# **Magnetic structure and phase stability of the van der Waals bonded ferromagnet Fe3−***<sup>x</sup>***GeTe2**

Andrew F. May,  $1$ <sup>\*</sup> Stuart Calder, <sup>2</sup> Claudia Cantoni, <sup>1</sup> Huibo Cao, <sup>2</sup> and Michael A. McGuire<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Quantum Condensed Matter Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

(Received 9 June 2015; revised manuscript received 7 October 2015; published 8 January 2016)

The magnetic structure and phase diagram of the layered ferromagnetic compound  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  has been investigated by a combination of synthesis, x-ray and neutron diffraction, high-resolution microscopy, and magnetization measurements. Single crystals were synthesized by self-flux reactions, and single-crystal neutron diffraction finds ferromagnetic order with moments of  $1.11(5)\mu_B$ /Fe aligned along the *c* axis at 4 K. These flux-grown crystals have a lower Curie temperature *T*c ≈ 150 K compared to crystals previously grown by vapor transport ( $T_c = 220$  K). The difference is a reduced Fe content in the flux-grown crystals, as illustrated by the behavior observed in a series of polycrystalline samples. As Fe content decreases, so does the Curie temperature, magnetic anisotropy, and net magnetization. In addition, Hall effect and thermoelectric measurements on flux-grown crystals suggest multiple carrier types contribute to electrical transport in Fe3−*<sup>x</sup>*GeTe2 and structurally similar Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014411](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014411)

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The extensive research on graphene and ultrathin transitionmetal dichalcogenides is naturally progressing into studies of van der Waals (VDW) bonded heterostructures and application-oriented configurations [\[1–3\]](#page-9-0). VDW heterostructures are produced from layer-by-layer stacking of monolayer (or few-layer) materials, which can be obtained from exfoliation of bulk sources [\[4\]](#page-9-0). These engineered structures will inevitably lead to unique properties and potentially new technologies, in part due to the ability to combine materials with complementary functionalities. This was recently demonstrated with the realization of highly efficient, picosecond photoresponse in a heterostructure of graphene (fast response) and WSe<sub>2</sub> (high efficiency) [\[5\]](#page-9-0). The construction of VDW heterostructures using topological insulators (TI) will certainly provide ample phase space for exploring novel states of matter and theoretical predictions. Currently, there is interest in controlling the dispersion and carrier type of the Dirac surface states of a TI using van der Waals heterostructures that allow separate tuning of bulk and surface states [\[6\]](#page-9-0).

VDW bonded ferromagnets are of interest as building blocks for heterostructures designed for use in spin-based information technologies, either for the direct exploitation of their magnetic properties or via magnetic proximity effects. The latter permits the use of nominally nonmagnetic materials in spintronics and is being pursued using EuO/graphene heterostructures [\[7,8\]](#page-9-0). Similarly, skyrmions are of interest from a fundamental perspective and for their ability to potentially enable low-power spintronics, and these spin states are stabilized by a reduction from three to two dimensions and by the presence of Rashba spin-orbit coupling [\[9,10\]](#page-9-0). In general, spin-orbit coupling within heterostructures should yield interesting spin structures and magnetoelectric transport.

Of the "next-generation" VDW materials, CrI<sub>3</sub> and CrSiTe<sub>3</sub>-type compounds have recently been identified as promising systems with the potential for long-range magnetism in monolayers  $[11–15]$  $[11–15]$ . CrI<sub>3</sub> and CrSiTe<sub>3</sub> are ferromagnetic at approximately 61 and 33 K, respectively [\[14](#page-9-0)[,16\]](#page-10-0), which is somewhat low for spintronic applications. Interestingly, magnetic ordering temperatures have been predicted to increase when monolayers are constructed [\[12\]](#page-9-0), and initial experimental results seem to confirm this behavior in CrSiTe<sub>3</sub> [\[17\]](#page-10-0). Considering this, the VDW bonded compound  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  may be of particular interest because the bulk is ferromagnetic near 230 K [\[18\]](#page-10-0).

Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> contains Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge slabs separated by VDW bonded Te layers, as shown in Fig.  $1(a)$ . The Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge slabs contain Fe(1)-Fe(1) pairs across a hexagonal network built by Fe(2)-Ge, and this is structurally similar to the more three-dimensional Fe<sub>2−*x*</sub>Ge compounds [\[19\]](#page-10-0). Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> is an itinerant ferromagnet, with Curie temperatures of 220 and 230 K reported, and an estimated spontaneous magnetization of  $1.6\mu_B$ /Fe at 0 K [\[18,20\]](#page-10-0). Previous anisotropic magnetization measurements on crystals grown using chemical vapor transport suggested that the *c* axis is the easy axis, and an anisotropy field of at least 5 T was demonstrated at 10 K  $[20]$ . The Fe(2) position was reported to have a small concentration of vacancies (17%), but chemical characterization suggested the composition is  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  [\[18\]](#page-10-0). Interestingly, Fe vacancies in Fe<sub>2−*x*</sub>Ge are also concentrated on the chemically similar Fe(2) position, and a wide, complex phase width is observed [\[19\]](#page-10-0). A modest phase width is thus expected in  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  based on the published crystallographic data, its intermetallic nature, and structural similarities with Fe2−*<sup>x</sup>*Ge compounds. Upon completion of this work, the existence of a phase width was independently confirmed, although the influence on the structure and magnetic properties was not reported [\[21\]](#page-10-0).

We report the growth of single crystals via a molten flux technique, together with a study of polycrystalline samples that confirms a phase width and corresponding response in the magnetic properties. We find that the lattice parameters, Curie temperature, and saturation magnetization vary smoothly with Fe concentration, although the *a* and *c* lattice parameters trend oppositely. Reduced Fe content in the flux-grown crystals is found to be responsible for their lower  $T_c$  when compared to

<sup>\*</sup>mayaf@ornl.gov

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) Image of crystal structure with atomic positions labeled; Fe(1)-Fe(1) bonds pierce the center of each hexagon formed by Fe(2)-Ge (space group *P*63*/mmc*, no. 194). (b) X-ray diffraction data for an as-grown facet of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  showing the *c* axis normal with exclusively 00l diffraction peaks observed; a Le Bail fit (solid line) yielded the *c*-axis lattice parameter shown in the image. (c) A picture of a large single crystal.

prior reports. Additionally, we find that Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> itinerant ferromagnets possess multicarrier electronic transport.

