## Strain-tunable extraordinary magnetocrystalline anisotropy in Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> epitaxial films

J. M. Lucy,<sup>1</sup> M. R. Ball,<sup>2</sup> O. D. Restrepo,<sup>2</sup> A. J. Hauser,<sup>3</sup> J. R. Soliz,<sup>4</sup> J. W. Freeland,<sup>5</sup> P. M. Woodward,<sup>4</sup>

W. Windl,<sup>2</sup> and F. Y. Yang<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, 191 West Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, 2041 College Road, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

<sup>3</sup>California Nanosystems Institute, Elings Hall, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, 100 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

<sup>5</sup>Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

(Received 8 May 2014; revised manuscript received 20 October 2014; published 11 November 2014)

We report the discovery of extraordinarily large anisotropy fields and strain-tunable magnetocrystalline anisotropy in  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  epitaxial films. We determine the strain-induced tetragonal distortions and octahedral rotations in  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  epitaxial films grown on  $(LaAlO_3)_{0.3}(Sr_2AlTaO_6)_{0.7}$  (LSAT),  $SrTiO_3$  (STO), and  $SrCr_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O_3/LSAT$  substrates using x-ray diffraction and density functional theory. The structural distortions drive dramatic changes in magnetocrystalline anisotropy. We use magnetometry measurements and first principles calculations to determine the atomic origins of the large anisotropy observed. These techniques elucidate the interplay between structural deformations and magnetic behavior and lay the groundwork for the study of other strongly correlated systems in this class of ferromagnetic oxides.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.180401

Magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA) has significant implications in a range of applications such as power generation and magnetic data storage. The search for and study of materials for such applications is of both scientific and technological interest. There is much focus on ferromagnets such as SmCo<sub>5</sub>, Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B, and FePt which exhibit high anisotropy due to crystal symmetries and strong spin-orbit coupling [1,2]. Here we report the discovery of exceptionally large anisotropy fields and strain-tunable MCA in Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> (SCRO) epitaxial films. We determine the strain-induced tetragonal distortions and octahedral rotations of the SCRO lattice which lead to dramatic changes in MCA and the capability to switch the magnetic easy axis from in plane to out of plane via strain. Furthermore, we perform first principles calculations in order to determine the atomic origins of the large anisotropy observed. This Rapid Communication provides a combination of experimental and theoretical work to elucidate the atomic magnetic behavior of a complex material.

The advances in fabrication techniques of crystalline materials, particularly epitaxial films in recent years, have enabled the engineering of materials with desired, and sometimes exotic, electronic and magnetic properties such as new ferroelectric materials [3,4] and ferromagnets (FMs) with large MCA [1,2,5]. Most importantly, epitaxial strain offers the capability to significantly alter the electronic and magnetic properties of the films and even creates new phenomena [3,4] that do not exist in bulk. Crystal structures that are not isotropic, such as tetragonal (e.g., CrO<sub>2</sub> and FePt) and hexagonal lattices (e.g., Co and Dy), typically result in large MCA due to magnetization-lattice coupling [5–7]. Since spin-orbit coupling (SOC) generally scales with  $Z^4$ , where Z is the atomic number, high-anisotropy FMs typically contain 4f or 5d elements. In cubic systems, MCA is usually small due to high crystal symmetry, such as in 3d FM metals and Heusler compounds [8,9].

PACS number(s): 75.30.Gw, 68.55.-a, 71.15.Mb

The  $ABO_3$  perovskites are a large family of complex materials that exhibit many fascinating phenomena. However, magnetic perovskites typically have low  $T_C$  and modest MCA. Meanwhile, the  $A_2BB'O_6$  ferrimagnetic double perovskites have been shown to possess versatile magnetic properties such as high spin polarization, high  $T_C$  (up to 725 K), strong and tunable SOC, and electrical conductivity ranging from insulating to conducting [10-13]. We have demonstrated the growth of fully ordered, high quality SCRO epitaxial films [14-16] using off-axis sputtering, which exhibit SOCenhanced magnetization. Previous studies of Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> in bulk and epitaxial film form can be found in Refs. [10,11,14– 16]. It has been recently reported that Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> films exhibit an abrupt change of magnetic coercivity of 1.2 T when subject to structural transformations of an underlying BaTiO<sub>3</sub> substrate [17]. Theoretical studies also elucidated the contributing factors leading to the large MCA in SCRO [18,19]. These results point toward the possibility of tuning the large MCA in SCRO films via strain.

