Edge states of moiré structures in graphite

E. Suárez Morell,* P. Vargas, and P. Häberle

Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

Samuel A. Hevia

Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Avenida Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile and Centro de Investigación en Nanotecnología y Materiales Avanzados "CIEN-UC", Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Leonor Chico

Departamento de Teoría y Simulación de Materiales, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), C/ Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, 28049 Madrid, Spain (Received 9 September 2014; revised manuscript received 23 December 2014; published 29 January 2015)

We address the origin of beadlike edge states observed by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) in moiré patterns of graphite. Low-bias STM measurements indicate these edge states are centered around *AB* stacking sites, contrary to the common assumption of them being at *AA* sites. This shift in the beads intensity with respect to the bulk moiré pattern has been corroborated by a tight-binding calculation of the edge states in bilayer flakes. Our results are valid not only for graphite, but also for few-layer graphene where these states have also been recently observed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.035441

PACS number(s): 73.20.At, 68.37.Ef, 73.22.Pr, 68.65.Cd

I. INTRODUCTION

Structural moiré patterns can be found in materials in which two superimposed lattices with different lattice constants and/or a relative rotation between them are present. With the advent of two-dimensional solids and the possibility of stacking them in diverse ways [1], the influence of these moiré superstructures in the electronic structure has to be taken into consideration for a complete description of these materials. Indeed, very recent experiments of graphene over hexagonal boron nitride show an unexpected insulating behavior that might ultimately be related to the moiré superlattice [2–4].

The physical properties of structures composed of few-layer graphene [5] have been shown to display a dependence on the number of layers. Specifically, when two stacked graphene layers are rotated with respect to each other, a distinctive moiré pattern, linked to the electronic density, develops in the π electronic states of the bilayer, being sharper for small rotation angles. In fact, an intriguing dependence with the relative rotation angle (RRA) between layers has been observed in scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements. For large RRA ($\sim 20^{\circ}$), the system behaves as if the two layers were uncoupled and a linear energy dispersion in momentum is retained, such as in monolayer graphene. For RRA below 20° the velocity of the charge carriers is reduced, and the STM moiré pattern becomes brighter. Scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) measurements have shown an angular dependence of the Van Hove singularities consistent with the development of zero-velocity flat bands. These peculiar features have attracted the attention of the graphene community, and much effort has been dedicated to study and explain the properties of twisted bilayer graphene and the RRA dependence of its physical properties [6-22].

Besides bilayer graphene, highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) is another example of material in which this type of moiré structure has been observed [23-31]. HOPG comprises a large number of micron-size crystallites having random in-plane orientations, and in each crystallite, the graphene layers are stacked in an ABA sequence. The hexagonal unit cell (UC) has two nonequivalent sites; the α site where a carbon atom is directly placed above an atom of the underlying layer and the β site for which the carbon atom lies on a hollow site at the center of an hexagon in the layer below. The difference in the local density of states (LDOS) at both sites explains why STM images of HOPG display a threefold symmetry instead of sixfold [32]. The β sites with larger LDOS are the bright spots in STM images, whereas the α sites are dark due to a reduced LDOS. Nevertheless, under some experimental conditions, the six bright spots can been observed [33,34].

Sometimes, a top layer graphene sheet on HOPG is misoriented with respect to the underlying solid, giving rise to moiré patterns, i.e., forming a superlattice with periodicity in the nanometer range. For several years the origin of these moiré patterns remained a controversial topic, but currently, it is widely accepted that these superstructures in graphitic materials are directly linked to the electronic structure of the rotated layers. In fact, an interesting debate arose with respect to which site in the unit cell was responsible for the bright areas in STM measurements. As bright STM spots measured in Bernal (ABA) HOPG were related to AB sites, some authors also associated the bright areas in moiré patterns to AB stacking. However, density functional theory and tight-binding (TB) simulations of the electronic structure revealed that the AA site is indeed responsible for the bright regions in STM images [24,25,35].

Another intriguing aspect of these topographic images is the so-called beadlike features, related to edge states in carbon-based moiré structures. A rotated graphene flake over HOPG presents brighter spots at the edges than in the

^{*}eric.suarez@usm.cl

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 91, 035441 (2015)

moiré pattern observed inside the flake. By using STM, Berger *et al.* [36] have shown that these edge states do not necessarily follow the symmetry of the bulk moiré pattern if the topographic measurements are performed with a bias voltage of 500 mV. Under these tunneling conditions, a "disordered" decoration on the edges of the top layer is observed. Despite the fact that these beadlike edge states have been detected several times in the past few years [25,36–40], an explanation of their origin is still absent.

