagonalized by going to the total-spin basis. Moreover, because of SU(2) invariance, the *S* matrix will be constant within every subspace of total spin, so that the information in the *S* matrix reduces to three scattering phases for every value of the total spin. As only the eigenstates with total spin $S = 1$ contribute to the spectral function, the scattering phase in this sector is plotted in Fig. 7, showing a drastic rotation of the scattering phase right at the point where the spectral function has its resonance. This confirms that the resonance is indeed a consequence of a strong attractive triplet-triplet interaction.

For completeness' sake, in Fig. 8 we have plotted the full spectral function for $J_1 = 1$, $J_2 = 1/2$, and $\delta = 0.13$, including the one-particle contributions. For these parameters only two *ss*¯ bound states are stable around the minimum of the two-particle band. Around the maximum there is still a bound state [see Fig. $4(d)$].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have illustrated the power of a method for computing spectral functions for generic one-dimensional quantum spin systems with unprecedented resolution in momentum and frequency. More specifically, our method is especially suited for studying quasiparticle interactions which lead to sharp resonances in the two-particle spectral function and/or the formation of bound states. The two-particle *S* matrix, unavailable to other methods, provides an additional tool to characterize the interactions.

Showing its versatility, we have applied our method to study the effects of soliton confinement on the low-lying spectral function. It was shown that the confining potential induces, in addition to the characteristic stack of stable bound states, a very specific fine structure of the spectral function in the two-particle continuum. The method allowed us, e.g., to reveal a transfer of spectral weight into the two-particle

FIG. 8. The logarithm of the spectral function $\log_{10} S(q,\omega)$ in the full Brillouin zone for $J_1 = 1$, $J_2 = 1/2$, and $\delta = 0.13$. A small imaginary frequency $\epsilon = 0.01$ is added, such that the one-particle δ peaks can be plotted as Lorentzians. We have also plotted the edges of the different two-particle continua in red.

continuum *before* a bound state reaches its lower edge. We suggest that such subtle effects could be observed, e.g., in INS of spin-Peierls systems by, e.g., varying the external pressure in order to monitor the effective coupling *δ* via a change of the interchain couplings. We also believe that similar subtle features should be seen in double-magnon Raman scattering $[64]$, a ($K = 0$ singlet) four-particle response function, but this is left for future studies.

- [1] Thierry Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2004).
- [2] M. Aïn, J. E. Lorenzo, L. P. Regnault, G. Dhalenne, A. Revcolevschi, B. Hennion, and Th. Jolicoeur, Double Gap and Solitonic Excitations in the Spin-Peierls Chain CuGeO₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1560)**, [1560](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1560) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1560).
- [3] S. Notbohm, P. Ribeiro, B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, K. P. Schmidt, G. S. Uhrig, C. Hess, R. Klingeler, G. Behr, B. Büchner, M. Reehuis, R. I. Bewley, C. D. Frost, P. Manuel, and R. S. Eccleston, One- and Two-Triplon Spectra of a Cuprate Ladder, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.027403) **[98](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.027403)**, [027403](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.027403) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.027403).
- [4] B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, J.-S. Caux, T. Barthel, U. Schollwöck, S. E. Nagler, and C. D. Frost, Multispinon Continua at Zero and Finite Temperature in a Near-Ideal Heisenberg Chain, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.137205) **[111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.137205)**, [137205](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.137205) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.137205).
- [5] M. B. Stone, Y. Chen, D. H. Reich, C. Broholm, G. Xu, J. R. D. Copley, and J. C. Cook, Magnons and continua in a magnetized and dimerized spin-1*/*2 chain, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.094419) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.094419)**, [094419](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.094419) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.094419).
- [6] Fabian H. L. Essler and Robert M. Konik, Finite-temperature lineshapes in gapped quantum spin chains, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.100403) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.100403)**, [100403](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.100403) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.100403).
- [7] D. A. Tennant, B. Lake, A. J. A. James, F. H. L. Essler, S. Notbohm, H.-J. Mikeska, J. Fielden, P. Kögerler, P. C. Canfield, and M. T. F. Telling, Anomalous dynamical line shapes in a quantum magnet at finite temperature, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014402) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014402)**, [014402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014402) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014402).
- [8] M. Klanjsek, H. Mayaffre, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, B. Chiari, O. Piovesana, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, E. Orignac, R. Citro, and T. Giamarchi, Controlling Luttinger Liquid Physics in Spin Ladders under a Magnetic Field, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.137207) **[101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.137207)**, [137207](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.137207) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.137207).
- [9] Ch. Rüegg, K. Kiefer, B. Thielemann, D. F. McMorrow, V. Zapf, B. Normand, M. B. Zvonarev, P. Bouillot, C. Kollath, T. Giamarchi, S. Capponi, D. Poilblanc, D. Biner, and K. W. Krämer, Thermodynamics of the Spin Luttinger Liquid in a Model Ladder Material, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.247202) **[101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.247202)**, [247202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.247202) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.247202).
- [10] D. Schmidiger, P. Bouillot, S. Mühlbauer, S. Gvasaliya, C. Kollath, T. Giamarchi, and A. Zheludev, Spectral and Thermodynamic Properties of a Strong-Leg Quantum Spin Ladder, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.167201) **[108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.167201)**, [167201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.167201) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.167201).
- [11] Thierry Giamarchi, Christian Rüegg, and Oleg Tchernyshyov, Bose-Einstein condensation in magnetic insulators, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys893) **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys893)**, [198](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys893) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys893).
- [12] Marc Cheneau, Peter Barmettler, Dario Poletti, Manuel Endres, Peter Schauss, Takeshi Fukuhara, Christian Gross, Immanuel Bloch, Corinna Kollath, and Stefan Kuhr, Light-cone-like