# **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

Single crystals were grown from Fe-Ge-Te "self"-fluxes, and a melt composition of Fe<sub>2</sub>GeTe<sub>4</sub> produced the largest crystals of those investigated. Crystals were also successfully grown from the compositions  $FeGe<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>$  and  $FeGeTe<sub>2</sub>$  using similar heating procedures, although these crystals were typically smaller and had slightly lower Curie temperatures. Highpurity elements from Alfa Aesar (Fe 99.98%, Ge 99.9999%, and Te 99.9999%) were combined in  $Al_2O_3$  crucibles and sealed in evacuated quartz ampoules. A crucible filled with quartz wool was placed on top of the growth crucible to catch the excess flux during centrifugation. The melt was homogenized at  $950^{\circ}$ C for approximately 12 h, then cooled slowly to  $675^{\circ}$ C, at which temperature the ampoules were removed from the furnace and placed in a centrifuge to expel the excess flux. A variety of cooling rates were found to produce crystals, and in this paper we report data from crystals obtained after cooling at 1◦ and 3◦*/*h (the properties were observed to be equivalent). We also synthesized crystals of  $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  to provide a nonmagnetic reference material during our investigation of transport properties. Crystals of  $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  were grown from a melt of NiGeTe<sub>2</sub> cooled at 3◦*/*h, with the flux removed at 660◦C. The crystals of  $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  were generally smaller than those of Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. Upon characterization, both were found to be transition metal deficient.

Polycrystalline samples with nominal Fe concentrations between Fe<sub>3.10</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2.60</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> were prepared by grinding Fe powder, Ge powder, and Te shot in a He-filled glove box. The mixture was transferred, under He, to a vacuum line where the quartz ampoule was sealed under vacuum. The samples were heated at  $675^{\circ}$ C for approximately 10 d. The as-reacted  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  samples were found to be either slightly sintered and dull black for small *x* or heavily sintered with visible grain growth/crystallization for large *x*. At this point, the samples were analyzed with x-ray diffraction and magnetization measurements. Small pellets were then fired briefly at 600 ◦C to facilitate isothermal magnetization and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) measurements. EDS measurements were performed using a Bruker Quantax 70 EDS system on a Hitachi TM-3000 microscope.

Crystals from the flux-grown reactions are much larger than those needed for single-crystal x-ray diffraction. Due to the ease with which the crystals cleave and deform, the process of cutting or crushing the crystals induces significant damage. Therefore, a small crystal from the polycrystalline reaction with nominal composition Fe<sub>2.75</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> was selected for single-crystal x-ray diffraction. Data were collected at 173 K on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD, using Mo *Kα* radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å, graphite monochromator). For refinement of the crystal structure, absorption corrections were applied with SADABS, SHELXL-97 was used to refine the data, and the atomic coordinates were standardized with STRUCTURE TIDY within PLATON [\[22–24\]](#page-10-0). The refinement utilized 138 unique reflections from 1885 reflections and 12 refinement parameters. The goodness of fit was 1.303, while  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0280$ . Powder x-ray diffraction data were collected using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD with a Cu  $K_{\alpha,1}$  ( $\lambda =$ 1.5406 Å) incident-beam monochromator, and Le Bail and Rietveld refinements were performed using FULLPROF [\[25\]](#page-10-0).

Magnetization measurements were performed in a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System, as well as with the ac magnetic susceptibility option on a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS). A PPMS was also used to measure the Seebeck coefficient, thermal conductivity, and electrical resistivity using the thermal transport option. For this measurement, gold-coated copper leads were attached to the crystals using H20E Epo-Tek silver epoxy. Hall effect measurements and magnetoresistance measurements were performed using a standard four-point configuration with Pt wires attached via silver paint (DuPont 4929N). The Hall resistance  $\rho$ <sub>H</sub> was obtained via the odd-in-**H** part of the transverse resistance  $\rho_H = (\rho_{xy}[\mathbf{H}] - \rho_{xy}[\mathbf{H}])/2$ with maximum magnetic fields of magnitude  $H = 80$  kOe applied along the *c* axis. Magnetoresistance was obtained from the even-in-**H** portion of the longitudinal resistance with fields applied along the *c* axis. At 2 K and 80 kOe, the magnetoresistance was less than 2%, and we have excluded the data from the paper.

To probe the microscopic crystal and magnetic structure, we performed single-crystal neutron diffraction on the Four-Circle Diffractometer (HB-3A) at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). A single crystal with approximate dimensions of  $4 \times 4$  mm was mounted on an Al rod inside a closed cycle refrigerator. Using an incident wavelength of  $1.003 \text{ Å}$  [Si(331) monochromator], measurements were performed between 4 K and room temperature. A large number of reflections were collected at 220 and 4 K to determine the nuclear and magnetic structures, respectively. Neutron powder diffraction was performed on the HB-2A Neutron Powder Diffractometer at HFIR, ORNL. A wavelength of 2.41 A was used in all measurements, and this was selected with a Ge(113) monochromator; the samples were placed in Al cans. All neutron diffraction data were refined using the program FULLPROF [\[25\]](#page-10-0).

High-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was performed using a Nion UltraSTEM200 microscope operating at 200 keV, equipped with a Gatan Enfinium spectrometer for the *in situ* collection of electronenergy-loss spectra (EELS). Samples were examined in both plan view and cross-sectional orientations. Samples were prepared by a combination of polishing and  $Ar^+$  ion milling using a voltage of 2 keV. Contact with moisture was avoided during sample preparation.

## **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The single crystals obtained from self-flux growths are thin plates with dimensions reaching greater than 1 cm (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). X-ray diffraction data collected from the surface of as-grown facets confirm the expected orientation with [00l] normal to the facet, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) A Le Bail fit to the diffraction data in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) yielded  $c = 16.3941(2)$  Å. Rietveld refinement of data collected on ground crystals resulted in  $a = 3.9536(7)$  Å and  $c = 16.396(2)$  Å. These values differ sharply from those in the literature, where  $a = 3.9910(10)$  Å and  $c = 16.336(3)$  Å were reported from room-temperature single-crystal x-ray diffraction [\[18\]](#page-10-0).

 $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  crystals were grown from a self-flux to provide a nonmagnetic reference material during the characterization of physical properties. The lattice parameters obtained for our Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> crystals also differ from those in the literature, with refinement of powder diffraction data yielding  $a =$ 3.8373(2) A and  $c = 16.048(2)$  A. This compares to  $a =$ 3.9110(10) A and  $c = 16.022(3)$  A previously reported for crystals with a composition of Ni<sub>2.95</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> obtained from refinement of single-crystal x-ray diffraction data [\[18\]](#page-10-0). Thus, for both  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  grown from a self-flux, the *a* lattice parameter is smaller and the *c* lattice parameter is slightly larger than the literature reports for crystals obtained from nominal 3-1-2 compositions (formed via solid-state reactions).

