# Compressibility as a probe of quantum phase transitions in topological superconductors

David Nozadze and Nandini Trivedi

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, 191 W. Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA (Received 7 April 2015; published 17 February 2016)

While there have been recent reports of zero-energy modes in single-particle tunneling density of states, their identity as Majorana modes has not been unequivocally established thus far. We make predictions for the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$ , tuned by changing the chemical potential  $\mu$  in a semiconducting nanowire with strong spin-orbit coupling and in a Zeeman field in proximity to a superconductor, which has been proposed as a candidate system for observing Majorana modes. We show that in the center of the wire, the topological phase transition is signaled by a divergence of  $\kappa_{loc}$  as a function of  $\mu$ , an important diagnostic of the phase transition. We also find that a single strong impurity potential can lead to a local *negative* compressibility at the topological phase transition. The origin of such anomalous behavior can be traced to the formation of Andreev bound states close to topological phase transitions. Measurable by a gate-tunable scanning electron transistor, the compressibility includes contributions from both single-particle states and collective modes and is therefore a complementary probe from scanning tunneling spectroscopy, which is sensitive to only the single-particle density of states.

#### DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.064512

# I. INTRODUCTION

The non-Abelian statistics of Majorana fermions, their role in topological quantum computation, and the possibility of realizing them in condensed-matter systems has attracted considerable attention [1–5]. Majorana fermions can emerge in systems such as topological insulator-superconductor interfaces [6,7], quantum Hall states with filling factor [4] 5/2, *p*-wave superconductors [8], semiconductor heterostructures [9,10], half-metallic ferromagnets [11,12], and ferromagnetic metallic chains [13]. As shown by Kitaev [14], Majorana fermions can emerge at the ends of a one-dimensional (1D) spinless *p*-wave superconducting chain when the chemical potential is in the topological regime.

A realization of the Kitaev chain based on a quantum nanowire made of a semiconductor-superconductor hybrid structure has been proposed [9,10]. In the presence of Rashba spin-orbit coupling, the parabolic bands for the two spin projections get separated. In addition, a Zeeman field hopens up a gap leading to an effectively spinless 1D system when the chemical potential  $\mu$  lies in the Zeeman gap. The proximity-induced superconductivity with a gap  $\Delta$  can result in the topological phase [9,10,15,16]. In this regime, the wire can be realized as a Kitaev chain and should have two Majorana localized zero-energy modes at the ends. The nanowire can undergo a quantum phase transition from a topologically trivial superconducting phase to the topological one (or vice versa) by changing the chemical potential or the magnetic field.

There have been recent reports of observations of Majorana fermions in tunneling and the fractional Josephson effect [16–19]. Reference [20] reported significant progress in creating the Majorana states where spatial location of Majoranas are detected using a scanning tunneling microscope. All of these experimental observations of the existence of Majorana fermions *assume* that the system is in the topological phase and attribute the zero-energy density of states to the proposed Majorana modes. However, since there could be several other sources for the zero-bias anomaly [21–23], the existence of Majorana modes has so far not been unequivocally established.

We propose here a definitive method to determine whether or not the nanowire is in the topological or trivial state through measurements of the gate-tuned local compressibility. We further discuss the dramatic changes that occur in the local compressibility as a function of the chemical potential  $\mu$  in the presence of a potential defect or a weak link.

The paper is in two parts: In the first part, we discuss the local compressibility in the presence of a weak link and a site defect specifically in the Kitaev model, which shows a topological to trivial *p*-wave superconducting transition at  $|\mu| = \mu_c = 2t$ , where *t* is the hopping parameter between neighboring sites in the wire.

In the second part, we discuss a microscopic model of a nanowire with spin-orbit coupling in proximity to an *s*wave superconductor and investigate its local compressibility response to link and site defects. We analyze in detail a specific cut through the phase diagram that shows two phase transitions upon increasing  $\mu$  from a a trivial *p*-wave superconductor to a topological *p*-wave superconductor at  $\mu = \mu^-$ , and a second one from a topological *p*-wave superconductor to a trivial superconductor with *s*- and *p*-wave pairing at  $\mu = \mu^+$ . We will see below that the transition at  $\mu^-$  is captured by the simplified Kitaev model; the transition at  $\mu^+$  is qualitatively different.

Our main results are the following:

(1) We find very different behavior of the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  at the edge of the wire and in the center in a clean wire. While the edge harbors Majorana modes which show a zero-bias anomaly, the density of states in the center is fully gapped. The compressibility, on the other hand, shows a sharp singularity near the center of the wire at the topological to trivial phase transitions (see Figs. 1 and 5) and its behavior at the edge is considerably muted.