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ian Affleck for inspiring discussions. L.V. would like to thank D.P. for his hospitality in Toulouse. L.V. and J.H. are supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO), F.V. by the Austrian FWF SFB through grants FoQuS and ViCoM and the European Grants SIQS and QUTE, and D.P. is supported by the NQPTP through Grant No. ANR-0406-01 (French Research Council).

spreading of correlations in a quantum many-body system, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10748) **[481](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10748)**, [484](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10748) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10748).

- [13] Takeshi Fukuhara, Adrian Kantian, Manuel Endres, Marc Cheneau, Peter Schauß, Sebastian Hild, David Bellem, Ulrich Schollwöck, Thierry Giamarchi, Christian Gross, Immanuel Bloch, and Stefan Kuhr, Quantum dynamics of a mobile spin impurity, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2561) **[9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2561)**, [235](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2561) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2561).
- [14] P. Jurcevic, B. P. Lanyon, P. Hauke, C. Hempel, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, Quasiparticle engineering and entan[glement propagation in a quantum many-body system,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13461) Nature (London) **[511](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13461)**, [202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13461) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13461).
- [15] Takeshi Fukuhara, Peter Schauß, Manuel Endres, Sebastian Hild, Marc Cheneau, Immanuel Bloch, and Christian Gross, Microscopic observation of magnon bound states and their dynamics, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12541) **[502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12541)**, [76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12541) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12541).
- [16] P. Jurcevic, P. Hauke, C. Maier, C. Hempel, B. P. Lanyon, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, Spectroscopy of Interacting Quasiparticles in Trapped Ions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.100501) **[115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.100501)**, [100501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.100501) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.100501).
- [17] C. A. Hayward, D. Poilblanc, and L. P. Lévy, Magnetization of $Cu_2(C_5H_{12}N_2)_2Cl_4$: A Heisenberg spin-ladder system, Phys. Rev. B **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.R12649)**, [R12649](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.R12649) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.R12649).
- [18] D. Poilblanc, J. Riera, C. A. Hayward, C. Berthier, and M. Horvatić, Spin dynamics of the spin-Peierls compound $CuGeO₃$ under a magnetic field, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.R11941) **[55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.R11941)**, [R11941](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.R11941) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.R11941).
- [19] D. Augier, D. Poilblanc, S. Haas, A. Delia, and E. Dagotto, Dynamical properties of the spin-Peierls compound α' -NaV₂O₅, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R5732) **[56](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R5732)**, [R5732](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R5732) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R5732).
- [20] Nicolas Laflorencie and Didier Poilblanc, Simulations of pure and doped low-dimensional spin-1*/*2 gapped systems, in *Quantum Magnetism*, edited by Ulrich Schollwöck, Johannes Richter, Damian J. J. Farnell, and Raymod F. Bishop (Springer, Berlin, 2004), p. 227.
- [21] S. Capponi and D. Poilblanc, Thermodynamic properties of the coupled dimer system $Cu_2(C_5H_{12}N_2)_2Cl_4$, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.092406)* [75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.092406), [092406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.092406) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.092406).
- [22] S. R. White, Density Matrix Formulation for Quantum Renormalization Groups, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) **[69](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863)**, [2863](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863).
- [23] Steven R. White and Ian Affleck, Spectral function for the $S = 1$ Heisenberg antiferromagetic chain, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134437) **[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134437)**, [134437](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134437) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134437).
- [24] P. E. Dargel, A. Wöllert, A. Honecker, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and T. Pruschke, Lanczos algorithm with matrix product states for dynamical correlation functions, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205119) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205119)**, [205119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205119) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.205119).
- [25] Andreas Holzner, Andreas Weichselbaum, Ian P. McCulloch, Ulrich Schollwöck, and Jan von Delft, Chebyshev matrix product state approach for spectral functions, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195115) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195115)**, [195115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195115) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195115).
- [26] [M. B. Hastings, Solving gapped Hamiltonians locally,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.085115) Phys. Rev. B **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.085115)**, [085115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.085115) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.085115).
- [27] Lluís Masanes, Area law for the entropy of low-energy states, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.052104) **[80](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.052104)**, [052104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.052104) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.052104).
- [28] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.277) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.277)**, [277](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.277) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.277).
