Successive magnetic phase transitions in α-RuCl₃: XY-like frustrated magnet on the honeycomb lattice

Yumi Kubota,¹ Hidekazu Tanaka,^{1,*} Toshio Ono,² Yasuo Narumi,³ and Koichi Kindo⁴

¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

²Department of Physical Science, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

³Institute for Material Research, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

⁴Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Received 9 February 2015; revised manuscript received 6 March 2015; published 23 March 2015)

The layered compound α -RuCl₃ is composed of a honeycomb lattice of magnetic Ru³⁺ ions with the 4d⁵ electronic state. We have investigated the magnetic properties of α -RuCl₃ via magnetization and specific heat measurements using single crystals. It was observed that α -RuCl₃ undergoes a structural phase transition at $T_t \simeq 150$ K accompanied by fairly large hysteresis. This structural phase transition is expected to be similar to that observed in closely related CrCl₃. The magnetizations and magnetic susceptibilities are strongly anisotropic, which mainly arise from the anisotropic g factors, i.e., $g_{ab} \simeq 2.5$ and $g_c \simeq 0.4$ for magnetic fields parallel and perpendicular to the *ab* plane, respectively. These g factors and the obtained entropy indicate that the effective spin of Ru³⁺ is one-half, which results from the low-spin state. Specific heat data show that magnetic ordering occurs in four steps at zero magnetic field. The successive magnetic phase transitions should be ascribed to the strongly anisotropic g factors in α -RuCl₃ and deduce that the exchange interaction is strongly XY-like. α -RuCl₃ is magnetically described as a three-dimensionally coupled XY-like frustrated magnet on a honeycomb lattice.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.094422

PACS number(s): 75.10.Jm, 75.30.Kz, 75.40.Cx

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known that a honeycomb-lattice antiferromagnet with the nearest-neighbor exchange interaction undergoes a conventional magnetic ordering even for the spin-1/2 case. However, when a certain amount of second- and third-neighbor exchange interactions or a certain amount of anisotropic exchange interaction exists, the honeycomb-lattice quantum magnet exhibits an unusual ground state. In the last decade, spin-1/2 quantum magnets on honeycomb lattices have been attracting considerable attention from the viewpoints of the frustrated $J_1 - J_2$ model [1–6] and the Kitaev-Heisenberg model [7–11], both of which can exhibit the spin liquid state in some parameter range. α -RuCl₃ appears to be a spin-1/2 honeycomb-lattice magnet [12–15]. Recently, great interest has been shown in the magnetic properties of α -RuCl₃ [16–19].

 α -RuCl₃ has a layered structure. The crystal structure was first reported to be trigonal, $P3_112$ [12,13], but later it was found to be monoclinic, C2/m [20], which is the same as the room-temperature crystal structure of CrCl₃ [21,22]. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the crystal structure of α -RuCl₃. The crystal structure is composed of RuCl₆ octahedra, which are linked in the *ab* plane by sharing edges. Magnetic Ru³⁺ ions with the 4*d*⁵ electronic state form a slightly distorted honeycomb lattice. It has been reported that α -RuCl₃ undergoes magnetic ordering at $T_{\rm N} = 13-15.6$ K [13–15]. However, little is known about the magnetic properties of α -RuCl₃.

When Ru^{3+} has the high-spin state, the total angular momentum and total spin are given by L=0 and S=5/2, respectively; thus the magnetic moment is given by the spin only. Consequently, the exchange interaction and g factor

become isotropic. On the other hand, when Ru^{3+} has the low-spin state, the orbital and spin states are described by l = 1 and S = 1/2, respectively. In this case, the magnetic moment is given by the effective spin-1/2, which is composed of the orbital angular momentum and true spin. In general, the exchange interaction and g factor for the effective spin are fairly anisotropic.

In this paper, we present the results of magnetization and specific heat measurements on α -RuCl₃. It was observed that the magnetic susceptibilities in α -RuCl₃ are strongly anisotropic, which indicates the low-spin state of Ru³⁺ together with small entropy. It was found that the magnetic ordering occurs in multiple steps, which we consider to be due to competition between exchange interactions. This paper is organized as follows. Experimental procedure is described in Sec. II. Experimental results are given in Sec. III. The exchange interaction, the *g* factor for the low-spin state of Ru³⁺ and the phase diagram are discussed in Sec. IV. Section V is devoted to a conclusion.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of RuCl₃ were grown from a melt by the vertical Bridgman technique. Fine-grained RuCl₃ was dehydrated in a quartz tube at 100 °C for three days. The temperature of the center of the furnace was set at 1100 °C and the quartz tube was moved downward in the furnace at a rate of 3 mm/h over 80 h. The crystals obtained were black and had wide surfaces parallel to the *ab* plane. The crystals were soft and easily bent like foil.

