Spin liquid phase of the $S = \frac{1}{2} J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice

Zhenyue Zhu and Steven R. White

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, USA (Received 19 February 2015; published 7 July 2015)

We study the $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice with nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions J_1 and J_2 with the density matrix renormalization group, on long open cylinders with widths up to nine lattice spacings. In an intermediate J_2 region 0.06 $\lesssim J_2/J_1 \lesssim 0.17$, we find evidence for a spin liquid (SL) state with short range spin-spin, bond-bond, and chiral correlation lengths, bordered by a classical 120° Néel ordered state at small J_2 and by a two sublattice collinear magnetically ordered state at larger J_2 . Focusing on $J_2/J_1 = 0.1$, we find a number of signatures of a gapped SL phase.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041105) PACS number(s): 75*.*10*.*Kt*,* 73*.*43*.*Nq*,* 75*.*10*.*Jm

In Anderson's paper introducing the resonating valence bond (RVB) state [\[1\]](#page-3-0), the prototypical example of a spin liquid (SL) [\[2\]](#page-3-0), the ground state of the triangular lattice nearestneighbor Heisenberg model was argued to be a likely candidate. Later, a variety of analytical and numerical studies [\[3–6\]](#page-3-0) demonstrated that this system has three sublattice 120◦ long range antiferromagnetic order. More recent numerical studies [\[7–9\]](#page-3-0) have confirmed this result, and more accurately determined the magnetization, with $M \sim 0.2$.

It is natural to include small second-neighbor J_2 terms to the Hamiltonian, in addition to the nearest-neighbor terms with coupling J_1 , to see if this additional frustration induces a spin liquid state. The corresponding classical phase diagram has a single phase transition point at $J_2 = 1/8$ (setting $J_1 = 1$ here and below) between the 120◦ phase and a large number of degenerate four sublattice magnetically ordered states. This degeneracy is broken by quantum fluctuations within spin wave theory, selecting a two sublattice collinearly ordered state through the order by disorder mechanism $[10,11]$.

One might expect an intermediate phase to appear near the classical critical point at $J_2 = 1/8$. The limited number of studies on this question, which have usually relied on approximations with uncertain reliability, have given conflicting results, particularly on the nature of a possible disordered phase and the location of the phase boundaries $[12–15]$. Here, we try to resolve the nature of this possible intermediate state using density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) methods [\[16\]](#page-3-0). We *do find* a spin liquid intermediate phase, gapped with fairly large singlet and triplet gaps, which is bordered by the expected magnetic phases, the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ ordered state (120°) classical Néel order pattern) at $J_2 < 0.05 \sim 0.07$ and a two sublattice collinear ordered state at $J_2 \gtrsim 0.17$. The SL state away from the phase boundaries at $J_2 = 0.1$ has very short range magnetic, bond, and chiral correlations. We also observe a dimerization pattern of bond strengths on odd cylinders and obtain two different topological sectors on even cylinders. This behavior is in a number of ways similar to that observed in the *Z*² spin liquid state of the kagome Heisenberg model [\[17,18\]](#page-3-0). A possible Z_2 SL state on the triangular lattice was treated analytically in the early 1990's [\[19,20\]](#page-3-0). However, in contrast to the kagome, it has a strong tendency towards spatial anisotropy in the bond strengths.

We study the Hamiltonian

$$
H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j, \tag{1}
$$

where $\langle i, j \rangle$ and $\langle \langle i, j \rangle \rangle$ run over nearest- and next-nearestneighbor pairs of sites. We set $J_1 = 1$ and consider only J_2 > 0. We study open-ended cylinders, with the axis along the *x* direction. If one of the three bond directions lies along the *x* (*y*) direction, we call it an XC (YC) cylinder. An XC*n* cylinder has *n* sites along the zigzag *y* direction, while a YC*n* cylinder has a circumference of *n* vertical bonds.

