Anomalous Hall effect driven by dipolar spin waves in uniform ferromagnets

Kei Yamamoto,^{1,2,*} Koji Sato,³ Eiji Saitoh,^{3,4,5,6} and Hiroshi Kohno⁷

¹Department of Physics, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

²Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

³WPI Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

⁴Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

⁵Spin Quantum Rectification Project, ERATO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

⁶Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai 319-1195, Japan

⁷Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

(Received 3 September 2015; published 9 October 2015)

An anomalous Hall effect is shown to arise from the exchange interaction of conduction electrons with dipolar spin waves in ferromagnets. This effect exists even in homogeneous ferromagnets without relativistic spin-orbit coupling. The leading contribution to the Hall conductivity is proportional to the chiral spin correlation of dynamical spin textures and is physically understood in terms of the skew scattering by dipolar magnons.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.140408

The anomalous Hall effect (AHE) has been known for more than a century and provided various intriguing areas of research both theoretically and experimentally [1]. The AHE is due to both the presence of the spontaneous magnetization and spinorbit interaction, and thus no external magnetic field is needed as opposed to the ordinary Hall effect. The contributions to the AHE are conventionally understood by the intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms; the former is associated with the anomalous velocity deriving from nontrivial band curvature (Berry curvature), and the latter comes from spin-orbit scattering from impurities [2]. Distinctly from these mechanisms, another type of contribution to the AHE, known as the topological Hall effect (THE), was later proposed [3,4]. The THE arises from chiral magnetic textures such as Skyrmion configuration, which generate a Berry phase for conduction electrons leading to Hall effect [5]. This Berry phase interpretation is appropriate in the regime of strong coupling between conduction electrons and magnetic textures. On the other hand, the Hall conductivity in the weak coupling regime was shown to be proportional to the scalar spin chirality, given by $S_{r_1} \cdot (S_{r_2} \times S_{r_3})$, which measures the correlation between the spin orientations and real-space positions [6]. The leading order contribution reads

$$\sigma_{xy} \propto \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, \boldsymbol{r}_3} \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{r}_1} \cdot (\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{r}_2} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{r}_3}) (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_z \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_3$, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{r}_3 - \mathbf{r}_1$, $\mathcal{F}(a,b,c)$ is a symmetric function of $a = |\mathbf{a}|, b = |\mathbf{b}|$, and $c = |\mathbf{c}|$ related to electrons, and the summation is taken over all locations \mathbf{r}_i of localized magnetic moments $S_{\mathbf{r}_i}$. We note that the origin of the cross product $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_z = (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c})_z = (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a})_z$ in Eq. (1) can be traced back to the antisymmetrized electron velocity operators $\hat{v}_x \hat{v}_y$, while the symmetry of function \mathcal{F} embodies the essentially scalar nature of the electron propagation. In order to have a nonvanishing contribution in this setting, therefore, the scalar spin chirality must include a component that is odd under parity [i.e., $\mathbf{r}_i \equiv (x_i, y_i, z_i) \rightarrow (\pm x_i, \mp y_i, z_i)$], which, in translationally and rotationally symmetric systems, requires $S_{\mathbf{r}_1} \cdot (S_{\mathbf{r}_2} \times S_{\mathbf{r}_3})|_{\text{odd}} \propto (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_z$.

Recently, Hall effects not only of electrons but also of various bosonic excitations, involving photons, magnons, and phonons, have been studied [7]. Amongst them is the Hall effect of magnetostatic forward volume waves, or dipolar magnons, which have Berry curvature originating from the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction [8]. Since conduction electrons interact with magnons via the exchange interaction, it seems natural to expect that the electrons may also acquire some chirality in their orbital motion and exhibit a Hall effect.