In this paper we provide a consistent explanation of the beadlike features observed at low bias in STM images. The analysis of STM images of these low-energy features indicates that the bright spots at the edges are not located at AA sites as is the case in bulk moiré patterns, but instead they are located at AB edge sites. We explain this result by simulating the LDOS of a rotated flake over graphene and analyzing the energy distribution of their edge states. The LDOS of the edges have maxima at different energies, depending on the edge stacking: AA or AB. The edge states with energies closer to the Fermi level (E_F) turn out to be brighter in AB edge sites, in agreement with our STM observations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The STM images shown in Fig. 1 were taken from HOPG cleaved in air [41]. The sample was swiftly introduced into an ultrahigh vacuum chamber (base pressures below 10^{-10} Torr) equipped with a commercial Omicron scanning tunneling microscope. Topographic images were collected at room



FIG. 1. (Color online) Typical STM image of a moiré superlattice in HOPG collected with a bias voltage of 100 mV. Both edge states and bulk moiré patterns are clearly observed. (a) Wide overview of the surface; (b) detailed section of the edge where it is clear that the edge states do not follow the symmetry of the superlattice; (c) detailed view of the bulk moiré pattern, used to estimate the size of the supercell; (d) detailed view of the coexistence of the triangular atomic unit cell of graphite and the brighter moiré intensity induced by the layer rotation.

temperature in a constant current mode, adjusted to 2 nA and a bias voltage of 100 mV. Nanotec Electronica WSXM software was used for image processing [42]. Figure 1(a) shows a region with a distinctive moiré structure in which beadlike edge states are clearly distinguished. In Figs. 1(b) and 1(d) it is possible to observe not only the brighter moiré pattern spots, but also the fainter triangular lattice image displayed by AB-stacked graphite in topographic STM measurements. The bright spot in the moiré cell corresponds to the AA region; the rest of the cell is in a large percentage composed of *AB*-like regions [35]. As a result one observes the well-known triangular lattice of AB-stacked graphite between the bright spots corresponding to AA sites. The periodicity of the moiré pattern was obtained by superimposing a periodic grid over the image in panel (c). From this graphical procedure, we were able to measure the length of the supercell, which is D = 4.8 nm. The periodicity of the supercell is related to the RRA between layers θ by the equation $D = a_0/2 \times \sin(\theta/2)$, where $a_0 = 2.46$ Å is the length of the graphene lattice vector. For this particular pattern this expression yields a value of $\theta = 2.9^{\circ}$.

In Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), one can observe that the brighter edge states do not follow the periodicity of the bulk moiré pattern. This misalignment of the bright beads at the edges of rotated graphene layers arises from the combination of the moiré enhancement of the local density of states and the presence of edge states due to the termination of the upper graphene layer. With the purpose of explaining these observations, in the following section we describe some theoretical issues related to this system.

III. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

In order to explain the STM images obtained from HOPG it is sufficient to consider only two graphene layers. The relative rotation of one layer with respect to the other is enough to reproduce the moiré pattern observed in infinite systems.

A. Geometry

The unit cell of two rotated graphene layers, the so-called twisted bilayer graphene (TBG), is obtained by rotating a Bernal-stacked bilayer. As a reference we choose the rotation axis to be in a B site, which has one atom in the top layer situated on a hollow site at the center of a hexagon in the layer underneath [43], but it can equivalently be taken to be in an A site where two atoms are exactly on top of each other [35]. We briefly summarize the notation employed for these structures. A commensurate UC for TBG is built as follows: A point of the crystal with coordinates $\mathbf{r} = m\mathbf{a}_1 + n\mathbf{a}_2$ (*n*,*m* integers) is rotated to an equivalent site $\mathbf{t}_1 = n\mathbf{a}_1 + m\mathbf{a}_{\underline{2}}$. Here the graphene lattice vectors are given by $\mathbf{a}_1 = \frac{a}{2}(\sqrt{3}, -1)$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = \frac{a}{2}(\sqrt{3}, 1)$, and a = 2.46 Å is the graphene lattice constant. The vectors of the unit cell for TBG can be chosen as $\mathbf{t}_1 =$ $n\mathbf{a}_1 + m\mathbf{a}_2$ and $\mathbf{t}_2 = -m\mathbf{a}_1 + (n+m)\mathbf{a}_2$. The corresponding twisted bilayers are usually labeled by the indices (n,m) [9,10]. The distance between layers is set to 3.35 Å.

