## Site-selective NMR for odd-frequency Cooper pairs around vortex in chiral *p*-wave superconductors

Kenta K. Tanaka,\* Masanori Ichioka,† and Seiichiro Onari

Department of Physics, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan (Received 4 October 2015; published 7 March 2016)

(Received 4 October 2015; published 7 March 2016)

In order to identify the pairing symmetry with chirality, we study site-selective NMR in chiral *p*-wave superconductors. We calculate local nuclear relaxation rate  $T_1^{-1}$  in the vortex lattice state by Eilenberger theory, including the applied magnetic field dependence. We find that  $T_1^{-1}$  in the NMR resonance line shape is different between two chiral states  $p_{\pm}(=p_x \pm ip_y)$ , depending on whether the chirality is parallel or antiparallel to the vorticity. Anomalous suppression of  $T_1^{-1}$  occurs around the vortex core in the chiral  $p_-$  wave due to the negative coherence term coming from the odd-frequency *s*-wave Cooper pair induced around the vortex with Majorana state.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.094507

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the study of unconventional superconductors, it is most important to identify the spin and orbital symmetry of the Cooper pairs since it is tightly related to the mechanism of superconductivity. The pairing symmetry of the ruthenate superconductor Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> is suggested to be chiral  $p_{\pm}$  wave [1,2], where Cooper pairs have angular momentum  $L_z = \pm 1$  for  $p_{\pm} = p_x \pm i p_y$ . For experimental evidence, the spin triplet pairing is supported by the Knight shift measurement [3] and the broken time-reversal symmetry coming from the chiral pair was observed by  $\mu$ SR [4] and polar Kerr effect [5] measurements. However, any experiment to identify the direction of the chirality, i.e.,  $p_+$  or  $p_-$  in Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> is not yet realized, since the  $\mu$ SR and the polar Kerr effect measurements can only detect the existence of chirality ( $L_z = 0$  or  $\neq 0$ ).

The spatially resolved NMR measurement [6-9] called site-selective NMR can detect local electronic states related to the pairing symmetry in the vortex lattice state by selectively observing the resonance field dependence of the nuclear relaxation rate  $T_1^{-1}$  in the NMR resonance line shape. This measurement is a complementary method to the scanning tunneling microscopy measurement, since the NMR measurement is free from the material surface condition. From our previous studies for site-selective NMR [10,11], local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in the vortex lattice state is determined by local density of states (DOS) of electrons in the s- and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductors. As for the chiral *p*-wave superconductor, previous theories suggest that the temperature T dependence of  $T_1^{-1}$  is different between  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  states at the vortex center [12–14]. This chirality dependence is caused by the interaction between the chirality and vorticity, depending on whether the chirality  $L_z(=\pm 1)$  is parallel or antiparallel to the vorticity W(=1)in the vortex state of chiral *p*-wave superconductors [15–18].

Recently, the chiral *p*-wave superconductors have been attracting much attention as a topological superconductor, since it has nontrivial topological properties. In this superconductor, topological defects such as vortex or surface induce Majorana fermions [19–21]. Majorana fermions give rise to anomalous electric states such as Majorana zero mode and non-Abelian statistics of the vortices [20]. In addition, the vortex state of chiral *p*-wave superconductors also induces odd-frequency Cooper pairs [18,22,23]. In particular, the odd-frequency *s*-wave Cooper pair in the vortex state of chiral *p*-wave superconductors is related to the Majorana fermion [23].

The purpose of this paper is that we investigate the method to identify the pairing symmetry with chirality by the siteselective NMR measurement. In chiral *p*-wave superconductors, it is significant to prove topological numbers  $L_z$  and *W* as well as local DOS. From this viewpoint, we study the chirality dependence of local  $T_1^{-1}(\mathbf{r})$  in the resonance field dependence in the vortex lattice state. We especially focus on anomalous suppression of  $T_1^{-1}$  around the vortex core in the chiral  $p_-$  wave. Further, we will discuss reasons for the anomalous suppression of  $T_1^{-1}$  in the relation to odd-frequency Cooper pairs induced around the vortex with Majorana state.