Magnetization measurements were performed using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XL) in the temperature range $1.8 \text{ K} \le T \le 100 \text{ K}$ in magnetic fields of up to 7 T. Magnetic fields were applied parallel and perpendicular

^{*}tanaka@lee.phys.titech.ac.jp



FIG. 1. (Color online) Monoclinic crystal structure (C2/m) of α -RuCl₃ at room temperature (a) viewed perpendicular to the *ab* plane and (b) viewed along the *b* axis. Small purple and large green spheres are Ru³⁺ and Cl⁻ ions, respectively. Thin solid lines denote the chemical unit cells. (c) Trigonal crystal structure ($R\bar{3}$) of CrCl₃ in the low-temperature phase viewed along the *c* axis, which is expected to be the same as the low-temperature crystal structure of α -RuCl₃.

to the *ab* plane. High-field magnetization measurement in a magnetic field of up to 57.5 T was performed at 4.2 and 1.3 K using an induction method with a multilayer pulse magnet at the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo. The absolute value of the high-field magnetization was calibrated with the magnetization measured by the SQUID magnetometer. The specific heat was measured down to 0.36 K in magnetic fields of up to 9 T using a physical property measurement system (Quantum Design PPMS) by the relaxation method.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of (a) magnetic susceptibilities $\chi = M/H$ and (b) inverse magnetic susceptibilities in α -RuCl₃ measured at H = 0.1 T for $H \parallel ab$ and $H \perp ab$. The arrow indicates the structural phase transition temperature $T_{\rm t}$.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibilities and inverse susceptibilities measured for a magnetic field H parallel and perpendicular to the ab plane. The magnetic susceptibility for $H \parallel ab$ plane is much larger than that for $H \perp ab$ plane. The strongly anisotropic susceptibility is mainly attributed to the strongly anisotropic g factor, which results from the low-spin state of Ru³⁺. The anisotropy of the g factor is discussed in detail in the next section.

In the inverse susceptibility for $H \perp ab$ plane, a discontinuous change is observed at $T_t \simeq 150$ K. Figure 3 shows an enlargement of the magnetic susceptibility for $H \perp ab$ plane around T_t . Hysteresis is clearly observed at the transition temperatures, $T_t = 141$ and 167 K upon cooling and heating, respectively. This anomaly in the susceptibility is ascribed to the structural phase transition. Although we performed x-ray crystal analysis below T_t , we could not determine the low-temperature structure because sharp x-ray spots were not observed owing to the softness of the crystal. In the closely



FIG. 3. (Color online) Hysteresis in magnetic susceptibility observed around T = 150 K for $H \perp ab$.

related compound CrCl₃, a structural phase transition from the monoclinic structure (C2/m) to the trigonal structure $(R\overline{3})$ takes place at $T_t \simeq 240$ K [22]. Thus it is likely that the low-temperature structure is the same as the low-temperature structure of CrCl₃, which is shown in Fig. 1(c). It is noted that the specific heat data show no sharp anomaly at the structural phase transition temperature $T_t \simeq 150$ K. We consider that this is because the relaxation method used in this work is less sensitive to the first-order phase transition with large latent heat.

Figure 4 shows the low-temperature magnetic susceptibilities measured for $H \parallel ab$ and $H \perp ab$. Clear anomalies indicative of magnetic phase transitions are observed at T = 13.9and 7.6 K in both susceptibility data. These phase transitions are confirmed by performing specific heat measurements as shown below. Our low-temperature magnetic susceptibilities are consistent with those reported recently by Sears *et al.* [17] and Majumder *et al.* [18] However, we observed that for $H \perp ab$, the magnetic susceptibilities in some α -RuCl₃ samples decrease at 7.6 K with decreasing temperature in contrast to the behavior shown in Fig. 4(b).

Figure 5(a) shows the low-temperature magnetic susceptibility $\chi = M/H$ measured at various magnetic fields for $H \parallel ab$. Arrows indicate the transition temperatures determined from the specific heat anomaly. These transition temperatures are close to those giving local maxima or minima of $d\chi/dT$, as shown in Fig. 5(b). The spike anomaly at 12 K is due to an instrumental problem. With increasing magnetic field, the transition temperatures decrease, and for H > 4 T, the high-temperature transition splits into two transitions.

Figures 6 and 7 show the magnetic field dependence of magnetization and its field derivative dM/dH measured at 1.3 K for $H \parallel ab$ and $H \perp ab$, respectively. In both figures, the upper and lower panels show data taken with the highest magnetic fields of 57.5 and 14 T, respectively. The absolute values of the magnetization for $H \parallel ab$ and $H \perp ab$ are considerably different, as observed in the magnetic susceptibilities. This is ascribed to the strongly anisotropic g factors. Extrapolating the magnetization curves to higher fields, we estimate the g factors to be $g_{ab} = 2.5 \pm 0.2$ and



FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Low-temperature magnetic susceptibilities in α -RuCl₃ measured at H = 0.1 T for (a) $H \parallel ab$ and (b) $H \perp ab$. Arrows indicate the anomalies caused by magnetic phase transitions.