We use off-axis sputtering [9,14-16,20-23] to deposit 90 nm thick Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> (001) films on (LaAlO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.3</sub>(Sr<sub>2</sub>AlTaO<sub>6</sub>)<sub>0.7</sub> (LSAT), SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (STO), and a relaxed SrCr<sub>0.5</sub>Nb<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (SCNO) buffer layer on LSAT with lattice constants a = 3.868, 3.905, and 3.946 Å, respectively (see the Supplemental Material [24] for growth parameters). As a comparison, the pseudocubic lattice constants of bulk Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> are  $a_p = 3.907$  Å and  $c_p = 3.905$  Å [10]. It should be noted that in application it would be favorable to apply continuous strain to the SCRO films through the use of a piezoelectric substrate. However, at this time no piezoelectric substrate is available that can apply epitaxial strain within the approximately  $\pm 1\%$  range used here, particularly around the lattice constant of SCRO.

The 90 nm  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  films are thin enough to be fully strained to the underlying substrates and the similarities in film thicknesses reflect our precise control of deposition rates. The x-ray diffraction (XRD) scans in Fig. 1 show that the films are pure phase with substrate-limited rocking curve full width at

1098-0121/2014/90(18)/180401(6)

<sup>\*</sup>fyyang@physics.osu.edu



FIG. 1. (Color online) XRD scans around the  $Sr_2CrReO_6~(004)$  (left panels) and  $Sr_2CrReO_6~(022)$  (right panels) peaks for 90 nm  $Sr_2CrReO_6~films~grown~on~(a)~LSAT,~(b)~SrTiO_3,~and~(c)~a~SrCr_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O_3$  buffer layer on LSAT. The off-normal  $Sr_2CrReO_6~(022)$  peaks are measured at a tilt angle  $\Psi=45^\circ$  for in-plane characterization.

half maxima (FWHMs) as small as  $0.0063^{\circ}$ . The left panels in Figs. 1(a)–1(c) show the  $\theta$ - $2\theta$  scans near the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> (004) peak for films on LSAT, STO, and SCNO/LSAT, from which the out-of-plane (perpendicular to the film-substrate interface) lattice constants c = 7.926, 7.860, and 7.804 Å, respectively, are obtained. From the off-axis  $\theta$ - $2\theta$  scans for the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> (022) peak (right panels in Fig. 1), we calculate the in-plane (parallel to the film-substrate interface) lattice constants a = 7.732, 7.806, and 7.876 Å for films on LSAT, STO, and SCNO/LSAT, resulting in tetragonal distortions c/a = 1.025, 1.007, and 0.991, respectively. All three films are fully strained, compressive (c/a > 1) or tensile (c/a < 1), to the substrates or buffer layer (Table I). Finally, Laue oscillations are observed in all three samples, indicating high uniformity through the films. From the spacing of Laue oscillations, we obtain thicknesses



FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematics of (a) Cr-O (counterclockwise) and Re-O (clockwise) octahedral rotations in the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> lattice and (b) an oxygen (red) octahedron surrounding Cr or Re with two different bond lengths,  $d^{eq}$  and  $d^{ax}$ . (c) Octahedral rotation angle  $\theta$  and (d) bond lengths of Cr-O and Re-O octahedra as functions of the tetragonal distortion c/a of the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> lattice.

of 91.1, 90.2, and 89.6 nm for the  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  films grown on LSAT, STO, and SCNO/LSAT, respectively.

In the presence of epitaxial strain, it is energetically favorable for the oxygen octahedra in perovskites to rotate in order to accommodate the tetragonal distortion [25]. Rotation of the octahedra alters both the bond lengths and bond angles between the transition metals and oxygen, potentially affecting both their electronic and magnetic properties. We utilize the measured lattice parameters of the SCRO films to determine the rotations of the Cr and Re oxygen octahedra by performing density functional theory (DFT) calculations within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [26] using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [27,28] with projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials [29]. Correlation effects were treated within the (GGA + U) approach [30] with a value of U = 3 eV and an exchange parameter J = 0.87eV for the Cr d orbitals [31]. The resulting geometries and structural parameters are shown in Fig. 2 and Supplemental Material Table I. As expected, the changes in equatorial

TABLE I. Lattice constants (*a*,*c*), tetragonal distortions (*c*/*a*), coercivities (*H*<sub>*c*</sub>), and magnetocrystalline anisotropy fields (*H*<sub>*u*</sub>) at T = 20 and 300 K of the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> films grown on LSAT, SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, and SrCr<sub>0.5</sub>Nb<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/LSAT; *a*<sub>s</sub> is the in-plane substrate lattice constant (doubled for LSAT and STO for ease of comparison).