The triangular lattice, with six J_1 and six J_2 bonds, has more connecting bonds than other lattices recently studied with DMRG. This both increases the number of Hamiltonian terms and increases the entanglement, which is to first order governed by the area law. For example, a vertical line through the YC*n* cylinder cuts 2*n* near-neighbor bonds; thus, one would expect a greater entanglement entropy in this system than in a square, honeycomb, or kagome lattice with the same width. This means we have to keep more states *m* for the same accuracy, while the greater number of Hamiltonian terms increases the computational and memory cost for a given *m*. The widest cylinders that we can calculate accurately are YC9 and XC10, keeping up to $M = 6400$ states, which produces a truncation error that is always less than 10^{-5} .

First, we present one calculation which shows all three phases along a single cylinder. In Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) we vary J_2 spatially from 0 (left edge) to 0.24 (right edge) on a YC6 cylinder, where we label the possible phase transition points in this model. At *J*₂ \leq 0.06, we see the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ magnetically ordered state, with a diminishing order parameter as one nears the transition. For large J_2 values, we see a two sublattice collinear ordered phase consistently across various cylinders, which resembles the Néel order on a tilted square lattice, consistent with spin wave theory [\[11\]](#page-3-0).

For $0.06 \lesssim J_2 \lesssim 0.16$ on this YC6 cylinder, there is a region with very small magnetic moments, and with a nearly uniform nearest-neighbor bond strength pattern. Below we will study in detail the point $J_2 = 0.1$, near the center of the intermediate phase. We find that all of our results are consistent with this phase being a gapped SL.

We now focus on $J_2 = 0.1$, in the center of the nonmagnetic phase. To understand the results it is essential to distinguish the different possible topological sectors for a finite cylinder with open ends. (We consider an even number of sites.) Infinitely long cylinders are either even or odd, based on the number of sites in a one-dimensional (1D) unit cell. For example, a YC*n* cylinder is even if *n* is even. Call this type of parity C. In addition, another parity arises based on a near-neighbor

FIG. 1. (Color online) For a YC6 cylinder, we vary J_2 with position, from $J_2 = 0$ on the left edge to $J_2 = 0.24$ on the right edge. We also apply a pinning magnetic field along both the *x* and *z* directions on the left edge to favor the classical 120◦ order. Two approximate phase transition lines are shown. The size of the arrow represents local measurement of $\langle S \rangle = \sqrt{\langle S_x \rangle^2 + \langle S_z \rangle^2}$ with the direction of the angle given by $\tan^{-1}[\langle S_z \rangle / \langle S_x \rangle]$, and the widths of lines proportional to $|\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle + 0.18|$. The solid lines along the bonds mean the bond measurement is negative, i.e., a stronger than average bond, while dashed lines indicate bonds that are weaker than average.

dimer picture. Given any dimer covering, if we cut the cylinder with a vertical line not intersecting any sites, the number of dimers cut gives another parity. Call this parity D; we also refer to it as the even or odd (topological) sector. For a finite cylinder, assuming perfect dimer coverings, the D parity is determined by how the left and right ends are terminated, and moving a site from the left end to the right (or vice versa) switches the topological sector. In a C-odd cylinder, the two D-parity sectors are related by a translation of one 1D unit cell, so the bulk properties are identical. In a C-even cylinder, the two D-parity sectors are significantly different, but the bulk properties become identical as the cylinder width increases in a *Z*² SL. For finite width, a ground state of the higher-energy sector may be able to fall into the lower-energy sector, through the creation of a spinon at each end of the system. The C parity is a rigorous concept associated with the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem. It is not obvious that the D parity is a useful concept for every spin liquid, but for both the kagome and the triangular SL found here, the classification appears to work perfectly.