In this Rapid Communication, we approach this problem by a perturbative expansion in the exchange coupling between the dynamical magnetization and conduction electrons. We derive a spin-chirality formula for the Hall conductivity, which can be regarded as a generalization of Eq. (1) to spin texture fluctuations. It is found that the dipole-dipole interaction induces a parity-odd component of *chiral spin correlation*,

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{r}_1} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{r}_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{r}_3}) \rangle|_{\text{odd}} = (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_z (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})_t F(a) F(b) + \text{cyclic perms in } (a,b,c), \quad (2)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes quantum/thermal averaging, the subscript *t* indicates projection onto *x*-*y* plane $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t = a_x b_x + a_y b_y$, and $\tilde{F}(\boldsymbol{a})$ is a function related to magnons. Because of the factor $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$ in Eq. (2), there is no net spin chirality in the sense that the spatial average of $\langle S_{r_1} \cdot (S_{r_2} \times S_{r_3}) \rangle (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_z$ is zero. Nevertheless, the electron contribution, which corresponds to $(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_z \mathcal{F}$ in Eq. (1), provides factors of $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$ in such a way to render the Hall conductivity finite, as we shall demonstrate below.

Let us first reformulate the theory of dipolar magnons in a form suitable for studying their interaction with electrons. We consider a normally magnetized film of homogeneous ferromagnet. The magnetization $\hat{m}(\mathbf{r})$ satisfies $\langle \hat{m}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_0 =$ $(0,0,M_s)$ in the ground state, where M_s is the saturation magnetization. Let us introduce the Holstein-Primakoff field a_g by

$$\hat{m}_{+}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{-2\hbar\gamma M_s}{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \qquad (3)$$

PACS number(s): 72.10.Di, 72.15.Eb

^{*}kei@sci.phys.kobe-u.ac.jp

^{1098-0121/2015/92(14)/140408(4)}

where $\hat{m}_{\pm} = \hat{m}_x \pm i\hat{m}_y$, $\gamma < 0$ is the effective gyromagnetic ratio of electron, and V is the volume of the sample. In the presence of both exchange and dipole-dipole interactions, the magnon Hamiltonian can be written as [9]

$$H_d = \sum_{q} \hbar \omega_q b_q^{\dagger} b_q, \qquad (4)$$

in terms of the diagonalizing operator, $b_q = A_q^+ e^{-i\phi_q} a_q + A_q^- e^{i\phi_q} a_{-q}^{\dagger}$, and the eigenfrequency

$$\omega_{q} = \sqrt{(\omega_{0} + \omega_{M}\lambda q^{2})(\omega_{0} + \omega_{M}\lambda q^{2} + \omega_{M}\sin^{2}\theta_{q})}, \quad (5)$$

where $q = q(\sin \theta_q \cos \phi_q, \sin \theta_q \sin \phi_q, \cos \theta_q)$. The Bogoliubov coefficients are given by

$$A_{q}^{\pm} = \frac{\omega_{q} \pm (\omega_{0} + \omega_{M}\lambda q^{2})}{2\sqrt{\omega_{q}(\omega_{0} + \omega_{M}\lambda q^{2})}}.$$
(6)

If we neglect magnetocrystalline anisotropy, $\omega_0 = -\gamma H_{ex}$ and $\omega_M = -\gamma M_s$, where H_{ex} is an external magnetic field, and λ is the square of the exchange length, whose typical value is $\sim 10^{-16}$ [m²]. Note that a_q is not an eigenoperator unless the dipole-dipole interaction is absent, $A_q^- = 0$. To treat interactions between magnons and electrons, we shall apply quantum field theory techniques [10,11] and define thermal Green's functions

$$\mathcal{D}_{AB}(1,2) = \frac{1}{2\hbar\gamma M_s} \langle T_\tau [\hat{m}_A(\tau_1, \boldsymbol{r}_1) \hat{m}_B(\tau_2, \boldsymbol{r}_2)] \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where T_{τ} indicates imaginary-time ordering, $A, B = \pm$, and $\hat{m}_A(\tau) = e^{H\tau} \hat{m}_A e^{-H\tau}$. A consequence of the dipole-dipole interaction is the spin-flip propagator

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm\pm} = -\frac{k_B T}{V} \sum_{\ell,q} e^{\pm 2i\phi_q} A_q^+ A_q^- \mathcal{D}_q(i\nu_\ell) e^{i(q\cdot r - \nu_\ell \tau)}, \qquad (8)$$

where ν_{ℓ} is the Matsubara frequency and $\mathcal{D}_{q}(i\nu_{\ell}) = -2\hbar\omega_{q}/(\nu_{\ell}^{2} + \hbar^{2}\omega_{q}^{2})$. We neglect the self-energy for simplicity. The phase factor $e^{\pm 2i\phi_{q}}$ in Eq. (8) is a manifestation of the coupling between the spin and orbital motion of magnons. In particular, the spin-flip propagator (8) contains a parity-odd element, which will ultimately lead to electron Hall effect. The spin-preserving part $\mathcal{D}_{\pm\mp}$ also receives a contribution from the dipole-dipole interaction, but it is parity even and does not essentially affect AHE.