The repetition of a TBG unit cell with n = m + 1 along either \mathbf{t}_1 or \mathbf{t}_2 yields a chiral ribbon with edges being predominantly armchair. However, since we focus on edge states, which are produced by zigzag edge atoms [44], we choose a unit cell along the direction perpendicular to \mathbf{t}_1 . This



FIG. 2. (Color online) STM (LDOS) simulation of edge states. (a) STM line profile along the left edge of the terrace. In dashed blue we indicate the profile for energies E in the range $E_F - 100$ meV $< E < E_F$ and in solid green for $E_F - 500$ meV $< E < E_F$. (b) Simulation of the STM topographic profile for a tip voltage of 100 mV. The line profile depicted in (a) was measured along the dashed line close to the edge of the top flake.

particular choice doubles the size of the unit cell, yielding a ribbon with a majority of zigzag edge atoms [22]. To perform our calculations we extend the size of the lower ribbon to simulate an infinite graphene. The system behaves then like a graphene layer with a flake on top rotated with respect to the lower layer. The right panel of Fig. 2 shows the geometry of the system with a (12,11) moiré pattern. The graphene layer has been cut for illustrative purposes. In the figure is also shown the LDOS of all states integrated from $E_F - 100$ meV to the Fermi energy. The edges are chosen to be minimal, i.e., with a minimum number of atoms, all with coordination number 2. The RRA for this structure is 2.88°, very close indeed to the actual value experimentally observed.

B. Tight-binding model

The electronic properties of these bilayer graphene ribbons are modeled within the tight-binding approximation including only p_z orbitals [43]. Within each layer, we considered a fixed nearest-neighbor intralayer hopping parameter $\gamma_0 =$ -3.16 eV. For the interlayer interaction we used a distancedependent hopping [8–10]. Thus, the Hamiltonian is given by $H = H_1 + H_2 + H_{12}$, where H_n (n = 1,2) correspond to the Hamiltonian of each layer and H_{12} describes the interlayer coupling $H_{12} = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_1 e^{-\beta(r_{ij}-d)} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \text{H.c.}$, where $\gamma_1 = -0.39$ eV is the nearest-neighbor interlayer hopping energy scale, d is the interlayer distance, r_{ij} is the distance between atom i on layer 1 and atom j on layer 2, and $\beta = 3$. This value of β accurately reproduces the dispersion bands calculated within a density functional theory approach [7,10]. We set a cutoff for the interlayer hopping equal to $6a_{CC}$ with $a_{CC} = 1.42$ Å being the nearest-neighbor distance between carbon atoms.

IV. DISCUSSION

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show that the LDOS of states with energies very close to the Fermi level are mainly located at AB-stacked edge regions, whereas the AA-stacked sections of the edges do not show any appreciable density of states. Figure 2(a) presents a line profile of the LDOS along the left edge of the flake. Arrows indicate the position of AA and AB sites at the edge. Clearly, the LDOS is higher at the AB sites. Calculations were performed integrating the LDOS from 100 meV below the Fermi level to E_F (dashed line) and for states with energies between $E_F - 500$ meV and E_F (solid line). The LDOS is higher at the AB sites in both cases, but for the wider integration range an increase in the LDOS at the AA sites is observed. This simulation implies that when a topographic STM image of such systems is taken with tip voltages around 100 mV, bright regions at the edges should correspond to AB sites, whereas nonedge areas are brighter in AA regions. For large tip voltages around 500 mV, the bright areas at the edges will also be the AB sites, but under some experimental conditions the AA sites might also be visible, creating a smearing pattern in some regions [36].

This effect can also be explained in a qualitative manner using the band structure of bilayer graphene nanoribbons with *AA* and *AB* stackings [22], together with the different coupling strengths in *AA*- and *AB*-stacked edges.