(2) A single impurity can dramatically change the local response: a strong impurity leads to the formation of an Andreev bound state (ABS) that remarkably produces a local negative compressibility with a dip at the topological to trivial phase transitions as  $\mu$  is tuned. An extra peak associated with the bound state appears in  $\kappa_{loc}$  above the transition. For the Kitaev model as well as for the realistic model mentioned above with a transition at  $\mu^-$ , the ABS is formed in the trivial phase. On the other hand, for the realistic model with the transition at  $\mu^+$ , the ABS is formed in the topological phase.



FIG. 1. (a) The local particle density  $n_{\rm loc}$  (red dashed line) and the local compressibility  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  (blue solid line) vs chemical potential  $\mu/t$  in the center of the wire for a Kitaev chain with no defects. The compressibility diverges logarithmically at the quantum critical point  $\mu = \pm 2t$ . (b) The singularity in  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  is weakened at the edge of the wire. (c),(d) The local density of states  $N_{\rm loc}(\omega)$  in the trivial ( $\mu = 2.1t$ , blue circle) and topological ( $\mu = 1.5t$ , red star) phases. (c) Obtained in the center; (d) obtained at the edge of the wire. Results are presented for chain length N = 256 at T = 0 with slightly broadened  $\delta$  functions in  $N_{\rm loc}(\omega)$ .

(3) A link impurity with a hopping parameter different in strength but with the same sign as the hopping in the regular chain merely suppresses the divergence in  $\kappa$  at the transition for both the Kitaev chain and the realistic model. On the other hand, a link impurity with opposite sign produces zero-energy Majorana modes upon fine tuning, as discussed below.

Our predictions can be verified by measuring the compressibility as a function of a gate-tunable chemical potential as well as simultaneous measurements of the local density of states using scanning tunneling spectroscopy.

## **II. KITAEV MODEL WITH DEFECTS**

We consider a 1D tight-binding Hamiltonian for spinless fermions with attractive interactions between fermions on nearest-neighbor sites,

$$H = -t \sum_{i} (c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i+1} + \text{H.c.}) - \mu \sum_{i} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} - \frac{|U|}{2} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} c_{i+1}^{\dagger} c_{i+1}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $c_i^{\dagger}(c_i)$  is the creation (destruction) operator for an electron on a site *i*, *t* is the near-neighbor hopping parameter,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential, and |U| is the pairing interaction. If we approximate the interaction term using a *p*-wave mean field gap function, defined by

$$\Delta_i = |U| \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1}^{\dagger} \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

we obtain the Kitaev 1D spinless tight-binding Hamiltonian with *p*-wave superconducting pairing [14].

In this paper, we consider the effect of defects which we model as on-site impurity potentials  $V_i$  or weak links  $t_i$ between nearest-neighbor sites (i, i + 1),

$$H = \sum_{i} (V_{i} - \mu)c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i} - \sum_{i} t_{i}(c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i+1} + \text{H.c.}) - \sum_{i} \Delta_{i}(c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i+1}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}).$$
(3)

In the clean limit,  $t_i = t$ ,  $\Delta_i = \Delta$ , and  $V_i = 0$ , and the system reduces to the 1D Kitaev chain [14].

## Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) approach

We go beyond the T matrix by using the inhomogeneous Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) method to study the effects of link defects and on-site impurities, which is able to capture the inhomogeneous variation of the order parameter around the defect. From the information about the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, we calculate the local density of states and the local compressibility, as discussed below. Even though this is a one-dimensional problem, we are justified in ignoring the quantum fluctuations, primarily because we envisage the system as proximity coupled to a bulk superconductor which damps out the fluctuations.

We diagonalize Eq. (3) by defining the operator  $\gamma_i = \sum_n [c_n u_n(i) - c_n^{\dagger} v_n^*(i)]$  that leads to BdG equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_0 & -\Delta^{\dagger} \\ \Delta & -h_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n(j) \\ v_n(j) \end{pmatrix} = E_n \begin{pmatrix} u_n(j) \\ v_n(j) \end{pmatrix},$$
(4)

where the excitation eigenvalues  $E_n \ge 0$ .  $h_0 u_n(i) = (-\mu_i + V_i)u_n(i) - t_i[u_n(i+1) + u_n(i-1)]$  and  $\Delta u_n(i) = \Delta_i u_n(i+1) + \Delta_{i-1}u_n(i-1)$ . The self-consistency condition is given by

$$\Delta_{i} = U \sum_{E_{n} > 0} u_{n}(i)v_{n}(i+1)\tanh(E_{n}/2T).$$
 (5)

The density of particles on site *i* is

$$n_{i} = \langle c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} \rangle = \sum_{n} \{ |u_{n}(i)|^{2} f(E_{n}) + |v_{n}(i)|^{2} [1 - f(E_{n})] \},$$
(6)

where  $f(E_n)$  is the Fermi function. The local single-particle density of states (LDOS) is given by

$$N_{i}(\omega) = \sum_{n} [|u_{n}(i)|^{2} \delta(\omega - E_{n}) + |v_{n}(i)|^{2} \delta(\omega + E_{n})].$$
(7)

Here,  $\omega$  is measured relative to the chemical potential  $\mu$ .

