Superdiffusive heat conduction in semiconductor alloys. II. Truncated Levy formalism ´ for experimental analysis

Bjorn Vermeersch,^{1,*} Amr M. S. Mohammed,¹ Gilles Pernot,² Yee Rui Koh,¹ and Ali Shakouri^{1,†}

¹*Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA*

²*LOMA, Universite de Bordeaux 1, Talence 33400, France ´*

(Received 30 June 2014; revised manuscript received 10 December 2014; published 10 February 2015)

Nearly all experimental observations of quasiballistic heat flow are interpreted using Fourier theory with modified thermal conductivity. Detailed Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) analysis, however, reveals that the quasi-ballistic motion of thermal energy in semiconductor alloys is no longer Brownian but instead exhibits Lévy dynamics with fractal dimension $\alpha < 2$. Here, we present a framework that enables full three-dimensional experimental analysis by retaining all essential physics of the quasiballistic BTE dynamics phenomenologically. A stochastic process with just two fitting parameters describes the transition from pure Lévy superdiffusion as short length and time scales to regular Fourier diffusion. The model provides accurate fits to time domain thermoreflectance raw experimental data over the full modulation frequency range without requiring any "effective" thermal parameters and without any *a priori* knowledge of microscopic phonon scattering mechanisms. Identified *α* values for InGaAs and SiGe match *ab initio* BTE predictions within a few percent. Our results provide experimental evidence of fractal Lévy heat conduction in semiconductor alloys. The formalism additionally indicates that the transient temperature inside the material differs significantly from Fourier theory and can lead to improved thermal characterization of nanoscale devices and material interfaces.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085203](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085203) PACS number(s): 65*.*40*.*−b*,* 63*.*20*.*−e*,* 05*.*40*.*Fb

I. INTRODUCTION

Heat in nonmetallic solids is predominantly conducted by random motion of energy carriers called phonons [\[1\]](#page-6-0). The spectrum of phonon mean free paths (MFPs), i.e., the distribution of the average distance phonons travel ballistically between consecutive scattering events, governs key aspects of the thermal behavior. Even at room temperature, a significant portion of heat in commonly used semiconductors is found to be carried by phonons with MFPs well into the micron range [\[2,3\]](#page-6-0). Thermal transport over these length scales is of crucial importance for nanoscale devices [\[4\]](#page-6-0).

The macroscopic net result of the phonon dynamics is observed as redistribution of thermal energy typically described by the Fourier diffusion equation. However, when the dimensions of the thermal gradient become comparable to phonon MFPs, this classical model begins to fail [\[5\]](#page-6-0). Several hyperbolic heat conduction [\[6\]](#page-6-0) and ballistic-diffusive [\[7\]](#page-6-0) theories were proposed but many characteristic features they predicted have not been observed experimentally. Measurements in which the physical dimensions [\[8–10\]](#page-6-0) or penetration depth [\[11,12\]](#page-6-0) of the heat source overlap with phonon MFPs exhibit an apparent reduction of effective thermal conductivity $[9-12]$ k_{eff} , or equivalently, an additional ballistic thermal resistance [\[8\]](#page-6-0). Despite showing clear evidence of nondiffusive thermal transport, most experiments are interpreted with modified Fourier theory, i.e., a regular diffusion model but with adjusted thermal parameters.

Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) analysis with *ab initio* phonon dispersions and scattering rates, documented in Paper I [\[13\]](#page-6-0), shows that quasiballistic transport in semiconductor alloys is no longer Brownian, but instead governed by a Lévy

1098-0121/2015/91(8)/085203(7) 085203-1 ©2015 American Physical Society

process with fractal dimension α < 2. The associated energy density distribution is non-Gaussian, and a new approach beyond modified Fourier theory is needed to accurately represent the quasiballistic transport dynamics. BTE solutions themselves, unfortunately, are not easily suitable for direct comparison with experiments. Analytical BTE modeling is typically limited to 1D analysis of the dominant cross-plane heat flow, leaving the method unable to account for lateral heat spreading and Gaussian shape of the heat source encountered in actual measurements. In addition, nonidealities in real world samples such as crystal impurities or grain boundaries cause the phonon spectra and resulting thermal properties to invariably deviate to some extent from *ab initio* predictions.