This paper is organized as follows. After the introduction, we explain our formulation of the Eilenberger theory for the vortex lattice state, and the calculation method for  $T_1^{-1}$  in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we study the temperature, spatial, and resonance field dependence of local  $T_1^{-1}(\mathbf{r})$  in the vortex lattice state. In Sec. IV, we discuss the reasons for the anomalous suppression of  $T_1^{-1}$ . The last section is devoted to the summary.

#### **II. FORMULATION**

We calculate the spatial structure of the vortex lattice state by quasiclassical Eilenberger theory [11,16,24]. The quasiclassical theory is valid when the atomic scale is small enough compared to the superconducting coherence length  $\xi$ . For many superconductors including Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>, this quasiclassical condition is well satisfied [1,2]. Moreover, since our calculations are performed in the vortex lattice state, distributions of local  $T_1^{-1}$  and the resonance field are quantitatively obtained as a function of temperature and applied field. Therefore, our calculation method is powerful and a reliable tool dealing with the inhomogeneous spatial structure of superconducting properties.

As a simple model of Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>, we consider the chiral *p*wave pairing on the cylindrical Fermi surface,  $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y) = k_F(\cos \theta_k, \sin \theta_k)$ , and the Fermi velocity  $\mathbf{v}_F = v_{F0}\mathbf{k}/k_F$ . Quasiclassical Green's functions  $g(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ ,  $f(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ ,  $f^{\dagger}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$  are calculated by solving the Eilenberger

<sup>\*</sup>ktanaka@mp.okayama-u.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup>ichioka@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp

equation,

$$\{\omega_n + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla + i\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}))\} f = \tilde{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k})g, \{\omega_n - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla - i\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}))\} f^{\dagger} = \tilde{\Delta}^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k})g,$$
(1)

where  $g = (1 - ff^{\dagger})^{1/2}$ , and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_F / v_{F0}$ . The order parameter is  $\tilde{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}) = \Delta_+(\mathbf{r})\phi_{p+}(\mathbf{k}) + \Delta_-(\mathbf{r})\phi_{p-}(\mathbf{k})$  with the pairing function  $\phi_{p\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = (k_x \pm i k_y)/k_F = e^{\pm i\theta_k}$  for the chiral  $p_{\pm}$ wave. **r** is the center-of-mass coordinate of the pair. When magnetic fields are applied along the *z* axis, the vector potential is given by  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$  in the symmetric gauge, where  $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$  is a uniform flux density, and  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$  is related to the internal field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = (0, 0, B(\mathbf{r})) = \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ . We have scaled temperature, length, and magnetic field in units of  $T_{c_0}$ ,  $\xi_0$ , and  $B_0$ , where  $\xi_0 = \hbar v_{F0}/2\pi k_B T_{c_0}$ ,  $B_0 = \phi_0/2\pi \xi_0^2$  with the flux quantum  $\phi_0$ , respectively.  $T_{c_0}$  is the transition temperature at a zero field. The energy *E*, pair potential  $\Delta$ , and Matsubara frequency  $\omega_n$  are in units of  $\pi k_B T_{c_0}$ . In the following, we set  $\hbar = k_B = 1$ .

To determine  $\Delta_{\pm}(\mathbf{r})$  and the quasiclassical Green's functions self-consistently, we calculate  $\Delta_{\pm}(\mathbf{r})$  by the gap equation,

$$\Delta_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = g_0 N_0 T \sum_{0 < \omega_n \leqslant \omega_{\text{cut}}} \langle \phi_{p\pm}^*(\mathbf{k}) (f + f^{\dagger^*}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $(g_0 N_0)^{-1} = \ln T + 2T \sum_{0 < \omega_n \le \omega_{cut}} \omega_n^{-1}$ , and we use  $\omega_{cut} = 20k_B T_{c_0}$ .  $\langle \cdots \rangle_k$  indicates the Fermi surface average. For the self-consistent calculation of the vector potential for the internal field  $B(\mathbf{r})$ , we use the relation,

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = -2T\kappa^{-2} \sum_{0 < \omega_n} \langle \mathbf{v} \mathrm{Im}\{g\} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}.$$
 (3)

In our calculations, we use the Ginzburg-Landau parameter  $[11,24,25] \kappa = 2.7$  appropriate to Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> [1,2].