#### **III. RESULTS: CLEAN KITAEV MODEL**

For a clean wire with periodic boundary conditions, i.e., a loop, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) can be diagonalized to give  $H = \sum_{k} E_k \gamma_k^{\dagger} \gamma_k$  using the Bogoliubov transformation  $\gamma_k = u_k c_k + v_k c_{-k}^{\dagger}$  with  $|u_k|^2 = 1/2(1 + \epsilon_k/E_k)$  and  $|v_k|^2 = 1 - |u_k|^2$ . The quasiparticle excitation energy is given by  $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + |\Delta_k|^2}$  where  $\Delta_k = 2it \sin(k)$  and  $\epsilon_k = -\mu - 2t \cos(k)$ .



FIG. 2. The spectral function  $A_k(\omega)$  (intensity encoded in yellow, above a dark blue background which sets the zero) in the (a),(b) topological and (c) trivial phases is shown in the upper row with the corresponding density of states  $N(\omega)$  in the bottom row for a closed loop. The  $\delta$  functions in  $A_k(\omega)$  and  $N(\omega)$  are broadened. At  $\mu = 0$ , the coherence factors reduce to  $|u_k|^2 = (1/2)[1 - \cos(k)]$  and  $|v_k|^2 = (1/2)[1 + \cos(k)]$ , which yields a symmetrical  $N(\omega) = (1/2)\delta(\omega - 2t) + (1/2)\delta(\omega + 2t)$  around the chemical potential. For  $\mu > 0$ , there is greater spectral weight for negative energies or "holelike" states; the opposite is true for negative  $\mu$ . (g)  $N(\omega; \mu)$  across the topological phase transition as a function of  $\mu$ through the closing of the gap and its reopening at  $\mu = \pm 2t$ .

## A. Compressibility at the topological phase transition

From the number equation at temperature T for the total number of particles N, we have

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon_k}{E_k} \tanh\left(E_k/2T\right) \right].$$
(8)

We obtain the isothermal compressibility at finite temperature  $\kappa(T) = \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu}\right)_{T V}$  to be

$$\kappa(T) = \sum_{k} \frac{|\Delta_{k}|^{2}}{2E_{k}^{3}} \tanh(E_{k}/2T) + \sum_{k} Y_{k} \frac{\epsilon_{k}^{2}}{E_{k}^{2}}, \qquad (9)$$

where  $Y_k = 1/4T \operatorname{sech}^2(E_k/2T)$  is the Yoshida function.

The low-temperature compressibility diverges logarithmically at the quantum critical point  $\kappa \sim \log[1/|(|\mu| - 2t)| + T]$ , as shown in Fig. 1(a). For an open wire, the behavior of  $\kappa$  in the center of the wire, far from the edges, is essentially captured by Eq. (9) that was derived for a closed loop. At T = 0, the divergence occurs at  $\mu_c = \pm 2t$  caused by the gap in the topological phase  $|\mu| < 2t$  closing at the topological phase transition [14] and reopening again in the trivial phase  $|\mu| > 2t$ . At T = 0 and for fixed  $\mu$ , deep in the topological phase  $(|\mu| \ll 2t), \kappa \sim \Delta^2/t^3$  for  $t \gg \Delta$  whereas  $\kappa \sim 1/\Delta$  for  $t \ge \Delta$ .

To obtain  $\kappa$  at the edge of the wire, we have to solve the inhomogeneous problem using the BdG method as described in Sec. II A and Eq. (6). We obtain the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc} \equiv \kappa_i = \partial n_i / \partial \mu$  by differentiating the local density with respect to the *global* chemical potential  $\mu$ . We see from Fig. 1(b) that the singularity in  $\kappa$  at  $\mu_c$  is completely suppressed at the edge of the wire.

## **B.** Single-particle density of states

It is useful to contrast the behavior of the compressibility  $\kappa$  from the single-particle density of states (DOS). The spectral

function  $A_k(\omega) = |u_k|^2 \delta(E_k - \omega) + |v_k|^2 \delta(E_k + \omega)$  and DOS  $N(\omega) = \sum_k A_k(\omega)$ . On the other hand,  $\kappa$  captures both the contributions from the single particles as well as pairs.