 $g_c = 0.40 \pm 0.03$. As shown by arrows in Figs. 6 and 7, some anomalies in dM/dH indicative of field-induced phase transitions are observed at H = 1.6, 6.2, 7.5, 7.9, and 9.6 T for $H \parallel ab$, and at H = 7.9 and 9.1 T for $H \perp ab$. For $H \parallel ab$, the field-induced phase transition occurs in many steps. However, a distinct transition to saturation is not observed for either field direction, despite the sufficiently low temperature of 1.3 K. This indicates that the total spin is not conserved. Because α -RuCl₃ is considered to be a localized spin system at helium temperatures, a strong antisymmetric interaction such as the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction may be responsible for the smearing of the saturation transition.

Figure 8 shows the temperature dependence of specific heat *C* and *C*/*T* measured at various magnetic fields for $H \parallel ab$. The anomaly in *C*/*T* near 14 K is due to the instrumental problem. Our specific heat data are consistent with those reported by Majumder *et al.* [18]. At zero magnetic field, a sharp peak is observed at $T_{N4} = 7.6$ K. Above 7.6 K, three small anomalies are observed at $T_{N3} = 10.4$ K, $T_{N2} = 12.3$ K, and $T_{N1} = 13.8$ K as indicated by arrows. We consider that these anomalies arise from magnetic phase transitions, because they are sharper than those owing to the short-range spin correlation. The present result shows that the



FIG. 5. (Color online) Low-temperature magnetic susceptibilities in α -RuCl₃ measured at various magnetic fields for $H \parallel ab$. The susceptibility data are shifted upward by multiples of 2×10^{-3} emu/mol. Arrows indicate the transition temperatures determined from the specific heat anomaly. (b) Derivative of χ with respect to T measured at H = 6 T. Arrows indicate the transition temperatures determined from the specific heat anomaly, which are close to those giving local maxima or minima of $d\chi/dT$.

magnetic ordering occurs in four steps. The transitions at T_{N1} and T_{N4} are also clearly observed in the magnetic susceptibility as shown in Fig. 4.

The magnetic specific heat C_{mag} was evaluated using the specific heat of nonmagnetic ScCl₃, which has a similar layered crystal structure to RuCl₃ [23]. Figure 9 shows the temperature dependence of C_{mag}/T and magnetic entropy S_{mag} at zero magnetic field. C_{mag}/T has a broad maximum around 85 K, which is interpreted to be caused by the short-range spin correlation. The magnetic entropy obtained at 140 K ($<T_t$) is $S_{\text{mag}} = 3.8$ J/mol K, which is approximately two-third of $R \ln 2 = 5.76$. This small magnetic entropy is consistent with the low-spin state of Ru³⁺ with effective spin 1/2.

As shown in Fig. 8, with increasing magnetic field for $H \parallel ab$, the peak heights of the anomalous specific heat for all the phase transitions decrease and all the transition temperatures shift gradually towards the low-temperature side. The lowest transition temperature T_{N4} decreases rapidly above





FIG. 6. (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the magnetization M and its field derivative dM/dH measured at 1.3 K for $H \parallel ab$ in α -RuCl₃ for the highest fields of (a) 57.5 and (b) 14 T. Arrows indicate the transition fields.

7 T. This can be observed in the field scans of the specific heat at three temperatures below 4 K, as shown in Fig. 10. The peak around 7.8 T, indicative of a magnetic phase transition, is interpreted to be connected to the transition line for $T_{\rm N4}$ for $H \leq 7$ T. The high-temperature transition $T_{\rm N1}$ splits into two transitions above H = 5 T.

The transition data obtained for $H \parallel ab$ are summarized in Fig. 11. Four ordered phases exist at zero magnetic field and six ground states exist in magnetic fields. The features of α -RuCl₃ observed in the present measurements are strongly anisotropic magnetic properties and many ordered phases. In the next section, we discuss these features.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Effective exchange model and g factor

In this section, we discuss the strongly anisotropic magnetic properties and derive an effective model that describes the low-temperature and low-energy magnetic properties of α -RuCl₃ in accordance with the effective model of Co²⁺ in an octahedral environment [24,25]. Because the magnetic entropy obtained from the specific heat data indicates that the effective spin of Ru³⁺ is one-half, it is natural to assume that the five electrons



FIG. 7. (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the magnetization M and its field derivative dM/dH measured at 1.3 K for $H \perp ab$ in α -RuCl₃ with the highest fields of (a) 57.5 and (b) 20 T. Arrows indicate the transition fields.

in the 4*d* orbitals of Ru^{3+} are in the low-spin state owing to the strong crystalline fields from surrounding Cl^{-} ions.