We iterate calculations of Eqs. (1)–(3) in Matsubara frequency  $\omega_n$  in the square vortex lattice [26], until we obtain the self-consistent results of  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}), \Delta(\mathbf{r})$  and the quasiclassical Green's functions. We consider two states of  $p_{\pm}$ . In the  $p_{\pm}$ state, where chirality and vorticity are parallel,  $\Delta_+(\mathbf{r})$  is the main component and  $\Delta_{-}(\mathbf{r})$  is induced around vortices. In the  $p_{-}$  state where  $\Delta_{-}(\mathbf{r})$  is the main component, chirality and vorticity are antiparallel. The studies of phase diagram for thermodynamically stable states have been already reported in Refs. [15,16]. According to these previous studies, the  $p_{-}$  state has a lower free energy than the metastable  $p_+$  state in all T-Hrange except for H = 0. At the H = 0, the chiral  $p_{\pm}$  states are degenerate in free energy. We study not only the stable  $p_{-}$  state case but also the metastable  $p_{+}$  state case. From our calculation results, the upper critical field is  $H_{c2}/B_0 = 0.84$ at  $T/T_{c_0} = 0.5$  for the  $p_-$  state. The  $p_+$  state is unstable at  $H/B_0 > 0.31$  at  $T/T_{c_0} = 0.5$ , and changes to the  $p_-$  state.

Next, using the self-consistently obtained  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ , we calculate quasiclassical Green's functions in real energy  $E \pm i\eta$  instead of  $i\omega_n$ . Since we consider the clean case with long lifetime of the quasiparticle, we use small enough  $\eta(=0.01)$ , maintaining the accuracy of numerical calculation. We solve Eilenberger equation (1) with  $i\omega_n \rightarrow E \pm i\eta$  to obtain  $g(E \pm i\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ ,  $f(E \pm i\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ ,  $f^{\dagger}(E \pm i\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ . The local DOS  $N(E, \mathbf{r})$  is given by  $N(E, \mathbf{r}) = \langle \operatorname{Re}\{g(E + i\eta, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})\}_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

Based on the linear response theory, from the obtained quasiclassical Green's functions, the nuclear relaxation rate

 $T_1^{-1}$  is calculated as [11,13]

$$\frac{(T_1(T)T)^{-1}}{(T_1(T_c)T_c)^{-1}} = \frac{(T_{1gg}(T)T)^{-1} + (T_{1ff}(T)T)^{-1}}{(T_1(T_c)T_c)^{-1}}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{W_{gg}(E,\mathbf{r}) + W_{ff}(E,\mathbf{r})}{4T\cosh^2(E/2T)} dE, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$W_{gg}(E,\mathbf{r}) = \langle a_{\downarrow\downarrow}^{22}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle a_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{11}(-E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}},$$
$$W_{ff}(E,\mathbf{r}) = -\langle a_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{21}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle a_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{12}(-E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (5)$$

with

$$a_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{11}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}[g(E+i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) - g(E-i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r})],$$

$$a_{\downarrow\downarrow}^{22}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{g}(E+i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) - \bar{g}(E-i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r})],$$

$$a_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{12}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i}{2}[f(E+i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) - f(E-i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r})],$$

$$a_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{21}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i}{2}[f^{\dagger}(E+i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) - f^{\dagger}(E-i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r})],$$
(6)

and  $\bar{g}(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) = g(E,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r})$ .  $T_c(< T_{c_0})$  is superconducting transition temperature at a finite magnetic field. We define  $t = T/T_c$ .  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$  is the contribution in  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  from the DOS term  $W_{gg}$ , and  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  is the contribution from the coherence term  $W_{ff}$ .