As seen in Fig. 2, the DOS shows a gap in both the topological and the trivial phases, except at the transition. However,  $\kappa$  is nonzero everywhere because of the contribution from the pairs. Once again, for an open wire, the local density of states (LDOS) in the center of the wire essentially reflects the behavior of the closed loop, as shown in Fig. 1(c), where both the topological and trivial phases have a finite gap that closes only at  $\mu_c$ . However, at the edge [see Fig. 1(d)], while the trivial superconductor continues to remain gapped, there are zero-energy Majorana modes in the topological superconductor, as is well known from Kitaev's solution.

All of the results presented in the figures are at zero temperature and can easily be generalized to finite temperatures from Eqs. (6) and (7).

## **IV. RESULTS: KITAEV MODEL WITH DEFECTS**

# A. Weak link

In the presence of a "positive" weak link, i.e., a link with a hopping parameter that is only different in magnitude but of the same sign as the regular hopping in the wire, the divergence of the local compressibility at the transition  $\mu = \pm \mu_c$  is suppressed, as shown in Fig. 3(a). A "negative" link defect with the opposite sign of the hopping parameter has a dramatic effect; for  $t_0 = -t$ , a Majorana bound state is formed at the two ends of the link [see Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)]. For all values of  $t_0$ , there are multiple resonances [see Fig. 3(d)] that should be detectable by a scan probe.

# B. Local potential

In the presence of an on-site impurity  $V_0$ , there are several interesting features in the behavior of the local particle density



FIG. 3. (a),(b) The local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  as a function of the chemical potential  $\mu/t$  for a link defect measured on either side of the link. The link defect is characterized by a hopping parameter  $t_0 \neq t$ different from the reference value. (a) Three cases: (i) no defect  $t_0 = t$ (black dotted line), (ii) positive link defect with same sign hopping as the reference but with  $t_0 = 0.3t$  (blue thick solid line), and (iii) cut wire with  $t_0 = 0$  (blue thin line). The singularity in  $\kappa_{loc}$  at the topological phase transitions at  $\mu = \mu^{\pm}$  is weakened in the presence of a positive link defect. (b) Negative link defect for several values of the hopping parameter  $t_0$  at  $\mu = 0.6t$ . (c) Local density of states (LDOS) for a negative link defect showing a bound state (Majorana) at zero energy in the topological phase  $\mu = 0.1t, 0.6t$  (blue) and away from zero in the trivial phase  $\mu = 2.1t$  (red). In all panels,  $\Delta_0 = 1.0t$ . (d)  $t = -t_0$  corresponds to the Majorana state in the topological phase  $(\mu = 0.6t)$  and others are resonances corresponding to the Andreev bound states.

and compressibility as summarized in the density plot of the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  in the  $V_0 - \mu$  plane [see Fig. 4(a)].

(1) Upon comparing with the results for the clean system, we see that for a repulsive potential  $V_0 > 0$ , the local density

 $n_{\rm loc}$ , which is obtained by integrating  $N_i(\omega)$  up to zero, is reduced for all  $\mu$  [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)], as expected. This occurs because spectral weight shifts above the Fermi level in the presence of a repulsive potential, as depicted in Fig. 4(d) for one particular value of  $\mu$ .

(2) The behavior of local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  and density  $n_{loc}$  is remarkably different for  $V_0 < \mu_c$  and for  $V_0 > \mu_c$ . In the former case, while the divergences in  $\kappa$  for the clean problem are cut off in the presence of the impurity, singularities in the local  $\kappa_{loc}$  nevertheless survive at  $\mu = \pm \mu_c$ . These singularities are of *unequal* strengths, as seen in Fig. 4(b) for small impurity potential  $|V_1| < \mu_c$ . This can be understood from the changes to the local density of states by the presence of the impurity. While the states for both  $\mu = +\mu_c$  and  $\mu = -\mu_c$  are shifted to positive energies, there is a marked difference in the spectra. In particular, the local density of states for  $\mu = -\mu_c$  shows a sharpening and the possible formation of a bound state.

(3) For larger impurity strengths  $V_0 > \mu_c$ , the effect is quite nontrivial. The local particle density is found to *decrease* around the topological phase transition even as  $\mu$  increases. Correspondingly, the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  becomes negative and shows a dip at the transition [Fig. 4(b)]. The reason for the decrease of the local density and the corresponding negative local compressibility is tied to the formation of an Andreev bound state (ABS) around the impurity that starts to form above zero energy close to the topological phase transition.