In Fig. 2 we show results for the ground states for both sectors for the (C-even) YC6 cylinder. Here we see that the lower-energy sector has a very uniform bond strength pattern (bottom panel), whereas the higher-energy sector is much less uniform. This behavior is seen in all the C-even cylinders, in both this triangular system and in the kagome Heisenberg system, thought to be a Z_2 spin liquid [\[17\]](#page-3-0).

For a Z_2 spin liquid, these two sectors in a C-even cylinder should become degenerate in the two-dimensional (2D) limit, with the energy separation depending exponentially on the width of the cylinder. Here, for YC6, extrapolating in the truncation error and in the cylinder length, we find an energy per site for the lower-energy odd sector of $E_0 = -0.52096(1)$. For a long enough cylinder, the even sector produces end spinons and falls into the odd sector. The end spinons cost a finite energy, of order of the triplet spin gap, but being in the wrong sector in the bulk costs an energy proportional to the length of the system. Thus, short system even sector ground states are stable. Longer systems, during the course of a DMRG simulation, may stay in the even sector ground state for a number of sweeps, but then as we increase the number of states kept *m*, they may suddenly fall into the lower-energy sector by producing two end spinons. (We can also prepare the initial DMRG state to make it start off in the two spinon sector, in which case there is no sudden fall.) For example, for a YC6 cylinder with length $L_x = 30$, we have observed a sudden drop near *m* ∼ 3000, but this depends on a variety of details of the DMRG simulations. Thus, estimating the higher-energy ground state energy cannot be done as accurately as the low-energy sector. (The DMRG calculations also converge faster and with smaller truncation errors for the lower-energy sector.) Using shorter cylinders, for YC6 we find an even sector energy of $E_1 = -0.5152(2)$, higher than the odd sector by about 0.0058(2) per site, or about 1*.*1%. The magnetic correlations, the bond-bond correlations, and the chiral correlations for the YC6 low-energy sector are all very short ranged, with correlation lengths roughly one to two lattice spacings [\[21\]](#page-3-0).

Similar behavior is seen for the C-even YC4 and YC8 cylinders. However, whereas for YC6 the bond strengths in the three bond directions were almost identical, for YC4 they are highly anisotropic. For YC4, the ground state is in the even sector, while the odd sector energy is higher by about 3%. In

FIG. 2. (a) The higher-energy even and (b) the lower-energy odd sector ground states for a YC6 cylinder with $J_2 = 0.1$, where we subtract −0*.*18 from all the bonds. The odd and even sector systems differ primarily by the removal of a single site at each edge; in addition, we needed to make the higher-energy system shorter to avoid falling into the low-energy sector through the creation of two end spinons. In the plot the bond thickness is restricted to a maximum; otherwise, many edge bonds would be much thicker. (c) Central portion of the ground state on the XC6 cylinder. The solid (dashed) bonds have strength $\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle = -0.287/ -0.157$. (d) A similar central region for a YC5 cylinder. The solid (dashed) bonds have strength $\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle = -0.158/-0.126$.

SPIN LIQUID PHASE OF THE $S = \frac{1}{2} J_1 - J_2 ...$

the even sector, the diagonal bond strength (−0*.*045) is almost ten times weaker than the vertical bond strength (−0*.*442). In the odd sector, the opposite happens: The diagonal bond (−0*.*23) is three times larger than vertical bonds (−0*.*08). It appears that this spin liquid state is highly susceptible to bond anisotropy, and the small circumference of the YC4 cylinder elicits very large anisotropic responses.

On the YC8 cylinder, the ground state is in the odd sector with an energy 0*.*6% lower than the even sector. The odd sector has uniform bond strengths in the cylinder center, but as YC4 it has a significant bond anisotropy, with a vertical bond strength of −0*.*225 and a horizontal bond strength of −0*.*159. (This strong tendency towards anisotropy on such a large lattice is completely absent in the kagome system.) The higher-energy even sector has nonuniform bond strengths, appearing as if there are strings connecting two ends of the cylinder [\[21\]](#page-3-0).