### **III. LOCAL NMR RELAXATION RATE**

First, we study the *T* dependence of local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  shown in Fig. 1 for  $p_{\pm}$  states. For a reference, we also show the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ wave pairing state  $\tilde{\Delta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}) = \Delta_d(\mathbf{r})\sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta_k$  [11]. Outside of the vortex core, such as the midpoint between next nearest neighbor (NNN) vortices in Fig. 1(a), the *T* dependence is similar to the bulk chiral *p*-wave superconductors in both  $p_{\pm}$ states. There, we see exponential *T* dependence at low *T*, reflecting the full gap  $|\phi_{p\pm}| = 1$ . On the other hand, around



FIG. 1. *T* dependence of local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  for the  $p_{\pm}$  states at radius  $r/a_x = 0.5(a), 0.1(b), 0.05(c)$  from the vortex center along the NNN vortex direction.  $a_x$  is inter-vortex distance along the NNN direction. We plot normalized values  $(T_1(T)T)^{-1}/(T_1(T_c)T_c)^{-1}$  as a function of *t* at  $H/B_0 = 0.02$ . The vertical axis is a logarithmic scale. The  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave case is also shown for reference.  $T_c/T_{c_0} = 0.985 (0.975)$  at  $H/B_0 = 0.02$  in the  $p_{\pm} (d_{x^2-y^2})$  states.



FIG. 2. Local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  as a function of radius  $r/a_x$  from the vortex center along the NNN direction for the  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  states. The  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave case is also shown. The vertical axis is a logarithmic scale.  $T/T_{c_0} = 0.5$  and  $H/B_0 = 0.02$ .  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is normalized by the value at  $T_c$ . The inset shows a spatial structure of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  for the  $p_+$  state. Brighter region has larger  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ .

the vortex core in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), we see the different behaviors depending on the chirality directions. In the  $p_+$  state,  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is more enhanced with approaching the vortex center. This enhancement is due to the localized low energy DOS around the vortex core, and moderate compared to the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ wave pairing state [11]. However, the enhancement does not occur in the  $p_-$  state in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). The reason for this suppression is related to the odd-frequency Cooper pairs around the vortex core, as discussed later.

As shown in Fig. 2, to see the spatial dependence in detail, we present local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  as a function of radius r on a line between NNN vortices. Outside of the vortex core  $r/a_x \ge 0.2$ ,  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  shows almost the same r dependence between the  $p_+$ and  $p_-$  states. Inside the vortex core, it is characteristic that  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is enhanced in the  $p_+$  state, but it is anomalously suppressed in the  $p_-$  state. It is also noted that  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ monotonically decreases as a function of r in the d wave, but it has a minimum at  $r \sim 0.175a_x$  in the  $p_+$  state. The minimum region surrounding the vortex core is also seen in the spatial structure of  $(T_1(\mathbf{r})T)^{-1}$  shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

Next, we discuss how the difference between  $p_{\perp}$  and  $p_{\perp}$ states is detected in the site-selective NMR measurement. From the internal field distribution  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ , we theoretically obtain the Redfield pattern [27] of the NMR resonance line shape, as  $P(\omega) = \int \delta(\omega - B(\mathbf{r})) d\mathbf{r}$ , since the intensity at each resonance frequency  $\omega$  comes from the volume satisfying  $\omega = B(\mathbf{r})$  in a unit cell. In Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>, P(B) was observed by  $\mu$ SR [28]. In Fig. 3(a), with P(B), we plot local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ as a function of local field  $B(\mathbf{r})$  at the same position  $\mathbf{r}$ . At lower resonance fields B/H < 1 near the peak of P(B), NMR signals come from outside of the vortex cores. In this range,  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  decreases as a function of B in both  $p_{\pm}$  states similarly. The tail of P(B) at higher B is approaching the vortex center. In this range B/H > 1, we can see the chirality dependence, i.e.,  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  increases as a function of B in the  $p_{+}$  state, but it decreases in the  $p_{-}$  state. However, at the low applied field H, the signal of the vortex core contribution at higher B is weak in P(B). On the other hand, at higher applied field H as shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c), the signal