In the low-spin state, all five electrons in the 4d orbitals occupy the $d\epsilon$ orbital. Because the matrix elements of the

orbital angular momenta $l_{d\epsilon}^x$, $l_{d\epsilon}^y$, and $l_{d\epsilon}^z$ with respect to the orbital states ϕ_{ξ} , ϕ_{η} , and ϕ_{ζ} for the $d\epsilon$ orbital are given by changing the sign of those for the orbital angular momenta l_p^x , l_p^y , and l_p^z with respect to the orbital states ϕ_x , ϕ_y , and ϕ_z for the *p* orbital, respectively, we can replace $I_{d\epsilon}$ by -I with l = 1. The spin-orbit coupling of these electrons is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{so} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}^{2}Z}{r_{i}^{3}} (\boldsymbol{l}_{d\epsilon,i} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{i}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{g\mu_{\rm B}^{2}Z}{r_{i}^{3}} (\boldsymbol{l}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{i}).$$
(1)

For the three electrons with up spin, their orbital angular momenta cancel out, $\sum_{i=1}^{3} l_i = 0$. For the other two electrons with down spin, their spin s_i (i = 4 and 5) is expressed using the total spin S with S = 1/2 as $s_i = -S$. For these reasons, the spin-orbit coupling of Eq. (1) is written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm so} = g\mu_{\rm B}^{2} Z\left(\frac{1}{r^{3}}\right) (\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}). \tag{2}$$

The coupling constant λ is positive and its magnitude has been reported to be $\lambda \simeq 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [26]. When the *p* orbital of the surrounding Cl⁻ is mixed with the 4*d* orbitals of Ru³⁺, the matrix elements of the angular momentum *l* are reduced. This effect is expressed by replacing *l* with *kl* with $0 < k \leq 1$.

The orbital state of the low-spin state of Ru^{3+} in an octahedral environment is triply degenerate. The orbital degeneracy can be lifted by the spin-orbit coupling and the trigonal crystalline field, which are written as

$$\mathcal{H}' = \lambda' (\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}) + \delta[(l^z)^2 - 2/3], \tag{3}$$

where $\lambda' = k\lambda$, and the second term represents the energy of the trigonal crystalline field. When the RuCl₆ octahedron is trigonally compressed, $\delta > 0$, and when it is elongated, $\delta < 0$. The orbital triplet splits into three Kramers doublets. Their eigenvalues are expressed as

$$\frac{E_1}{\lambda'} = \frac{\delta}{3\lambda'} + \frac{1}{2} \tag{4}$$



FIG. 8. (Color online) Low-temperature specific heat C and C/T in α -RuCl₃ measured at various magnetic fields for $H \parallel ab$. The specific heat data and C/T are shifted upward by multiples of 2 J/mol K and 0.2 J/mol K², respectively. Arrows show the anomalies indicative of magnetic phase transitions.



FIG. 9. (Color online) Temperature dependence of C_{mag}/T in α -RuCl₃ measured at zero magnetic field. The solid curve represents the magnetic entropy S_{mag} .

and

$$\frac{E_{q}^{\pm}}{\lambda'} = -\frac{\delta}{6\lambda'} - \frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda'}\right)^{2} - \frac{\delta}{\lambda'} + \frac{9}{4}}.$$
 (5)

These eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 12 as a function of δ/λ' .

When the temperature T is much lower than $\lambda' \simeq 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [26], i.e., T < 100 K, the magnetic property is determined by the lowest Kramers doublet with $E = E_q^-$. The eigen-states of the lowest Kramers doublet are expressed as

$$\psi_{\pm} = c_1 |\pm 1, \pm 1/2 \rangle + c_2 |0, \pm 1/2 \rangle,$$
 (6)

where $|m_l, m_S\rangle$ denotes the state with $l^z = m_l$ and $S^z = m_S$. Coefficients c_1 and c_2 are given by

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + 1}}}, \quad c_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + 1}}},$$
 (7)



FIG. 10. (Color online) Field scans of the specific heat in α -RuCl₃ measured at 1.3, 2.4, and 3.5 K for $H \parallel ab$. Arrows show the anomalies indicative of magnetic phase transitions.