Here, we provide a phenomenological approach that preserves the essential dynamics contained within BTE solutions yet at the same time offers sufficient flexibility to achieve full three-dimensional (3D) analysis of experimental measurements. We stress that the BTE analysis performed in Paper I only serves to provide physical support for a Lévy-based approach, but is not directly involved in any way in the actual processing of measurement data. The formalism we present below is a fully autonomous model, independent of the relaxation time approximation, in which key properties of Lévy dynamics act as free fitting parameters. The method is widely applicable to heat conduction dominated by mass impurity (or other high order) phonon scattering, without requiring *ab initio* simulations or any other prior knowledge about the phonon properties of the specific material at hand. Our formalism provides superior fittings of raw thermoreflectance (TR) experimental data without the need for any "effective" thermal parameters varying with laser modulation frequency. Identified Levy fractal dimensions in InGaAs and SiGe ´ match *ab initio* BTE predictions within a few percent. In addition, the formalism offers great potential for improved thermal performance characterization of nanoscale devices and metal/semiconductor interfaces.

^{*}bvermeer@purdue.edu

[†] shakouri@purdue.edu

II. METHODOLOGY

Our formalism is based on a probabilistic framework: the motion of thermal energy inside the semiconductor is described in terms of a stochastic process. The method relies on the duality $T(x,t) \leftrightarrow P(x,t)/C$ between the temperature response *T* to a unit energy impulse and probability *P* to find a random-walking energy carrier in position *x* at time *t*. *C* denotes the volumetric heat capacity of the medium. Continuous time random walk (CTRW) processes essentially consist of a series of transition events [\[14\]](#page-6-0). Each transition increments the position of the energy carrier by an amount *u* randomly chosen from a distribution $p_U(u)$, while the time between consecutive transitions is governed by a distribution $p_T(t)$. In our context, the process is Poissonian in time, $p_T(t) = \Theta \exp(-\Theta t)$ with Θ the average number of transitions per second, while p_U is always an even function. The latter expresses equal probability for left and right transitions in accordance with thermal isotropy.

The mathematical description of the process simplifies considerably when p_U and p_T are stochastically independent. Physically, this decoupling of space and time results in a situation in which the transition velocity can sporadically become arbitrarily large. Although this is not a rigorous representation of actual phonon dynamics, an unbounded velocity approximation is physically adequate for our purposes. Justification follows by comparing the extent of the thermal gradient $\Delta x \simeq \ell$ to the energy containment $|x| \leq x_{\text{max}}$ imposed by finite phonon velocities. Here, $\ell = \sqrt{2Dt}$ is the Fourier thermal penetration depth with $D = \kappa / C$ the thermal diffusivity of the medium, and $x_{\text{max}} = vt$ with *v* the sound velocity. Time domain TR observations utilize data taken at pump-probe delays $t_{\text{pp}} \geq 50$ ps for thermal characterization. Even at such short times, we find that $x_{\text{max}}/\ell > 10$ in typical semiconductor alloys ($D \approx 5$ mm²/s, $v \approx 5000$ m/s). The finite velocity thus hardly imposes restrictions on the development of the thermal gradient at time scales probed by the experiments, and can be safely considered as effectively unbounded. The transitions in the resulting stochastic process with uncoupled space and time are typically called "flights" with "jump lengths" governed by p_U .

Regular Fourier diffusion is stochastically equivalent to Brownian motion [\[15\]](#page-6-0). This process obeys a jump length probability distribution $p_U(u) \propto |u|^{-3}$ and induces the familiar Gaussian energy density with mean-square displacement (MSD) $\ell^2(t) = 2Dt$. During the quasiballistic regime, however, BTE solutions of the energy density are governed by a Lévy process with fractal dimension α between 1 and 2 [\[13\]](#page-6-0). These dynamics correspond to jump length distributions $p_U(u) \propto |u|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ and induce characteristic fractal patterns [\[16\]](#page-6-0) consisting of medium range motion clusters separated by occasional long jumps [Fig. $1(a)$]. Lévy behavior has been observed in travel patterns of foraging animals [\[17\]](#page-6-0), protein movements along DNA chains [\[18\]](#page-6-0), turbulence in fluids [\[19\]](#page-6-0), and financial market fluctuations [\[20\]](#page-6-0). Similar effects were observed in the context of anomalous heat conduction in theoretical studies of 1D atomic chains between reservoirs at constant temperature [\[21\]](#page-6-0). The latter is still quite different from realistic experimental and technological configurations. The model we develop here describes 3D

quasiballistic heat flow in thermally semi-infinite structures subjected to a transient surface heat flux.