(4) The bound state formation is induced by the sign change of the order parameter in this unconventional superconductor. As seen in Fig. 4(b), for a small superconducting gap, the bound state is at a finite energy and is broadened into a resonance. For a fixed  $V_0$  as  $\mu$  increases, the gap increases and the bound state becomes sharper and moves to zero energy at  $\mu = V_0$  [Fig. 4(e)]. At this point, the zero-energy bound state is detectable as an additional peak in  $\kappa_{loc}$ .



FIG. 4. (a) Density plot of  $\kappa_{loc}$  in the  $\mu/t-V_0/t$  plane. (b) The local particle density  $n_{loc}$  (red) and  $\kappa_{loc}$  (blue) for a local potential defect  $V_0 = t < \mu_c = 2t$  (solid) and for the clean system  $V_0 = 0$  (dotted). (c) Same as (b), but for a stronger potential defect  $V_0 = 3t > \mu_c = 2t$  (solid) compared to the clean case (dotted). Close to the topological phase transition  $\mu \approx \mu_c$ ,  $\kappa_{loc}$  becomes negative and an extra peak appears in the trivial phase. (d) Local density of states (LDOS) in the trivial superconductor for various values of  $V_0$  and  $\mu = 2.2t$ . (e) LDOS in the trivial superconductor for a fixed  $V_0 = 3t$  and for various values of  $\mu$ . For  $\mu = V_0$ , there is a zero-energy bound state but this is not a Majorana mode (see text).



FIG. 5. (a) Proposal for measuring local compressibility using an SET (single-electron transistor) in the suggested setup [9,10] of a nanowire (NW) with spin-orbit coupling in proximity to a superconductor (SC) with an applied magnetic field. (b) Phase diagram of 1D spin-orbit coupled superconductor as a function of Zeeman field h/t and the chemical potential  $\mu/t$  [24]. Five different phases can be identified: trivial superconducting (tS), topological superconducting (TS), FFLO, normal gas (NG), and insulator (INS) phase. (c) The band structure for a wire with spin-orbit coupling in a magnetic field. As attraction is turned on, different pairing symmetries emerge depending on the location of  $\mu$  (the Bogoliubov bands have not been shown). Within the first band, the system is described by the Kitaev model that captures the transition from the trivial p to topological p-wave SC. Once the second band is crossed, both interband s-wave and intraband p-wave channels become operative.

(5) For a negative impurity potential, the ABS forms below the Fermi level and more states shift below the Fermi energy to enhance the local density for all  $\mu$ . In contrast to the scenario of the positive impurity potential, the ABS does contribute to the local particle density for a negative impurity. As a result, the local particle density starts to increase as  $\mu$  decreases, until a sharp ABS is formed. This once again causes the local compressibility to become negative around the topological phase transition.

# V. REALISTIC MODEL FOR MAJORANA FERMIONS

Turning now to the second part of the paper, we consider a more realistic model of a one-dimensional wire with spin-orbit coupling in proximity to a bulk *s*-wave superconductor. As has been discussed previously in the literature, this system can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{i,\sigma} (\mu - V_i) c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} - \sum_{i\sigma} t_i (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i+1\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + H_{\text{SO}} + H_{\text{Z}} + H_{\text{Int}}, \qquad (10)$$

where  $c_i^{\dagger}(c_i)$  is the creation (destruction) operator for an electron on a site *i*,  $t_i$  is the nearest-neighbor hopping, and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential. The spinorbit coupling and the Zeeman field terms are given by  $H_{\rm SO} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\sigma,\sigma'} \alpha_i [c_{i+1\sigma}^{\dagger}(i\sigma_y)_{\sigma\sigma'}c_{i\sigma'} + \text{H.c.}]$  and  $H_Z =$  $-h \sum_{i\sigma} c_{i+1\sigma}^{\dagger}(\sigma_z)_{\sigma\sigma'}c_{i\sigma'}$ , respectively. Parameters  $\alpha_i$  refer to the Rashba spin-orbit coupling and *h* to the Zeeman field.  $V_i$ is the on-site impurity potential. The interaction term  $H_{\rm Int} =$  $-|U| \sum_i c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$ , where *U* is the pairing interaction. In the clean limit,  $\alpha_i = \alpha$ ,  $t_i = t$ , and  $V_i = 0$ .

We solve the model in Eq. (10) within the Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) self-consistent approach and calculate the local particle density  $n_{\text{loc}}$  and the local density of states  $N_{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ , as discussed in Sec. II in Eqs. (6) and (7).