FIG. 11. (Color online) Magnetic phase diagram for $H \parallel ab$. The circular and triangular symbols are transition points determined from the temperature and field dependencies of specific heat, respectively, and the rectangular symbols are those determined from the magnetization process. Solid and dashed lines are visual guides.

with

$$A = \frac{2(\delta/\lambda') - 1}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$
(8)

Within the lowest Kramers doublet, we have

$$\langle \psi_{\pm} | S^{z} | \psi_{\pm} \rangle = \mp \frac{1}{2} (c_{1}^{2} - c_{2}^{2}),$$

$$\langle \psi_{+} | S^{+} | \psi_{-} \rangle = \langle \psi_{-} | S^{-} | \psi_{+} \rangle = c_{2}^{2}.$$

$$(9)$$

Using these relations, we can replace the true spin *S* with S = 1/2 by the spin-1/2 operator *s* given by

$$S^{x} = c_{2}^{2}s^{x}, \quad S^{y} = c_{2}^{2}s^{y}, \quad S^{z} = -(c_{1}^{2} - c_{2}^{2})s^{z}.$$
 (10)

We assume that the exchange interaction between true spins S_i and S_j is described by the Heisenberg model $\mathcal{H}_{ex} = JS_i \cdot S_j$. Substituting Eq. (10) into \mathcal{H}_{ex} , we obtain the



FIG. 12. (Color online) Energy levels of E_1 and E_q^{\pm} as a function of δ/λ' .

TABLE I. Coefficients c_1 and c_2 , exchange constants J^{\perp} and J^{\parallel} and g factors g^{\perp} and g^{\parallel} in the special cases of δ/λ' .

δ/λ'	<i>c</i> ₁	<i>C</i> ₂	J^{\perp}	J^{\parallel}	g^{\perp}	g^{\parallel}
0	$\sqrt{2/3}$	$-1/\sqrt{3}$	J/9	J/9	2(1+2k)/3	2(1+2k)/3
1/2	$1/\sqrt{2}$	$-1/\sqrt{2}$	J/4	0	$1 + \sqrt{2k}$	k
1	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$-\sqrt{2/3}$	4J/9	J/9	4(1+k)/3	2(1-k)/3
∞	0	-1	J	J	2	2
$-\infty$	1	0	0	J	0	2(k + 1)

effective model

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} = J^{\perp} \left(s_i^x s_j^x + s_i^y s_j^y \right) + J^{\parallel} s_i^z s_j^z, \tag{11}$$

with

$$J^{\perp} = c_2^4 J, \quad J^{\parallel} = \left(c_1^2 - c_2^2\right)^2 J.$$
 (12)

The exchange constants J^{\perp} and J^{\parallel} in the special cases are shown in Table I. When the trigonal crystalline field is absent ($\delta = 0$), the effective exchange interaction \mathcal{H}_{eff} becomes the Heisenberg model, while when $\delta/\lambda' = 1/2$, it becomes the complete XY model. For $\delta/\lambda' \to \infty$, the orbital angular momentum is quenched, so that the magnetic moment is given by the spin only, and \mathcal{H}_{eff} again becomes the Heisenberg model. For $\delta/\lambda' < 0$, \mathcal{H}_{eff} is Ising-like and becomes the complete Ising model for $\delta/\lambda' \to -\infty$.

The lowest Kramers doublet splits into two Zeeman levels when subjected to a magnetic field. The splitting of the Zeeman levels in Ru^{3+} has been discussed by many authors [26–28]. The Zeeman term is written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Z}} = -\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(-k\boldsymbol{l} + 2\boldsymbol{S}) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}.$$
 (13)

When a magnetic field is applied parallel to the trigonal axis, the Zeeman energy is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\parallel} = -\mu_{\mathbf{B}} \langle \psi_{\mp} | (-kl^{z} + 2S^{z}) | \psi_{\mp} \rangle H = -g^{\parallel} \mu_{\mathbf{B}} s^{z} H, \quad (14)$$

with

$$g^{\parallel} = 2 \left| \left[(k+1)c_1^2 - c_2^2 \right] \right|.$$
(15)

Note that the Zeeman levels of ψ_{-} and ψ_{+} are reversed at $(c_2/c_1)^2 = k + 1$. The Zeeman energy for a magnetic field perpendicular to the trigonal axis is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm Z}^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\rm B}\langle\psi_{\pm}| - k(l^+ + l^-) + 2(S^+ + S^-)|\psi_{\mp}\rangle H$$

= $-g^{\perp}\mu_{\rm B}s^x H,$ (16)

with

$$g^{\perp} = 2(c_2^2 - \sqrt{2kc_1c_2}).$$
(17)

Figure 13 shows these g factors as a function of δ/λ' . The g factors in the special cases are shown in Table I.