The inherent fractal nature of pure Lévy flights maintains the quasiballistic regime indefinitely. In reality, however, the thermal transport recovers to regular Fourier diffusion at sufficiently long length and time scales. This gradual transition between the two regimes is naturally embedded in the BTE framework. The same can be achieved here by considering a truncated Lévy (TL) process, in which the likelihood of very long jumps is suppressed [\[22,23\]](#page-6-0). The main principle is to force the tail of p_U to drop equally or more steeply than $|u|^{-3}$ such that Brownian dynamics are recovered at sufficiently large distances. For mathematical convenience, we use exponential truncation of the form

$$
p_{\text{U}}(u) = \frac{A \exp(-|u|/u_{\text{BD}})}{|u|^{(1+\alpha)}}\tag{1}
$$

with *A* a normalizing constant determined below and α , u_{BD} two fitting parameters. As demonstrated later, u_{BD} and associated $t_{BD} = u_{BD}^2/2D$ regulate respectively the length and time scales over which the transition from quasiballistic to diffusive transport occurs.We note here that certain subtypes of exponentially truncated Lévy flight processes can be described in terms of generalized fractional diffusion equations [\[24\]](#page-6-0). This may offer prospects for future development of a universal heat equation for microscale thermal transport in closed form.

The generalized CTRW master equation [\[14\]](#page-6-0) provides the single pulse energy density in Fourier-Laplace domain, $P(\xi, s)$, for given jump length and wait time distributions in transformed variables $p_U(\xi)$ and $p_T(s)$. For a Poissonian process with jump frequency Θ and stochastically independent jump length distribution $p_U(u)$, the solution can be inverted analytically to the time domain, and we obtain

$$
\ln \mathcal{P}(\xi, t) = -\Theta t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [1 - \exp(-j\xi u)] p_{\text{U}}(u) \mathrm{d}u \qquad (2)
$$

in which j denotes the complex unit. For the truncated Lévy jump length distribution described by (1) this can be evaluated analytically:

$$
1 < \alpha < 2 : \ln \mathcal{P}(\xi, t)
$$

= $(-2t) \frac{\pi A \Theta}{\Gamma(\alpha) \alpha \sin(\alpha \pi)} \left[\left(\xi^2 + \xi_{BD}^2\right)^{\alpha/2} \times \cos \left(\alpha \arctan \left[\frac{\xi_{BD}}{|\xi|}\right] - \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) - \xi_{BD}^{\alpha} \right]$ (3)

with $\xi_{\text{BD}} = u_{\text{BD}}^{-1}$. The energy density in real space-time domain then directly follows from numerical inverse Fourier transform:

$$
P_{\text{1D}}(x,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{P}(\xi, t) \cos(\xi x) d\xi, \tag{4}
$$

where we used that $P(\xi, t)$ is even in ξ . At long times $t \gg t_{BD}$, P is strongly exponentially dampened, and the integral (4) is completely dominated by small wave numbers $\xi \ll \xi_{BD}$.

FIG. 1. (Color online) Stochastic energy transport in solid media. (a) Exemplary random walk trajectories with 10 000 steps each. (b) Normalized source response for 1D truncated Lévy heat flow in infinite medium. The regime transition from pure Lévy superdiffusion to regular Fourier diffusion is clearly visible. (c) Dimensionless energy pulse response for 3D heat flow in semi-infinite medium. Each square shows a thermal map over a region measuring $10\ell(t) \times 10\ell(t)$ centered around the point heat source, with $\ell(t) = \sqrt{2Dt}$ the Fourier thermal penetration length. (d) Ratio of truncated Levy and Fourier 3D single pulse responses on semi-infinite InGaAs at room temperature. Listed ´ parameters were determined from TDTR experiments presented below.

Series expansion of [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for this regime yields

$$
\xi \ll \xi_{\text{BD}}
$$
: $\ln \mathcal{P}(\xi, t) \to -\xi^2 t \left[\frac{\pi A \xi_{\text{BD}}^{\alpha - 2} (1 - \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sin(\alpha \pi)} \right].$ (5)