As shown in Ref. [24], the model in Eq. (1) has several different phases: trivial superconducting phase (tS), topo-

logical superconducting phase (TS) with Majorana fermions at the ends of the chain, Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov phase (FFLO) with spatially oscillating order parameter  $\Delta$ and nonzero magnetization, insulator phase (INS) with finite energy gap, and normal gas (NG) phase without pairing and energy gap [see Fig. 5(b)]. We are interested in a particular slice of the phase diagram in order to investigate the behavior of the compressibility across the topological to trivial phase transitions. We consider the Zeeman field h = 1.35t and spin-orbit coupling  $\alpha = t$  that show two transitions from the topological to the trivial phases as a function of the chemical potential  $\mu$  at  $\mu^-$  and  $\mu^+$ . We find the quasipar-ticle excitation energy  $E_{\pm}^2(k) = \epsilon_k^2 + \alpha^2 \sin^2(k) + h^2 + \Delta^2 \pm$  $2\sqrt{h^2(\epsilon_k^2 + \Delta^2) + \alpha^2 \sin^2(k)\epsilon_k^2}, \text{ where } \epsilon_k = -2t\cos(k) - \mu.$ When h > 0, the gap  $(E_- = 0)$  closes at  $|\mu^{\pm}| = (2t \pm 1)^2$  $\sqrt{h^2 - \Delta^2}$ ), which corresponds to the phase transitions. The system is in the topological phase when  $\mu^- < |\mu| < \mu^+$  and in the trivial phase for  $\mu < -\mu^+$  or  $\mu > \mu^+$  [see Fig. 5(c)]. For the parameters chosen,  $\mu^- \approx 0.84t$  and  $\mu^+ \approx 3t$ . It is important to note that the system is effectively a "spinless" *p*-wave superconductor as long as the chemical potential crosses only a single band and the transitions are from the topological phase to a trivial *p*-wave phase. Once both bands are crossed, the trivial phase has contributions from both s-(interband) and *p*-wave (intraband) paring channels [5].

#### A. Compressibility at the topological phase transition

Similar to the discussion of the Kitaev chain, from the self-consistent BdG solutions of Eq. (10), we obtain the local particle number  $n_{\rm loc}$ , and its dependence on the global chemical potential  $\mu$  yields the local compressibility  $\kappa_{\rm loc} = \partial n_{\rm loc}/\partial \mu$ . We find that in the center of the wire, the local compressibility shows a logarithmic divergence arising from the gap closing linearly between the topological to the trivial phase transitions [Fig. 6(a)]. In contrast, the singularities are weakened on the edge of the wire [Fig. 6(b)]. It is useful to contrast the compressibility which captures the single-particle and



FIG. 6. (a) The local particle density  $n_{\rm loc}$  (dashed line) and the local compressibility  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  (solid line) vs chemical potential  $\mu/t$  in the center of the wire. The compressibility has sharp peaks at the transition between the trivial (tS) and topological (TS) superconducting phases. (b) The singularity in  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  is weakened at the edge of the wire. (c),(d) The local density of states  $N_{\rm loc}(\omega)$ , measured relative to the chemical potential  $\mu$ , in the trivial ( $\mu = 0$ , blue circle) and topological ( $\mu = 1.5t$ , red star) phases. Results presented for chain length N = 256 at T = 0 with slightly broadened  $\delta$  functions in  $N_{\rm loc}(\omega)$ .

the pair (collective modes) density of states (DOS) from the behavior of the single-particle density of states  $N_{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ . As seen in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d), the DOS shows a gap in both the topological and the trivial phases except at the transition where the gap gets closed. However, the compressibility is nonzero in spite of a single-particle gap because of the contribution from the pairs, as was also the case for the Kitaev chain.

This is one of our central results. It highlights the fact that by measuring both the local tunneling DOS at the edge of the wire *and* the local compressibility at the center of the wire as a function of  $\mu$ , it is possible to unequivocally determine when the wire is in the topological phase with Majorana modes localized at the edges. As a control,  $\mu$  can be varied to bring the wire into a trivial phase with a finite compressibility and a gapped single-particle DOS.

We next discuss the effect of a weak link and a single potential disorder on the local compressibility. We show that it is necessary to distinguish the transition from the trivial superconductor (tS) with an order parameter with *p* symmetry to a topological superconductor (TS) occurring at  $\mu^-$  from the one occurring at  $\mu^+$  from the TS to tS but with order parameters with both *s* and *p* symmetry.

# B. Weak link

In the presence of a weak link, defined by a hopping  $t_0 \neq t$ , the local particle number  $n_{\rm loc}$  becomes inhomogeneous. We calculate the local compressibility on either side of the link defect  $\kappa_{\rm loc} = \partial n_{\rm loc} / \partial \mu$  by differentiating it with respect to the global chemical potential  $\mu$ .