In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) we show the bands of zigzag ribbons with *AB* and *AA* stackings, respectively, calculated with the same model used for the twisted bilayer nanoribbon. By simply counting the edges in each system, four edge bands are expected for both cases [44]. Indeed, for *AB* stacking there are four edge bands clearly identified in Fig. 3(a), which are degenerate at the first Brillouin zone (BZ) boundary at zero energy. The bands for the *AA*-stacked ribbons show two Dirac points shifted from the BZ boundary; in this case, the edge bands are flat and split by $\pm \gamma_1$ if only vertical hoppings are considered. Within the model employed in this paper, which takes into account interlayer hoppings within a circle of radius $6a_{CC}$, the splitting then gives an idea of the effective interlayer coupling, that it is related to the bonding-antibonding nature of the *AA* states.

Thus, in *AB*-stacked edges a large LDOS at E_F (0 eV) can be expected, whereas for edges with *AA* stacking, the peaks in the LDOS due to the edges are expected to be shifted below E_F by an energy roughly given by the interlayer coupling.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Band structures of graphene bilayer nanoribbons with stacking (a) AB and (b) AA. The width of the ribbons is equal to eight dimer chains in both cases.



FIG. 4. (Color online) STM (LDOS) simulation of a wide flake over graphene with energies E in the range $E_F - 500 \text{ meV} < E < E_F$. (a) STM line profile along the center of the flake. (b) The dashed line shows the direction of the line profile in (a). Coexistence of edge states and bulk moiré pattern can be observed.

These energy differences explain why in the STM scans at low bias the bright spots at the edges, the so-called beads, are shifted with respect to the bright areas of the bulk moiré pattern (AA-stacked regions). The bulk regions of the moiré pattern are bright in STM images due to the larger LDOS near E_F . However, close to the edges, the maximum in the LDOS shifts to the AB sites. The low-bias topographic images shown in Fig. 1 are consistent with this result as well as with the calculated LDOS of the ribbon shown in Fig. 2. Since the STM images were collected with a bias voltage of 100 meV, the bright beads appear only in the AB edges, which are the sites of the only accessible states at that tip bias. Our model also describes adequately the coexistence of edge and bulk moiré states. To display this feature we have calculated the electronic structure of a very wide graphene flake on top of graphite in order to also obtain the bulk states. Figure 4 shows the LDOS for such a system integrated in an energy range between $E_F - 500$ meV and E_F . The line profile in Fig. 4(a) of the STM simulation is taken along the center of the flake. It shows that states at the AA sites of the bulk are the brightest. Here the arrows indicate the position of AA sites of the bulk. In Fig. 4(b) the coexistence of both types of states can be observed. The edge states are observed to be misaligned with respect to the moiré pattern displayed by the rotated layer as also happens to the experimental results shown in Fig. 1.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have addressed a phenomenon observed for several years but not properly explained to date. By using a TB model to describe the chiral edge states in moiré nanoribbons, we show that beadlike edge states correspond to AB regions of the moiré edges in graphite superstructures. The electrons with low energies in the bulk are localized in AA regions, whereas at the edges they remain confined at AB-stacked regions. This explanation considers the usual polarization voltages used in STM measurements, i.e., low values around 100 mV. For higher bias voltages the calculation predicts less pronounced differences between AA and AB regions in STM images. Consistently, a mixture of both types of states has also been observed experimentally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under Grant No. FIS2012-33521 and Chilean FONDECYT Grants No. 1130950, No. 11110352, and No. 1110935.

- [1] A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, Nature (London) **499**, 419 (2013).
- [2] F. Amet, J. R. Williams, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 216601 (2013).
- [3] M. Yankowitz, J. Xue, D. Cormode, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, P. Jacquod, and B. J. LeRoy, Nat. Phys. 8, 382 (2012).
- [4] C. R. Woods, L. Britnell, A. Eckmann, R. S. Ma, J. C. Lu, H. M. Guo, X. Lin, G. L. Yu, Y. Cao, R. V. Gorbachev, A. V. Kretinin, J. Park, L. A. Ponomarenko, M. I. Katsnelson, Y. N. Gornostyrev, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, C. Casiraghi, H.-J. Gao, A. K. Geim, and K. Novoselov, Nat. Phys. 10, 451 (2014).
- [5] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Gregorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Science 306, 666 (2004).
- [6] J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 256802 (2007).