- [29] Jean-Sébastien Caux, Rob Hagemans, and Jean Michel Maillet, Computation of dynamical correlation functions of Heisenberg [chains: The gapless anisotropic regime,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/P09003) J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/P09003) [P09003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/P09003)
- [30] Jean-Sébastien Caux and Jean Michel Maillet, Computation of Dynamical Correlation Functions of Heisenberg Chains in a Magnetic Field, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.077201) **[95](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.077201)**, [077201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.077201) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.077201).
- [31] Jean-Sébastien Caux, Correlation functions of integrable models: A description of the ABACUS algorithm, [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3216474) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3216474)**, [095214](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3216474) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3216474).
- [32] Simon Trebst, Hartmut Monien, Chris Hamer, Zheng Weihong, and Rajiv Singh, Strong-Coupling Expansions for Multiparticle Excitations: Continuum and Bound States, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4373) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4373)**, [4373](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4373) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4373).
- [33] C. Knetter, K. P. Schmidt, M. Grüninger, and G. S. Uhrig, Fractional and Integer Excitations in Quantum Antiferromagnetic Spin 1*/*2 Ladders, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.167204) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.167204)**, [167204](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.167204) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.167204).
- [34] Kai P. Schmidt and Götz S. Uhrig, Excitations in One-Dimensional *S* = 1*/*[2 Quantum Antiferromagnets,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227204) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227204)**, [227204](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227204) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227204).
- [35] Kai P. Schmidt, Christian Knetter, and Götz S. Uhrig, Spectral [properties of the dimerized and frustrated](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.104417) $S = 1/2$ chain, *Phys.* Rev. B **[69](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.104417)**, [104417](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.104417) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.104417).
- [36] Jutho Haegeman, Spyridon Michalakis, Bruno Nachtergaele, Tobias J. Osborne, Norbert Schuch, and Frank Verstraete, Elementary Excitations in Gapped Quantum Spin Systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080401) **[111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080401)**, [080401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080401) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080401).
- [37] F. Verstraete, V. Murg, and J. Ignacio Cirac, Matrix product states, projected entangled pair states, and variational renormalization group methods for quantum spin systems, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366) **[57](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366)**, [143](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366).
- [38] U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states, [Ann. Phys. \(NY\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012) **[326](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012)**, [96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012).
- [39] Jutho Haegeman, Bogdan Pirvu, David J. Weir, J. Ignacio Cirac, Tobias J. Osborne, Henri Verschelde, and Frank Verstraete, [Variational matrix product ansatz for dispersion relations,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.100408) Phys. Rev. B **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.100408)**, [100408\(R\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.100408) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.100408).
- [40] Jutho Haegeman, Tobias J. Osborne, and Frank Verstraete, Postmatrix product state methods: To tangent space and beyond, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.075133) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.075133)**, [075133](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.075133) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.075133).
- [41] A. Bijl, J. de Boer, and A. Michels, Properties of liquid helium II, [Physica](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(41)90422-6) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(41)90422-6)**, [655](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(41)90422-6) [\(1941\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(41)90422-6).
- [42] R. P. Feynman, Atomic theory of liquid helium near absolute zero, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.91.1301) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.91.1301)**, [1301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.91.1301) [\(1953\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.91.1301); Atomic theory of the two-fluid model of liquid helium, *[ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.262)* **[94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.262)**, [262](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.262) [\(1954\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.262).
- [43] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, Collective-[Excitation Gap in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.581) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.581)**, [581](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.581) [\(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.581); S. M. Girvin, A. MacDonald, and P. Platzman, Magneto-roton theory of collective excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.2481) **[33](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.2481)**, [2481](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.2481) [\(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.2481).
- [44] Daniel P. Arovas, Assa Auerbach, and F. D. M. Haldane, Extended Heisenberg Models of Antiferromagnetism: Analogies

to the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.531) **[60](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.531)**, [531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.531) [\(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.531).

