Magnetic, electrical, and thermodynamic properties of NpIr: Ambient and high-pressure measurements, and electronic structure calculations

H. C. Walker,^{1,2} K. A. McEwen,³ J.-C. Griveau,⁴ R. Eloirdi,⁴ P. Amador,⁴ P. Maldonado,⁵ P. M. Oppeneer,⁵ and E. Colineau⁴

¹Deutsches-Elektronen Synchrotron DESY, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

²ISIS Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

³London Centre for Nanotechnology, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,

17-19 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AH, United Kingdom

⁴European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Institute for Transuranium Elements (ITU), Postfach 2340, 76125 Karlsruhe, Germany

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, P. O. Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden

(Received 25 March 2015; revised manuscript received 4 May 2015; published 27 May 2015)

We present bulk property measurements of NpIr, a newly synthesized member of the Np-Ir binary phase diagram, which is isostructural to the noncentrosymmetric pressure-induced ferromagnetic superconductor UIr. Magnetic susceptibility, electronic transport properties at ambient and high pressure, and heat capacity measurements have been performed for temperatures T = 0.55-300 K in a range of magnetic fields up to 14 T and under pressure up to 17.3 GPa. These reveal that NpIr is a moderately heavy fermion Kondo system with strong antiferromagnetic interactions, but there is no evidence of any phase transition down to 0.55 K or at the highest pressure achieved. Experimental results are compared with *ab initio* calculations of the electronic band structure and lattice heat capacity. An extremely low lattice thermal conductivity is predicted for NpIr at temperatures above 300 K.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.195146

PACS number(s): 61.05.cp, 72.15.-v, 75.20.Hr, 75.40.Cx

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic and electrical properties of the majority of rare earth intermetallics are well explained using the standard localized moment model of rare earth magnetism, as expounded by Jensen and Mackintosh [1], owing to the limited radial extent of the 4f wave functions. Whereas, upon descending down the periodic table into the 5 f actinide series, the *f*-electron wave function becomes more extended. Therefore, the 5f electrons have a character intermediate between that of the localized 4f electrons and the itinerant 3delectrons of the transition metals, which taken in conjunction with the increased difficulties in handling such materials results in a more limited understanding of magnetism in the actinides. However, this also contributes to the fact that actinide systems display a unique complexity, exhibiting various interesting properties such as heavy fermion and non-Fermi liquid behaviors [2], multipolar order [3-7], and unconventional superconductivity [8–14].

UIr has recently been identified as a ferromagnetic quantum critical point pressure-induced superconductor [15] and belongs to two different, nonconventional subclasses of superconductor: noncentrosymmetric superconductors such as CePt₃Si [16], and ferromagnetic superconductors such as UGe₂ [8]. It is thought that the absence of inversion symmetry prohibits spin-triplet (p-wave) pairing, whilst ferromagnetism prohibits spin-singlet (s-wave) pairing. Therefore UIr is a particularly interesting system, significant for the study of the interplay between magnetism and superconductivity in strongly correlated electron systems. It is an itinerant ferromagnet ($T_C = 46$ K at ambient pressure), with an ordered moment of only 0.6 μ_B/U atom [17]. However, at high temperatures its behavior is better described in a localized picture, with an effective moment of 3.57 μ_B (consistent with either $5f^2$ or $5f^3$) obtained from a Curie-Weiss fit to single crystal high temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements (T = 300-800 K) [18].

Studies of isostructural transuranium compounds offer the opportunity to investigate how physical properties evolve as a function of 5 f shell filling, with the possibility in the case of NpIr of investigating the significance of the itinerant ferromagnetism to the nature of the superconductivity. Here we report on the synthesis and characterization of NpIr, an isostructural analog of UIr, via magnetic susceptibility, resistivity, and heat capacity measurements. The experiments reveal no magnetic ordering or superconductivity down to 0.55 K, in contrast to the development of ferromagnetic order in UIr at $T_C = 46$ K, and no evidence of pressure-induced superconductivity. Ab initio calculations reveal the significance of the Hubbard +U term for the description of the Np 5f electrons. The calculated phonon dispersions, lattice heat capacity, and lattice thermal conductivity are presented. The latter is found to be exceptionally low in the high temperature regime.

II. METHODS

Polycrystalline samples of NpIr were synthesized at the Institute for Transuranium Elements (ITU) by arc melting stoichiometric amounts of Np (99.9%) and Ir (99.98%) metals under a high purity argon atmosphere (Ar: 6N) in a water cooled copper hearth using a zirconium getter. The sample was remelted five times to obtain a good homogeneity and the weight loss was 0.5%. The as-cast sample was embedded in a tantalum foil and encapsulated in a quartz tube under high vacuum, and then annealed at 873 K for three weeks. X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the samples was performed on a D8 Advance diffractometer with a Bragg-Brentano configuration, equipped with a Cu x-ray tube (40 kV, 40 mA), a Ge monochromator (111), and a Lynx Eye linear position

TABLE I. Refined atomic parameters for NpIr (space group $P2_1$) at room temperature, with $R_{wp} = 10.52$ and GoF = 2.14.

Atom	Wyckoff	x	у	Z	Occ
Np1	2a	0.13759	0.00000	0.12635	1
Np2	2a	0.62272	-0.00257	0.63025	1
Np3	2a	0.87689	0.71522	0.38225	1
Np4	2a	0.39253	0.71821	0.87415	1
Ir1	2a	0.10633	0.26729	0.10832	1
Ir2	2a	0.62036	0.25888	0.62317	1
Ir3	2a	-0.12913	0.44782	0.37114	1
Ir4	2a	0.35812	0.45145	0.85769	1

sensitive detector. The powder pattern was recorded at room temperature in step scan mode over a 2θ range of $10-120^{\circ}$, with a step size of 0.013° and a count time of 4 s per step. NpIr was indeed found to be isostructural to UIr, the x-ray diffraction pattern being fitted with a monoclinic structure described by the $P2_1$ space group, with lattice parameters a = 5.5832(6) Å, b = 10.7368(9) Å, c = 5.5848(6) Å, and $\beta = 95.708(5)^{\circ}$ (see Table I for refined atomic parameters). Figure 1 shows the quality of the refinement. At the lowest 2θ angles there is a broad peak arising from the necessary encapsulation of the sample, but the other features of the data are reproduced by the refined structure.