For a positive link defect, i.e.,  $t_0$  has the same sign as t, we find that the peaks in the local compressibility are weakened at the transition point [Fig. 7(a)]. In the limit  $t_0 = 0$ , the wire is cut, and the singularity in  $\kappa_{loc}$  is completely suppressed, though the compressibility remains finite. We also consider the case of a link with negative hopping parameter, i.e.,  $t_0 = -t$ . Such a negative link can be produced by a local  $\pi$  junction. The behavior of  $\kappa_{loc}$  as a function of  $\mu$  is remarkably different from the positive defect. In the topological phase, a sharp peak appears in the local compressibility measured on either side of the link [Fig. 7(b)] at a particular value of  $\mu_0$ ; for the chosen parameters,  $\mu_0 = 2t$ . This is due to the formation of a zero-energy bound state [Fig. 7(c)] at  $\mu_0$ . It is important to note that this zero-energy bound state is formed only in the topological phase. At the same time, it does not correspond to a Majorana mode based on the structure and symmetries of the corresponding eigenfunctions. For  $\mu \neq \mu_0$ , the bound state moves away from zero energy and no longer contributes to the singularity in the compressibility.

In contrast, for the Kitaev chain or for the realistic model at  $\mu^-$ , the zero-energy modes are indeed Majorana modes.



FIG. 7. (a),(b) The local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  as a function of the chemical potential  $\mu/t$  for link defects measured on either side of the link. (a) Positive link defect [spin-orbit coupling  $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha$  and hopping parameter  $t_0 \neq t$  different from the reference values shown for three cases: (i) no defect (black dotted line), (ii)  $\alpha_0 = t_0 = 0.3t$  (blue thick solid line), and (iii) cut wire with  $\alpha_0 = t_0 = 0$  (blue thin line)]. The singularity in  $\kappa_{loc}$  at the topological phase transitions at  $\mu = \mu^{\pm}$  is weakened in the presence of the link defect. (b) Negative link defect with  $t_0 = -t$  (blue solid line). The sharp peak in  $\kappa_{loc}$  within the topological phase arises because of the formation of a zero-energy bound state on either side of the link defect. (c) Local density of states (LDOS) for a negative link defect showing a bound state at zero energy at  $\mu = 2t$  (blue) and away from zero  $\mu = 2.3t$  (red).



FIG. 8. (a) The local particle density  $n_{\rm loc}$  and  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  for a local potential defect  $V_0 > \mu^+$ . Close to the topological phase transitions  $\mu = \mu^\pm$ ,  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  becomes negative. In addition, extra peaks (shown by circles) appear in the topological and trivial phases. (b) Density plot of  $\kappa_{\rm loc}$  in the  $\mu/t-V_0/t$  plane. (c)–(e) Local density of states for various values of  $V_0$  and  $\mu$ . (c) Formation of an in-gap state in the presence of an on-site impurity in the trivial phase ( $\mu = 0 < \mu^-$ ) with *s* and *p* wave parings. (d) Formation of a non-trivial bound state in the topological phase close to the topological phase transition at  $\mu = 0.9t > \mu^-$  as impurity strength  $V_0$  increases. The bound state starts to be formed when  $V_0 > \mu^-$ . (e) The bound state in the topological phase for  $\mu = 1.2t$ .

When are these zero-energy modes Majorana modes or simply Andreev bound states? In order to get some insight about these modes, we test the corresponding eigenfunctions  $u_{\sigma}(i)$  and  $v_{\sigma}(i)$ . If the eigenstates satisfy the symmetry conditions  $u_{\sigma}(i) = v_{\sigma}^{*}(i)$  or  $u_{\sigma}(i) = -v_{\sigma}^{*}(i)$ , it is a Majorana mode; otherwise, it is an ABS that is fine tuned to be at zero energy.

## C. Local potential

In the presence of an on-site impurity  $V_0$ , there are several interesting features in the behavior of the local particle density and compressibility, as shown in the density plot in Fig. 8(b).

#### 1. Negative local compressibility

A repulsive potential  $V_0 \gtrsim \mu^-$  can have a nontrivial effect on the local density and compressibility. The local particle density is found to *decrease* around the topological phase transition at  $\mu = \mu^-$  even as  $\mu$  increases. Correspondingly, the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  becomes negative and shows a dip at the transition. For impurity strength somewhat larger than  $V_0 \gtrsim \mu^+$ , in addition to the dip at  $\mu = \mu^-$ , a second dip appears at  $\mu = \mu^+$  where also the local compressibility  $\kappa_{loc}$  becomes negative [Fig. 8(a)]. The reason for the decrease of the local density and the corresponding negative local compressibility is tied to the formation of an ABS above zero energy that starts to form close to the topological phase transitions.