The proportionality to ξ^2 signals recovery of regular Fourier diffusion $\ln \mathcal{P}_F = -D\xi^2 t$. Comparison of the latter to (5) sets the prefactor in (3) :

$$
\frac{\pi A \Theta}{\Gamma(\alpha)\alpha \sin(\alpha \pi)} = \frac{\xi_{\rm BD}^{2-\alpha} D}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \tag{6}
$$

such that we finally have

$$
1 < \alpha < 2: \quad \ln \mathcal{P}(\xi, t)
$$

=
$$
-\frac{2\xi_{\text{BD}}^2 Dt}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \left[(\xi^2 + 1)^{\alpha/2} \times \cos \left(\alpha \arctan((\xi)^{-1}) - \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) - 1 \right]
$$
 (7)

with $\tilde{\xi} = \xi/\xi_{BD}$. For the sake of completeness, we note that the solution for special case $\alpha = 1$ does not simply follow by taking the $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ limit of (7) but instead must be derived directly from [\(2\)](#page-1-0) which eventually yields

$$
\alpha = 1: \quad \ln \mathcal{P}(\xi, t) = -\xi_{\text{BD}}^2 Dt \times \left[\pi \tilde{\xi} H(\xi - 1) -\ln(1 + \tilde{\xi}^2) - \tilde{\xi} \arctan\left(\frac{2\tilde{\xi}}{\tilde{\xi}^2 - 1}\right) \right]
$$
\n(8)

with *H* the Heaviside function. At short times $t \ll t_{BD}$, the behavior of the TL process is dominated by large spatial frequencies $\tilde{\xi} \gg 1$. According series expansion of (7) or (8) shows this corresponds to a pure Lévy regime $\ln P_L$ = $-D_{\alpha}|\xi|^{\alpha}t$ with fractional diffusivity

$$
1 < \alpha < 2: \quad D_{\alpha} = \frac{2D\cos\left[(1 - \alpha/2)\pi\right]}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)u_{\text{BD}}^{2-\alpha}},\tag{9}
$$

$$
\alpha = 1: \quad D_{\alpha} = \frac{\pi D}{u_{\text{BD}}}.
$$
 (10)

This expression lends a deeper, microscopic meaning to u_{BD} that goes beyond the intuitive macroscopic notion of characteristic transition length scale.

Once numerical evaluation of $P_{1D}(x,t)$ is performed, the formalism can be easily extended to 3D heat flows based on isotropy and symmetry arguments. The single pulse temperature response for a point source on a semi-infinite medium becomes

$$
T_{3D}(r,t) = \frac{2}{C} P_{1D}^3(x = r/\sqrt{3}, t)
$$
 (11)

with r the distance from the source. From this we then obtain the Green's function $G(h, f)$ of the semiconductor surface for truncated Lévy transport in the Fourier-Hankel domain:

$$
G(h,f) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{3D}(r,t) \exp(-j2\pi ft) J_0(hr) r dr dt. \quad (12)
$$

These operations must be performed numerically since $T_{3D}(r,t)$ is not known in closed form. A simple quadrature scheme

$$
G(h,t) \approx \frac{1}{2h} \sum_{n} \left[T_{3D}(r_n, t) + T_{3D}(r_{n+1}, t) \right] K(r_n, r_{n+1}),
$$

$$
K(a,b) = b J_1(hb) - a J_1(ha)
$$
 (13)

suffices for the Hankel transform. For the subsequent transform to the frequency domain we use a collocation scheme [\[25\]](#page-6-0) with logarithmic time grid. The resulting $G(h, f)$ then simply replaces the conventional Fourier diffusion kernel $G_F(h, f)$ = $(2\pi\kappa\sqrt{\frac{j2\pi f}{D} + h^2})^{-1}$ in standard models [\[26,27\]](#page-6-0) that account for the heat diffusion in the metal transducer, thermal contact resistivity r_{ms} of the metal/semiconductor interface, and Gaussian shape of the laser beams for analysis of the sample structures employed in pulsed laser experiments.

We have validated the stability and accuracy of the various numerical operations employed in the formalism by running our truncated Lévy simulator in quasidiffusive regime (setting $\alpha = 1.999$ and $u_{BD} = 10$ nm) for a semi-infinite InGaAs substrate with a 50-nm Al transducer. Both magnitude and phase of the numerically obtained single pulse responses at the transducer top surface stayed within ±0*.*3% of analytical Fourier solutions anywhere over the 100-kHz–1-THz frequency band.