Comparing YC4, YC6, and YC8, we see that the energy difference between the two sectors falls steadily with increasing width. For a gapped Z_2 SL, the energy splitting should decay exponentially with increasing the cylinder width. Our results are consistent with this exponential decay, with a decay length of about 1.7 lattice spacings (not shown). The YC cylinders can have significant bond anisotropy, although for YC6 it is very small. Comparing YC4 and YC8, the strength of the anisotropy falls rapidly with width, while for YC6 it is anomalously small.

On the C-even XC cylinders, such as XC4 and XC8, anisotropy is also observed. (XC6 is an odd cylinder, so we will discuss that below.) With the XC cylinder geometry, finite size effects make the horizontal bonds weaker than the two diagonal bonds. The anisotropy is less pronounced on XC8 than on XC4.

We have tried to measure the topological entanglement entropy to more directly measure the topological order for the SL state. However, because of the strong anisotropy, the entanglement entropy for various cylinders cannot be linearly extrapolated versus the cylinder width for our current range of widths.

For C-odd cylinders, the dimer picture predicts two degenerate ground states which differ only by a horizontal translation, thus obeying the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem. These two states are always visible in our results through bond strength distortions, as they are for the kagome SL. These distortions decrease in intensity with cylinder width, as expected. Figures $2(c)$ and $2(d)$ show the dimerization patterns on the XC6 and YC5 cylinders. Similar dimerized patterns are also observed on all other C-odd XC and YC cylinders.

To quantify the bond distortion, we define the dimerization order parameter *D* as the difference between the strong and weak bonds along the two diagonal directions, for both YC and XC cylinders. We find that, for all C-odd cylinders, *D* is almost constant in the cylinder center, indicating long range dimerization order, and decreases for wider cylinders. In contrast, on C-even cylinders, *D* decays exponentially away from the left and right edges [\[21\]](#page-3-0). The behavior is quite similar to that of the kagome SL and provides additional evidence in support that the state is a spin liquid.

We display results for triplet spin gap in Fig. 3 for $J_2 = 0.1$. The gaps are typically two to three times as large as that of the kagome system ($\Delta_T \sim 0.14$ [\[18\]](#page-3-0)). The gaps show a relatively

² *J*¹ − *J*² . . . PHYSICAL REVIEW B **92**, 041105(R) (2015)

FIG. 3. (Color online) The spin triplet gap for various long cylinder geometries at $J_2 = 0.1$ with $L_x = 20$. The inset shows the linear extrapolation of spin triplet gap for YC6 cylinders vs $1/L_x^2$. The triplet gap is roughly $\Delta_T = 0.357$ for an infinitely long YC6 cylinder.

minor finite size behavior, compared to their magnitude. Each of these gaps in the main part of the figure is for $L_x = 20$; one should extrapolate these to $L_x \rightarrow \infty$, and the inset shows this extrapolation for YC6. The gap is proportional to $1/L_x^2$, as expected for a simple massive particle (e.g., a particle in a 1D box). The correction to the $L_x = 20$ results is small and we expect that the main figure would only be slightly changed if it used extrapolated results. Note that for wider cylinders, we need to constrain the spin excitation to the cylinder center, since otherwise low-energy edge excitations might hide the bulk gap (again, as one must do for the kagome). A conservative estimate for the bulk 2D triplet gap would be $0.3(1)$ for $J_2 = 0.1$, and it is hard to imagine it being zero.

One can look at the bond and particularly the spin patterns for the lowest-energy triplet excitations. On even cylinders, the spin excitation resembles a single particle, which we might interpret as two tightly bound spinons. However, on odd cylinders, the spin excitation typically looks more as two separate spinons, and seems more complicated than on even cylinders, with more L_x dependence of the gap $[21]$. We have only calculated the spin singlet gap for the YC6 and YC8 cylinders. In the kagome system, the singlet gap is small, about 0.05. Here, it is much larger: $\Delta_s = 0.30$ for YC6 and $\Delta_s = 0.26$ for YC8. Overall, our results strongly support a fully gapped SL state, instead of the gapless SL state in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-3-0).