For the electron part of the Hamiltonian, we consider a free electron gas with the *s*-*d* exchange coupling to \hat{m} :

$$H_{s} = \sum_{k} \xi_{k} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}, \quad \xi_{k} = \frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2m} - \mu, \tag{9}$$

$$H_{sd} = -\frac{J}{N} \sum_{k,q} \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{r})}{\hbar \gamma} \cdot (c_k^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\sigma} c_{k+q}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$
 (10)

Here, $c_k^{\dagger} = (c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}, c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger})$ is the electron creation operator, μ is the chemical potential, J the *s*-*d* exchange energy, N the total number of localized magnetic moments in the sample, and σ the triplet of the Pauli matrices. Our goal is to compute the Hall conductivity σ_{xy} based on the Hamiltonian $H = H_s + 1$

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 92, 140408(R) (2015)

 $H_d + H_{sd}$ and the Kubo formula

$$\sigma_{xy}(\omega) = \frac{V}{i\omega} \int d\tau \langle T_{\tau}[j_x(\tau)j_y] \rangle e^{i\omega_{\lambda}\tau}, \qquad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{j} = \frac{\hbar e}{mV} \sum_{k} \mathbf{k} c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k}$ is the charge-current density operator, and the analytic continuation $i\omega_{\lambda} \rightarrow \omega + i0$ is understood. The electron propagator is given by $\mathcal{G}_{k\sigma}(i\varepsilon_{n}) = \{i\varepsilon_{n} - \xi_{k\sigma} - \Sigma_{k\sigma}(i\varepsilon_{n})\}^{-1}$ with $\xi_{k\sigma} = \xi_{k} + JS\sigma$, where $S = -M_{s}V/\hbar\gamma N$ is the magnitude of localized spins, $\sigma = \pm 1$ the spin projection, and Σ is the self-energy. We take $\Sigma_{k\sigma}(\varepsilon + i0) = -i\Gamma_{k\sigma}(\varepsilon)$, where Γ is the damping parameter, neglecting its real part.

We assume *J* is small and calculate σ_{xy} perturbatively with respect to *J*. As in Ref. [6], a nontrivial contribution shows up at the third order. The spin dependence of $\mathcal{G}_{k\sigma}$ turned out to be unimportant (not essential to AHE, just giving higher-order corrections), which will thus be neglected in the following: $\mathcal{G}_{k\sigma} = \mathcal{G}_k$. Using the identity tr $(\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k) = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}$, we obtain

$$\langle T_{\tau}[j_{x}(\tau)j_{y}]\rangle = 2i\left(\frac{J}{\hbar\gamma N}\right)^{3} \int d1 \int d2 \int d3 \\ \times \langle T_{\tau}[\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(1) \cdot \{\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(2) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(3)\}]\rangle \mathcal{E}_{xy}(1,2,3),$$

$$(12)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{xy}(1,2,3)$ is the electron part consisting of \mathcal{G} 's, and $1 \equiv (\mathbf{r}_1, \tau_1), \int d1 \equiv \int d\tau_1 \int d\mathbf{r}_1$, etc. This expression can be regarded as a generalization of the spin-chirality formula of Hall conductivity obtained in Ref. [6] to the situations where the spin texture is allowed to fluctuate.