In addition to having different lattice parameters than those in the literature, the flux-grown  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  crystals have lower Curie temperatures than those previously reported for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$ . The crystals were grown from self-fluxes, which minimize the chance for extrinsic doping. We thus speculated that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  contains a nontrivial phase width that influences structure and physical properties. Our EDS results suggest Fe and Ge deficiencies may exist, with a composition of Fe<sub>2,91(3)</sub>Ge<sub>0,95(4)</sub>Te<sub>2,00(4)</sub> obtained. For the Ni-based analog, EDS yielded Ni2*.*40(4)Ge1*.*01(3)Te2*.*00(3) for crystals grown from NiGeTe<sub>2</sub>.

To investigate the phase width of Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, polycrystalline samples were produced via solid-state reactions with nominal compositions  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  with  $-0.1 \le x \le$ 0*.*4. These materials were characterized using powder x-ray diffraction, EDS, and magnetization measurements. We begin by presenting the results of our crystallographic studies and then return to the magnetic properties and detailed characterization of our single crystals. When necessary for unit conversion, we have utilized compositions obtained by Rietveld refinement. When discussing single crystals, we present data for crystals grown from Fe<sub>2</sub>GeTe<sub>4</sub> melts and refer to these by the composition obtained from refinement of single-crystal neutron diffraction data, Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>.

#### **A. Structure and composition**

All polycrystalline samples were found to be phase pure within the limits of our powder x-ray diffractometer, with the exception being a sample of nominal composition Fe<sub>2.60</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. This sample contained GeTe and  $FeTe<sub>2</sub>$  impurities, and data for this sample have been excluded from the paper. Our results thus suggest the Fe-deficient phase boundary is likely reached near Fe<sub>2.7</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. We have not investigated the phase width with regard to Te or Ge.

The sample of nominal composition  $Fe<sub>3.10</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  did not possess any obvious impurities by x-ray diffraction. The refined composition for this sample is Fe<sub>2.97(2)</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, while large-area (300 *μ*m diameter) EDS scans yielded Fe3*.*07(2)Ge0*.*92(2)Te2*.*00(2). The EDS results are likely influenced by minor secondary phases that are not easily detected by x-ray diffraction. An Fe-rich impurity was observed in backscattered electron images, and we were not able to isolate large grains of the main phase for EDS due to resolution limits. Therefore, we focus on compositions obtained from Rietveld refinements of the powder diffraction data. In the structurally similar Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> compound, occupation of an interlayer Ni(3) position at (0*,*0*,*0) was detected by single-crystal x-ray diffraction, although occupation of this site was not observed in Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> [\[18\]](#page-10-0). We performed refinements including the hypothetical Fe(3), and the results suggest that an occupation of  $3(1)$ % may exist for the nominal Fe<sub>3.10</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. This seems reasonable, but the result is dependent on the range of data analyzed (in part due to a connection to the refinement of sample texture). Based on our single-crystal diffraction and electron microscopy, discussed below, we feel confident that there is not any significant occupation of this interlayer site in the nominally Fe-deficient samples (at least for  $x \approx 0.25$ ). We therefore utilized the published crystal structure, with two Fe positions, to refine our diffraction data and report compositions based on this refinement.

Figure [2](#page-3-0) presents powder x-ray diffraction data and Rietveld refinements for samples of nominal composition Fe<sub>3.00</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2.75</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, which refined to the compositions  $Fe_{2.96(1)}GeTe_2$  and  $Fe_{2.69(4)}GeTe_2$ , respectively. The lattice parameters obtained from refinement of the data in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) confirm that the Fe-deficient sample has smaller *a* and larger *c* than the Fe-rich sample. This trend is shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) for all polycrystalline samples, where the normalized lattice parameters are plotted as a function of Fe content. Data obtained from single-crystal x-ray diffraction (sc-xrd) are also included to confirm this behavior; note that the sc-xrd data were collected at 173 K, where slightly smaller lattice parameters are expected. The increase in *a* with increasing Fe may be expected, with the lattice expanding as Fe(2) vacancies are filled. Indeed, a similar trend in *a* occurs for Fe<sub>2−*x*</sub>Ge in the range  $0.15 < x < 0.7$ , although *c* was found to increase

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Powder x-ray diffraction data for two polycrystalline samples with refined lattice parameters and compositions provided.

with increasing Fe content in this more three-dimensional compound [\[19\]](#page-10-0).

Given the layered structure, we expect the greatest influence of the increased occupation of Fe(2) to be observed within the  $(Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge)$  planes. Indeed, the relative expansion of *a* is greater than the relative contraction of *c* (see Fig. 3). We therefore expect the changes in *c* to be driven by the changes in *a*. That is, the decrease in *c* is due to an expansion of the basal plane that allows Te atoms to get closer to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge$  layer, while increased occupation of  $Fe(2)$  also leads to greater Fe(2)-Te bonding. Consistent with this, Fe(2)-Te bond distances are smallest in the Fe-rich samples, as are



FIG. 3. Normalized lattice parameters as a function of refined Fe content for Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> samples [powder x-ray diffraction (p-xrd) at room temperature], including results obtained from single-crystal x-ray (sc-xrd) diffraction data collected at 173 K;  $a_{\text{max}} = 4.0244(1)$  Å and  $c_{\text{max}} = 16.405(1)$  Å. Vertical error bars are smaller than the size of the data markers.

TABLE I. Selected data from refinements of single-crystal xray diffraction collected on a crystal from a reaction of nominal composition Fe<sub>2.75</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. Atomic coordinates are 0, 0, *z* for Fe(1);  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,<br><sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> for Fe(2): <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> *z* for Te; and <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> for Ge  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$  for Fe(2);  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ , z for Te; and  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$  for Ge.

Parameter	Value		
$a(\AA)$	3.9421(9)		
$c(\AA)$	16.378(5)		
$R_1$ (all data)	0.0461		
$wR_2$ (all data)	0.1019		
Goodness of fit.	1.306		
$Fe(1)$ z coordinate	0.1721(2)		
Te z coordinate	0.0900(1)		

Fe(1)-Fe(1) bond distances. As a result of Te being pulled towards the Fe3Ge layers, the structure collapses along the *c*-axis to maintain the van der Waals bonds (the length of which increases with increasing Fe). If the hypothetical Fe(3) becomes occupied at high Fe concentrations, its presence could lead to increased bonding that would also reduce *c*. However, refinements with Fe(3) reveal a larger Fe(3)-Te bond distance for higher Fe contents, suggesting that Fe(3) does not play a role in the contraction of the *c* lattice parameter.

We note that the occupancy of Fe(2) is correlated to the refinement of texture (preferred orientation) in the powder diffraction data. In these samples, the texture physically increases with decreasing Fe content. This trend can be observed visually, with the Fe-deficient samples demonstrating more grain growth during the reaction. Not refining the texture results in much larger residuals, and thus, the preferred orientation was refined for all samples, and care was taken to minimize the texture during sample preparation. The main result is not strongly influenced by this, however, and the nominal composition clearly influences the amount of Fe in the final specimen, and the trends are consistent. Also, to simplify the refinement, we did not allow Ge content to vary and fixed an overall displacement parameter.