Substrate or buffer layer	$a_s$ (Å)	a (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	c/a	$H_{c}^{20 \text{ K}}$ (T)	$H_c^{300 \text{ K}}$ (T)	$H_{u}^{20 \text{ K}}$ (T)	$H_{u}^{300 \text{ K}}$ (T)
LSAT	7.736	7.732	7.926	1.025	0.856	0.287	>10's	>10's
SrTiO <sub>3</sub>	7.810	7.806	7.860	1.007	0.819	0.113	18.1	16.6
SrCr <sub>0.5</sub> Nb <sub>0.5</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /LSAT	7.892	7.876	7.804	0.991	1.876	1.128	11.0	11.0



FIG. 3. (Color online) In-plane and out-of-plane magnetic hysteresis loops for the  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  films grown on (a) LSAT, (b)  $SrTiO_3$ , and (c)  $SrCr_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O_3/LSAT$  in magnetic fields up to 7 T at T = 20, 100, and 300 K. Magnetic anisotropy fields can be obtained from the intercept of linear extrapolation of the hard-axis hysteresis loops and the saturation magnetization as shown in the left panels.

(in-plane) and axial (out-of-plane) bond lengths,  $d^{eq}$  and  $d^{ax}$ , respectively, in the octahedra are considerably smaller than the changes in the lattice constants, accompanied by rotations of the octahedra, as the films undergo a progression from compressive to tensile strain. Given the linear relationship in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), we find that the increase in in-plane bond lengths is 23% (17%) of the changes in the in-plane lattice constant *a* for Cr (Re) octahedra, while the decrease of the perpendicular bond length is 25% of the change in the value of the *c* lattice constant for both octahedra. The rotation of the octahedra is similar for both cations and changes by 2.3° across our strain range (Supplemental Material Table I). These structural changes, as shown below, drastically affect the magnetic behaviors of the SCRO films.

To characterize the MCA in our  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  films, we measure the magnetic hysteresis loops for the three samples in magnetic fields up to 7 T. Figure 3 shows the in-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis loops at temperatures T = 20, 100, and 300 K for the three films after subtraction of the diamagnetic background (see the Supplemental Material for diamagnetic background subtraction details). All three substrates (including the buffer layer) exhibit diamagnetic responses at the temperatures discussed in this Rapid Communication. We note three distinct features from these hysteresis loops. First, the magnetic easy axis changes from in plane for the films on LSAT and STO with compressive strain (c/a > 1) to out of plane for the film on SCNO/LSAT with tensile strain (c/a < 1). This is similar to the observation in strained Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMoO<sub>6</sub> films [21] since the MCA favors the magnetic easy axis along a shorter axis of the tetragonally distorted crystal lattice. A recent theoretical calculation predicts the change in sign of the MCA energy in Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> films at a tensile strain of 0.7% using the local-spin-density approximation (LSDA) or 0.3% using the GGA method [18]. For our Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> film on SCNO/LSAT with a tensile strain of 0.9%, the magnetic easy axis is indeed out of plane and complements the theoretical predictions.