III. SINGLE PULSE RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS

As could be expected, pure Lévy behavior dominates truncated Lévy flights at early times $t \ll t_{BD}$. One-dimensional single pulse responses exhibit an elevated energy density at the heat source that drops as $t^{-1/\alpha}$ [Fig. [1\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). This corresponds to the quasiballistic regime in the BTE solutions, which exhibit the same $t^{-1/\alpha}$ trend at the heat source and superdiffusive thermal energy displacement $\sigma^2(t) \sim t^{3-\alpha}$ inside the medium. Both anomalous fractional time exponents signal a complex interplay between ballistic jumps and random scatterings. This offers a perspective that is quite different from recent literature. In some experimental configurations, quasiballistic transport can be interpreted as a lack of scattering at short distances followed by regular Fourier diffusion from a heat source whose effective dimension is inflated by the dominant phonon MFP [\[8\]](#page-6-0). For the problem studied here this is clearly not the case: the transport dynamics gradually evolve in space and time

from fractal Lévy superdiffusion to regular Fourier diffusion. The transition is virtually complete at $t = t_{BD}$ [Fig. [1\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). Similar evolutions are visible in 3D heat flow configurations [Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-2-0).

Quasiballistic effects can be mostly attributed to phonon modes whose mean free paths extend beyond the characteristic dimension of the thermal gradient $[11,12,28]$. We therefore expect u_{BD} to be situated somewhere between the median and upper regions of the MFP spectrum. The fractal dimension *α*, on the other hand, is directly associated with the order *n* of the dominant phonon scattering mechanism $\tau \sim \omega^{-n}$ as demonstrated by our BTE analysis [\[13\]](#page-6-0).

Figure $1(d)$ shows the calculated 3D impulse response inside semi-infinite InGaAs. The temperature at and near the heat source is significantly larger than Fourier predictions while at intermediate depths in the material the truncated Lévy thermal field is substantially smaller than the diffusive counterpart. Deviations persist over 1 *μ*s time and 10-*μ*m length scales and can therefore have interesting implications for the thermal performance and monitoring of nanoscale devices. Details will be investigated elsewhere. The formalism provides valuable predictions about how the Lévy dynamics of the quasiballistic transport influence the internal heat flow inside the medium. Currently, there are no measurement results available with sufficient resolution to enable direct comparison with Fig. $1(d)$, but these and related effects may be further verified with future experiments.

IV. RESULTS

We apply our formalism to TDTR observations of quasiballistic effects in semiconductor alloys. This measurement technique employs modulated femtosecond laser pulse trains to perform thermal characterization $[11,27]$. A pump beam, modulated at frequency f_{mod} , heats a metal transducer deposited on the semiconductor sample. Lock-in detection at *f*mod of the reflected probe beam records the thermal decay of the transducer surface. A mechanical delay line allows us to vary the relative arrival times of the pump and probe pulses at the sample surface with picosecond resolution. Additional details and a schematic drawing of our measurement system are available elsewhere [\[29\]](#page-6-0). Standard mathematical manipulations of the single pulse response [\[27,30\]](#page-6-0) provide theoretical model expressions for the in-phase $V_{\text{in}}(t_{\text{pp}})$ and out-of-phase $V_{\text{out}}(t_{\text{pp}})$ lock-in signal components at a given modulation frequency as a function of pump-probe delay t_{pp} . These are then fitted to the measured counterparts to identify the thermal properties of the sample. The actual identification process is typically performed on the ratio −*V*in*/V*out. This acts as signal normalization and reduces the influence of experimental artifacts [\[11\]](#page-6-0). Conventional Fourier analysis first extracts the thermal resistivity r_{ms} of the metal-semiconductor interface from data at high modulation frequency, where the sensitivity to *r*ms is highest, and then identifies effective thermal conductivities $k_{\text{eff}}(f_{\text{mod}})$ [\[11\]](#page-6-0). Our truncated Lévy approach collectively identifies two quasiballistic parameters (α, u_{BD}) and bulk thermal properties $(\kappa_{\text{bulk}}, r_{\text{ms}})$ by minimizing the cumulative fitting error between measured and theoretical ratio curves over full pump-probe delay and all modulation

FIG. 2. (Color online) Time domain thermoreflectance characterization of various thin films at room temperature. (a) Apparent modulation frequency dependence of thermal conductivity. (b) Experimental and theoretical raw transient data for InGaAs at four modulation frequencies. (c) Material heat capacities and identified model parameters. Entries marked "—" signify diffusive regime (the raw measurement data can be fitted by a Fourier model with constant conductivity). (d) Modified Fourier theory, i.e., a regular diffusive model with adjusted thermal conductivity, is unable to properly describe the quasiballistic regime. While such an approach may appear adequate based on fittings of the transducer response, it does not give a good representation of the intricate dynamics at the semiconductor surface. Similar trends are observed at all modulation frequencies.

frequencies. Results of the TDTR analysis of several semiconductor films at room temperature are presented in Fig. 2.