The potential existence of interlayer Fe is important beyond understanding the modifications to the lattice with changing Fe content. As shown below, the total Fe content clearly influences the magnetic properties, and interlayer Fe could play a particularly important role in determining the saturation magnetization and coercive field. In regards to the chemistry and structure of these materials, the existence of interlayer Fe would imply a more three-dimensional material. As such, interlayer Fe would likely impede the production of thin layers by cleaving bulk crystals. Similarly, if an amount of interlayer Fe can be controlled, it would likely influence the anisotropy of the electronic and magnetic properties. Interestingly, as discussed below, the samples with the highest Fe content have the largest anisotropy in the magnetic properties. While our powder diffraction results suggest Fe(3) is not occupied, we felt that additional investigation was warranted.

We investigated the issue of interlayer Fe with single-crystal x-ray and neutron diffraction and electron microscopy. The refinement results from the x-ray diffraction data are shown in Table I, and we clearly observe the partial occupation of Fe(2) with a refined composition  $Fe<sub>2.71(2)</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  (recall this

 $=$ 

TABLE II. Anisotropic displacement parameters for Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> from refinements of single crystal x-ray diffraction data at  $T = 173$  K; note  $U_{11} = U_{22} = 2U_{12}$ .

<b>Species</b>	$U_{11}$ $(\AA^2)$	$U_{33}$ $(\AA^2)$	$U_{ea}$ $(\AA^2)$	Occupancy fractional
Fe(1)	0.0069(12)	0.014(2)	0.0091(10)	
Fe(2)	0.0069(12)	0.014(2)	0.0091(10)	0.71(2)
Ge	0.044(2)	0.015(2)	0.034(1)	
Te	0.0081(6)	0.0152(8)	0.0105(5)	

is for a crystal obtained from the Fe<sub>2.75</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> polycrystalline reaction; Ge vacancies were not observed). Electron density was not detected at an interlayer Fe(3) position, consistent with earlier work for crystals selected from a polycrystalline sample of nominal composition  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  [\[18\]](#page-10-0). Our singlecrystal neutron diffraction on flux-grown crystals, discussed in more detail below, does not find any strong evidence for occupation of the Fe(3) position.

A large number of vacancies are refined on the Fe(2) position from both the neutron and x-ray single-crystal diffraction data. When filled, these Fe(2) positions are bonded to three in-plane Ge atoms. As vacancies are introduced, Fe(2)-Ge bonding decreases (on average), and this results in a large in-plane displacement parameter for Ge, as shown in Table II. Consistent with this, we refine a larger concentration of vacancies on Fe(2) compared to Ref. [\[18\]](#page-10-0), and our refined  $U_{11}$  for Ge is also larger than previously reported. However, the previous study did report a strong anisotropy for the displacement parameters of Ge, and a similar *U*<sup>33</sup> to that shown in Table II was reported  $[18]$ . In the binary compound Fe<sub>1.60</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, it has been suggested that the Ge atoms actually move off of their site and the symmetry is potentially broken [\[26\]](#page-10-0).

The data in Table II correspond to refinement of singlecrystal x-ray diffraction data, where only Fe(2) was found to be partially occupied. We obtained a fractional occupation of 0.71(2) for Fe(2), and this was utilizing a constraint to maintain equal displacement parameters for Fe(1) and Fe(2). When refined separately, the displacement parameters of Fe(2) are observed to be rather small within the basal plane  $[U_{11} =$ 0.004(2)]. In this case, the refined occupancy of  $Fe(2) =$ 0.69(2) is very similar to that obtained from the restrained fit.

We also performed high-resolution STEM to investigate the local structure. For imaging, we used the high-angle annular dark-field detector (HAADF), which yields a contrast nearly proportional to  $Z^2$  (*Z* is the atomic number) and is therefore better suited for imaging vacancies and interstitials and cations with different atomic numbers.

A representative cross-sectional image with the electron beam parallel to [130] is shown in Fig.  $4(a)$ , and plan view images with the electron beam parallel to [001] were also collected but are not shown. In general, the crystals looked to be of high quality, lacking obvious two- or three-dimensional defects. In the *Z*-contrast images, the van der Waals gaps between layers of Te atoms (brightest spots) can be easily identified, and intensity is not observed between them, suggesting that interlayer Fe is not present in our crystals. In both



FIG. 4. HAADF STEM image showing *Z* contrast. The heaviest columns (Te) show up as the brightest spots, and Fe columns show up with the dimmest contrast. Van der Waals gaps are clearly visible as black stripes. The inset shows an overlay of the lattice projection along [130]. (b) Profiles of the intensity along the dashed lines shown in (a) indicating significant disorder in the Fe(2)-Ge plane. (c) EELS integrated intensity maps showing the chemical signature of the alternating Te, Fe, and Fe-Ge planes.

cross-sectional and plan-view images, we observe a variation in the intensity of the atomic columns containing  $Fe(2)$  and Ge, which is consistent with the presence of Fe vacancies. The intensity profiles in Fig. 4(b) reveal these variations, with the Fe(2)-Ge columns displaying a clear disruption of the pattern expected for the case of fully occupied Fe(2) sites. Due to the difference in *Z* between Fe ( $Z = 26$ ) and Ge ( $Z = 32$ ), a fully occupied structure would display higher-intensity peaks adjacent to lower-intensity peaks in a high-low-high sequence when viewed down [130]. The red arrows in the image highlight Fe and Ge columns with markedly lower or higher intensity compared to the average. Based on the images, we cannot exclude the possibility of Ge-Fe antisite defects or Ge vacancies in the  $Fe(2)$ -Ge planes. Due to the low-vacancy concentration and the more delocalized nature of the EELS signal compared to the HAADF signal, vacancies are not resolved in the EELS compositional maps shown in Fig. 4(c), which show a uniform distribution for the integrated intensities of the Te  $M_{4,5}$ , Fe  $L_{2,3}$ , and Ge  $L_{2,3}$  edges.

The images were observed to change upon continued exposure to the electron beam. A movement of atoms and vacancies could be observed, with atoms eventually occupying the interlayer region and vacancies appearing to occupy sites besides Fe(2). In order to avoid beam-induced hopping and preserve observation of the intrinsic structure, images were collected rapidly on unirradiated regions by summing 20 frames, each one acquired within 1 s. EEL spectrum images were acquired using a spacing of  $0.87$  Å and a dwell time of 0.2 s. Beam-induced structural effects were also noted for  $Ni<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-10-0).

EELS was utilized to look for differences in the oxidation state of the two Fe sites. The ratio of the Fe  $L_{3,2}$  peaks gives an indication regarding the oxidation state, although this can vary with details of the bonding environment. We find an  $L_{3,2}$  ratio that varies slightly between the Fe(1) and Fe(2) positions, which may suggest the Fe atoms are in slightly different oxidations states or carry a different moment.