Second, the MCA indicated by the hysteresis loops along the hard axis is very large for all three films. In the left panel of Fig. 3(b) for Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub>/STO, we extrapolate the high-field region of the out-of-plane hysteresis loop to find the intercept with the saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) of the in-plane hysteresis loop. This intercept is an approximate representation of the out-of-plane, uniaxial anisotropy field  $H_u = 18.1$  T (Table I). Since the magnetization is almost, but not fully, saturated at 7 T [14,32], the obtained  $H_u$  from the intercept is an underestimation of the anisotropy field. The demagnetization field  $4\pi M_s$  of 1260 G [14] is much smaller than the anisotropy field and can be neglected. We calculate the anisotropy energy by finding the area between the easy- and hard-axis loops. We find  $K_u$ , the uniaxial MCA energy density, to be  $K_u = 4.77 \times 10^6 \text{ erg/cm}^3 (4.77 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^3)$ , which is very high for Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> with a small  $M_s = 0.85 \mu_B/f.u.$  (as a reminder, this is for SCRO/STO). For comparison, SmCo<sub>5</sub> films exhibit one of the highest  $K_u = 7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ erg/cm}^3$ , while the  $M_s$  of SmCo<sub>5</sub> is much higher than that of SCRO [1]. For Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub>/LSAT, the MCA is considerably larger than that in  $Sr_2CrReO_6/STO$ , as can be seen from the much larger difference between the in-plane and out-of-plane hysteresis loops in Fig. 3(a). The magnetometry data for SCRO/LSAT does not allow a reliable determination of  $H_u$  from the hysteresis loops since there is very little magnetic signal from the SCRO film for the hard-axis loop (see Supplemental Material Figure 1 for the raw magnetic data for SCRO/LSAT). However, we estimate that it should be at least tens of T. For the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> film on SCNO/LSAT, the hard axis is in plane and the anisotropy field  $H_u = -11.0$  T is obtained from Fig. 3(c), where the negative sign indicates out-of-plane anisotropy. For this film, we obtain  $K_u = -1.46 \times 10^6 \text{ erg/cm}^3$ . Clearly, there is a strong dependence of the anisotropy fields on the strain, in particular the c/a ratios of the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> films, indicating a strong magnetization-lattice coupling. Using a simple linear extrapolation of the anisotropy fields for the Sr<sub>2</sub>CrReO<sub>6</sub> films on STO ( $H_u = 18.1$  T) and SCNO/LSAT ( $H_u = -11.0$  T), we find that the MCA should change sign at 0.30% tensile strain, which agrees with the value predicted by previous GGA calculations [18].

Finally, the anisotropy fields remain essentially unchanged from T = 20 to 300 K, which is promising for applications. It should be noted, however, that the coercivity ( $H_c$ ) decreases with increasing temperature (Table I). This can be understood as follows. The MCA is mainly determined by the magnetic interaction, particularly SOC, and not defects in the films. Since the magnetization of SCRO changes only slightly from 20 to 300 K because of the high  $T_c$  [14,32], the MCA is essentially unchanged below 300 K. Meanwhile, the coercivity is sensitive to defects in the films, thus  $H_c$  decreases at higher temperatures due to increasing thermal energy.

To further understand the origin of the change in easy axis under strain seen in experiment, we need to examine the difference in total energies for magnetic orientations along different crystalline axes. This is known as the magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE). The origin of MAE resides in the spin-orbit coupling between the magnetization and the lattice. The crystalline axis associated with the lowest energy determines the most favorable direction of spontaneous magnetization (easy axis). A simple relation between MAE and the moment anisotropy  $\Delta m_L$  was proposed by Bruno [33],

$$MAE = -\alpha \frac{\xi}{4\mu_B} \Delta m_L, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\Delta m_L$  is the difference between hard- and easy-axis orbital magnetic moments,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $\xi$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant, and  $\alpha$  depends on the electronic structure and is on the order of 0.05–1 [34]. This relation was shown to be valid when the majority spin is fully occupied [33], which is the case for SCRO, and subsequent studies have



FIG. 4. (Color online) Differences  $(\Delta m)$  between out-of-plane ([001] direction) and in-plane (average of [100] and [010] directions) (a) total magnetic moments, (b) total orbital and spin magnetic moments, and (c) spin and (d) orbital magnetic moments for Re (squares), Cr (diamonds), and O (circles). The lines are guides for the eye.

confirmed it [35-37]. Equation (1) suggests that when the moment anisotropy is calculated for two directions, a sign change in the moment anisotropy indicates a change in easy axis. Performing GGA + U calculations, including spin-orbit coupling, for the different substrate-induced strain states (Supplemental Material Table I), we indeed find that  $\Delta m_{\text{tot}}$ (defined as the difference between the magnetic moment in the [001] direction and the average magnetic moment in the [100] and [010] directions) changes sign with increasing c/a ratio [Fig. 4(a)], in agreement with our experiments (Fig. 3). This agreement confirms the validity of our theory within numerical uncertainties. The calculated  $\Delta m_{\rm tot}$  values are much larger, by 1-2 orders of magnitude, than those found in Ref. [35] for Ni and Fe, demonstrating the robust nature of the magnetic anisotropy in SCRO. However, the  $\Delta m_{\rm tot}$  values are small enough that the precision required to measure them experimentally is not accessible by current magnetometry techniques.

