Q.

Electronic structure of black phosphorus studied by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy

C. Q. Han, M. Y. Yao, X. X. Bai, Lin Miao, Fengfeng Zhu, D. D. Guan, Shun Wang, C. L. Gao, Canhua Liu, Dong Qian,*

Y. Liu, and Jin-feng Jia

Key Laboratory of Artificial Structures and Quantum Control (Ministry of Education),

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

(Received 27 May 2014; revised manuscript received 21 July 2014; published 5 August 2014)

Electronic structures of single crystalline black phosphorus were studied by state-of-the-art angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). Through high resolution photon energy dependence measurements, the band dispersions along out-of-plane and in-plane directions are experimentally determined. The electrons were found to be more localized in the *ab* plane than is predicted in calculations. Beside the k_z -dispersive bulk bands, a surface resonant state is also observed in the momentum space. Our results strongly suggest that more details need to be considered to fully understand the electronic properties of black phosphorus theoretically.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085101

Since the experimental realization of real two-dimensional (2D) material-graphene [1], great efforts have been devoted to study similar 2D semiconducting systems that are believed to be very useful for future applications [2,3]. As a layerstructured and narrow-gap elemental semiconductor with a direct energy gap of about 0.33 eV, black phosphorus (BP) has received more and more attention in recent years [4–12]. Similar to carbon, phosphorus exists in a number of allotropic forms. Orthorhombic BP is the most stable form of phosphorus under normal conditions. BP has a puckered layer structure [Fig. 1(a)] that has a honeycomb network similar to a graphene layer [13,14]. The investigations of bulk BP showed many interesting physical properties. BP can server as the electrode material for lithium-ion batteries [4,5,7,9,15,16]. Structural phase transition from orthorhombic structure to the rhombohedral structure and to the simple cubic structure was found under pressure accompanied by semiconductorsemimetal-metal transition [17–21]. Furthermore, it has been reported that the BP single crystal shows superconductivity with T_c higher than 10 K under high pressure [22,23]. Recently, the mechanical peel-off method was used to get nanometer thick BP layers that were successfully fabricated to a transistor [24]. Single layer BP was proposed to be a direct gap semiconductor [10,25], though it has not been obtained experimentally. Despite its various interesting properties as mentioned above, so far, the electronic structures of BP are not completely understood. Asahina et al. have calculated the band structure of BP based on the tight binding method, which showed that BP had a direct energy gap of about 0.3 eV at the Z point [26]. Goodman et al. also studied the electronic structure of BP using the local orbital method and got the similar result [27]. Using ab initio calculation, Y. Du et al. found that the energy bands were more complex and the band gap was at the Γ point [28]. Experimentally, though normal emission photoemission with limited resolution had been carried out to measure the energy bands along the k_z directions and the energy gap was determined to be at the Z point [29,30], high resolution detailed band structures in the whole Brillouin zone (BZ) have not been reported. In this paper, by carefully tuning the incident photon energy, we experimentally determined the PACS number(s): 71.20.Mq

BP was synthesized under high pressure and high temperature conditions using white and red P separately as starting materials, as previously reported [7,31]. The temperature dependence of the bulk resistivity and Hall effect measurements of the samples show that the BP we studied are *p*-type semiconductors [32]. All the samples were cleaved at 30 K resulting in well-ordered and shinning surfaces (ac plane). The ARPES measurements were performed using 70-130 eV photons at Advanced Light Source beamline 4.0.3 using Scienta R4000 analyzer with base pressure better than 5×10^{-11} torr. Energy resolution was better than 15 meV and angular resolution was better than 0.02 $Å^{-1}$. Different polarization light was used to reduce the matrix element effect in ARPES measurement [33]. The position of the Fermi level was referenced to a copper plate in electrical contact with the samples. No charging effect was observed during measurements at low temperatures.

Experimentally, band dispersion along the k_z direction (b axis) can be determined by changing the energy of the incident photons. One set of ARPES spectra using different photon energy along $\Gamma(Z)$ -X(L) are shown in Fig. 1. Close to the Fermi energy, the holelike valence band is centered at $k_{\parallel} = 0$ above a binding energy of ~ -1 eV. Constant energy contour at a binding energy of -0.3 eV [Fig. 1(d)] shows rectangular symmetry, which is consistent with bulk crystal structure. Seen from Figs. 1(e)-1(n), the intensity and sharpness of this holelike band vary with the changing of the photon energy. At each photon energy (from 90 to 128 eV with an interval of 0.5 eV), we take the energy distribution curves (EDCs) right at $k_{\parallel} = 0$ and make a new plot in Fig. 2 to determine the band's k_z dispersion. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the image plot and corresponding EDCs of the measured bands along the Γ -Z- Γ direction. A whole BZ along the *b* axis is covered. Seen from Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), there are two dispersive bands

band dispersions along several high symmetry directions in momentum space using high resolution ARPES on single crystalline BP. Comparing with the reported calculations, we found that the valence band near the Fermi level splits into two bands resulting in strong suppression in bandwidth along the k_z direction, while the bandwidth in the plane [*ac* plane, as shown in Fig. 1(a)] becomes larger. Beside the bulk energy bands, surface resonant states were also observed in the momentum space.