- [45] Laurens Vanderstraeten, Jutho Haegeman, Tobias J. Osborne, and Frank Verstraete, *S* Matrix from Matrix Product States, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.257202) **[112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.257202)**, [257202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.257202) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.257202).
- [46] Laurens Vanderstraeten, Jutho Haegeman, Frank Verstraete, and Jutho Haegeman, Scattering particles in quantum spin chains, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125136) **[92](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125136)**, [125136](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125136) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125136).
- [47] Jean-Sébastien Caux, Jorn Mossel, and Isaac Pérez Castillo, The two-spinon transverse structure factor of the gapped Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain, [J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/08/P08006) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/08/P08006) [P08006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/08/P08006)
- [48] Michel Gaudin, *The Bethe Wavefunction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2014), p. 360.
- [49] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, What is the spin of a spin wave? [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(81)90335-2) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(81)90335-2)**, [375](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(81)90335-2) [\(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(81)90335-2).
- [50] A. H. Bougourzi, Michael Karbach, and Gerhard Müller, Exact two-spinon dynamic structure factor of the one-dimensional *s* = 1*/*2 Heisenberg-Ising antiferromagnet, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.11429) **[57](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.11429)**, [11429](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.11429) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.11429).
- [51] D. Augier and D. Poilblanc, Dynamical properties of lowdimensional CuGeO₃ and NaV₂O₅ spin-Peierls systems, Eur. Phys. J. B **[1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100510050148)**, [19](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100510050148) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100510050148).
- [52] Bella Lake, Alexei M. Tsvelik, Susanne Notbohm, D. Alan Tennant, Toby G. Perring, Manfred Reehuis, Chinnathambi Sekar, Gernot Krabbes, and Bernd Büchner, Confinement of fractional quantum number particles in a condensed-matter system, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1462) **[6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1462)**, [50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1462) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1462).
- [53] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, E. M. Wheeler, E. Wawrzynska, D. Prabhakaran, M. Telling, K. Habicht, P. Smeibidl, and K. Kiefer, Quantum criticality in an Ising chain: Experimental evidence for emergent E_8 symmetry, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1180085) [327](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1180085), [177](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1180085) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1180085).
- [54] Zhe Wang, Jianda Wu, Shenglong Xu, Wang Yang, Congjun Wu, Anup Kumar Bera, A. T. M. Nazmul Islam, Bella Lake, Dmytro Kamenskyi, Papori Gogoi, Hans Engelkamp, Alois Loidl, and Joachim Deisenhofer, Deconfining spinon excitations of an XXZ quantum antiferromagnet in a transverse magnetic field, [arXiv:1512.01753.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1512.01753)
- [55] F. D. M. Haldane, Spontaneous dimerization in the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain with competing interactions, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.25.4925) **[25](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.25.4925)**, [4925](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.25.4925) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.25.4925).
- [56] F. D. M. Haldane, Erratum: Spontaneous dimerization in the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain with competing interactions, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5257) **[26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5257)**, [5257](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5257) [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5257).
- [57] Kiyomi Okamoto and Kiyohide Nomura, Fluid-dimer critical point in $S = 1/2$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with next nearest neighbor interactions, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90823-5) **[169](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90823-5)**, [433](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90823-5) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90823-5).
- [58] Chanchal K. Majumdar and Dipan K. Ghosh, On next-nearestneighbor interaction in linear chain, Part I, [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664978) **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664978)**, [1388](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664978) [\(1969\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664978); On next-nearest-neighbor interaction in linear chain, Part II, *[ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664979)* **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664979)**, [1399](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664979) [\(1969\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1664979).
- [59] B. Sriram Shastry and Bill Sutherland, Excitation Spectrum of a [Dimerized Next-Neighbor Antiferromagnetic Chain,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.964) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.964)**, [964](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.964) [\(1981\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.47.964).
- [60] W. J. Caspers, K. M. Emmett, and W. Magnus, The Majumdar-Ghosh chain. Twofold ground state and elementary excitations, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/13/020) **[17](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/13/020)**, [2687](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/13/020) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/13/020).
- [61] Erik Sorensen, Ian Affleck, David Augier, and Didier Poilblanc, Soliton approach to spin-Peierls antiferromagnets:

Large-scale numerical results, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.R14701) **[58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.R14701)**, [R14701](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.R14701) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.R14701).

- [62] Ian Affleck, Soliton confinement and the excitation spectrum of spin-Peierls antiferromagnets, in *Dynamical Properties of Unconventional Magnetic Systems SE - 6*, edited by Arne T. Skjeltorp and David Sherrington, NATO ASI Series Vol. 349 (Springer, Netherlands, 1998), p. 123.
- [63] D. Augier, E. Sørensen, J. Riera, and D. Poilblanc, Soliton bound states in the Raman spectrum of pure and doped spin-Peierls chains, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1075) **[60](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1075)**, [1075](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1075) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1075).
- [64] G. Els, P. H. M. van Loosdrecht, P. Lemmens, H. Vonberg, G. Güntherodt, G. S. Uhrig, O. Fujita, J. Akimitsu, G. Dhalenne, and A. Revcolevschi, Observation of Three-Magnon Light Scattering in CuGeO₃, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.5138)* **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.5138)**, [5138](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.5138) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.5138).