Since the easy axis corresponds to the most energetically favorable axis (lowest energy), Eq. (1) tells us that the easy axis aligns with the axis where the orbital magnetic moment is the largest [38]. This overall agreement allows us now to examine the origin of the easy-axis change. For that, we first separate the total moment anisotropy into spin ( $\Delta m_S$ ) and orbital ( $\Delta m_L$ ) components [Fig. 4(b)] and find that they have approximately linear strain dependence with opposite slopes, with the orbital moment anisotropy dominating. Separating these contributions further according to their atomic origins, we find that for the spin moment anisotropy [Fig. 4(c)], Cr and Re contribute at approximately the same magnitude, but with opposite sign, thus canceling each other and leaving the small contribution from the hybridizing O atoms as the dominant spin component. We have previously observed experimentally an x-ray magnetic circular dichroism signal at the O K edge (work performed at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory), complementing our theoretical findings that the O site carries a substantial magnetic moment [39]. The overall dominant orbital moment anisotropy, however, is nearly exclusively imposed by the Re atoms, which contribute 93% to the combined  $\Delta m_L$  [Fig. 4(d)]. The calculated spin and orbital moments for the Cr, Re, and O atoms in SCRO for each strain state (and both in plane and out of plane) can be found in Supplemental Material Table II.

Having identified the Re atoms as the origin of the magnetic anisotropy, we can now look further into the orbital origin of the observed magnetic anisotropy. For that, we examine the changes in calculated Re  $t_{2g}$  (xy, yz, and xz) density of states (DOS) near the Fermi level for tensile (c/a = 0.99) and compressive (c/a = 1.025) strain (Supplemental Material Fig. 3). We observe a downward shift in energy for the xyDOS [Supplemental Material Fig. 3(a)] and an upward shift in energy for both yz and xz DOS [Supplemental Material Fig. 3(b)] when transitioning from compressive to tensile strain, leading to a change in electron distribution resulting in the observed moment anisotropy.

In conclusion, we reveal large magnetic anisotropy and achieve dramatic changes in the MCA of  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  films

- [1] M. Seifert, V. Neu, and L. Schultz, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 022501 (2009).
- [2] T. Shima, K. Takanashi, Y. Takahashi, and K. Hono, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 2571 (2004).
- [3] J. Haeni, P. Irvin, W. Chang, R. Uecker, P. Reiche, Y. Li, S. Choudhury, W. Tian, M. Hawley, B. Craigo, A. Tagantsev, X. Pan, S. Streiffer, L. Chen, S. Kirchoefer, J. Levy, and D. Schlom, Nature (London) 430, 758 (2004).
- [4] J. Wang, J. Neaton, H. Zheng, V. Nagarajan, S. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland, V. Vaithyanathan, D. Schlom, U. Waghmare, N. Spaldin, K. Rabe, M. Wuttig, and R. Ramesh, Science 299, 1719 (2003).
- [5] P. Lukashev, N. Horrell, and R. Sabirianov, J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07A318 (2012).
- [6] D. Behrendt, S. Legvold, and F. Spedding, Phys. Rev. 109, 1544 (1958).
- [7] F. Yang, C. Chien, E. Ferrari, X. Li, G. Xiao, and A. Gupta, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 286 (2000).
- [8] G. H. O. Daalderop, P. J. Kelly, and M. F. H. Schuurmans, Phys. Rev. B 44, 12054(R) (1991).
- [9] B. Peters, A. Alfonsov, C. Blum, S. Hageman, P. Woodward, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, and F. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 162404 (2013).
- [10] H. Kato, T. Okuda, Y. Okimoto, Y. Tomioka, K. Oikawa, T. Kamiyama, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 69, 184412 (2004).
- [11] D. Serrate, J. De Teresa, and M. Ibarra, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19, 023201 (2007).
- [12] K.-I. Kobayashi, T. Kimura, H. Sawada, K. Terakura, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 395, 677 (1998).
- [13] Y. Krockenberger, K. Mogare, M. Reehuis, M. Tovar, M. Jansen, G. Vaitheeswaran, V. Kanchana, F. Bultmark, A. Delin,

via epitaxial strain. We use DFT calculations to understand the structural distortions and to elucidate the dependence of MCA on the structure of the films. A switching of the magnetic easy axis from in plane for compressive strain to out of plane for tensile strain is observed via superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry, and SCRO films exhibit very high anisotropy fields. Finally, we use first principles calculations to probe the atomic origins of the large and tunable MCA in SCRO, for which the anisotropy is driven primarily by Re orbitals. A thorough understanding of the atomic magnetic behavior of this complex system can guide the design of other versatile and applicable materials. Our results suggest that substitution of other heavy transition metals, such as W or Os, for Re can drastically affect the magnetic properties of FM oxides via tuning of the spin-orbit coupling.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 90, 180401(R) (2014)

This work is supported by the Center for Emergent Materials at the Ohio State University, a NSF Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (DMR-1420451). Partial support is provided by the NanoSystems Laboratory and the Center for Electron Microscopy and Analysis at The Ohio State University. Work at Argonne National Laboratory, including the Advanced Photon Source, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under Grant No. DEAC02-06CH11357.