Magnetic susceptibility and isothermal magnetization measurements were performed using a Quantum Design MPMS-7 Squid magnetometer on a 89.9 mg NpIr sample over a temperature range of 2–300 K, in magnetic fields up to 7 T.

The ambient pressure electrical resistivity, magnetoresistivity, and Hall effect have been measured in the temperature range 1.8–300 K, and in magnetic fields up to 14 T, using a Quantum Design PPMS-14T setup, by means of a four DC probe technique voltage measurement. An NpIr sample of size $\sim 1.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.2$ mm³ was polished on two parallel faces to determine better the form factor. Electrical contacts



FIG. 1. (Color online) Rietveld refinement (solid red line) of room temperature x-ray powder diffraction data for NpIr (\circ) annealed at 873 K. The difference between the calculated and experimental points is shown by the black line which has been offset by -2000 cts/4s for clarity. The vertical tick marks correspond to the Bragg peak positions for the NpIr P2₁ structure shown in the inset.

between the sample surfaces and the 50 μ m silver wires were ensured by using silver epoxy (Dupont 4929). Finally, each mounted sample was then encapsulated with Stycast epoxy (1266). For electrical resistivity measurements, the current *I* was applied in the polished plane. For the magnetoresistivity, *I* was parallel to the voltage direction and parallel to the applied magnetic field *B*. In the Hall configuration, the voltage V_H was measured perpendicular to the current *I* and the applied magnetic field *B*. The Hall resistance (R_H) has been determined by measuring V_H under fields alternating between +14 and -14 T. The magnetic field response $V_H(B)$ at fixed temperatures has been measured to confirm results obtained when ramping in temperature. For all measurements I = 5 mA was used.

The high-pressure resistance measurements were performed by a four-probe DC method in a Bridgman-type clamped pressure cell, with a solid pressure-transmitting medium (steatite). Electrical contacts were made with 25 μ m diameter platinum wires lightly pressed onto the sample. Before each measurement the cell was loaded and clamped at room temperature. The exact pressure inside the pressure cell was determined later by using the pressure dependence of the superconducting transition temperature of lead as a manometer [19]. Measurements were performed on a ~50 μ g sample of size ~20 × 50 × 500 μ m³ in the temperature range 1.8–300 K up to 17.3 GPa.

Heat capacity measurements on a 5.6 mg sample of NpIr were performed over the temperature range T = 2-250 K, and on a 0.9 mg sample down to 0.55 K, via the standard relaxation calorimetry method using a Quantum Design PPMS-9 with the ³He refrigeration insert, after the samples had been coated in Stycast. The data have been corrected for the addenda and the stycast. Self-heating effects in neptunium make it difficult to reach lower temperatures. No isostructural phonon blank exists, so instead, in order to best estimate the phonon contribution, we have followed two strategies. First, we have synthesized orthorhombic ThIr (space group *Cmcm*) and cubic LuIr $(Pm\bar{3}m)$, verified their structures and phase purities using x-ray powder diffraction, and measured their heat capacities using the same relaxation method. Second, we have calculated ab initio the phonon spectrum of NpIr and the lattice contribution to the heat capacity.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetic susceptibility data for NpIr, shown in Fig. 2(a), reveal no indication of any magnetic transition above T = 2 K. There is no difference in the results for zero-field cooling and in-field cooling. Between 50 and 300 K the inverse susceptibility may be modeled by a Curie-Weiss law, see the inset to Fig. 2(a), to obtain a Curie-Weiss temperature of -104 ± 1 K, and an effective paramagnetic moment of $3.01 \pm 0.02 \mu_B/\text{Np}$. Starting with the Curie-Weiss temperature, such a large negative value is indicative of strong antiferromagnetic interactions. Intriguingly, this is of a similar magnitude to the -300 K obtained from high temperature measurements on UIr [18], but in that case, in spite of the antiferromagnetic interactions, it undergoes a ferromagnetic phase transition,



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Magnetic susceptibility of NpIr measured with $\mu_0 H = 1$ T. The inset shows a Curie-Weiss fit to the inverse susceptibility. (b) Isothermal magnetization of NpIr measured at T = 2,10, and 40 K.

highlighting that these compounds sit at the interface between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic order. Next we consider the value for the effective paramagnetic moment, which is inconsistent with either a $5f^3$ or a $5f^4$ configuration in both the Russell-Saunders (3.62 μ_B/Np and 2.68 μ_B/Np) and the intermediate coupling schemes (3.68 μ_B /Np and 2.76 μ_B/Np [20]), and suggests, therefore, that possibly the 5 f electrons are not fully localized. If alternatively the fit to the inverse susceptibility is only made above T = 250 K, then a Curie-Weiss temperature of -155 ± 5 K and an effective paramagnetic moment of $3.20 \pm 0.02 \ \mu_B/\text{Np}$ are obtained, still inconsistent with a localized moment picture. Such results are not wholly dissimilar to those for UIr, for which a localized behavior was only observed at high temperatures (T > 300 K)[18]. Regrettably, it was not possible to measure the magnetic susceptibility above room temperature using our experimental setup. However, as might be expected given the standard trend towards increasingly localized electrons on spanning the actinide series, NpIr is perhaps more localized at low temperatures than UIr, as demonstrated by the difference in the effective moments, obtained by making a Curie-Weiss fit to the inverse susceptibility over the temperature range T = 50-100 K, of 1.67 μ_B/U [17] and 2.96 $\pm 0.01 \ \mu_B/Np$ for polycrystalline UIr and NpIr, respectively.

Figure 2(b) presents the isothermal magnetization data for T = 2,10, and 40 K. These reveal no saturation for magnetic fields up to 7 T, and no evidence of magnetic hysteresis.