The effective conductivity of SiGe and InGaAs drops strongly with modulation frequency $[Fig. 2(a)]$, consistent with earlier reports [\[11\]](#page-6-0). Single-crystal semiconductors such as Si and GaAs and amorphous materials like $SiO₂$, by contrast, exhibit an essentially constant conductivity. The distinction can be understood by noting that in alloys, Rayleigh scattering increases the relative importance of long-wavelength phonons [\[11\]](#page-6-0). Theoretical calculations indicate the median MFP to be \approx 5 μ m in SiGe [\[2\]](#page-6-0) versus \approx 500 nm in Si and GaAs [\[2,3\]](#page-6-0). The combination of low diffusivity and long MFPs in alloys facilitates overlap of the dominant experimental thermal penetration depth $\ell = \sqrt{D/(\pi f_{\text{mod}})}$ with the phonon spectrum over the achievable f_{mod} range, inducing notable quasiballistic effects.

Crucially, the truncated Lévy model provides an accurate match with raw measurement data [Fig. $2(b)$], and outperforms the best fitting modified Fourier approaches in which both *κ*eff and *r*ms,eff are suitably varied with modulation frequency (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). Note that the improved fitting performance is achieved with fewer fitting parameters. The TL model collectively fits the raw measurement data across all modulation frequencies with just four numbers $(\alpha, u_{BD}, \kappa_{bulk}, r_{ms})$ while a modified Fourier analysis requires two parameters ($κ_{\text{eff}}$, $r_{\text{ms,eff}}$) *per modulation frequency*. Our experiments on InGaAs, for example, consist of seven ratio curves. The best fitting Fourier interpretation (in which both effective conductivity and interface resistance must drop by over 40% from lowest to highest modulation frequency) requires 14 parameters to describe the data yet provides an inferior fit compared to TL at every single frequency. We validated the Lévy parameter extraction, listed in Fig. $2(c)$, by verifying that the cumulative fitting error attains a global minimum for a well defined (α, u_{BD}) combination. The experimentally identified fractal dimensions, $\alpha = 1.67$ for InGaAs and $\alpha = 1.69$ for SiGe, are in near perfect agreement with *ab initio* BTE predictions (1.67 and 1.65 respectively). Meanwhile, u_{BD} values around

FIG. 3. (Color online) Raw measurement data fitting performance over full pump-probe delay for several theoretical models. The dashed lines indicate the overall average fitting error across all modulation frequencies.

a few microns are found, on the order of the median MFPs.

Conventional analysis with modified Fourier theory leaves some discrepancies at short and long pump-probe delays [Fig. $2(b)$] but achieves an otherwise reasonable fit of the thermal response at the transducer surface. This has led to the notion that this approach provides an adequate characterization of the quasiballistic transport. Recent analysis of thermal transient grating experiments has shown that most of such measurements probe a weakly quasiballistic regime in which the use of modified Fourier theory is formally justified by the BTE [\[31\]](#page-6-0). In the thermoreflectance configurations considered here, however, this is not the case. Fourier theory with adjusted conductivity still maintains the Gaussian shape of the energy density and underlying Brownian energy motion, which inherently differ from Lévy superdiffusion. As a result, this approach provides a poor representation of the quasiballistic dynamics at the semiconductor surface [Fig. $2(d)$].

V. PROBING FRACTAL LEVY DYNAMICS ´

The superior fitting performance observed above indicates that the truncated Lévy formalism incorporates all essential physics required to gain a good understanding of nondiffusive heat flow in thermoreflectance experiments. In addition, the obtained results offer experimental validation for the fractal Lévy nature of the quasiballistic thermal dynamics in semiconductor alloys, as theoretically predicted by our BTE analysis.

Interestingly, the frequency dependence of effective thermal conductivity as observed by conventional Fourier analysis offers another direct manifestation of Lévy superdiffusion. Simple 1D relations suggest that $\kappa_{\text{eff}} \sim D_{\alpha}^{2/\alpha} f_{\text{mod}}^{1-2/\alpha}$, and the experimental results shown in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-4-0) can indeed be fitted quite well with a power law [\[13\]](#page-6-0). The resulting α and D_{α} values, together with those obtained independently through full 3D TL fitting of the raw measurement data and 1D *ab initio* BTE modeling, are summarized in Table I. The good agreement between the values is testament to the capabilities of the TL formalism, and demonstrates that the presence of fractal Lévy superdiffusion offers a consistent explanation of quasiballistic heat effects in semiconductor alloys.

