## Zero modes on zero-angle grain boundaries in graphene

Madeleine Phillips<sup>1</sup> and E. J. Mele<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia Pennsylvania 19104, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

(Received 13 October 2014; revised manuscript received 18 February 2015; published 4 March 2015)

Electronic states confined to zero-angle grain boundaries in single-layer graphene are analyzed using topological band theoretic arguments. We identify a hidden chiral symmetry which supports symmetry-protected zero modes in projected bulk gaps. These branches occupy a finite fraction of the interface-projected Brillouin zone and terminate at bulk gap closures, manifesting topological transitions in the occupied manifolds of the bulk systems that are joined at an interface. These features are studied by numerical calculations on a tight-binding lattice and by analysis of the geometric phases of the bulk ground states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.125404

PACS number(s): 72.80.Vp, 03.65.Vf, 73.20.Hb, 73.22.Pr

The development of practical methods for the synthesis of large area single- and few-layer graphenes [1-4] is focusing attention on the influence of grain boundaries on their electronic behavior [3–7]. These extended defects have been studied theoretically to understand their reconstruction of the low-energy Dirac spectra and their signatures in transport [7-14]. In this paper we consider the family of "zeroangle" grain boundaries (ZGBs) and study their electronic properties using a quantum geometric formulation. In ZGBs the orientation of the crystalline axes is unchanged across an interface but the lattice structure is shifted in phase (Fig. 1). This can produce a topological mismatch between the ground states of its bounding lattices and localize symmetry-protected interfacial modes. It is widely appreciated that topological confinement can occur in the simpler "one-sided" variant of this problem at the graphene-vacuum interface [15–17]. Here we show that although the "two-sided" problem relevant to all graphene grain boundaries breaks the symmetries that generally protect this physics [18], the zero mode structure persists motivating us to further explore its topological character. We find that the zero mode structure of ZBGs is associated with a nonlocal chiral symmetry of its global Hamiltonian. Furthermore, at a grain boundary two topologically ordered media are generally joined, a situation that is most naturally analyzed by developing a (gauge invariant) formulation of their relative topological states. Here we provide such a counting rule using the geometric phase for an entangled ground state manifold containing N coupled bands. Our approach developed here for the prototypical case of ZGBs, can be generalized to a broad class of twin boundaries between crystalline materials with misoriented symmetry axes.

Figure 1 shows the lattice structures of two prototypical zero-angle grain boundaries examined in this work. Panel (a) is the "5-5" structure, where two honeycomb lattices are joined on a boundary containing a line of pentagon pairs. In panel (b) the related "5-5-8" structure doubles the period by the insertion of eight-membered rings. Our Hamiltonian describes nearest-neighbor hopping on the links of the two-dimensional networks shown in Fig. 1 neglecting perturbations due to remote hopping amplitudes and out-of-plane structural relaxations. These networks have been used to examine the

transmission and reflection of Bloch waves from extended one-dimensional defects on the graphene lattice [11–13] and are a natural starting point for studying electronic physics in the grain boundary.

At first, the prospects for finding zero mode physics in the lattice structures of Fig. 1 appear remote. All zero-angle grain boundaries contain a macroscopic fraction of odd-membered rings, explicitly breaking the global chiral symmetry of the Hamiltonian which usually is exploited to identify candidate E = 0 eigenstates. However, this argument is flawed. Both structures in Fig. 1 (and its longer period variants) retain a  $x \rightarrow -x$  mirror symmetry and the global Hamiltonian can be partitioned into invariant subspaces that are reven and odd, respectively, under this reflection. Mirror-odd states have a nodal line along the vertical bonds that define its odd membered rings. Removal of these bonds leaves the remaining system bipartite thereby retaining a chiral symmetry in its projected mirror-odd subspace. Formally, one can define a chiral operator S that anticommutes with the global Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$ . When written in terms of the original unsymmetrized lattice degrees of freedom, one finds that S is highly nonlocal and contains long range off diagonal amplitudes that enforce the sign change of its mirror-odd wave functions on the left- and right-hand sides of the interface [19].