B. Resistivity

The electrical resistivity of an annealed polycrystalline sample of NpIr is shown in Fig. 3(a). Interestingly, the room temperature absolute resistivity of NpIr is $\rho = 122 \ \mu\Omega$ cm, which is comparable to the 80 $\mu\Omega$ cm reported for the best quality UIr crystals [21]. With decreasing temperature, we observe the presence of a very broad maximum centered at $T_{\rm max} \sim 150$ K, reminiscent of that observed in several neptunium-based systems such as NpCoGa₅ [22] and NpPd₃ [23], which is indicative of a Kondo-type behavior. Below 50 K coherence sets in and the resistivity collapses to only 1.95 $\mu\Omega$ cm at T = 1.8 K. Below 6 K [see inset to Fig. 3(a)], the strong curvature can be modeled by a Fermi liquid behavior: $\rho = \rho_0 + A_{FL}T^2$, with $\rho_0 = 1.42 \pm 0.02 \ \mu\Omega$ cm and $A_{\rm FL} = 0.209 \pm 0.001 \ \mu\Omega \ {\rm cm} \ {\rm K}^{-1}$. This gives a residual resistivity ratio $\rho_{RT}/\rho_0 \sim 90$, which approaches the value of 230 reported for the highest quality single crystal UIr [21]. Over the temperature range T = 5-20 K, the data can be modeled by a non-Fermi liquid law: $\rho = \rho_0 + A_{5/3}T^{5/3}$ with $\rho_0 =$ $0.92 \pm 0.07 \ \mu\Omega$ cm and $A_{5/3} = 0.412 \pm 0.001 \ \mu\Omega$ cm K⁻¹. Such a variation in the temperature dependence with temperature interval may indicate different spin fluctuation regimes within NpIr.

Figure 3(b) shows the longitudinal magnetoresistivity of NpIr at 14 T over the temperature range T = 1.8 - 50 K, which is similar in shape to that of UAl₂ below 20 K [24]. For all temperatures up to 50 K the magnetoresistive contribution is positive and, at T = 1.8 K, large relative to the resistivity (~140%). There is no clear evidence of any anomaly down to this temperature that might be associated with a magnetic phase transition. The field dependence of the isothermal magnetoresistivity for a range of different temperatures is shown in the inset to Fig. 3(b). For all temperatures measured (T = 1.8,3,5, and 50 K), the magnetoresistivity varied quadratically as a function of the magnetic field.

Figure 3(c) presents the Hall coefficient (R_H) for T = 1.8-75 K for NpIr. R_H is slightly enhanced approaching 10 × 10⁻¹⁰ m³ C⁻¹ at 50 K, which is nevertheless rather similar to values for metallic systems, e.g., Cu: 0.5×10^{-10} m³ C⁻¹. Upon decreasing the temperature, R_H decreases almost linearly, changing sign at 25 K, before reaching a minimum at 7 K, below which R_H starts to increase again. As R_H is the result of the combination of the electron and hole contributions with different carrier velocities and relaxation times, our data suggest that the nature and the mobility of the carriers are changing drastically with temperature. The Hall coefficient is composed of two terms: $R_H = R_0 + R_s$, where R_0 is the ordinary Hall coefficient, and R_s is the extraordinary or anomalous Hall coefficient. Following Ref. [25] we replace R_s by a term dependent on the magnetic susceptibility to give

$$R_H(T) = R_0 + R_1 \chi^*(T), \tag{1}$$

where the first term R_0 describes the Hall effect due to the Lorentz motion of the carriers and/or residual skew scattering by defects and impurities, while the second term comes from skew scattering by Kondo impurities. In this formula χ^* is the reduced susceptibility, approximated by $\chi(T)/C$, where *C* is the Curie-Weiss constant obtained from the fits to the inverse susceptibility above. Assuming R_0 and



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Electrical resistivity of NpIr, with inset showing fits to a Fermi liquid (solid red line) and non-Fermi liquid (dashed green line) model at low temperatures. (b) Isofield magnetoresistance of NpIr at 14 T, with inset showing the field dependence of the isothermal magnetoresistance for T = 1.8 K (o), 3 K (\Box), 5 K (Δ), and 50 K (\star). (c) Hall effect measurements of NpIr, with inset showing fit to $R_H(T) = R_0 + R_1 \chi^*(T)$.

 R_1 are independent of the temperature, plotting $R_H(T)$ as a function of $\chi^*(T)$, as shown in the inset to Fig. 3(c), gives $R_0 = +7.19 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^3 \text{ C}^{-1}$, indicating that the ordinary Hall effect is dominated by the hole contribution. A simple one-band model then provides an estimation of $8.69 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the concentration of free holes, giving an upper limit for the actual carrier concentration in NpIr in the normal state. This may then be converted into a rough estimate of 0.05 for the number of free holes per formula unit at high temperature.

The global shape of the electrical resistivity of NpIr does not change drastically under pressure up to 12 GPa (Fig. 4). However, with increasing pressures up to ~12 GPa, the maximum around 150 K, seen in Fig. 3(a), becomes less apparent, but the onset of coherence below this temperature remains. Above ~12 GPa, the resistivity evolves more smoothly with temperature with a shift of the scattering to higher temperature. At low temperature the resistance can be fitted according to $\rho = \rho_0 + aT^n$, where the exponent stays essentially constant ~1.33 ± 0.15 until above 13 GPa, where it fluctuates, as shown



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Electrical resistance of NpIr measured as a function of temperature for a selection of applied pressures. (b) Comparison of normalized residual resistance data for NpIr for pressures between 0.16 GPa and 17.65 GPa. (c) Temperature exponent extracted from low temperature fits to the resistance of $R = AT^n + R_0$.

in Fig. 4(c). No hint of a superconducting transition is detected down to 1.8 K for any pressure below 17 GPa.