The finite gaps, short correlation lengths, and topological sector behaviors are all qualitatively similar to the kagome system and strongly indicate a gapped spin liquid. However, the directional anisotropy of the bonds apparent in most cylinders is unlike the kagome, and raises the question of whether it persists in the 2D limit—which would make it a "nematic spin liquid" $[22]$. To try to understand the finite size effects associated with bond anisotropy, we have studied systems where we strengthen all the near-neighbor exchange couplings *J* along one particular direction and measure the response in the spin-spin correlation pattern. For the normally isotropic YC6 cylinder, increasing the *J* 's along one diagonal direction by 5% increases the corresponding bonds by roughly 30% and decreases the other diagonal bonds by roughly 30%—a rather large response. For the XC8 cylinder, which

is normally quite anisotropic, if we strengthen the *J* 's on the weaker (horizontal) bonds by about 3%, the weaker bonds increase by about 50% and the system becomes approximately isotropic. These results indicate a large susceptibility associated with a tendency towards nematicity. This tendency is a key property of this system, independent of whether the system actually breaks rotational symmetry in the thermodynamic limit. Reference [22] theoretically studied the phase transition between a Z_2 SL state and different valence bond solid (VBS) orders on a triangular lattice. They found that the transition from a columnar or resonating plaquette VBS order can be either first order or there could be two transitions with an intermediate phase. The intermediate phase would host a nematic Z_2 spin liquid that breaks $2\pi/3$ lattice rotation symmetry. Is the triangular system in such a nematic spin liquid state? The anisotropy generally decreases with system width in the system sizes we can study, but the behavior is irregular, and the effects still large on the largest widths. Answering this question will require future studies on larger systems.

In summary, we conclude that there is a gapped spin liquid state in the triangular lattice Heisenberg model with

- [1] P. Anderson, [Mater. Res. Bull.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(73)90167-0) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(73)90167-0)**, [153](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(73)90167-0) [\(1973\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(73)90167-0).
- [2] L. Balents, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08917) **[464](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08917)**, [199](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08917) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08917).
- [3] D. A. Huse and V. Elser, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2531) **[60](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2531)**, [2531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2531) [\(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2531).
- [4] P. Sindzingre, P. Lecheminant, and C. Lhuillier, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.3108) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.3108)**, [3108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.3108) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.3108).
- [5] T. Jolicoeur and J. C. LeGuillou, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.2727) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.2727)**, [2727](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.2727) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.2727); S. J. Miyake, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.983) **[61](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.983)**, [983](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.983) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.983); A. V. Chubukov, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/42/019) **[6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/42/019)**, [8891](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/42/019) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/42/019); A. L. Chernyshev and M. E. Zhitomirsky, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144416) **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144416)**, [144416](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144416) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.144416).
- [6] B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2590) **[69](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2590)**, [2590](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2590) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2590); B. Bernu, P. Lecheminant, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.10048) **[50](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.10048)**, [10048](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.10048) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.10048).
- [7] L. Capriotti, A. E. Trumper, and S. Sorella, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3899) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3899)**, [3899](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3899) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.3899).
- [8] W. Zheng, J. O. Fjaerestad, R. R. P. Singh, R. H. McKenzie, and R. Coldea, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.224420) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.224420)**, [224420](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.224420) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.224420).
- [9] S. R. White and A. L. Chernyshev, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.127004) **[99](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.127004)**, [127004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.127004) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.127004).
- [10] [T. Jolicoeur, E. Dagotto, E. Gagliano, and S. Bacci,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.4800) *Phys. Rev.* B **[42](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.4800)**, [4800](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.4800) [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.4800).
- [11] A. V. Chubukov and T. Jolicoeur, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.11137) **[46](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.11137)**, [11137](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.11137) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.11137).
- [12] L. O. Manuel and H. A. Ceccatto, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.9489) **[60](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.9489)**, [9489](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.9489) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.9489).
- [13] [R. V. Mishmash, J. R. Garrison, S. Bieri, and C. Xu,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.157203) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.157203)**, [157203](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.157203) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.157203).
- [14] R. Kaneko, S. Morita, and M. Imada, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.093707) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.093707)**, [093707](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.093707) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.093707).
- [15] P. H. Y. Li, R. F. Bishop, and C. E. Campbell, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.014426) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.014426)**, [014426](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.014426) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.014426).