FIG. 3. Solid lines indicate the Redfield pattern of the NMR resonance line shape P(B) for the  $p_-$  state. Points are for *B* dependence of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  for the  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  states. The  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave case is also shown.  $T/T_{c_0} = 0.5$  and  $H/B_0 = 0.02(a)$ , 0.10(b), 0.20(c).  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is normalized by the value at  $T_c$ . Only data points  $(T_1T)^{-1} \leq 1.2$  are presented in (a), (b), and (c).

for distinguishing the chirality becomes larger in P(B), since weight of the vortex core region increases within the unit cell of the vortex lattice with increasing H. In Fig. 3(b),  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ in the  $p_+$  state increases as a function of B in all resonance field range, while it is almost flat in the  $p_-$  state except for largest B. In Fig. 3(c),  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in both  $p_{\pm}$  states increases as a function of B. From these calculation results, we can identify the direction of the chirality, i.e.,  $p_+$  or  $p_-$  state by measuring B dependence of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ . In particular, it is important that we observe the monotonically decreasing or flat behavior of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  as a function of *B*, since this behavior is realized only in the  $p_-$  state. And, from the previous studies [15,16], it is expected that the  $p_-$  state has a lower free energy than the metastable  $p_+$  state in the vortex state. However, we should be careful about strength of applied field, since *B* dependence of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  changes as shown in Fig. 3(c), when the applied field is too high.

# IV. RELATION TO ODD-FREQUENCY COOPER PAIRS

To discuss the reasons for the anomalous suppression of  $T_1^{-1}$  around the vortex core in the chiral *p*-wave superconductors, we present the decomposition of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  to the DOS term  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$  and the coherence term  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). There, we see that  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$  is enhanced around the vortex core in both  $p_{\pm}$  states similarly, as in the s- and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave cases [11]. The enhancement reflects low energy DOS around the vortex core. The chirality dependence appears in negative coherence term  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$ . In the  $p_{-}$  state, negative  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  cancels the enhancement of  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$ , so that  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is suppressed in the vortex core. In the  $p_+$  state, weak suppression of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in the region surrounding vortex in Fig. 2 is also due to the small negative term  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$ . Therefore, in the  $p_+$  state, we can say that the  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$  of a normal signal obscures the  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  of a superfluid response. However, in the  $p_{-}$  state, since the superfluid response is enhanced around the vortex core including the proximity effect of superconductivity, the normal signal does not obscure the superfluid response.

At last, we discuss origin of the negative coherence term. From Eqs. (4)–(6), the *s*-wave pair can contribute to the coherence term  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  since the condition  $\langle f \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$  with  $L_z = 0$ . In the conventional s-wave superconductor, a Hebel-Slichter peak appears below  $T_c$  due to the coherence term [11,29,30]. To check this condition, we calculate the orbital-decomposed Cooper pair  $\mathcal{F}_m(E,\mathbf{r}) = \langle \phi_m^*(\mathbf{k}) f(E+i\eta,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ . In addition to  $\phi_{p\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ , we employ  $\phi_s(\mathbf{k}) = 1$  for the *s* wave, and  $\phi_{d2\pm}(\mathbf{k}) =$  $e^{\pm i \hat{2}\theta}$  for the chiral d wave. The obtained s- and d-wave components in the chiral *p*-wave superconductors are the odd-frequency Cooper pair [18]. In Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), we present the r dependence of  $|\mathcal{F}_m(E=0,\mathbf{r})|$ , where the induced s- and d-wave amplitude have large values around the vortex core. As summarized in Table I, the vorticity W of the symmetry component  $\mathcal{F}_m$  with the chirality  $L_z$ is determined by the condition  $L_z + W = 2$  in the  $p_+$  state and  $L_z + W = 0$  in the  $p_-$  state. In the  $p_+$  state, the chiral  $d_{2+}$ -wave component has W = 0, giving large amplitude at the vortex center. The small induced *s*-wave component also appears, but it vanishes at the vortex center since it has W = 2, as shown in Fig. 4(c). In the  $p_{-}$  state, the s-wave component has W = 0 thus it has large amplitude at the vortex center, as shown in Fig. 4(d). The odd-frequency s-wave Cooper pair determines the r dependence of the negative coherence term  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). In particular, at low T limit, we confirmed that  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1} \sim -|\mathcal{F}_s(E=0)|^2$  at the vortex center from the calculation results.