The g factors estimated from the high-field magnetization process are $g_{ab} = g_{exp}^{\perp} \simeq 2.5$ and $g_c = g_{exp}^{\parallel} \simeq 0.4$. This indicates that $\delta/\lambda' \sim 1$ in RuCl₃. Figure 13(b) shows the behavior of the g factors in the range of $0 \le \delta/\lambda' \le 2$, where g^{\parallel} changes rapidly with varying δ/λ' . There are two sets of parameters,



FIG. 13. (Color online) (a) *g* factors as a function of δ/λ' . (b) Enlargement of the *g* factors between $\delta/\lambda' = 0$ and 2. The two horizontal lines are experimental *g* factors estimated from the high-field magnetization process. Open circles and squares represent two sets of the *g* factors suitable for α -RuCl₃.

 $(\delta/\lambda',k) = (0.77, 0.95)$ and (1.18, 0.83), that satisfy the g factors observed for α -RuCl₃. In the present experiment, it is difficult to evaluate which set of parameters is realized for RuCl₃. The exchange anisotropy is given as $J^{\parallel}/J^{\perp} = 0.099$ and 0.37 for $\delta/\lambda' = 0.77$ and 1.18, respectively. Hence the exchange interaction between effective spins is strongly XYlike in α -RuCl₃. From the above discussion, we can conclude that the strongly anisotropic magnetic properties observed in α -RuCl₃ arise from the trigonal crystalline field, which is close to the spin-orbit coupling, $\delta/\lambda' \simeq 1$. When uniaxial pressure is applied parallel to the trigonal axis, that is, normal to the ab plane, the coefficient δ of the trigonal crystalline field will increase because the magnitude of the trigonal compression of the RuCl₆ octahedron is increased by the uniaxial pressure. If $\delta/\lambda' = 0.77$ at ambient pressure, g_c will decrease under the uniaxial pressure, while if $\delta/\lambda' = 1.18$, g_c will increase. Thus magnetization measurements under the uniaxial pressure will be useful in determining the parameter δ/λ' .

B. Successive magnetic phase transitions

As shown in the phase diagram of Fig. 11, α -RuCl₃ undergoes four magnetic phase transitions at zero magnetic

field. If the frustration is absent, then a single magnetic phase transition will occur because the honeycomb lattice is bipartite. Hence it is considered that the successive phase transitions arise from the frustration effect owing to the competing interactions.

Recently, Sears *et al.* [17] investigated the spin structure below $T_{N4} = 7.6$ K at zero magnetic field by neutron diffraction. They reported that the so-called zigzag-type order is realized below T_{N4} . They discussed the ground state from the viewpoint of the competition between the Heisenberg term $JS_i \cdot S_j$ and the Kitaev term $-KS_i^{\gamma}S_j^{\gamma}$, where γ corresponds to the direction of the bond connecting S_i and S_j . A possible origin of the Kitaev term is discussed in Appendix. However, it appears difficult to derive the successive phase transitions within the nearest neighbor interactions.

In MnBr₂, MnI₂, and NiBr₂, which have the similar layered crystal structure to α -RuCl₃, two magnetic phase transitions have been observed at zero magnetic field [29–32]. Their successive phase transitions have been explained theoretically in terms of the competition among the exchange interactions up to the third neighbor and the interlayer exchange interaction [33,34].

When MX₆ octahedra centered by magnetic ions M form a honeycomb or triangular lattice by sharing their edges, the nearest-neighbor exchange bond angle M-X-M is close to 90°. In α -RuCl₃, the nearest-neighbor exchange bond angle Ru–Cl–Ru is approximately 96°. When the bond angle is close to 90°, the exchange tends to be ferromagnetic or weak, even if it is antiferromagnetic [35]. Actually, in closely related CrCl₃, a ferromagnetic ordering is realized in the honeycomb lattice [36,37]. The second- and third-neighbor exchange interactions J_2 and J_3 in α -RuCl₃ are considered to be the same order of magnitude as the nearest-neighbor exchange interaction J_1 , as observed in MnBr₂, MnI₂, and NiBr₂.

The classical ground state for the $J_1 - J_2 - J_3$ Heisenberg and XY model was theoretically investigated by Rastelli *et al.* [38] and Fouet *et al.* [39]. They showed that zigzag ordering emerges when $J_2/J_1 > 1/2$ and $J_3/J_1 > 1/2$ for antiferromagnetic J_1 (>0) and when $J_2/J_1 < 1/2$ and $J_3/J_1 < 0$ for ferromagnetic J_1 (<0). Thus zigzag ordering is possible in a realistic parameter range. Therefore we infer that the successive phase transitions and zigzag magnetic ordering observed in α -RuCl₃ are attributed to the competition among the exchange interactions up to the third neighbor and the interlayer exchange interaction. However, a theoretical description of the successive phase transitions is an open problem.

V. CONCLUSION

We have presented the results of magnetization and specific heat measurements on the honeycomb-lattice magnet α -RuCl₃. This compound undergoes a first-order structural phase transition at $T_t = 154 \pm 13$ K. The structural phase transition is expected to be a transition from the monoclinic room-temperature structure (C2/m) to a trigonal structure by analogy with that observed in closely related CrCl₃ [22].