VI. FURTHER APPLICATIONS

The presence of quasiballistic effects raises challenges for the metrology of thermal boundary (Kapitza) resistances. It is striking that truncated Lévy identification results for $r_{\rm ms}$ are up to three times smaller than those obtained through conventional Fourier characterization [Fig. $2(c)$]. This suggests that metal/semiconductor interfaces could be far more conductive than currently believed. Intuitively, we can argue that Fourier models will mistakenly interpret part of the quasiballistic heat flux suppression in the upper regions of the semiconductor as a poorer performance of the nearby metal/semiconductor interface. Closer inspection shows that the best fitting Fourier models depicted in Fig. 3 exhibit $r_{\text{ms,eff}}$ values that vary monotonically with f_{mod} by almost a factor of 2. This itself hints at a problematic aspect of modified Fourier theory, as physically the thermal boundary resistance should remain constant over the considered modulation frequency range $[9,12]$. Frequency by frequency truncated Lévy identification of the measurement data, on the other hand, produces *r*ms values that remain virtually stable. Detailed results are available elsewhere [\[32\]](#page-6-0). Despite seven decades of extensive

TABLE I. Identified fractal properties of quasiballistic thermal transport in semiconductor alloys.

	$In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$		Si _{0.82} Ge _{0.18}	
	α	D_{α} ($\times 10^{-4}$ m ^{α} /s)	α	D_{α} ($\times 10^{-4}$ m ^{α} /s)
3D TL fit of raw meas. data ^a	1.67	3.92	1.69	2.17
Power-law fit of $\kappa_{\text{eff}}(f_{\text{mod}})$ [13]	1.67	5.98	1.71	2.17
<i>Ab initio</i> 1D BTE prediction [13]	1.67	4.28	1.65	4.00

 $^aD_\alpha$ values can be identified through TL raw data fitting by inserting the measured α , u_{BD} and $D = \kappa_{bulk}/C$ into Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0).

research since Kapitza's pioneering work [33], comprehensive understanding of heat flow across interfaces has remained a mostly open problem [34]. We believe the framework presented here offers interesting potential in this context, given its ability to properly distinguish between intrinsic interface phenomena (*r*ms) and adjacent quasiballistic effects (*α* and u_{BD}).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we introduced a formalism for experimental analysis of quasiballistic heat flow. The approach describes the thermal energy motion in terms of a truncated Lévy stochastic process. This way, the formalism captures all essential physics of the transition between quasiballistic and regular diffusive transport contained within the 1D BTE framework while enabling full 3D analysis of experimental observations. Excellent agreement with raw thermoreflectance data is observed, and corresponding experimental values of the fractal dimension of the Lévy process match *ab initio*

- [1] Z. J. Ziman, *Electrons & Phonons: The Theory of Transport Phenomena in Solids* (Oxford University, New York, 2001).
- [2] C. Bera, N. Mingo, and S. Volz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.115502) **[104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.115502)**, [115502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.115502) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.115502).
- [3] T. Luo and G. Chen, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2cp43771f) **[15](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2cp43771f)**, [3389](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2cp43771f) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2cp43771f).
- [4] E. Pop, S. Sinha, and K. E. Goodson, [Proc. IEEE](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2006.879794) **[94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2006.879794)**, [1587](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2006.879794) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2006.879794).
- [5] [A. J. Minnich, G. Chen, S. Mansoor, and B. S. Yilbas,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.235207) Phys. Rev. B **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.235207)**, [235207](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.235207) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.235207).
- [6] D. D. Joseph and L. Preziosi, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.41) **[61](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.41)**, [41](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.41) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.41).
- [7] G. Chen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2297) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2297)**, [2297](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2297) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2297).
- [8] M. E. Siemens, Q. Li, R. Yang, K. A. Nelson, E. H. Anderson, M. M. Murnane, and H. C. Kapteyn, [Nat. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2568) **[9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2568)**, [26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2568) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat2568).
- [9] A. J. Minnich, J. A. Johnson, A. J. Schmidt, K. Esfarjani, M. S. Dresselhaus, K. A. Nelson, and G. Chen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.095901) **[107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.095901)**, [095901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.095901) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.095901).
- [10] J. A. Johnson, A. A. Maznev, J. Cuffe, J. K. Eliason, A. J. Minnich, T. Kehoe, C. M. Sotomayor Torres, G. Chen, and K. A. Nelson, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.025901) **[110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.025901)**, [025901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.025901) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.025901).
- [11] Y. K. Koh and D. G. Cahill, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075207) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075207)**, [075207](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075207) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075207).
- [12] K. T. Regner, D. P. Sellan, Z. Su, C. H. Amon, A. J. H. McGaughey, and J. A. Malen, [Nat. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2630) **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2630)**, [1640](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2630) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2630).
- [13] B. Vermeersch, J. Carrete, N. Mingo, and A. Shakouri, preceding paper, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085202) **[91](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085202)**, [085202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085202) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.085202).
- [14] J. Klafter, A. Blumen, and M. F. Shlesinger, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.35.3081) **[35](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.35.3081)**, [3081](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.35.3081) [\(1987\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.35.3081).
- [15] M. F. Shlesinger, J. Klafter, and G. Zumofen, [Am. J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.19112) **[67](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.19112)**, [1253](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.19112) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.19112).
- [16] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3) **[339](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3)**, [1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3).