The previous theoretical study using the Andreev bound state model showed that  $T_1^{-1}$  at the vortex center is completely zero  $(T_1^{-1} \sim 0)$  due to the coherence effect when the  $L_z$  is antiparallel to the W [14]. On the other hand, previously our



FIG. 4. *r* dependence of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ ,  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$ ,  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  in (a) the  $p_+$  state and (b) the  $p_-$  state.  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ ,  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$ ,  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  is normalized by  $(T_1(T_c)T_c)^{-1}$ . *r* dependence of orbital-decomposed Cooper pair's amplitude  $|\mathcal{F}_m(E=0)|$  in (c) the  $p_+$  state and (d) the  $p_-$  state. m = s,  $p_{\pm}$ , and  $d_{2\pm}$ . In all figures,  $T/T_{c_0} = 0.5$ and  $H/B_0 = 0.02$ . *r* is radius from the vortex center along the NNN vortex direction. In the  $p_-$  state,  $|\mathcal{F}_{d_{2+}}(r, E=0)| \sim |\mathcal{F}_{d_{2-}}(r, E=0)|$ .

study using the Bogoliubov-de Gennes theory confirmed the relation  $N(E = 0, \mathbf{r}) \propto |\mathcal{F}_s(E = 0, \mathbf{r})|$  in the  $p_-$  state for the vortex core quasiparticle states with Majorana zero mode [23]. Considering these relations, we find that the  $(T_{1ff}T)^{-1}$  related to the odd-frequency *s*-wave Cooper pair tends to cancel the local DOS term  $(T_{1gg}T)^{-1}$ , since  $(T_{1gg}(\mathbf{r})T)^{-1} \sim N(E = 0, \mathbf{r})^2$  and  $(T_{1ff}(\mathbf{r})T)^{-1} \sim - |\mathcal{F}_s(E = 0, \mathbf{r})|^2$  at low *T* and *H* limit (low energy limit). Therefore, the anomalous suppression of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is also explained by the nature of the Majorana state.

TABLE I. Relation of vorticity W and chirality  $L_z$  for each symmetry component of the orbital-decomposed Cooper pair  $\mathcal{F}_m$  around a vortex in the  $p_+$  and  $p_-$  states. Main component in each case has W = 1. The induced component has other W locally around the vortex center by the conservation of  $L_z + W$  [18]. At the vortex center, induced component with W = 0 has finite amplitude.

Symmetry component	Chirality $L_z$	Vorticity W	
		$p_+$ state	$p_{-}$ state
$d_{2+}$	2	0 (center)	-2
$p_+$	1	1 (main)	-1
S	0	2	0 (center)
$p_{-}$	-1	3	1 (main)
<i>d</i> <sub>2-</sub>	-2	4	2
		$L_z + W = 2$	$L_z + W = 0$

Note that, in our calculation results at finite T and H states,  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  is not completely zero around the vortex core, since quasiparticle states different from the Majorana zero mode also contribute to the NMR relaxation, as shown in Fig. 2.

When we discuss the influence of the subdominant components, we have to distinguish the order parameter  $\Delta$  and the pair amplitude  $\mathcal{F}$ . The subdominant components such as odd-frequency *s*- and *d*-wave Cooper pairs vanish in the order parameter, since the order parameter is determined by the PHYSICAL REVIEW B 93, 094507 (2016)

gap equation of Eq. (2). Therefore, the qualitatively unique mechanism of the negative coherence term related to the odd-frequency Cooper pairs in the chiral p-wave superconductors does not seriously depend on the details of setting the pairing interaction for the subdominant order parameter.

## V. SUMMARY

We have calculated the *T*, *r*, and *B* dependence of the local NMR relaxation rate  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in two chiral  $p_{\pm}$  states, and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  wave as a reference. We have clarified that  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in the  $p_+$  state is enhanced with approaching the vortex center by the contribution of low energy excitations of the vortex core in the  $p_-$  state. This chirality dependence of local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  may be observed by the site-selective NMR measurement via the *B* dependence of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  in *P*(*B*). Further, we have theoretically found that the anomalous suppression of  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  around the vortex core is due to the negative coherence term by the induced odd-frequency *s*-wave Cooper pair with the Majorana state.