Indeed tight-binding lattice calculations carried out on finite width twinned ribbons (Fig. 2) show clear evidence of zero mode physics for these structures. One observes that *half* the projected gaps host flat bands at E = 0. These solutions are localized in the grain boundary and reside in the mirror-odd subspace. Note also that near E = 0 we find additional low-energy features that are finite size effects: they are the edge modes localized at the outer zigzag edges of our model. These can be distinguished from the true zero modes of this structure since they occur in *pairs* that are slightly displaced from E = 0 by their tunnel splitting across the ribbon [16].

The lattice structures shown in Fig. 1 retain a discrete translational symmetry along the grain boundary, and the Hamiltonian can be written in Bloch form  $\mathcal{H}(k_y)$ . Each Bloch Hamiltonian presents a one-dimensional problem in the perpendicular (*x*) coordinate with a gapped spectrum except at isolated critical points where the bulk Dirac points project onto the interface. It is tempting to associate the zero modes with a  $k_y$ -dependent topological mismatch of the ground states across the interface.

<sup>\*</sup>mele@physics.upenn.edu



FIG. 1. (Color online) Lattice structures for the "55" and "558" grain boundaries illustrating the Burgers vector *b* and the period of the Burgers vector lattice  $\lambda$ . The insets show primitive cells and primitive translation vectors that define the bounding phases to the left and right sides of the interface.

Implemented in its simplest form this interpretation is problematic. For example, note the alternation of projected gaps that do and do not support zero modes in Fig. 2. For the "55" structure in the gap that is analytically connected to  $k_y = 0$  there are no zero modes and apparently no topological distinction between the ground states of its two bounding phases. As  $k_y$  crosses  $2\pi/3$  this changes and the system supports a zero mode until the next gap closure. For the related



FIG. 2. Tight-binding band structures for twinned graphene ribbons containing a "55" grain boundary (top) and a "558" grain boundary (bottom). Half the projected band gaps support zero modes confined at the grain boundary, and additional low-energy features that are finite size effects associated with edge states on the outer edges of the ribbon.

"558" structure the situation is exactly reversed. Here the projected gaps that are analytically connected to the  $k_v = 0$ state do support a zero mode and the smaller gaps (e.g., for  $\pi/3 < k_v < 2\pi/3$ ) are inactive. This reversal presents a dilemma to a topological interpretation which would identify the zero mode count with a change of the ground state topology of its bounding states far from the interface. Inspection of Fig. 1 shows that the asymptotic structures of the "55" and "558" ZGBs are actually identical. Furthermore, the source of a putative topological boundary in the "active" gaps is also subtle. The momentum-resolved Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{H}_{L(R)}(k_x;k_y)$ for the bulk states left and right of the interface are unitarily equivalent in every gapped sector. Physically this reflects the fact that their lattice structures are locally indistinguishable. Thus one is faced with the problem of identifying precisely "what is changing" at an interface between two otherwise structurally indistinguishable systems in a selected subset of their momentum-resolved band gaps [20].

We address these questions by developing a theory of the geometric phase for a twinned bicrystal. To this end it is useful to note that the grain boundary is a periodic array of dislocations. For the ZGBs of Fig. 1 the Burgers vector is  $\mathbf{b} = a/\sqrt{3} \hat{e}_x$ , inducing a sublattice exchange. The two structures of Fig. 1 are distinguished only by the periods of their dislocation lattices:  $\lambda = a$  (2a) for the "55" ("558") ZGBs, respectively. In the far field surrounding a single dislocation one can locally define a Bloch Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ . Parallel transport of a Bloch state with momentum  $\mathbf{k}$  in a counterclockwise loop encircling this core accumulates a geometric phase  $\theta = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ , associated with a  $\mathbf{k}$ -dependent point flux. For the grain boundaries in Fig. 1 we choose a linear gauge and represent the topological phase as the phase accumulated in a  $\mathbf{k}$ -dependent effective vector potential

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{\lambda} \Theta(x) \,\hat{e}_y,\tag{1}$$

where  $\Theta(x)$  is the one-sided step function [1 + sign(x)]/2. By virtue of its **k** dependence  $\mathcal{A}$  preserves time-reversal symmetry and our choice of gauge preserves translational symmetry parallel to the interface. Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is curl-free except on the grain boundary where it represents a flux sheet that separates the left and right regions. The periodicity of the bulk Hamiltonian in **k** space is preserved by defining the crystal momentum in Eq. (1) mod **G** restricting it to the first Brillouin zone.