BTE predictions within a few percent. Our findings confirm that quasiballistic thermal transport in semiconductor alloys is distinctly different from conventional Brownian motion, and will lead to a better understanding of heat flow in nanoscale devices and across metal/semiconductor interfaces.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank S. Denisov, A. Zoia, A. Mazzolo, D. Cahill, Y. K. Koh, and V. Shalaev for insightful discussions. The InGaAs sample was provided by H. Lu and A. Gossard. The SiGe sample is courtesy of E. Croke. A.S. acknowledges funding from the Center for Energy Efficient Materials, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-SC0001009 which supported the work of B.V. and Y.K; DARPA/Army Research Office, Contract No. W911NF0810347 supporting the work of G.P. and A.M.; and AFOSR MURI (Multi-University Research Initiative) Grant No. FA9550-08-1-0340 for partial support of experimental capabilities.

- [17] G. M. Viswanathan, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. G. E. da Luz, E. P. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44831) **[401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44831)**, [911](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44831) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/44831).
- [18] M. A. Lomholt, T. Ambjörnsson, and R. Metzler, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[95](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260603)**, [260603](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260603) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260603).
- [19] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, [Phys. Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869585) **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869585)**, [576](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869585) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869585).
- [20] R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376046a0) **[376](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376046a0)**, [46](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376046a0) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/376046a0).
- [21] A. Dhar, K. Saito, and B. Derrida, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.010103) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.010103)**, [010103](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.010103) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.010103).
- [22] R. N. Mantegna and H. E. Stanley, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946) **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946)**, [2946](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2946).
- [23] I. Koponen, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.1197) **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.1197)**, [1197](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.1197) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.52.1197).
- [24] A. Cartea and D. del-Castillo-Negrete, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041105) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041105)**, [041105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041105) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.76.041105).
- [25] D. Levin, [J. Comput. Appl. Math.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00137-9) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00137-9)**, [131](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00137-9) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00137-9).
- [26] D. Maillet, S. André, J. C. Batsale, A. Degiovanni, and C. Moyne, *Thermal Quadrupoles: Solving the Heat Equation through Integral Transforms* (Wiley, Chichester, 2000).
- [27] D. G. Cahill, [Rev. Sci. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1819431) **[75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1819431)**, [5119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1819431) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1819431).
- [28] A. J. Minnich, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.205901) **[109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.205901)**, [205901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.205901) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.205901).
- [29] B. Vermeersch, G. Pernot, H. Lu, J.-H. Bahk, A. Gossard, and A. Shakouri, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214302) **[88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214302)**, [214302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214302) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214302).
- [30] S. Dilhaire, G. Pernot, G. Calbris, J. M. Rampnoux, and S. Grauby, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3665129) **[110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3665129)**, [114314](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3665129) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3665129).
- [31] C. Hua and A. J. Minnich, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.094302) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.094302)**, [094302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.094302) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.094302).
- [32] B. Vermeersch, A. M. S. Mohammed, G. Pernot, Y. R. Koh, and A. Shakouri, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.014306) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.014306)**, [014306](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.014306) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.014306).
- [33] P. L. Kaptiza, J. Phys. (USSR) **4**, 181 (1941).
- [34] M. D. Losego and D. G. Cahill, [Nat. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3599) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3599)**, [382](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3599) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3599).