One possible explanation for the observed absence of ferromagnetism in NpIr above 1.8 K may be inferred from a comparison of the forms of the resistance curves of UIr and NpIr. At ambient pressure, the resistivity of NpIr [Fig. 3(a)] resembles the resistivity of UIr under pressures greater than 2 GPa [21], which appear to be unfavorable conditions for ferromagnetism in UIr. The requirements for the appearance of superconductivity in UIr are extremely drastic, and strongly dependent on the pressure transmitting medium [21], but our measurements on NpIr were performed under similar hydrostatic conditions. The superconducting transition temperature of UIr is lower than our accessible temperature range, so it is possible that NpIr may still superconduct at temperatures below 1.8 K, but the lack of a ferromagnetic state, which seems to be a prerequisite for nonconventional superconductivity in UIr, potentially makes this less likely.

C. Heat capacity

As shown in Fig. 5, the heat capacity of NpIr varies smoothly between 2 K and 270 K, with no anomalies which might be associated with any phase transition. When a straight line fit is made to C_P/T versus T^2 for $T \leq 7$ K, as in the inset to Fig. 5, we obtain $\gamma = 175 \pm 1 \text{ mJK}^{-2} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ for the electronic heat capacity and a Debye temperature $\Theta_D = 145.7 \pm 0.5$ K. The electronic heat capacity of NpIr is considerably greater than that for UIr ($\gamma = 49 \text{ mJK}^{-2} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, Ref. [26]), and is indicative of strong electronic correlations. Combining the value of γ with the coefficient $A_{\rm FL}$ for the quadratic term in the low temperature resistivity obtained in Sec. III B, gives a Kadowaki-Woods ratio [27] $A_{\rm FL}/\gamma^2 =$ $0.68 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-5} \ \mu\Omega \ \mathrm{cmK^2 \ mol^2 \ mJ^{-2}}$, implying that NpIr is a moderately heavy fermion material, which would be consistent with hybridization causing an effective paramagnetic moment below the free ion value. Such a value for the Kadowaki-Woods ratio is comparable with that for $\text{UAl}_2 (0.89 \times 10^{-5} \ \mu\Omega \text{ cmK}^2 \text{ mol}^2 \text{ mJ}^{-2})$ [27], in which the low temperature specific heat is well expressed in terms of spin fluctuations that prevent any magnetic order above 1 K. However, the paramagnon upturn present in UAl₂ data is absent in that for NpIr. USn₃ also displays a similar



FIG. 5. (Color online) Heat capacity of NpIr, ThIr, and LuIr, with inset showing a fit to $C_P/T = \gamma + \beta T^2$ at low temperatures. Also shown as a dashed line is the Dulong-Petit limit of 6R for the phonon heat capacity, and the *ab initio* calculated lattice specific heat as a solid black line.

value of $A_{\rm FL}/\gamma^2 = 0.78 \times 10^{-5} \ \mu\Omega \,{\rm cmK^2 \,mol^2 \,mJ^{-2}}$ [27], with a very similar specific heat value, $\gamma = 172 \,{\rm mJK^{-2} \,mol^{-1}}$ (Ref. [28]) and despite the lack of a paramagnon upturn in the low temperature heat capacity, is also classified as a spin fluctuator system.

Figure 5 also compares the heat capacity of NpIr with that of LuIr and ThIr, in an attempt to estimate the lattice contribution. Regrettably, neither ThIr nor LuIr, crystallizing in the *Cmcm* and *Pm*3*m* space groups, respectively, are isostructural with NpIr. However, we have chosen to use the data for ThIr as a phonon blank, since its molar mass is very similar to that of NpIr, and its Debye temperature ($\Theta_D = 176.6 \pm 0.3$ K) is closer than that of LuIr ($\Theta_D = 254.7 \pm 0.4$ K). Furthermore it agrees better with the *ab initio* calculated (see Sec. IV) phonon heat capacity of NpIr (shown as the black solid line). Hence we estimate the total electronic (5f + conduction electron) contribution to the heat capacity of NpIr as

$$C_P^{el} = C_P^{\text{Nplr}} - C_P^{\text{Nplr}}(\text{phonons}),$$

$$C_P^{el} = C_P^{\text{Nplr}} - C_P^{\text{Thlr}} + \gamma^{\text{Thlr}} \cdot T,$$
(2)

where $\gamma^{Th} = 4.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ mJK}^{-2} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ is the electronic heat capacity of ThIr. Figure 6(a) displays the total electronic contribution to the heat capacity of NpIr divided by temperature, revealing a broad peak centered at T = 30 K and a near constant behavior at high temperatures.

Integrating the total electronic contribution to the heat capacity of NpIr allows an estimate for the entropy to be obtained, which is shown in Fig. 6(b). The entropy varies smoothly, and by extrapolation to higher temperature appears to be compatible with the free ion value for Np³⁺ ($R \ln 10$) or Np⁴⁺ ($R \ln 9$). Assuming that the 5f contribution to the heat capacity is close to saturation by ~200 K, we can deduce from Fig. 6(a) that the electronic coefficient has an upper limit of $\gamma = 20 \pm 2$ mJK⁻² mol⁻¹ at high temperature. Such a considerable difference between the low and high temperature electronic heat capacities suggests that the enhanced low



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Total electronic (5 f +conduction electrons) contribution to the heat capacity of NpIr divided by temperature obtained from $C_P^{\text{NpIr}} - C_P^{\text{ThIr}} + \gamma^{\text{ThIr}} \cdot T$. (b) The entropy obtained by integrating the data in panel (a) as a function of temperature.

temperature γ value is due to a strong Kondo interaction while localization features are enhanced at higher temperatures.

IV. AB INITIO CALCULATIONS

A. Methodology

Electronic structure calculations were carried out using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [29,30], with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) as the densityfunctional theory (DFT) exchange-correlation functional, as well as with its extension to treat strongly correlated electrons, DFT with an additional Hubbard U term (DFT+U) [31,32]. Within the GGA+U approach, we have used the Dudarev et al. formulation [32], where the Hubbard and exchange parameters, U and J, respectively, are introduced to account for the strong on-site correlations between the neptunium 5 felectrons. This helps to remove the self-interaction error and improves the description of correlation effects in the open 5f shell. We have chosen a Hubbard U value of 4.0 eV and an exchange parameter J value of 0.6 eV, which are in the range of accepted values for Np and Pu compounds [33,34]. To test the dependence of our results on the U value, we have also performed calculations for U = 2 and 3 eV, and for U = 0 eV, i.e., for the common GGA functional. Further, to deal with the problem of degenerate metastable states when using the DFT+U methodology, we have used the occupation matrix control (OMC) method proposed by Dorado et al. [35] This method consists of the direct control of the strongly correlated electron occupation matrices. Details of the electronic structure calculations can be found in Ref. [36].