The dynamical momentum  $\mathbf{\kappa} = \mathbf{k} - \mathcal{A}$  and continuity of the wave functions on the boundary equates the (conserved) kinematical momentum  $k_y$  on the two sides. The ground states of the bounding states can be compared by studying the mismatch of the dynamical momentum  $\mathbf{\kappa}$  induced by  $\mathcal{A}$  for a fixed value of  $k_y$ . As shown in Fig. 3, a Brillouin zone tour  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta'$  on the left (ungauged) side at a fixed value of  $k_y$  maps to the sloped trajectory  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  on the right (gauged) side. Note that while the former path is a "closed" trajectory in  $\mathbf{k}$  space, i.e.,  $\mathbf{k}_{\alpha'} = \mathbf{k}_{\beta'}$ , the latter is open, terminating at  $\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{k}_{\beta}$ . This momentum offset is an unavoidable consequence of the topological structure of the boundary. The left and right regions can be compared by studying the evolution of the ground states of a family of Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{H}(\gamma, k_x; k_y)$  (holding  $k_y$  constant) and using a



FIG. 3. (Color online) Momentum space tours through the Brillouin zone for (left) the "55" grain boundary at  $k_y = 2\pi/3$  for an ungauged trajectory  $\alpha' \rightarrow \beta'$  and (right) for its gauged transformed image  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ . The two are linked to form a closed reciprocal space tour through the dashed segments. The green circles are the projections of the *K* and *K'* points into the first Brillouin zone. The ungauged segment is critical and passes through a gap closure at a Dirac point. The lower panel illustrates the closed loop that combines these segments to calculate the change of the ground state geometric phase across the boundary for each value of  $k_y$ .

parameter  $\gamma = 0(1)$  to define the ungauged (gauged) sides of the system. We study a closed  $(\gamma, k_x)$ -space tour which is a parametric circuit that links the gauged segment  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  with a return path  $\beta' \rightarrow \alpha'$  as shown in Fig. 3 (lower panel). The geometric phase evaluated along this circuit is gauge invariant and it quantifies the difference between the ground states of the two bounding systems. We note that since the bounding Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{H}_{L(R)}(\mathbf{k})$  are gauge equivalent we can regard this circuit as a closed momentum space tour in the space of ground states of a *single* Hamiltonian.

Figure 4 shows the dispersion of the electronic bands along a closed tour and clearly illustrates the *raison d'être* for its interfacial zero modes. The top panel is for the "55" structure which has a primitive bulk unit cell and one occupied spin degenerate band. The critical trajectory shown has an ungauged (flat) segment encountering a gap closure at a Dirac point. The sloped  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  segment encounters no such degeneracy at this value of  $k_y$ . Shifting the fiducial value of  $k_y$ allows this system to undergo a band inversion *on one side* of the grain boundary, i.e., the  $\beta' \rightarrow \alpha'$  return path can develop a band inversion with respect to its gauged  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  image.

We quantify these observations by calculating the loop integral of the one-band Berry's connection

$$\theta = \operatorname{Im} \oint d\kappa \cdot \langle u_{\kappa} | \nabla_{\kappa} u_{\kappa} \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where  $u_{\kappa}$  is the lattice periodic factor of the Bloch wave function. For the "bridge" segments  $\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \beta'$  we replace the line integral in Eq. (2) by the finite difference counterparts  $\theta(\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha) = \arg \langle u_{\mathbf{k}_{\alpha}} | u_{\mathbf{k}_{\alpha'}} \rangle$  and  $\theta(\beta \rightarrow \beta') =$  $\arg \langle u_{\mathbf{k}_{\beta'}} | u_{\mathbf{k}_{\beta}} \rangle$ . The accumulated phase plotted in Fig. 4(a) shows jump discontinuities in  $\theta_{55}$  at gap closures at  $k_y =$  $\pm 2\pi/3$  from zero in the inactive gaps (left and right states with the same winding number) to  $2\pi$  in its "topological" gaps (different). We find that the contribution to the accumulated phase from each side (i.e.,  $\theta_{\beta'\alpha'}$  and  $\theta_{\alpha'\alpha\beta\beta'}$ ) are equal for every value of  $k_y$ . This is physically understandable since the