# 2. Bound states

As seen in Fig. 8(c), in the presence of an on-site impurity, the peaks at the gap edge are suppressed and the gap size is reduced. This can be understood from the fact that the trivial phase has both s- and p-wave paring, and disorder affects the p-wave component more drastically than the s-wave component; however, the spectrum remains gapped. In the topological phase where the system is effectively a "spinless" unconventional (p-wave) superconductor, a bound state is formed due to the sign change of the order parameter in this unconventional superconductor.

Figure 8(d) shows the formation of the zero-energy bound state when  $V_0 \gtrsim \mu^-$ . For a fixed  $V_0$  as  $\mu$  increases, the bound state becomes sharper and moves to zero energy. At this point, the zero-energy bound state is detectable as an additional feature, shown by a circle in  $\kappa_{loc}$  [Fig. 8(a)]. With further increase of  $\mu$ , the ABS moves below the chemical potential [Fig. 8(e)]. Similarly, a zero-energy ABS forms also in the trivial *p*-wave phase for the impurity strength  $V_0 > \mu^+$ .

For a negative impurity potential, the ABS forms below the Fermi level and more states shift below the Fermi energy to enhance the local density for all  $\mu$ . In contrast to the scenario of the positive impurity potential, the ABS does contribute to the local particle density for a negative impurity. As a result, the local particle density starts to increase as  $\mu$  decreases, until a sharp ABS is formed. This once again causes the local compressibility to become negative around the topological phase transition.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

Our theoretical proposals based on the compressibility, in conjunction with scanning tunneling spectroscopy, are powerful diagnostics for detecting topological phase transitions in 1D spin-orbit coupled superconductors. Specifically, in the presence of local defects, the local compressibility can be measured using single-electron transistor (SET) spectroscopies [25]. Reference [25] has, in fact, used the SET in a different context to measure the inverse compressibility locally on a graphene sample as a function of the backgate voltage or carrier density. We expect the same technique can be applied to the spin-orbit coupled nanowires—superconductor devices to detect the topological phase transition guided by our predictions.

Two of the most promising directions to experimentally investigate are (a) the sharp peak in the compressibility at the topological phase transition tuned by the Zeeman field in the clean wire, and (b) the negative compressibility induced by the on-site impurity in the topological phase. In general, it will be useful to see the interplay between local scanning and local compressibility spectroscopies for giving insights into single-particle and collective modes [26,27].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.N. and N.T. were supported by the NSF under Grant No. NSF-DMR1309461.

### DAVID NOZADZE AND NANDINI TRIVEDI

- C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. D. Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [2] F. Wilczek, Nat. Phys. 5, 614 (2009).
- [3] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. 303, 2 (2003).
- [4] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B 360, 362 (1991).
- [5] J. Alicea, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 076501 (2012).
- [6] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 096407 (2008).
- [7] J. Linder, Y. Tanaka, T. Yokoyama, A. Sudbø, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 067001 (2010).
- [8] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [9] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 040502 (2010).
- [10] J. Alicea, Phys. Rev. B 81, 125318 (2010).
- [11] M. Duckheim and P. W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 83, 054513 (2011).
- [12] S. B. Chung, H.-J. Zhang, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 84, 060510(R) (2011).
- [13] A. C. Potter and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 87, 245106 (2013).
- [14] A. Kitaev, Phys. Usp. 44, 131 (2001).
- [15] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 077001 (2010).
- [16] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science 336, 1003 (2012).

- [17] K. Sengupta, I. Žutić, H.-J. Kwon, V. M. Yakovenko, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144531 (2001).
- [18] H.-J. Kwon, V. M. Yakovenko, and K. Sengupta, Low Temp. Phys. **30**, 613 (2004).
- [19] L. P. Rokhinson, X. Liu, and J. K. Furdyna, Nat. Phys. 8, 795 (2012).
- [20] S. Nadj-Perge, I. K. Drozdov, J. Li, H. Chen, S. Jeon, J. Seo, A. H. MacDonald, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Science 346, 602 (2014).
- [21] S. Sasaki, S. D. Franceschi, J. M. Elzerman, W. G. van der Wiel, M. Eto, S. Tarucha, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Nature (London) 405, 764 (2000).
- [22] M. Zareyan, W. Belzig, and Y. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B 65, 184505 (2002).
- [23] J. D. Sau and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. B 88, 205402 (2013).
- [24] C. Qu, M. Gong, and C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A 89, 053618 (2014).
- [25] J. Martin, N. Akerman, G. Ulbricht, T. Lohmann, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, and A. Yacoby, Nat. Phys. 4, 144 (2008).
- [26] C.-H. Lin, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 86, 224511 (2012).
- [27] G. Ben-Shach, A. Haim, I. Appelbaum, Y. Oreg, A. Yacoby, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 91, 045403 (2015).