The magnetic susceptibility and magnetization are strongly anisotropic, i.e., these quantities for $H \parallel ab$ are much larger

than those for $H \perp ab$. This is ascribed to the strongly anisotropic g-factor characteristic of the low-spin state of Ru³⁺ with the 4d⁵ electronic state. We discussed the effective exchange interaction and g factor taking the spin-orbit coupling and trigonal crystalline field into consideration. We demonstrated that the strongly anisotropic magnetic properties observed in α -RuCl₃ occur when the magnitudes of the spin-orbit coupling and trigonal crystalline field are close to each other, i.e., $\delta/\lambda' \simeq 1$, and that the effective exchange interaction is strongly XY-like in α -RuCl₃.

It was found from the specific heat and magnetization measurements that α -RuCl₃ undergoes four magnetic phase transitions at zero magnetic field and five field-induced transitions at T = 0. We presented the magnetic field versus temperature phase diagram for $H \parallel ab$ in Fig. 11. We suggest that these successive phase transitions are attributed to the competition among the nearest-, second-, and third-neighbor exchange interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our profound gratitude to H. Uekusa for useful discussion on the crystal structure. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (A) Nos. 17204028 and 26247058.

APPENDIX : POSSIBLE ORIGIN OF THE KITAEV TERM

Here, we give a possible origin of the Kitaev term. As discussed above, the dominant exchange interaction between magnetic moments is the spin-1/2 XXZ model expressed by Eq. (11), which is isotropic in the *ab* plane. We consider the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction $D \cdot [s_1 \times s_2]$ with the *D* vector parallel to the bond vector $\mathbf{r}_{12} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1$. This condition is allowed when the space group is $P3_112$. In this case, there is a twofold axis passing two neighboring spins, which leads to $D \parallel \mathbf{r}_{12}$ [40]. The interaction between the nearest-neighbor spins s_1 and s_2 is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = J^{\perp} \left(s_1^x s_2^x + s_1^y s_2^y \right) + J^{\parallel} s_1^z s_2^z + \boldsymbol{D} \cdot [\boldsymbol{s}_1 \times \boldsymbol{s}_2], \quad (A1)$$

where the z axis is chosen to be normal to the honeycomb lattice. When the XXZ-type exchange interaction is antiferromagnetic, the stable classical spin configuration is a canted antiferromagnetic state as illustrated in Fig. 14. The canting



FIG. 14. (Color online) Classical configuration of spins s_i and s_j and coordinate systems. The *y* direction is chosen to be parallel to the bond vector r_{12} and D.

angle θ is given by

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2D}{J^{\perp} + J^{\parallel}}.$$
 (A2)

Here, we define the local coordinates x'yz' - O and x''yz'' - O as shown in Fig. 14, i.e., the x' and x'' axes are taken to be parallel and antiparallel to the spins s_1 and s_2 , respectively. The y axis is parallel to the bond vector and D. The spin operators $s_{1,2}^x$ and $s_{1,2}^z$ in the original coordinate system are expressed as

$$s_{1}^{x} = s_{1}^{x'} \cos \theta - s_{1}^{z'} \sin \theta, \quad s_{1}^{z} = s_{1}^{x'} \sin \theta + s_{1}^{z'} \cos \theta,$$

$$s_{2}^{x} = s_{2}^{x''} \cos \theta + s_{2}^{z''} \sin \theta, \quad s_{2}^{z} = -s_{2}^{x''} \sin \theta + s_{2}^{z''} \cos \theta.$$
(A3)

Substituting Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = (J^{\perp} + K) \left(s_1^{x'} s_2^{x''} + s_1^{y} s_2^{y} \right) + (J^{\parallel} + K) s_1^{z'} s_2^{z''} - K s_1^{y} s_2^{y},$$
(A4)

with

$$K = 2D\sin\theta\cos\theta - (J^{\perp} + J^{\parallel})\sin^2\theta.$$
 (A5)

Because the y axis is parallel to the bond vector r_{12} , which has three directions in the crystal depending on the configuration of s_1 and s_2 , Eq. (A4) is equivalent to the spin-1/2 Kitaev-XXZ model. As described above, the DM interaction can be the origin of the Kitaev term.