In order to have nonvanishing σ_{xy} , it is necessary that the time-ordered chiral spin correlation

$$\chi = \langle T_{\tau}[\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(1) \cdot \{\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(2) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(3)\}] \rangle$$
(13)

has parity-odd components since $\mathcal{E}_{xy}(1,2,3)$ is parity odd. As anticipated, the spin-flip magnon propagators of Eq. (8) serve this purpose. In fact, one can derive from Wick's theorem the parity-odd part of χ as

$$\chi \mid_{\text{odd}} = i\hbar^2 \gamma^2 M_s [\mathcal{D}_{--}(1,2)\mathcal{D}_{++}(1,3) - \mathcal{D}_{++}(1,2)\mathcal{D}_{--}(1,3) + (\text{cyclic perms})].$$
(14)

Here we expanded \hat{m}_z to the lowest order in the magnetization fluctuation as $\hat{m}_z = M_s - \hat{m}_- \hat{m}_+ / (2M_s)$. More explicitly, this takes the form

$$\frac{\chi \mid_{\text{odd}}}{(2\gamma\hbar)^2 M_s} = (\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_z [(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t F_a F_b + (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})_t F_b F_c + (\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})_t F_c F_a],$$
(15)

where $F_a \equiv (a_x \pm i a_y)^{-2} \mathcal{D}_{\pm\pm}(\boldsymbol{a}; \tau_a)$ depends only on $a_t = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2}$, a_z and $\tau_a = \tau_1 - \tau_2$ (i.e., F_a is a scalar with respect to rotation around the *z* axis). Equation (15) is an elaboration of Eq. (2). Spatial variation of $\chi|_{odd}$ is visualized in Fig. 1. We used $\lambda = 0.4 \times 10^{-16} \, [\text{m}^2]$, $\hbar \omega_0 = \hbar \omega_M = 10^{-4} \, [\text{eV}]$, $k_B T = 10^{-2} \, [\text{eV}]$ as a representative set of parameters. The *q* integral in Eq. (8) was evaluated by introducing a cutoff $q_m = 1 \, [\text{Å}^{-1}]$. Remarkably, there is a definite chiral spin correlation with odd parity once the relative locations (*a* and *b*) are fixed. If averaged over the locations,



FIG. 1. (Color online) Chiral spin correlation $\chi|_{odd}$. (a) As a function of $\mathbf{r}_3 = (x, y, 0)$ for $\mathbf{r}_1 = (0, 200, 0)$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = (0, -200, 0)$, and $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3$. The unit of length is Å. (b) As a function of $a = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ at (x, y) = (100, 0), (100, 400), and (400, 400) plotted by red, blue, and green lines, respectively. As seen, $\chi|_{odd}$ is odd in x while oscillating in x, y, and a, consistent with the vanishing spatial average of $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_z \chi$.

however, it vanishes because of the factor $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$, as mentioned in the Introduction.

The Hall conductivity σ_{xy} is obtained by combining the magnon part χ with the electron part $\mathcal{E}_{xy}(1,2,3)$. The resulting diagrams are shown in Fig. 2, where the solid and wavy lines represent \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{D} , respectively. Every term of \mathcal{E}_{xy} is a product of a factor $(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})_z$ (or its equivalent) and functions of a, b, and c. Since $c = |\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{b}|$ contains a factor $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$, the sign change due to $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$ in Eq. (15) upon integration is compensated, resulting in a nonvanishing σ_{xy} . This is to be compared with the case of Ref. [6], in which the electron part that is independent of $(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})_t$ contributed to σ_{xy} .

To evaluate σ_{xy} explicitly, it is convenient to work with the Fourier (wave-vector and Matsubara frequency) space. After performing analytic continuation $i\omega_{\lambda} \rightarrow \hbar\omega + i0$ and taking the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$, one finds a number of terms containing different combinations of retarded and advanced Green's functions. As we are computing a transport quantity, these terms are naturally classified according to the powers of the electron lifetime, or decay rate $\Gamma_k(\epsilon)$. In the clean limit, the dominant term is that of the lowest power in Γ [1], originating from the two pairs of retarded and advanced electron propagators at both ends of the diagrams with identical momentum arguments. This is similar to the so-called extrinsic



FIG. 2. The diagrams contributing to σ_{xy} at J^3 . In terms of $\mathcal{E}_{xy}(1,2,3)$, there are only two configurations; those in the top row and those in the bottom. The three variations within each row occur due to the cyclic permutation of magnon arguments in Eq. (14). Electron-magnon vertices with one (two) wavy line(s) represent x, y components (z component) in H_{sd} .