We hope that these theoretical estimates of local  $(T_1T)^{-1}$ will be confirmed by the site-selective NMR measurement, and will be used for detecting the pairing symmetry with chirality in the chiral *p*-wave superconductors, and natures of oddfrequency Cooper pairs and Majorana states.

- [1] A. P. Mackenzie and Y. Maeno, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 657 (2003).
- [2] Y. Maeno, S. Kittaka, T. Nomura, S. Yonezawa, and K. Ishida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 011009 (2012).
- [3] K. Ishida, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, K. Asayama, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, and Y. Maeno, Nature (London) 396, 658 (1998).
- [4] G. M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, H. Nakamura, and M. Sigrist, Nature (London) **394**, 558 (1998).
- [5] J. Xia, Y. Maeno, P. T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 167002 (2006).
- [6] N. J. Curro, C. Milling, J. Haase, and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. B 62, 3473 (2000).
- [7] V. F. Mitrović, E. E. Sigmund, M. Eschrig, H. N. Bachman, W. P. Halperin, A. P. Reyes, P. Kuhns, and W. G. Moulton, Nature (London) 413, 501 (2001).
- [8] K. Kakuyanagi, K.-i. Kumagai, and Y. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. B 65, 060503(R) (2002); K. Kakuyanagi, K.-i. Kumagai, Y. Matsuda, and M. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 197003 (2003).
- [9] Y. Nakai, Y. Hayashi, K. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, H. Sugawara, D. Kikuchi, and H. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 333 (2008); Y. Nakai, Y. Hayashi, K. Ishida, H. Sugawara, D. Kikuchi, and H. Sato, Physica B 403, 1109 (2008).
- [10] M. Takigawa, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3057 (1999); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 3943 (2000).
- [11] K. K. Tanaka, M. Ichioka, S. Onari, N. Nakai, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 91, 014509 (2015).
- [12] M. Takigawa, M. Ichioka, K. Machida, and M. Sigrist, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 63, 1333 (2002).
- [13] N. Hayashi and Y. Kato, Physica C 388, 513 (2003); J. Low Temp. Phys. 131, 893 (2003).

- [14] Y. Kato and N. Hayashi, Physica C 388, 519 (2003).
- [15] R. Heeb and D. F. Agterberg, Phys. Rev. B 59, 7076 (1999).
- [16] M. Ichioka and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 65, 224517 (2002).
- [17] M. Ichioka, Y. Matsunaga, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 71, 172510 (2005).
- [18] Y. Tanuma, N. Hayashi, Y. Tanaka, and A. A. Golubov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 117003 (2009).
- [19] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [20] D. A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 268 (2001).
- [21] T. Mizushima, M. Ichioka, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 150409 (2008).
- [22] Y. Tanaka, M. Sato, and N. Nagaosa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 011013 (2012).
- [23] T. Daino, M. Ichioka, T. Mizushima, and Y. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B 86, 064512 (2012).
- [24] K. K. Tanaka, M. Ichioka, N. Nakai, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 89, 174504 (2014).
- [25] P. Miranović and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 67, 092506 (2003).
- [26] T. M. Riseman, P. G. Kealey, E. M. Forgan, A. P. Mackenzie, L. M. Galvin, A. W. Tyler, S. L. Lee, C. Ager, D. Mck. Paul, C. M. Aegerter, R. Cubitt, Z. Q. Mao, T. Akima, and Y. Maeno, Nature (London) **396**, 242 (1998); **404**, 629(E) (2000).
- [27] W. Fite II and A. G. Redfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 381 (1966).
- [28] C. M. Aegerter, S. H. Lloyd, C. Ager, S. L. Lee, S. Romer, H. Keller, and E. M. Forgan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, 7445 (1998).
- [29] L. C. Hebel and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. 113, 1504 (1959).
- [30] Y. Masuda and A. G. Redfield, Phys. Rev. 125, 159 (1962).