We have considered three different magnetic orders: ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM), and paramagnetic (PM) order. In the FM ordered state, we assume that all the Np ions have collinear magnetic moments oriented along the c direction. In the AFM ordered state, the Np ions are considered to be collinear with magnetic moments changing sign from one Np plane to another. Finally, for the PM ordered state, we adopt the disordered local moments (DLM) approach [37,38], which states that paramagnetism can be modeled as a state where atomic magnetic moments are randomly oriented (noncollinear magnetism), valid for materials that display a Curie-Weiss paramagnetism, such as NpIr. The DLM approach can be simplified by considering only collinear magnetic moments when the spin-orbit coupling is not taken into account. Hence, the problem of modeling paramagnetism becomes a problem of modeling random distributions of collinear spin components. It can be solved by using special quasirandom structures (SQS) [39]. An SQS is a specially designed supercell built of ideal lattice sites to mimic the most relevant pair and multisite correlation functions of a completely disordered phase (PM order in our case). As a PM simulation cell we used an extended lattice cell of 64 atoms. We note however that on account of the large simulation cell needed for the DLM calculations, it was not possible to perform these including the spin-orbit interaction.

B. GGA+U results

A full structural and atomic-site relaxation has been carried out for NpIr (with U = 4 eV and J = 0.6 eV). We have found, in agreement with experiments, that NpIr crystallizes in a monoclinic structure (described by the $P2_1$ space group). We further found that the calculated lattice parameters a =5.6072 Å, b = 10.7829 Å, c = 5.6088 Å, and $\beta = 95.708^{\circ}$ are very close to the experimental values (see Sec. II). The relaxed atomic positions for the FM order are given in the Appendix, where again the proximity to the experimental atomic positions can be observed.

The total energy for the three different magnetic orders have been calculated. Although the energy differences are small, we found that the FM order has the lowest total energy, followed by the PM and AFM orders, having 0.039 and 0.056 eV per formula unit higher total energies, respectively. These findings differ from the experimental results which reveal no sign of magnetic order for T > 1.8 K, while the Curie-Weiss temperature implies strong antiferromagnetic interactions.

To investigate the origin of the obtained energy order we have investigated the influence of the Hubbard U parameter and considered the influence of the spin-orbit interaction. Performing calculations with U values of 0, 2, and 3 eV did not lead to a change in the relative energy sequence. The FM phase was always found to have the lowest total energy, followed by the PM phase, and then by the AFM phase. The relative energy differences were similar to those found for U = 4 eV. We emphasize, however, that these calculations were performed without the spin-orbit interaction, as the DLM calculations are computationally too heavy with spin-orbit interaction. We have for the sake of comparison computed a hypothetical nonmagnetic phase of NpIr (i.e., no moments at all) with the spin-orbit interaction. We find its total energy to be higher than that of both the FM and AFM phases. This indicates that a nonmagnetic state is unlikely and would be an insufficient representation of the PM phase. Thus, in the absence of DLM calculations with spin-orbit interaction we cannot definitely state what the lowest energy magnetic order of NpIr is. It is however worth noting at this point that isostructural UIr, which also displays antiferromagnetic interactions based on the Curie-Weiss temperature, in fact orders ferromagnetically below 46 K. The local spin moments of the Np ions have also been calculated; these are $3.78 \,\mu_{\rm B}$, $3.74 \,\mu_{\rm B}$, and $3.71 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ for the FM, PM, and AFM orders, respectively.

The calculated partial densities of states (DOS) for the three different magnetic orders are given in Fig. 7. There are significant hybridizations of the Np-f and Ir-d electrons in the energy range of -3 to +3 eV, as can be inferred from the similar DOS structures. The Coulomb U potential leads to a splitting in the 5f spectrum (of about 3 eV) which can be clearly seen for the PM and AFM ordered states.

To assess the importance of the spin-orbit interaction on the atomic magnetic moments we have carried out an analysis of its influence on the FM order. We find that the total magnetic moment M, written as the sum of the spin magnetic moment



FIG. 7. (Color online) Atom-resolved partial density of states for the three different magnetic orders calculated for NpIr using the GGA+U approach.



FIG. 8. (Color online) Top: Total and partial density of states of NpIr calculated using the GGA+U approach and including the spin-orbit coupling. Bottom: The same, but computed with the GGA approach and including the spin-orbit coupling.

 M_S and the orbital magnetic moment M_O is $M = M_S + M_O =$ 3.748 $\mu_B - 0.933 \ \mu_B = 2.815 \ \mu_B$. This value is very close to the intermediate coupling value for a 5 f^4 configuration. The total and partial density of states including spin-orbit coupling are shown in Fig. 8 (top).

C. GGA results

To investigate the importance of the Hubbard U term, we have performed a similar study using the plain GGA approach. Similarly to the spin-orbit case, we only analyzed the FM order. For this approximation the lattice parameters are a =5.4620 Å, b = 10.5037 Å, c = 5.4636 Å, and $\beta = 95.708^{\circ}$. Although they are in good agreement with the experimental results, the deviation with respect to these is larger than when comparing with the GGA+U results. The local magnetic moments on the Np ions without accounting for the SO coupling have a magnitude of 3.113 $\mu_{\rm B}$. If we include the SO coupling the spin magnetic moment drops to 2.842 $\mu_{\rm B}$ while the orbital magnetic moment becomes $-2.527 \mu_{\rm B}$, giving a net moment of only 0.315 μ_B . The calculated density of states are plotted in Fig. 8 (bottom), where it can be observed that there is a splitting of the f orbitals (into $5f_{\frac{5}{2}}$ and $5f_{\frac{7}{2}}$) in the case that SO interaction is included. Nonetheless the manifold of 5f states appears close to the Fermi energy and has its maximum at the Fermi energy. Application of the GGA+U method conversely splits the 5f manifold of states and leads to a low 5f DOS near the Fermi level (Fig. 8, top).