FIG. 5. Rocking curves of the 010 reflection from single-crystal neutron diffraction on  $Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>$  at 10 and 157 K. The solid lines are Gaussian fits to the peaks. The image on the right shows the magnetic structure obtained by refinement of neutron diffraction data collected at 4 K (Fe = red, Te = green,  $Ge = blue$ ).

The lack of evidence for occupation of Fe(3) in the STEM and diffraction studies may be due to the low occupation of this position, which is likely to be further reduced with the overall decrease of Fe content in our crystals due to the flux growth. Examination of Fe-rich crystals will offer the best chance for identifying interlayer Fe, if it exists, although even then the concentration will likely be very small and observation will be challenging. Even at such low concentrations, however, the presence of interlayer Fe could strongly influence the physical properties.

### **B. Neutron diffraction**

Single-crystal neutron diffraction was performed on a crystal obtained from a  $Fe<sub>2</sub>GeTe<sub>4</sub>$  melt. This growth composition was found to produce the largest crystals, and thus, it was investigated in the most detail (including magnetization and transport measurements below). The crystal structure was refined using data collected at 220 K, and the magnetic structure was obtained from data collected at 4 K. As discussed below, powder neutron diffraction measurements were also performed to verify the magnetic structure and investigate variations in the Fe moment(s) as a function of composition.

Figure 5 shows representative rocking curves obtained during the single-crystal neutron diffraction data collection. As shown, there is strong temperature dependence that indicates the presence of magnetic order below 157 K. The nuclear refinement is consistent with the single-crystal and powder x-ray diffraction data. We refine vacancies at the Fe(2) and Ge sites and do not observe strong evidence for Fe substituting for Ge. Consistent with the above discussion, we do not detect any Fe between the Te-Te layers. The refined composition is Fe<sub>2.76(4)</sub>Ge<sub>0.94(4)</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> with  $R_F = 4.11$  and  $\chi^2 = 0.423$ . The site occupancies were fixed to these values for the lowertemperature refinements.

An ordered moment along the *c* axis of  $1.11(5)\mu_B$ /Fe is obtained from refinement of the single-crystal data at 4 K, and a schematic of the magnetic structure is shown in Fig. 5. Our refinement does not indicate any significant difference in the moments on the two Fe positions for the Fe-deficient crystal. If we allow both moments to refine separately, we obtain  $1.07(11)\mu_B/Fe(1)$  and  $0.9(5)\mu_B/Fe(2)$ . We note that



FIG. 6. Integrated intensities of Bragg peaks from single-crystal neutron diffraction on Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>. The increase in intensity for the 010 peak below  $T_c$  originates from the magnetic order along  $c$ , whereas the intensity of the 002 peak does not change significantly with temperature.

the errors on the moment values increase appreciably when both are allowed to refine separately, and this gives values consistent with that for fixed  $Fe(1)$  and  $Fe(2)$ . Thus, within the limits of these data, we have no reason to suspect that the different sites have significantly different moments at this Fe concentration. Very recently, based on powder neutron diffraction data from Fe<sub>2.9</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, the ratio of moments between Fe(1) and Fe(2) was found to be 1.25 at 1.5 K  $[21]$ . For comparison, in Fe1*.*76Ge the ordered moments lie in the *ab* plane, and an average moment of  $1.56 \pm 0.2 \mu_B$ /Fe was reported based on neutron diffraction [\[27\]](#page-10-0). There have been contradictory reports regarding a variation of the moments between the two Fe sites in Fe2−*<sup>x</sup>*Ge [\[27–29\]](#page-10-0). The more recent Mössbauer results have suggested that a larger moment resides on the Fe(2) site, and perhaps similar experiments on Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> may provide additional insight into the roles of the different Fe environments. The difference in the easy axis between Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>1.67</sub>Ge is most likely caused by the increased chemical anisotropy associated with the inclusion of the Te-Te layer into the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  structure.

We confirmed the ferromagnetic ordering temperature and orientation of the moments by tracking the 010 and 002 Bragg peaks, as shown in Fig. 6. The power-law fit between 80 and 180 K in Fig. 6 yields  $T_c = 148(3)$  K, which is consistent with the bulk magnetization measurements. The intensity of the 010 peak increases when the moments lie perpendicular to the 010 scattering vector. Therefore, the increase in intensity below  $\approx$ 150 K shown in Fig. 6(a) demonstrates that the moments do not lie along the *b* axis, and when this observation is combined with the temperature-independent behavior of 002 intensity, we verify that the moments lie along the *c* axis. This shows that there is not any significant spin canting or reorientation as temperature decreases, which is also confirmed with the powder neutron diffraction measurements. In addition, we did not observe any significant change in the nuclear structure across the magnetic transition.



FIG. 7. Neutron powder diffraction at (a) 200 and (b) 60 K with refinements included and samples labeled by the refined compositions. The reflections from top to bottom correspond to the structural, aluminum-can, and magnetic reflections. The most intense magnetic signal was observed in the region shown in (c) and (d). Note the much increased magnetic intensity in the  $Fe<sub>2.9</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  sample compared to the more Fe-deficient Fe<sub>2.71</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>.

During the single-crystal neutron diffraction measurements, we scanned along *H* and *L* and found no additional noninteger  $(H, K, L)$  intensity through the magnetic transition of 150 K. Additionally, in the neutron powder diffraction data shown in Fig. 7, there is no evidence of scattering at noninteger (*HKL*) positions in going from above the Curie temperature  $T_C$  to below  $T_C$ . This strongly suggests that there is no deviation from the observed ferromagnetic structure for both Fe-rich and Fe-deficient samples. We did notice some diffuse scattering beneath the Bragg peaks in our single-crystal neutron diffraction, and this scattering was persistent at temperatures well above the magnetic ordering. As such, this is likely related to crystalline defects and requires further investigation (note that stacking faults were not observed in our STEM).

Neutron powder diffraction was performed on polycrystalline samples of nominal compositions  $Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>2.75</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>$  (see Fig. 7 where refined compositions are utilized). In both cases, no deviation from the magnetic structure obtained from single-crystal neutron diffraction was observed, indicting similar ferromagnetism regardless of Fe concentration. The refinement results are summarized in Table III. The Fe-rich sample, with a refined composition of Fe2*.*90GeTe2, was found to have a stronger magnetic contribution to the Bragg peaks, and the refinement yielded a larger ordered moment on  $Fe(1)$  than on  $Fe(2)$  (the raw data for this sample are shown in the Supplemental Material [\[30\]](#page-10-0)). Fixing the moments to be the same on the  $Fe(1)$  and  $Fe(2)$ sites did not provide suitable fits to the data for this sample. This result is consistent with a recent publication containing neutron powder diffraction on a sample of  $Fe_{2.90}GeTe_{2}$  [\[21\]](#page-10-0). The behavior is different in the Fe-deficient sample, which has a refined composition of  $Fe<sub>2.71</sub>Ge<sub>0.95</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>$ . In this sample, the magnetic contribution to the diffraction peaks is smaller and appears similar for both  $Fe(1)$  and  $Fe(2)$ . The refined moment is slightly larger than that obtained on the single crystal (similar composition), but the trend for similar moments on the  $Fe(1)$ and Fe(2) sites is consistent. This suggests that vacancies on the Fe(2) site suppress the magnetism on both Fe sites. This could be related to the changes in structural parameters, although disorder and/or dilution effects may also be dominant.