ZHENYUE ZHU AND STEVEN R. WHITE PHYSICAL REVIEW B **92**, 041105(R) (2015)

next-nearest-neighbor exchange $J_2 = 0.1$. This phase is bordered by a three sublattice 120° Néel ordered state at J_2 < 0*.*05 ∼ 0*.*07 and a two sublattice magnetic collinear ordered state at $J_2 \geq 0.17$ [23]. This phase has fairly large gaps, very short correlation lengths, and topological behavior very similar to that seen in the kagome Heisenberg spin liquid, although we have not been able to measure whether there is a topological entanglement entropy. Unlike the kagome, the system has a strong tendency towards nematicity, and whether rotational symmetry is broken in two dimensions, making it a nematic spin liquid, remains to be determined.

Recently, we became aware of two papers working on the same model with DMRG [24,25], where the spin liquid state is also found $[25]$. The classification of Z_2 spin liquid states is subsequently proposed in Refs. [26,27].

We would like to thank David Huse, Subir Sachdev, Sasha Chernyshev, Yuan-Ming Lu, Yuan Wan, Yi Zhang, Kevin Slagle, and Miles Stoudenmire for many helpful discussions. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR-1161348 and by the Simons Foundation through the many electron collaboration.

- [16] S. R. White, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863)* **[69](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863)**, [2863](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863); *Phys. Rev. B* [48](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345), [10345](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345) [\(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125018)[1993](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345)[\); E. M. Stoudenmire and S. R. White,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125018) Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **[3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125018)**, [111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125018) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-020911-125018).
- [17] S. Yan, D. A. Huse, and S. R. White, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080) **[332](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080)**, [1173](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080).
- [18] [S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, and U. Schollwock,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201)**, [067201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.067201).
- [19] S. Sachdev, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.12377) **[45](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.12377)**, [12377](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.12377) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.12377).
- [20] R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1881) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1881)**, [1881](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1881) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1881).
- [21] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041105) 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041105 for discussions about the spin liquid phase at $J_2 = 0.1$, including various correlation lengths for the YC6 cylinder (Sec. A), the even and odd topological sectors on the YC4 and YC8 cylinders (Sec. B), the dimerization effect on odd cylinders (Sec. C), spin triplet excitation gaps (Sec. D), and a summary of results for various cylinders (Sec. E).
- [22] K. Slagle and C. Xu, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.104418) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.104418)**, [104418](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.104418) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.104418).
- [23] We use the entanglement spectrum degeneracy to determine the first critical point on the YC6 and XC8 cylinders in Sec. F of the Supplemental Material. Comparing the energy between the SL and collinear state on the YC6 and YC8 cylinders to determine the second phase transition point is also presented in Sec. G of the Supplemental Material.
- [24] [S. N. Saadatmand, B. J. Powell, and I. P. McCulloch,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245119) *Phys. Rev.* B **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245119)**, [245119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245119) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.245119).
- [25] W.-J. Hu, S.-S. Gong, W. Zhu, and D. N. Sheng, [arXiv:1504.00654.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1504.00654)
- [26] W. Zheng, J.-W. Mei, and Y. Qi, [arXiv:1505.05351.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1505.05351)
- [27] Y.-M. Lu, [arXiv:1505.06495.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1505.06495)