D. Phonons properties and thermal conductivity

We have calculated the phonons of NpIr using the finitedisplacements method in conjunction with supercells consisting of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ primitive cells (128 atoms). The interatomic forces were calculated with VASP, adopting as above, the GGA+U approach for the electronic structure. The phonon modes were obtained with the phonopy package [40] in the quasiharmonic approximation. To enable this approximation the system volume has been isotropically expanded by 2% from the GGA+U relaxed volume. The anharmonic effects induced by the volume dependence of phonons frequencies are explored and the lattice thermal properties such as the lattice specific heat and the phonon thermal conductivity are calculated.

The *ab initio* calculated phonon density of states and phonon dispersion curves ω_{nq} are given in Fig. 9. The 16-atom unit cell of NpIr results in 48 phonon modes with a rather homogeneous spreading of the bands from 0 to 4 THz. The atom-projected phonon DOS (right-hand panel) shows that at low energies the contribution from the Ir atoms is larger than that from the Np atoms, while at higher vibrational frequencies this behavior is reversed.

The lattice heat capacity C_p can be obtained from the Gibbs free energy G(T,p) at constant pressure, $C_p = -T(\partial^2 G/\partial T^2)$. The Gibbs free energy is obtained from

$$G(T, p) = \min[U(V) + F^{\text{phon}}(T, V) + pV], \quad (3)$$

where U(V) is the volume-dependent electronic total energy and F^{phon} the phonon free energy,

$$F^{\text{phon}}(T,V) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, g(\omega,V) \\ \times \left[\hbar\omega/2 + k_B T \ln(1 - e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T})\right], \quad (4)$$

with $g(\omega, V)$ the phonon DOS, computed as mentioned above for different volumes. The *ab initio* calculated $C_p(T)$ of NpIr



FIG. 9. (Color online) GGA+U calculated phonon dispersions (left panel) and corresponding projected phonon density of states per atom (right panel) of NpIr. The **q**-point labels in the left panel are those for the standard high-symmetry positions of the monoclinic primitive Brillouin zone.

is shown in Fig. 5. As can be noted the computed lattice heat capacity is smaller than the measured heat capacity of NpIr. Its temperature dependence corresponds very well with the measured heat capacity of ThIr. Thus, this confirms that the C_p of ThIr can be used as a phonon blank to determine the electronic contribution to the heat capacity of NpIr.

Lastly, we investigate the lattice thermal conductivity κ_L of NpIr. A low thermal conductivity of materials is of interest as this would lead to a high thermoelectric figure of merit [41]. The thermal conductivity of NpIr is unknown, but its anisotropic, low-symmetry crystal structure suggest that its lattice contribution could be very small. We have computed the (direction averaged) $\kappa_L(T)$ of NpIr using an approximate solution to the phonon Boltzmann transport equation in the relaxation-time approximation,

$$\kappa_L(T) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_n \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{8\pi^3} v_{n\mathbf{q}}^2 \tau_{n\mathbf{q}} C_{n\mathbf{q}},\tag{5}$$

where the sum is over all phonon modes, v_{nq} is the group velocity of a given phonon mode, C_{nq} is the mode heat capacity depending only on the mode frequency ω_{nq} and the temperature, and τ_{nq} is the mode dependent relaxation time, which is computed here on the basis of the model of Bjerg *et al.* [42]. Furthermore, for the determination of the lattice thermal conductivity the Grüneisen parameter γ is a fundamental quantity. It characterizes the relation between phonon frequency and crystal volume change and is defined as

$$\gamma_{n\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{V_{uc}}{\omega_{n\mathbf{q}}} \frac{\partial \omega_{n\mathbf{q}}}{\partial V_{uc}},\tag{6}$$

where V_{uc} is the unit cell volume. The Grüneisen parameter provides an estimation of the anharmonicity strength in a compound.

The calculated total lattice thermal conductivity of NpIr is shown in Fig. 10. From temperatures of 30 to 100 K an exponential decrease of $\kappa_L(T)$ is observed, which is due to the exponential increase of the phonon-phonon scattering via



FIG. 10. (Color online) Calculated lattice thermal conductivity κ of NpIr as a function of temperature. Shown is the total thermal conductivity κ_L as well as the thermal conductivities along the crystallographic axes. The off-diagonal components of the thermal conductivity are given in the inset.

the Umklapp mechanism. For temperatures above 100 K the Umklapp mechanism governs the scattering processes and consequently an intrinsically low thermal conductivity arises. The lattice thermal conductivity at room temperature assumes a value of 0.64 $Wm^{-1}\,K^{-1}$ and a value of 0.19 $Wm^{-1}\,K^{-1}$ at 970 K. Note that these are ultralow values [43]; for comparison, recent measurements on orthorhombic SnSe crystals with a very high thermoelectric figure of merit gave room-temperature values between 0.5 and 0.7 $Wm^{-1} K^{-1}$ and values of 0.23-0.34 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 970 K, depending on the crystal axis [44]. Very recent ab initio calculations for NaBi predicted ultralow values of about 2 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 300 K [45]. NpIr is thus predicted to have a record low lattice thermal conductivity at high temperatures. Apart from a low lattice thermal conductivity, a high electrical conductivity is desirable, too, for suitable thermoelectric materials [41]. As an intermetallic, NpIr is expected to have a good electrical conductivity and also a considerably larger contribution to the electronic thermal conductivity than in the chalcogenide systems. However, it is not currently possible to simply distinguish between the phonon and electron contributions experimentally.

Low lattice thermal conductivities can be found for compounds with a large molecular weight or a complex, anisotropic crystal structures [41]; both conditions are fulfilled for NpIr. In Fig. 10 we in addition show the axis-projected thermal conductivities as well as the off-diagonal components (in the inset). The latter arise because of the low symmetry of the monoclinic structure. The three crystallographic axisprojected thermal conductivities are of similar size in NpIr.