### **C. Magnetization**

Magnetization measurements were performed on the polycrystalline materials to determine their Curie temperatures and correlate this with structure and composition. Results of the temperature-dependent magnetization *M* measurements are shown in Fig.  $8(a)$ , where refined Fe contents are used in the legend. We have used Gaussian cgs units for the magnetization results.

The data in Fig.  $8(a)$  demonstrate that the Curie temperature decreases with decreasing Fe content. The reduced  $T_c$  with increasing vacancies on the Fe(2) site may be caused by a disruption of the magnetic exchange with increasing disorder and magnetic dilution via vacancies. There may also be a structural component, as we observe that the reduced  $T_c$ correlates with the expansion of  $c$ , an increase in Fe(1)-Fe(1) bond distance, and a decrease in Fe(1)-Fe(2) bond distances. A similar reduction in  $T_c$  is observed for Fe<sub>2−*x*</sub>Ge materials as *x* increases, but in the binary compound a more typical decrease in the lattice parameters is observed with increasing *x* [\[19\]](#page-10-0). Investigating the pressure dependence of  $T_c$  or the anisotropy of the magnetic excitation spectra may provide further insight,

TABLE III. Summary of refinements of powder neutron diffraction data. Refined compositions are the result of refining the occupation of the Fe $(2)$  position. Refined moments are aligned along the  $c$  axis.

Nominal composition	T(K)	Refined Fe	a(A)	c(A)	Moment $Fe(1)$ (units of $\mu_B$ )	Moment $Fe(2)$ (units of $\mu_B$ )	$R_P$
Fe <sub>3</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	250	2.904(8)	4.01749(8)	16.33990(8)			3.02
Fe <sub>3</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	60	2.904(8)	4.00938(3)	16.2850(2)	2.18(10)	1.54(10)	3.41
Fe <sub>275</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	200	2.71(3)	3.95001(7)	16.4019(4)			3.42
Fe <sub>2.75</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	60	2.71(3)	3.93628(4)	16.3535(3)	1.4(1)	1.4(1)	5.25

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

FIG. 8. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetization *M* divided by applied field *H* for polycrystalline  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  materials with various Fe concentrations as indicated by the refined Fe content in the legend and (b) anisotropic magnetization of flux-grown Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>. All data were collected upon cooling in an applied field of  $H = 100$  Oe.

as would theoretical calculations into the dependence of  $T_c$  on the lattice parameters.

Anisotropic magnetization data for single-crystalline Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> are shown in Fig. 8(b). These data demonstrate that the easy axis for magnetization is along the crystallographic *c* axis, which is consistent with our neutron diffraction and Ref.  $[20]$ . The shape of  $M(T)$  evolves with decreasing Fe content. In the Fe-rich samples,  $M(T)$  increases smoothly while cooling. In contrast, for the most Fe-deficient sample,  $M(T)$  has a kink-containing shape near  $T_c$  and essentially plateaus slightly below  $T_C$ . The  $M(T)$  data reported on the vapor transport crystals, with  $T_C \approx 220 K$ , has a temperature dependence similar to that shown in Fig.  $8(b)$ . Therefore, the non-power-law behavior observed near  $T_c$  in the single crystals may be linked to domain-wall formation and movement. It is certainly possible that different growth conditions produce different Fe(2)/Fe(3) contents, which could also modify the properties independently. We also confirmed that Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> appears to be a Pauli paramagnet.

Isothermal magnetization data are shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9(a), data for three polycrystalline samples are shown, while Fig.  $9(b)$  contains data for an oriented single crystal. The isothermal magnetization measurements for polycrystalline samples reveal some interesting trends with composition. It is clear that the Fe-deficient samples have a much lower remanent magnetization and very little coercivity. Also, the moment essentially saturates at high fields for the Fe-deficient samples while a linear rise with field is observed for the Fe-rich samples. The linear increase at higher fields for Fe<sub>2.97</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> may be related to an increased anisotropy field at higher Fe content. Our low-Fe content crystals have an estimated



FIG. 9. (a) Magnetization loops at 2 K for three compositions of polycrystalline Fe3−*<sup>x</sup>*GeTe2 (refined values provided). The inset shows the magnetization at 2 K and 60 kOe for polycrystalline samples. (b) Magnetization versus applied field for single-crystalline  $Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>$  at various temperatures, with the anisotropy demonstrated at 2 K.

anisotropy field of 15 kOe (or 1.5 T), as shown in Fig.  $9(b)$ . An anisotropy field of  $\approx$ 5 T was observed at 10 K for crystals grown by vapor transport [\[20\]](#page-10-0), and the reported  $T_C \approx 220$  K suggests a large Fe content in those crystals. The linear rise at large fields may also be from some paramagnetic ions, such as an impurity or interlayer Fe. We note that our Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> crystals did not reveal any unexpected behavior when measured to 120 kOe.

The "saturation magnetization" of all polycrystalline  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  samples is shown as an inset in Fig.  $9(a)$ , and values are listed in the summary of samples provided in Table [IV.](#page-8-0) The saturation magnetization is taken as the value of the magnetization (in  $\mu_B$ /Fe) obtained at 2 K and 60 kOe (refined compositions are used for unit conversion). This does not represent a true saturation magnetization for all samples due to the linear increase in *M* at large *H*. We clearly see that higher *T*<sub>c</sub> (higher Fe content) correlates with larger induced moments for a given *T* at large applied fields, consistent with our powder neutron diffraction results. *T*<sub>C</sub> was defined using the intercept of the steepest tangent. The effective moments calculated from the susceptibility  $\chi$  between 250 and 360 K were found to vary between  $3.9(2)\mu_B$ /Fe and  $4.9(1)\mu_B$ /Fe, with higher *T*<sub>c</sub> generally corresponding to larger effective moments. We used a standard Curie-Weiss law  $[\chi]$  =  $C/(T - T_{CW})$ ] and fit data collected on cooling in an applied