FIG. 4. (Color online) Dispersion of electronic bands along a closed momentum space tour (a) for the "55" grain boundary at  $k_y = 2\pi/3$  and (b) for the "558" grain boundary at  $k_y = \pi/3$  (b). In (a) the  $\beta'\alpha'$  segment is critical and passes through a Dirac point. In (b) both the ungauged  $\beta'\alpha'$  and gauged  $\alpha\beta$  segments are critical at a common value of  $k_y$  but at opposite Dirac points. The right-hand panels show the geometrical phase  $\theta$  evaluated along the related paths as a function of  $k_y$  revealing topological transitions as  $2\pi$  jump discontinuities.

left-right asymmetry of our **k**-space construction is a gauge choice and the interfacial modes are ultimately a property of the joined system.

The related "558" grain boundary structure doubles the lattice period along the grain boundary. The essential complication in this nonprimitive situation is illustrated in Fig. 4(b). The folding of the Brillouin zone produces two occupied (orbital) branches which are required to degenerate at the end points  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ . The occupied manifold therefore unavoidably entangles these two orbital degrees of freedom though its geometric phase must remain invariant under **k**-dependent unitary rotations in the occupied subspace. This is not a property of sums of individual band-projected Berry's phases but it can be understood properly using the matrix-valued connection

$$\chi_{m,n}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}+\delta\mathbf{k}) = \langle u_{m,\mathbf{k}+\delta\mathbf{k}} | u_{n,\mathbf{k}} \rangle, \qquad (3)$$

where *m* and *n* are occupied bands and  $\delta \mathbf{k}$  is along the tangent line of the tour. The geometric phase in Eq. (2) generalizes to the accumulated phase of the loop product of 2 × 2 matrices  $\chi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \delta \mathbf{k})$  over the the relevant closed tour in **k** space,

$$\theta_{558} = \arg \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \det \chi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \delta \mathbf{k}). \tag{4}$$

Similar to the one-band case, the contributions from the bridging links  $\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \beta'$  are given by finite difference expressions:  $\theta(\alpha' \rightarrow \alpha) = \arg \det \chi(\mathbf{k}_{\alpha'}, \mathbf{k}_{\alpha})$  and  $\theta(\beta \rightarrow \beta') = \arg \det \chi(\mathbf{k}_{\beta}, \mathbf{k}_{\beta'})$ . Interestingly, we find that for the "558" grain boundary the  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\beta' \rightarrow \alpha'$  segments each undergo simultaneous gap closures at  $k_y = \pi/3$  though these occur at the *opposite* projected bulk Dirac points. This also signals a relative inversion of the bulk bands as revealed in the total accumulated phase plotted as a function of  $k_y$ 

in Fig. 4(b). This identifies the larger gaps centered on the origin  $(-\pi/3 < k_y < \pi/3)$  as topologically mismatched and the smaller gaps  $(\pi/3 < k_y < 2\pi/3)$  as silent, in agreement with the numerical results of Fig. 2.

Our construction demonstrates that although the far-field lattices are identical for the "55" and "558" grain boundaries, they retain information about the translational symmetry that is present on the boundary. This is encoded in the degeneracies and symmetries of the bulk eigenstates (a bulk property) folded into their reconstructed reduced zones (an interface property). This information is intrinsically nonlocal and it is neatly quantified by consideration of the geometric phase evaluated over a momentum space loop that bridges the two half spaces in the appropriate composite N-band manifold. We emphasize that this quantity is independent of an arbitrary redefinition of the unit cell and basis on the two sides of the interface as long as the loop integral bridges the two asymptotic media. This can be contrasted with the situation for the graphene-vacuum interface where the exterior medium is presumed to be topologically trivial for every value of  $k_v$ and the appearance and disappearance of the zero modes is assigned to possible ground state transitions of a single topologically ordered medium.

For both grain boundaries the bulk Hamiltonians at a fixed value of the projected momentum  $k_y$  are members of the Altland Zirnbauer chiral unitary class (AIII) [21,22]. These Hamiltonians preserve chiral (sublattice) symmetry but they

have broken time-reversal symmetry (here explicitly broken for a generic value of  $k_y$ ) and broken charge conjugation symmetry. In d = 1 the ground states in this class are topologically nontrivial and are distinguished by an integervalued topological index. The winding numbers calculated above measure the interfacial mismatch of this index as a function of  $k_y$ , thereby counting the number of zero modes (flat bands) in each projected gap.