To assess the importance of the lattice anharmonicities for the low thermal conductivity the Grüneisen parameters are evaluated. The calculated **q**-averaged Grüneisen parameters projected on the crystallographic axes are: $\bar{\gamma}_a = 2.46$, $\bar{\gamma}_b =$ 3.69, and $\bar{\gamma}_c = 2.46$. As the **q**-dependent γ_{nq} values can be negative, their absolute values have been computed. The values for NpIr are large and anisotropic (comparable to those for SnSe, Ref. [44]), which provides evidence for substantial lattice anharmonicities that induce heat dissipation and low values of the thermal conductivity. In addition, the Grüneisen parameter $\bar{\gamma}_b$ along the *b* axis is much larger than those along the *a* or *c* axis. From this we can infer that the phonon modes along the *b* axis are more strongly anharmonic, and this leads to a weak interatomic bonding and hence a good channel to dissipate phonon transport along the *b* axis.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, a new binary equiatomic Np-Ir intermetallic has been successfully synthesized. Although it is isostructural with UIr, it is found to be paramagnetic down to 0.55 K, despite the presence of possibly antiferromagnetic interactions. The effective paramagnetic moment of $3.20 \pm 0.02 \mu_B/\text{Np}$ does not agree well with estimates for a free Np³⁺ or Np⁴⁺ ion in either the Russell-Saunders or intermediate coupling schemes, implying some degree of 5*f* delocalization; while heat capacity measurements indicate that NpIr is a moderate heavy fermion system. The form of the electrical resistivity as a function of temperature and a low temperature Sommerfeld coefficient, which is strongly enhanced relative to that obtained at high temperatures, both indicate that NpIr should be regarded as a Kondo system.

Ab initio calculations reveal that the GGA+U approximation provides a better description of the structural and electronic properties of NpIr than the plain GGA approach. After relaxation, the calculations give the same geometrical structure as the experimental one; however, the calculations without spin-orbit interaction suggest that ferromagnetic order is energetically the most favorable, followed by the paramagnetic and antiferromagnetic ordered states. This result stands in contrast to the lack of any experimental observations of ferromagnetism, and suggest that DLM calculations with spinorbit interaction are needed to address this issue thoroughly. The absolute value of the local spin magnetic moments on the Np ions is of the order of 3.7 $\mu_{\rm B}$. However, due to a sizable opposite orbital magnetic moment, when including the spin-orbit interaction, the moment drops to 2.81 $\mu_{\rm B}$, which is of a similar magnitude to that extracted from magnetic susceptibility measurements. The calculated lattice heat capacity of NpIr is in good agreement with the measured heat capacity of ThIr, which hence can be regarded as a phonon blank for NpIr. The lattice thermal conductivity of NpIr is predicted to be exceptionally low at high temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank F. Kinnart, D. Bouëxière, and G. Pagliosa for their technical support, and R. Caciuffo for useful discussions. The high purity Np metal required for the fabrication of the

TABLE II. Calculated atomic positions for NpIr (space group $P2_1$).

Atom	Wyckoff	x	у	z
Np1	2a	0.12498	-0.01371	0.12513
Np2	2a	0.62511	-0.01370	0.62492
Np3	2a	0.87507	0.71392	0.37498
Np4	2a	0.37500	0.71391	0.87516
Ir1	2a	0.12498	0.25937	0.12504
Ir2	2a	0.62492	0.25939	0.62481
Ir3	2a	0.87489	0.44092	0.37484
Ir4	2a	0.37503	0.44089	0.87510

compound was made available through a loan agreement between Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and ITU, in the framework of a collaboration involving, LLNL Los Alamos National Laboratory, and the U.S. Department of Energy. H.C.W. and K.A.M. acknowledge the access to the infrastructures of JRC-ITU and financial support provided by the European Commission within its "Actinide User Laboratory" program. P.M. and P.M.O. acknowledge support from the Swedish Research Council (Sweden) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC).

APPENDIX: OPTIMIZED STRUCTURE OF NpIr

In Table II we give the GGA+U optimized atomic positions of the NpIr compound, which was computed to crystallize in the monoclinic structure.

- [1] J. Jensen and A. R. Mackintosh, *Rare Earth Magnetism: Structures and Excitations* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991).
- [2] M. Maple, C. Seaman, D. Gajewski, Y. Dalichaouch, V. Barbetta, M. Andrade, H. Mook, H. Lukefahr, O. Bernal, and D. MacLaughlin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 95, 225 (1994).
- [3] J. A. Paixao, C. Detlefs, M. J. Longfield, R. Caciuffo, P. Santini, N. Bernhoeft, J. Rebizant, and G. H. Lander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 187202 (2002).
- [4] S. B. Wilkins, R. Caciuffo, C. Detlefs, J. Rebizant, E. Colineau, F. Wastin, and G. H. Lander, Phys. Rev. B 73, 060406 (2006).
- [5] H. C. Walker, K. A. McEwen, D. F. McMorrow, S. B. Wilkins, F. Wastin, E. Colineau, and D. Fort, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 137203 (2006).
- [6] H. C. Walker, K. A. McEwen, M. D. Le, L. Paolasini, and D. Fort, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 395221 (2008).
- [7] P. Santini, S. Carretta, G. Amoretti, R. Caciuffo, N. Magnani, and G. H. Lander, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 807 (2009).
- [8] S. S. Saxena, P. Agarwal, K. Ahilan, F. M. Grosche, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, M. J. Steiner, E. Pugh, I. R. Walker, S. R. Julian, P. Monthoux, G. G. Lonzarich, A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, D. Braithwaite, and J. Flouquet, Nature (London) 406, 587 (2000).
- [9] N. J. Curro, T. Caldwell, E. D. Bauer, L. A. Morales, M. J. Graf, Y. Bang, A. V. Balatsky, J. D. Thompson, and J. L. Sarrao, Nature (London) 434, 622 (2005).
- [10] J.-C. Griveau, K. Gofryk, and J. Rebizant, Phys. Rev. B 77, 212502 (2008).