contribution and reads

$$\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{2\hbar^3 e^2 J^3 S V^2}{\pi^3 m^2 N^2} \int d\epsilon \int d\epsilon_1 \int d\epsilon_2$$

$$\times \sum_{k,q,q'} A_q^+ A_q^- A_{q'}^+ A_{q'}^- \sin[2(\phi_q - \phi_{q'})]$$

$$\times f'(\epsilon) \{n(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon) + f(\epsilon_1)\} \{n(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon) + f(\epsilon_2)\}$$

$$\times \left| G_{k+q'}^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon) \right|^2 \operatorname{Im} D_q^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon) \operatorname{Im} D_{q'}^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon)$$

$$\times \left[\{(k+q) \times (k+q')\}_z \operatorname{Im} G_k^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon) \big| G_{k+q}^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon_1) \big|^2 - 2(k \times q')_z \big| G_k^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon) \big|^2 \operatorname{Im} G_{k+q}^{\mathsf{R}}(\epsilon_1) \right], \quad (16)$$

where n(x) and f(x) are Bose and Fermi distribution functions, and G^{R} and D^{R} are the retarded counterparts of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{D} , respectively. In the square brackets in the final two lines of Eq. (16), the first term comes from the diagrams in the first column of Fig. 2, while the second term comes from the sum of the rest. To the lowest order in Γ , one can replace $|G_{k}^{R}(\epsilon)|^{2}$ by $\pi \delta(\epsilon - \xi_{k})/\Gamma_{k}(\epsilon)$ and other propagators by their unperturbed form. The products of the distribution functions effectively restrict the energy variables ϵ , ϵ_{1} , and ϵ_{2} to $[-k_{B}T, k_{B}T]$, much smaller than μ , and the delta functions force k, k + q, and k + q' to be near the Fermi surface and Γ 's can be regarded as a constant $\Gamma_{F} \equiv \Gamma_{k_{F}}(\epsilon_{F})$. Integrating over energies and ϕ_{k} , we arrive at

$$\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_0 \frac{\epsilon_F}{k_F} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \int_0^{\infty} dk_t f'(\xi_k) k_t \\ \times \{I_1(\mathbf{k}) - I_3(\mathbf{k})\} \{I_1(\mathbf{k}) - 2I_3(\mathbf{k})\}, \qquad (17)$$

where $\sigma_0 \equiv J^3 S e^2 k_F / (2\pi \hbar \epsilon_F \Gamma_F^2)$, **k** now denotes doublet $(k_t, k_z), k_t = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, and the functions $I_n(\mathbf{k})$ are given by

$$I_n = \sum_{\alpha = \pm 1} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{V}{N} \int \frac{d^3 \boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\omega_M}{\omega_q} \left[\frac{(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{q})_t}{q_t k_t} \right]^n q_t \\ \times \{n(\hbar\omega_q) + f(\alpha\xi_k + \hbar\omega_q)\} \delta(\xi_{k+q} - \xi_k - \alpha\hbar\omega_q).$$
(18)

We note σ_{xy} is negative for JS > 0. This is seen by approximating -f' by delta function; then the integral is essentially the area under the curve in Fig. 3(a). Temperature dependence



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) $(I_1 - I_3)(I_1 - 2I_3)$ in Eq. (17) for $(k_t, k_z) = k_F(\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ as a function of $\cos \theta$. Note that $k_t d\theta = -k_F d(\cos \theta)$. (b) Hall conductivity evaluated by Monte Carlo integration (red dots) with a fit $|\sigma_{xy}|/\sigma_0 = 6.83 \times 10^{-8} \times T^{2.29} e^{-0.001/T}$ (blue line). Plain power-law fitting gives $\sim T^{2.48}$. The same parameter set as in Fig. 1 has been used along with $\mu = 0.6$ [eV].

has been studied numerically, yielding a roughly power-law dependence $\sigma_{xy} \propto T^p$ with a small, but appreciable, deviation [Fig. 3(b)]. The estimated value of p falls in the range $2.2 \leq p \leq 2.5$ depending on the choice of fitting function.

The mechanism of the present AHE can be identified as the skew scattering by the chiral spin correlations of dipolar magnons. In fact, by relabeling the wave vectors in Fig. 2, the on-shell scattering amplitude of two electrons with momenta p, p' at the ends of the diagrams going through $\chi \mid_{odd}$ (with an intermediate electron line) can be written as proportional to $(p \times p')_z$.