Fe composition					$\mu_{\rm sat}$	$\mu_{\rm eff}$		
Nominal	Rietveld	<b>EDS</b>	a(A)	c(A)	$T_{\rm C}$ (K)	(units of $\mu_B$ /Fe)	(units of $\mu_B$ /Fe)	$T_{CW}$ (K)
3.10	2.97(2)	3.07(2)	4.0244(1)	16.3293(5)	232	1.31	4.9(1)	225.6(2)
3.00	2.96(1)	3.06(3)	4.0184(1)	16.3344(4)	226	1.32	4.8(1)	221.7(1)
2.90	2.92(1)	2.92(3)	4.0013(1)	16.3494(4)	220	1.28	4.4(1)	218.9(1)
2.85	2.87(1)	2.88(2)	3.9840(1)	16.3676(3)	203	1.27	4.4(1)	203.2(1)
2.80	2.78(1)	2.81(2)	3.9564(1)	16.3947(4)	154	1.08	4.5(1)	143.5(3)
2.75	2.69(4)	2.79(3)	3.9475(4)	16.405(1)	140	1.04	3.9(2)	142.6(2)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>TABLE IV. Summary of samples and magnetic properties for polycrystalline Fe<sub>3–*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. Rietveld compositions originate in refinement of powder diffraction data, while EDS values are for large-area EDS analysis that may include impurities.

field of 1 kOe. Curie-Weiss temperatures  $T_{CW}$  obtained from these fits agreed well with the Curie temperatures obtained from measurements at lower fields.

We calculated the Rhodes-Wohlfarth ratio (RWR) for our polycrystalline samples. The RWR provides a quick means to characterize the degree to which a magnetic moment is localized. RWR is defined as  $p_c/p_s$ , with  $p_c$  obtained from the effective moment  $p_c(p_c + 2) = p_{\text{eff}}^2$  [\[31,32\]](#page-10-0). Physically,  $p_c$  is the saturation moment expected from the effective moment calculated from the susceptibility in the paramagnetic phase (assuming Curie-Weiss behavior), and  $p<sub>s</sub>$  is the saturation moment obtained in the ordered state. RWR is 1 for localized systems and is larger in an itinerant system, with the ratio increasing as  $T_c$  decreases for itinerant systems. Here, we take  $p_s$  as the magnetization obtained at 2 K and 60 kOe and calculate RWR values between 2.7 and 3.4 for our polycrystalline samples. These values are fairly similar to the RWR of 3.8 reported in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-10-0).

The observation of RWR greater than 1 in compounds with a low Curie temperature ( $T_C \lesssim 500$  K) suggests itinerant ferromagnetism is likely present. In comparison to the values tabulated by Wohlfarth in 1978 and Moriya in 1979, these  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  compounds lie in the region between localized and itinerant ferromagnetism [\[31,32\]](#page-10-0). We do not observe a strong magnetoelastic effect at the transition, which is expected for large RWR (itinerant) systems [\[31\]](#page-10-0). While we do not observe a strong increase in the RWR values as  $T_c$  decreases, this can likely be attributed to the influence of vacancies on the structure and magnetism (multiple effects influencing both *T*c and RWR). Future measurements of the spin waves via inelastic neutron scattering will aid in addressing the itinerant nature of this system, as would theoretical or experimental studies into the influence of pressure on  $T_c$ .

We have summarized the magnetization data as a plot correlating the lattice parameters with the Curie temperature, shown in Fig. 10. In addition, Table IV provides a summary of sample compositions determined using various methods as well as the magnetic properties.  $T_c$  clearly decreases as Fe vacancies are introduced, and the lattice responds with a decrease in the in-plane lattice parameter and a slight expansion along the *c* axis. These results can be used as a guide to predict the composition needed to obtain a particular  $T_c$  or as a means to expedite characterization and must be considered when performing measurements on ultrathin samples. Figure 10 shows that the flux-grown crystals do not behave unexpectedly based on the behavior of polycrystalline materials.

#### **D. Hall effect and Seebeck coefficients**

Hall effect and thermoelectric measurements were utilized to characterize the in-plane electrical transport in Fe2*.*76Ge0*.*94Te2 and Ni3−*<sup>x</sup>*GeTe2 crystals. These results, summarized in Fig. [11,](#page-9-0) reveal that both systems likely have multiple carrier types contributing to conduction. We observe positive Hall coefficients and negative Seebeck coefficients, which would independently suggest *p*-type and *n*-type conduction, respectively. The linear dependence of the Hall resistance on applied field prohibits a detailed analysis aimed at determining the contribution of each band/carrier type. Theoretical calculations would provide additional insight into the origin of this apparent multicarrier transport. We note that our flux-grown crystals of Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> likely have  $x \approx 0.6$ based on EDS measurements.

At room temperature, the Hall coefficient  $R_H$  of  $Ni_{3-x}GeTe_2$  is about an order of magnitude smaller than that of  $Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>$ , which translates to a larger hole concentration in  $Ni_{3-x}GeTe_2$  if a single-carrier model is used. Specifically, at 300 K the Hall carrier density  $n<sub>H</sub>$  =  $1/R_{\text{H}}e$  is approximately  $1.8 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for Ni<sub>3-*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> and approximately  $1.9 \times 10^{21}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>. If



FIG. 10. Curie temperature as a function of lattice parameters for Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

FIG. 11. (a) Hall resistance as a function of applied field suggests *p*-type conduction in Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> and Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> crystals, while in (b) the negative Seebeck coefficients indicate *n*-type conduction. The dashed line in (b) indicates the Curie temperature of the Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> crystal.

both holes and electrons are present, as suggested by these results, the Hall coefficients could be artificially reduced, and the carrier concentrations reported would be upper limits to the actual number of holes in the system. A more complete compensation of charge carriers may be responsible for the smaller Hall coefficient of Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, although we found  $Ni_{3-x}GeTe_2$  to have about an order of magnitude lower electrical resistivity (see the Supplemental Material). As discussed in the Supplemental Material, the Hall coefficient of Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> is strongly influenced by an anomalous Hall contribution below  $\approx$  200 K. Thermal conductivity and specific-heat data for Fe<sub>2.76</sub>Ge<sub>0.94</sub>Te<sub>2</sub> and Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> are also presented in the Supplemental Material.

## **IV. SUMMARY**

The availability of  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  and other recently developed, cleavable ferromagnets provides a starting point for the development of magnetically active van der Waals heterostructures. While such architectures will likely be designed to investigate specific physics or functionality, fundamental investigations will almost certainly yield unique spin structures or magnetotransport. This work has demonstrated that the itinerant ferromagnetism in Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub> can be tuned by controlling the Fe content. All manifestations of the magnetic interactions, from the Curie temperature to the local moment, are reduced as Fe vacancies are created and the in-plane lattice parameter decreases. By mapping the magnetic phase diagram of Fe3−*<sup>x</sup>*GeTe2, this work has provided a foundation for future studies examining the influence of dimensionality on the magnetism in these van der Waals bonded materials. While we have shown that the magnetic behavior can be controlled through total Fe content, chemical substitutions or intercalation may provide additional control over the magnetism and physical properties of this layered material. Future work in this area will need to examine the stability of ultrathin  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  as a function of Fe content. In addition, experiments under pressure and theoretical calculations will likely provide valuable information regarding the interactions between the electronic and magnetic structures of these multicarrier, itinerant ferromagnets.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. The research at ORNL's (Oak Ridge National Laboratory's) High Flux Isotope Reactor was sponsored by the Scientific User Facilities Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy. We thank R. Custelcean for assistance with single crystal x-ray diffraction data collection.