Our approach admits a generalization to twin boundaries with nonzero rotation angle and to grain boundaries that embed dislocations where the Burgers vector is a lattice translation rather than a fractional translation. Our preliminary work indicates that this distinction is important and can determine the topological character of the boundary [19]. It will also be useful to augment this topological analysis to address the consequences of local symmetry-breaking perturbations (presumed to be weak) that inevitably occur in atomistic models that suggest structure-specific spectral reconstruction near the neutrality point [7–14]. Finally the presence of flat or weakly dispersing bands near charge neutrality invites an investigation of its interaction-induced instabilities.

This work is supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-ER45118. E.J.M. acknowledges the support from the Leverhulme Trust while visiting Loughborough University while this work was completed.

- A. Reina, X. Jia, J. Ho, D. Nezich, H. Son, V. Bulovic, M. S. Dresselhaus, and J. Kong, ACS Nano 9, 30 (2009).
- [2] S. Bae, H. Kim, Y. Lee, X. F. Xu, J. S. Park, Y. Zheng, J. Balakrishnan, T. Lei, H. R. Kim, Y. I. Song, Y. J. Kim, K. S. Kim, B. Ozyilmaz, J. H. Ahn, B. H. Hong, and S. Iijima, Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 574 (2010).
- [3] J. Lahiri, Y. Lin, P. Bozkurt, I. I. Oleynik, and M. Batzill, Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 326 (2010).
- [4] K. Kim, Z. Lee, W. Regan, C. Kisielowski, M. F. Crommie, and A. Zettl, ACS Nano 5, 2142 (2011).
- [5] P. Y. Huang, C. S. Ruiz Vargas, A. M. van der Zande. W. S. Whitney, M. P. Levendorf, J. W. Kevek, S. Garg, J. S. Alden, C. J. Hustedt, Y. Zhu, J. Park, P. McEuen, and D. A. Muller, Nature (London) 469, 389 (2011).
- [6] A. W. Tsen, L. Brown, M. P. Levendorf, F. Ghahari, P. Y. Huang, R. W. Havener, C. S. Ruiz-Vargas, D. A. Muller, J. Park, and P. Kim, Science 336, 1143 (2012).
- [7] L. P. Biro and P. Lambin, New J. Phys. 15, 035024 (2013).
- [8] O. V. Yazyev and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. B 81, 195420 (2010).
- [9] O. V. Yazyev and S. G. Louie, Nat. Mater. 9, 806 (2010).
- [10] A. Mesaros, S. Papanikolaou, C. F. J. Flipse, D. Sadri, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 82, 205119 (2010).
- [11] D. Gunlycke and C. T. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 136806 (2011).
- [12] J. N. B. Rodrigues, N. M. R. Peres, and J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, Phys. Rev. B 86, 214206 (2012).

- [13] J. N. B. Rodrigues, N. M. R. Peres, and J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 075303 (2013).
- [14] Y. Liu, J. Song, Y. Li, Y. Liu, and Q.-F. Sun, Phys. Rev. B 87, 195445 (2013).
- [15] K. Nakada, M. Fujita, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B 54, 17954 (1996).
- [16] M. Kohmoto and Y. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. B 76, 205402 (2007).
- [17] P. Delplace, D. Ullmo, and G. Montambaux, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195452 (2011).
- [18] S. Ryu and Y. Hatsugai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 077002 (2002).
- [19] M. Phillips and E. J. Mele (unpublished).
- [20] This situation should be contrasted with the edge state spectra for the zigzag and bearded edges of a graphene nanoribbon which support zero modes in complementary band gaps projected onto the same crystallographic direction [16]. Isolated bearded edges expose singly coordinated atomic sites at the edge and support zero modes in a projected gap that extends symmetrically around  $k_y = 0$  over a domain of full width  $4\pi/3$  instead of  $2\pi/3$  as obtained here. Thus, unlike the edge state problem, the active gaps for the grain boundary are not complementary. Microscopically this manifests the different lattice symmetries and boundary conditions for the graphene-vacuum and "558" graphene-graphene interfaces.
- [21] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [22] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).