- H. Mosadeq, F. Shahbazi, and S. A. Jafari, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 23, 226006 (2011).
- [2] R. Ganesh, D. N. Sheng, Y.-J. Kim, and A. Paramekanti, Phys. Rev. B 83, 144414 (2011).
- [3] R. F. Bishop, P. H. Y. Li, D. J. J. Farnell, and C. E. Campbell, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 236002 (2012).
- [4] P. H. Y. Li, R. F. Bishop, D. J. J. Farnell, and C. E. Campbell, Phys. Rev. B 86, 144404 (2012).
- [5] R. Ganesh, S. Nishimoto, and J. van den Brink, Phys. Rev. B 87, 054413 (2013).
- [6] M. Matsuda, M. Azuma, M. Tokunaga, Y. Shimakawa, and N. Kumada, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 187201 (2010).
- [7] Y. Singh and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. B 82, 064412 (2010).
- [8] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 027204 (2010).
- [9] X. Liu, T. Berlijn, W.-G. Yin, W. Ku, A. Tsvelik, Y.-J. Kim, H. Gretarsson, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, and J. P. Hill, Phys. Rev. B 83, 220403(R) (2011).
- [10] F. Ye, S. Chi, H. Cao, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, R. Custelcean, T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, and G. Cao, Phys. Rev. B 85, 180403(R) (2012).
- [11] S. K. Choi, R. Coldea, A. N. Kolmogorov, T. Lancaster, I. I. Mazin, S. J. Blundell, P. G. Radaelli, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, K. R. Choi, S.-W. Cheong, P. J. Baker, C. Stock, and J. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 127204 (2012).
- [12] J. M. Fletcher, W. E. Gardner, E. W. Hooper, K. R. Hyde, F. H. Moore, and J. L. Woodhead, Nature (London) 199, 1089 (1963).
- [13] J. M. Fletcher, W. E. Gardner, A. C. Fox, and G. Topping, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1038 (1967).
- [14] Y. Kobayashi, T. Okada, K. Asai, M. Katada, H. Sano, and F. Ambe, Inorg. Chem. **31**, 4570 (1992).
- [15] L. Wang, M. R. Lane, P. Brazis, C. R. Kannewurf, Y. I. Kim, W. Lee, J. H. Choy, and M. G. Kanatzidis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 6629 (2000).
- [16] K. W. Plumb, J. P. Clancy, L. J. Sandilands, V. V. Shankar, Y. F. Hu, K. S. Burch, H. Y. Kee, and Y. J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 90, 041112 (2014).

- [17] J. A. Sears, M. Songvilay, K. W. Plumb, J. P. Clancy, Y. Qiu, and Y. J. Kim, arXiv:1411.4610.
- [18] M. Majumder, M. Schmidt, H. Rosner, A. A. Tsirlin, H. Yasuoka, and M. Baenitz, arXiv:1411.6515.
- [19] V. V. Shankar, H. S. Kim, and H. Y. Kee, arXiv:1411.6623.
- [20] K. Brodersen, G. Thiele, H. Ohnsorge, I. Recke, and F. Moers, J. Less-Common Met. 15, 347 (1968).
- [21] J. W. Cable, M. I. Wilkinson, and E. O. Wollan, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 19, 29 (1961).
- [22] B. Morosin and A. Narath, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 1958 (1964).
- [23] H. Fjellvåg and P. Karen, Acta Chem. Scand. 48, 294 (1994).
- [24] A. Abragam and M. H. L. Pryce, Proc. R. Soc. A 206, 173 (1956).
- [25] M. E. Lines, Phys. Rev. 131, 546 (1963).
- [26] S. Geschwind and J. P. Remeika, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 370 (1962).
- [27] S. Y. Wu, Q. Fu, H. M. Zhang, and G. D. Lu, J. Alloys Compd. 455, 42 (2008).
- [28] L. He and W. C. Zheng, Phys. Status Solidi B 246, 170 (2009).
- [29] K. Iio, H. Masuda, H. Tanaka, and K. Nagata, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 90–91, 265 (1990).
- [30] T. Sato, H. Kadowaki, and K. Iio, Physica B 213–214, 224 (1995).
- [31] P. Day and K. R. A. Ziebeck, J. Phys. C 13, L523 (1980).
- [32] A. Adam, D. Billerey, C. Terrier, R. Mainard, L. P. Regnault, J. Rossat-Mignod, and P. Mériel, Solid State Commun. 35, 1 (1980).
- [33] H. Yoshiyama, N. Suzuki, and K. Motizuki, J. Phys. C 17, 713 (1984).
- [34] H. Yoshiyama and K. Motizuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 54, 339 (1985).
- [35] J. Kanamori, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 10, 87 (1959).
- [36] H. Bizette, C. Terrier, and A. Adam, Comptes Rendus **252**, 1571 (1961).
- [37] B. Kuhlow, Phys. Status Solidi A 72, 161 (1982).
- [38] E. Rastelli, A. Tassi, and L. Reatto, Physica B & C 97, 1 (1979).
- [39] J. B. Fouet, P. Sindzingre, and C. Lhuillier, Eur. Phys. J. B 20, 241 (2001).
- [40] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. 120, 91 (1960).