- [1] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, Nature (London) **2013**, 4191 (2013).
- [2] M. Chhowalla, H. S. Shin, G. Eda, L.-J. Li, K. P. Loh, and H. Zhang, [Nat. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1589) **[5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1589)**, [263](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1589) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1589).
- [3] G. R. Bhimanapati *et al.*, [ACS Nano,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b05556) **[9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b05556)**, [11509](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b05556) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b05556).
- [4] S. Z. Butler *et al.*, [ACS Nano](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn400280c) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn400280c)**, [2898](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn400280c) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn400280c).
- [5] M. Massicotte, P. Schmidt, F. Vialla, K. G. Schadler, A. ¨ Reserbat-Plantey, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. J. Tielrooij, and F. H. L. Koppens, [Nat. Nanotechnol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.227) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.227).
- [6] C.-Z. Chang, P. Tang, X. Feng, K. Li, X.-C. Ma, W. Duan, K. He, and Q.-K. Xue, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.136801) **[115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.136801)**, [136801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.136801) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.136801).
- [7] A. G. Swartz, P. M. Odenthal, Y. Hao, R. S. Ruoff, and R. K. Kawakami, [ACS Nano](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn303771f) **[6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn303771f)**, [10063](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn303771f) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn303771f).
- [8] H. X. Yang, A. Hallal, D. Terrade, X. Waintal, S. Roche, and M. Chshiev, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.046603) **[110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.046603)**, [046603](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.046603) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.046603).
- [9] X. Z. Yu, N. Kanazawa, Y. Onose, K. Kimoto, W. Z. Zhang, S. Ishiwata, Y. Matsui, and Y. Tokura, [Nat. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916) **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916)**, [106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2916).
- [10] [S. Banerjee, J. Rowland, O. Erten, and M. Randeria,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.031045) *Phys. Rev.* X **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.031045)**, [031045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.031045) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.031045).
- [11] L. D. Casto, A. J. Clune, M. O. Yokosuk, J. L. Musfeldt, T. J. Williams, H. L. Zhuang, M.-W. Lin, K. Xiao, R. G. Hennig, B. C. Sales, J.-Q. Yan, and D. Mandrus, [APL Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4914134) **[3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4914134)**, [041515](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4914134) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4914134).
- [12] N. Sivadas, M. W. Daniels, R. H. Swendsen, S. Okamoto, and D. Xiao, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235425) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235425)**, [235425](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235425) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.235425).
- [13] T. J. Williams, A. A. Aczel, M. D. Lumsden, S. E. Nagler, M. B. Stone, J.-Q. Yan, and D. Mandrus, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.144404) **[92](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.144404)**, [144404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.144404) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.144404).
- [14] [M. A. McGuire, H. Dixit, V. R. Cooper, and B. C. Sales,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm504242t) Chem. Mater. **[27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm504242t)**, [612](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm504242t) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm504242t).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[15] W.-B. Zhang, Q. Qu, P. Zhu, and C.-H. Lam, [J. Mater. Chem. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC02840J) **[3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC02840J)**, [12457](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC02840J) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC02840J).
- [16] V. Carteaux, F. Moussa, and M. Spiesser, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/29/3/011) **[29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/29/3/011)**, [251](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/29/3/011) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/29/3/011).
- [17] M.-W. Lin, H. L. Zhuang, J. Yan, T. Z. Ward, A. A. Puretzky, C. M. Rouleau, Z. Gai, L. Liang, V. Meunier, B. G. Sumpter, P. Ganesh, P. R. C. Kent, D. B. Geohegan, D. G. Mandrus, and K. Xiao, [J. Mater. Chem. C,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC03463A) **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC03463A)** [315](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC03463A) [\(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TC03463A).
- [18] H.-J. Deiseroth, K. Aleksandrov, C. Reiner, L. Kienle, and R. K. Kremer, [Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200501020) **[2006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200501020)**, [1561](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200501020) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200501020).
- [19] K. Kanematsu, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.20.36) **[20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.20.36)**, [36](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.20.36) [\(1965\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.20.36).
- [20] B. Chen, J.-H. Yang, H.-D. Wang, M. Imai, H. Ohta, C. Michioka, K. Yoshimura, and M.-H. Fang, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.124711) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.124711)**, [124711](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.124711) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.82.124711).
- [21] V. Y. Verchenko, A. A. Tsirlin, A. V. Sobolev, I. A. Presniakov, and A. V. Shevelkov, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01260) **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01260)**, [8598](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01260) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b01260).
- [22] G. M. Sheldrick, [Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930) **[64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930)**, [112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767307043930).
- [23] E. Parthe and L. M. Gelato, [Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767384000416) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767384000416)**, [169](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767384000416) [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0108767384000416).
- [24] A. L. Spek, [Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S090744490804362X) **[65](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S090744490804362X)**, [148](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S090744490804362X) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S090744490804362X).
- [25] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, *Phys. B* (Amsterdam, *Neth.*) **[192](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(93)90108-I)**, [55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(93)90108-I) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(93)90108-I).
- [26] B. Malaman, J. Steinmetz, and B. Roques, [J. Less Common Met.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(80)90114-9) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(80)90114-9)**, [155](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(80)90114-9) [\(1980\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(80)90114-9).
- [27] H. Katsuraki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.19.863) **[19](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.19.863)**, [863](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.19.863) [\(1964\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.19.863).
- [28] [E. Germagnoli, C. Lamborizio, S. Mora, and I. Ortalli,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02710913) Nuovo Cimento B **[42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02710913)**, [314](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02710913) [\(1966\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02710913).
- [29] F. Albertini, L. Pareti, A. Deriu, D. Negri, G. Calestani, O. Moze, S. J. Kennedy, and R. Sonntag, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.368080) **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.368080)**, [401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.368080) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.368080).
- [30] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014411) 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.014411 for powder neutron diffraction data, anomalous Hall effect data, electrical resistivity, thermal transport, and specific-heat data.
- [31] E. P. Wohlfarth, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(78)90163-4) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(78)90163-4)**, [113](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(78)90163-4) [\(1978\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(78)90163-4).
- [32] T. Moriya, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(79)90201-4) **[14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(79)90201-4)**, [1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(79)90201-4) [\(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(79)90201-4).