F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev, A. Winkler, and L. Alff, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 020404 (2007).

- [14] A. J. Hauser, J. R. Soliz, M. Dixit, R. E. A. Williams, M. A. Susner, B. Peters, L. M. Mier, T. L. Gustafson, M. D. Sumption, H. L. Fraser, P. M. Woodward, and F. Y. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 85, 161201(R) (2012).
- [15] J. Lucy, A. Hauser, H. Wang, J. Soliz, M. Dixit, R. Williams, A. Holcombe, P. Morris, H. Fraser, D. McComb, P. Woodward, and F. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 042414 (2013).
- [16] A. Hauser, J. Lucy, H. Wang, J. Soliz, A. Holcomb, P. Morris, P. Woodward, and F. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 032403 (2013).
- [17] F. Czeschka, S. Gepraegs, M. Opel, S. Goennenwein, and R. Gross, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 062508 (2009).
- [18] M. Komelj, Phys. Rev. B 82, 012410 (2010).
- [19] X. Chen, D. Parker, K. Ong, M.-H. Du, and D. Singh, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 102403 (2013).
- [20] A. J. Hauser, R. E. A. Williams, R. A. Ricciardo, A. Genc, M. Dixit, J. M. Lucy, P. M. Woodward, H. L. Fraser, and F. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 83, 014407 (2011).
- [21] C. Du, R. Adur, H. Wang, A. J. Hauser, F. Yang, and P. C. Hammel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 147204 (2013).
- [22] H. L. Wang, C. H. Du, Y. Pu, R. Adur, P. C. Hammel, and F. Y. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 88, 100406(R) (2013).
- [23] C. H. Du, H. L. Wang, Y. Pu, T. L. Meyer, P. M. Woodward, F. Y. Yang, and P. C. Hammel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 247202 (2013).
- [24] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.180401 for: (1) film growth parameters,
  (2) methods used for SQUID magnetometry data analysis,
  (3) tabularized structure, spin moment, and orbital moment parameters obtained from DFT calculations, and (4) density of states obtained from DFT calculations.

- [25] P. Woodward, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 53, 44 (1997).
- [26] J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B 46, 6671 (1992).
- [27] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 49, 14251 (1994).
- [28] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993).
- [29] P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [30] V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991).
- [31] H.-T. Jeng and G. Y. Guo, Phys. Rev. B 67, 094438 (2003).
- [32] J. De Teresa, J. Michalik, J. Blasco, P. Algarabel, M. Ibarra, C. Kapusta, and U. Zeitler, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 252514 (2007).
- [33] P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 865 (1989).

Hiertstern V. Deberschke, I. M. Wills, P. Johansson, and

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 90, 180401(R) (2014)

- [34] O. Hjortstam, K. Baberschke, J. M. Wills, B. Johansson, and O. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. B 55, 15026 (1997).
- [35] I. Yang, S. Y. Savrasov, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 216405 (2001).
- [36] F. Wilhelm, P. Poulopoulos, P. Srivastava, H. Wende, M. Farle, K. Baberschke, M. Angelakeris, N. K. Flevaris, W. Grange, J.-P. Kappler, G. Ghiringhelli, and N. B. Brookes, Phys. Rev. B 61, 8647 (2000).
- [37] S. Gold, E. Goering, C. König, U. Rüdiger, G. Güntherodt, and G. Schütz, Phys. Rev. B 71, 220404 (2005).
- [38] H.-T. Jeng and G. Y. Guo, Phys. Rev. B 65, 094429 (2002).
- [39] A. J. Hauser, J. M. Lucy, M. W. Gaultois, M. R. Ball, J. R. Soliz, Y. Choi, O. D. Restrepo, W. Windl, J. W. Freeland, D. Haskel, P. M. Woodward, and F. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 89, 180402 (2014).