- [7] S. Shallcross, S. Sharma, and O. A. Pankratov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 056803 (2008).
- [8] S. Shallcross, S. Sharma, E. Kandelaki, and O. A. Pankratov, Phys. Rev. B 81, 165105 (2010).
- [9] G. Trambly de Laissardière, D. Mayou, and L. Magaud, Nano Lett. 10, 804 (2010).
- [10] E. Suárez Morell, J. D. Correa, P. Vargas, M. Pacheco, and Z. Barticevic, Phys. Rev. B 82, 121407 (2010).
- [11] E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. B 81, 161405 (2010).
- [12] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 12233 (2011).
- [13] J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 86, 155449 (2012).
- [14] P. San-Jose, J. González, and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 216802 (2012).
- [15] G. Trambly de Laissardière, D. Mayou, and L. Magaud, Phys. Rev. B 86, 125413 (2012).

- [16] G. Li, A. Luican, J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, A. H. Castro Neto, A. Reina, J. Kong, and E. Y. Andrei, Nat. Phys. 6, 109 (2010).
- [17] A. Luican, G. Li, A. Reina, J. Kong, R. R. Nair, K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, and E. Y. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 126802 (2011).
- [18] I. Brihuega, P. Mallet, H. González-Herrero, G. Trambly de Laissardière, M. M. Ugeda, L. Magaud, J. M. Gómez-Rodríguez, F. Ynduráin, and J.-Y. Veuillen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 196802 (2012).
- [19] L. Xian, S. Barraza-Lopez, and M. Y. Chou, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075425 (2011).
- [20] E. Suárez Morell, M. Pacheco, L. Chico, and L. Brey, Phys. Rev. B 87, 125414 (2013).
- [21] J. D. Correa, M. Pacheco, and E. Suárez Morell, J. Mater. Sci. 49, 642 (2014).
- [22] E. Suárez Morell, R. Vergara, M. Pacheco, L. Brey, and L. Chico, Phys. Rev. B 89, 205405 (2014).
- [23] M. Kuwabara, D. R. Clarke, and D. A. Smith, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 2396 (1990).
- [24] Z. Y. Rong and P. Kuiper, Phys. Rev. B 48, 17427 (1993).
- [25] J. Xhie, K. Sattler, M. Ge, and N. Venkateswaran, Phys. Rev. B 47, 15835 (1993).
- [26] Z. Y. Rong, Phys. Rev. B 50, 1839 (1994).
- [27] P. J. Ouseph, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9610 (1996).
- [28] T. Bernhardt, B. Kaiser, and K. Rademann, Surf. Sci. 408, 86 (1998).
- [29] J. Osing and I. Shvets, Surf. Sci. 417, 145 (1998).
- [30] J. B. Jasinski, S. Dumpala, G. U. Sumanasekera, M. K. Sunkara, and P. J. Ouseph, Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 073104 (2011).

- [31] W.-T. Pong and C. Durkan, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 38, R329 (2005).
- [32] D. Tománek and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. B 37, 8327 (1988).
- [33] Y. Wang, Y. Ye, and K. Wu, Surf. Sci. 600, 729 (2006).
- [34] E. Cisternas, M. Flores, and P. Vargas, Phys. Rev. B 78, 125406 (2008).
- [35] J. M. Campanera, G. Savini, I. Suarez-Martinez, and M. I. Heggie, Phys. Rev. B 75, 235449 (2007).
- [36] C. Berger, J.-Y. Veuillen, L. Magaud, P. Mallet, V. Olevano, M. Orlita, P. Plochocka, C. Faugeras, G. Martinez, M. Potemski, C. Naud, L. P. Lévy, and D. Mayou, Int. J. Nanotechnol. 7, 383 (2010).
- [37] H.-L. Sun, Q.-T. Shen, J.-F. Jia, Q.-Z. Zhang, and Q.-K. Xue, Surf. Sci. 542, 94 (2003).
- [38] W.-T. Pong, J. Bendall, and C. Durkan, Surf. Sci. 601, 498 (2007).
- [39] F. Varchon, P. Mallet, L. Magaud, and J.-Y. Veuillen, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165415 (2008).
- [40] X. Zhang and H. Luo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 231602 (2013).
- [41] ZYA grade HOPG from SPI Supplies/Structure Probe, Inc., 206 Garfield Ave., West Chester, PA 19380-4512.
- [42] I. Horcas, R. Fernández, J. M. Gómez-Rodríguez, J. Colchero, J. Gómez-Herrero, and A. M. Baro, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 78, 013705 (2007).
- [43] E. Suárez Morell, P. Vargas, L. Chico, and L. Brey, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195421 (2011).
- [44] W. Jaskólski, A. Ayuela, M. Pelc, H. Santos, and L. Chico, Phys. Rev. B 83, 235424 (2011).