- [11] F. Jutier, G. A. Ummarino, J.-C. Griveau, F. Wastin, E. Colineau, J. Rebizant, N. Magnani, and R. Caciuffo, Phys. Rev. B 77, 024521 (2008).
- [12] E. D. Bauer, M. M. Altarawneh, P. H. Tobash, K. Gofryk, O. E. Ayala-Valenzuela, J. N. Mitchell, R. D. McDonald, C. H. Mielke, F. Ronning, J.-C. Griveau, E. Colineau, R. Eloirdi, R. Caciuffo, B. L. Scott, O. Janka, S. M. Kauzlarich, and J. D. Thompson, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 052206 (2012).
- [13] D. Daghero, M. Tortello, G. A. Ummarino, J.-C. Griveau, E. Colineau, R. Eloirdi, A. B. Shick, J. Kolorenč, A. I. Lichtenstein, and R. Caciuffo, Nat. Commun. 3, 786 (2012).
- [14] J.-C. Griveau and E. Colineau, C. R. Physique 15, 599 (2014).
- [15] T. Akazawa, H. Hidaka, H. Kotegawa, T. C. Kobayashi, T. Fujiwara, E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, R. Settai, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 73, 3129 (2004).
- [16] E. Bauer, G. Hilscher, H. Michor, C. Paul, E. W. Scheidt, A. Gribanov, Y. Seropegin, H. Noël, M. Sigrist, and P. Rogl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 027003 (2004).
- [17] A. Dommann, F. Hulliger, T. Siegrist, and P. Fischer, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 67, 323 (1987).
- [18] A. Galatanu, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, T. D. Matsuda, S. Ikeda, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 73, 766 (2004).
- [19] B. Bireckoven and J. Wittig, J. Phys. E 21, 841 (1988).
- [20] J. M. Fournier, *Structure and Bonding* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985), Vol. 59/60, pp. 127–196.

- [21] H. Kotegawa, S. Araki, T. Akazawa, A. Hori, Y. Irie, S. Fukushima, H. Hidaka, T. C. Kobayashi, K. Takeda, Y. Ohishi, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 84, 054524 (2011).
- [22] E. Colineau, P. Javorský, P. Boulet, F. Wastin, J. C. Griveau, J. Rebizant, J. P. Sanchez, and G. R. Stewart, Phys. Rev. B 69, 184411 (2004).
- [23] H. C. Walker, K. A. McEwen, P. Boulet, E. Colineau, J.-C. Griveau, J. Rebizant, and F. Wastin, Phys. Rev. B 76, 174437 (2007).
- [24] J. M. van Ruitenbeek, A. P. J. van Deursen, H. W. Myron, A. J. Arko, and J. L. Smith, Phys. Rev. B 34, 8507 (1986).
- [25] J. Schoenes and J. J. M. Franse, Phys. Rev. B 33, 5138 (1986).
- [26] E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, H. Shishido, H. Nakawaki, Y. Inada, R. Settai, and Y. Ōnuki, Physica B **312**, 302 (2002).
- [27] K. Kadowaki and S. B. Woods, Solid State Comm. 58, 507 (1986).
- [28] A. L. Cornelius, A. J. Arko, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, M. F. Hundley, C. H. Booth, N. Harrison, and P. M. Oppeneer, Phys. Rev. B 59, 14473 (1999).
- [29] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993).
- [30] V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991).
- [31] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 52, R5467 (1995).
- [32] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B 57, 1505 (1998).
- [33] T. Klimczuk, H. C. Walker, R. Springell, A. B. Shick, A. H. Hill, P. Gaczyński, K. Gofryk, S. A. J. Kimber, C. Ritter, E. Colineau, J.-C. Griveau, D. Bouëxière, R. Eloirdi, R. J. Cava, and R. Caciuffo, Phys. Rev. B 85, 174506 (2012).
- [34] M.-T. Suzuki, N. Magnani, and P. M. Oppeneer, Phys. Rev. B 88, 195146 (2013).
- [35] B. Dorado, B. Amadon, M. Freyss, and M. Bertolus, Phys. Rev. B 79, 235125 (2009).

- [36] The electron-ionic-core interaction on the valence electrons in the systems has been represented by the projector augmented wave potentials (PAWs) (Ref. [46]). For Np and Ir atoms, the (6s, 7s, 6p, 6d, 5f) and (6s, 5d) states were treated as valence states. A plane-wave basis with an energy cutoff of 500 eV was used to expand the electronic wave functions. The Brillouin zone integrations were performed on a special k-point mesh generated by the Monkhorst-Pack scheme (Ref. [47]) $(5 \times 5 \times 5)$ in the primitive cell and $4 \times 2 \times 4$ for the phonon supercells), and a Methfessel-Paxton scheme with a smearing of 0.005 eV was used to determine the partial occupancies. The electronic minimization algorithm used for static total-energy calculations was a blocked Davidson algorithm. The optimized geometries were obtained by minimizing the Hellmann-Feynman forces until the total forces on each ion were converged to better than 0.0005 eV/Å.
- [37] J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. B 19, 2626 (1979).
- [38] H. Hasegawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 49, 963 (1980).
- [39] A. Zunger, S.-H. Wei, L. G. Ferreira, and J. E. Bernard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 353 (1990).
- [40] A. Togo, F. Oba, and I. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134106 (2008).
- [41] G. J. Snyder and E. S. Toberer, Nat. Mater. 7, 105 (2008).
- [42] L. Bjerg, B. B. Iversen, and G. K. H. Madsen, Phys. Rev. B 89, 024304 (2014).
- [43] Using a temperature dependence of the Grüneisen parameters might have a small influence on the high-temperature value of the lattice thermal conductivity.
- [44] L.-D. Zhao, S.-H. Lo, Y. Zhang, H. Sun, G. Tan, C. Uher, C. Wolverton, V. P. Dravid, and M. G. Kanatzidis, Nature (London) 508, 373 (2014).
- [45] R. Li, X. Cheng, Q. Xie, Y. Sun, D. Li, Y. Li, and X.-Q. Chen, Sci. Rep. 5, 8446 (2015).
- [46] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [47] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).