To conclude, we have shown that there is a nonzero contribution to the AHE originating from the interaction with the dipolar magnons in homogeneous ferromagnets. The leading order term occurs at the third order in the *s*-*d* exchange interaction and involves a factor of the chiral spin correlation felt by traversing electrons. The result serves as a generalization of the Hall effect from static spin chirality as well as giving a concrete example in which anomalous Hall current requires neither relativistic spin-orbit interaction nor fixed magnetic texture. In a broader sense, the dipole-dipole interaction acts as a kind of spin-orbit interaction in that it couples the spin and orbital motion of magnons. Electrons then inherit the chiral orbital motion through skew scatterings by the quantum/thermal dipolar magnons.

Unfortunately the predicted Hall conductivity is small because of the dominance of exchange energy over the dipole-dipole term, i.e., $\lambda q^2 \gg 1$ for typical q, which is $\sqrt{k_B T/(\hbar \omega_M \lambda)}$. To identify the proposed AHE experimentally, therefore, material should be chosen carefully. First of all, conventional AHE due to spin-orbit interaction must be

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 92, 140408(R) (2015)

suppressed in conductors; for example, in some ferromagnetic metals, anomalous Hall coefficients are known to be compensated by blending elements with opposite signs of AHE. Since further numerical studies (not shown) indicate that $|\sigma_{xy}|$ decreases with ϵ_F as long as $\epsilon_F \gg k_B T$, materials with small Fermi energy will be favorable, such as a semiconductor magnet or a hybrid film comprising a ferromagnetic insulator layer and a low-carrier conductor layer. While the present article focused on the equilibrium spin waves, the skew scattering by dipolar magnons should persist when the magnons are driven out of equilibrium by external spin pumping. A nonequilibrium excess in the number of magnons in the dipolar regime (i.e., $\lambda q^2 \lesssim 1$) is expected to result in a larger AHE. A detailed investigation of this effect will be an interesting direction for further research. In many materials, AHE accompanies spin Hall effect which is often used in spintronics, and the present mechanism may open the door to spintronics free from spin-orbit interaction.

We would like to thank Shuichi Murakami, Jairo Sinova, and Yaroslav Tserkovnyak for helpful discussions. This work was performed under the Inter-university Cooperative Research Program of the Institute for Materials Research, Tohokou University (Proposal No.15K0089). It is also supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan. K.Y., K.S., and H.K. are supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grants No. 261204, No. 15K13531, and No. 25400339, respectively) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). E.S. is supported by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Nano Spin Conversion Science" (Grant No. 26103005).

- [1] N. Nagaosa, J. Sinova, S. Onoda, A. H. MacDonald, and N. P. Ong, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1539 (2010).
- [2] R. Karplus and J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 95, 1154 (1954); J.
 Smit, Physica 21, 877 (1955); 24, 39 (1958); J. M. Luttinger,
 Phys. Rev. 112, 739 (1958); L. Berger, Phys. Rev. B 2, 4559 (1970); 5, 1862 (1972).
- [3] J. Ye, Y. B. Kim, A. J. Millis, B. I. Shraiman, P. Majumdar, and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3737 (1999).
- [4] P. Bruno, V. K. Dugaev, and M. Taillefumier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 096806 (2004).
- [5] N. Nagaosa and Y. Tokura, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 899 (2013).
- [6] G. Tatara and H. Kawamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 2613 (2002).
- [7] M. Onoda, S. Murakami, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 083901 (2004); F. D. M. Haldane and S. Raghu, *ibid.* 100,

013904 (2008); Y. Onose, T. Ideue, H. Katsura, Y. Shiomi, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Science **329**, 297 (2010); T. Qin, J. Zhou, and J. Shi, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 104305 (2012); R. Shindou, R. Matsumoto, S. Murakami, and J. I. Ohe, *ibid.* **87**, 174427 (2013).

- [8] R. Matsumoto and S. Murakami, Phys. Rev. B 84, 184406 (2011).
- [9] D. D. Stancil and A. Prabhakar, *Spin Waves*, 1st ed. (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [10] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Dover, New York, 1975).
- [11] R. B. Woolsey and R. M. White, Phys. Rev. B 1, 4474 (1970);
 J. Danon, A. Ricottone, and P. W. Brouwer, *ibid.* 90, 024405 (2014).