^{*}dqian@sjtu.edu.cn



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Sketch of the BP crystal structure. (b) Brillouin zone of orthorhombic BP. (c) *S*- and *P*-polarization lights were used in the experiments. (d) Constant energy contour at a binding energy of -0.3 eV. Rectangular symmetry is consistent with the bulk orthorhombic crystal structure. (d)–(m) ARPES spectra near normal emission position along the $\Gamma(Z)$ -X(L) direction using different incident photon energy.

centered at about -0.5 and -1.5 eV (black dotted lines mark the two bands in the spectra) and some nondispersive features labeled by green arrow. Based on the periodicity observed in the experiments, we can calculate the momentum position where the valence bands reach the maximum. It turns out that the valence band maximum is at the Z point and the corresponding photon energy is ~ 104 eV, which is consistent with the previous photoemission results [29,30]. The band minimum point is at the Γ point and the corresponding photon energy is ~90 eV and 122 eV. From the Γ to the Z point, the topmost valence band disperses from ~ 0.75 eV below the Fermi level towards the Fermi level. It turns back at the Z point without crossing the Fermi level, which presents the semiconducting nature of BP. Figure 2(c) shows spectra from BP taken at the Z point and from a polycrystalline Cu plate as reference. The energy gap between the BP's valence band maximum and the Fermi level is about 0.12 eV (determined by the peak position of the valence band spectra) or 0.05 eV (determined by the leading edge of the valence band spectra) at 30 K. Considering the full energy gap between valence band and conduction band of BP is about 0.3 eV, the ARPES result is consistent with bulk macroscopic *p*-type behavior (the Fermi level is closer to the valence band). At about 1.5 eV below the Fermi energy, there is the second energy band with "M" shape dispersion, which has a local minimum at the Z point. The energy gap between upper and lower bands is about 0.5 eV. Besides two dispersive bands, some nondispersive features are also visible near Γ points (indicated by green arrow). This feature is so broad that we think there should be multipeaks though we can not clearly resolve them experimentally. Similar to the bulk valence band, the nondispersive bands don't cross the Fermi level. Because of the non-k_z dispersive character, we think these features should be related to surface states. Under suitable photon energy, one of the surface states was resolved in the *ac* plane as discussed below.

Figure 3 presents the high resolution ARPES spectra near the $k_{\parallel} = 0$ point using different photon energies. Obviously, the holelike valence band is the sharpest using 104 eV photon energy. Away from 104 eV, the spectra become weak and broad. Figure 3(e) shows two momentum distribution curves (MDCs) near $k_{\parallel} = 0$ at a binding energy of -0.75 eV using 94 and 104 eV photon energy, respectively. Under 104 eV photon energy, the MDC can be nicely fitted using two Lorentzian peaks. However, under 94 eV photon energy, the MDC shows more than two peaks, which indicates that the broadening of the spectra is due to multiband effect instead of the increasing of the scattering rate. The MDC of 94 eV can be fitted using four Lorentzian peaks. Seen from the fitting results, the positions of the outer two peaks are nearly the same as the spectra using 104 eV photon. Only the inner two peaks move to small



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Experimental energy band dispersion along the k_z directions and (b) corresponding EDCs. (b) Energy gap between the valence band maximum and Fermi level. Black curve is the spectrum from Cu as a reference.

momentum, so the outer two peaks should be from the surface states and the inner peaks should be from the bulk states. Known from Fig. 2(a), 104 eV photon energy corresponds to the Z point from Fig. 2(a); the valence band reaches the maximum at this momentum position. Away from the Z point, the valence band moves down to higher binding energy. At the Z point, the overlap of surface and bulk bands results in sharp spectra. When the bulk valence band disperses away from the band maximum, the surface state remains. In Fig. 3, white and green dashed lines present the bulk valence band and surface band. This surface state overlaps with the bulk valance band projection, so we think that it is a surface resonance state. True surface states and surface resonance states are both localized at the surface and have no k_z dispersion. The main difference between them is whether they are degenerate with bulk bands (or bulk bands projection) [34]. The true surface states are located in the bulk band gap, while surface resonance states embed in the bulk bands. Interestingly, our observed surface resonance state also has a similar in-plane dispersion relation to that of the bulk valance band at the Z point. Notable to point out is that the similarity between surface resonance states and bulk states is not universal [34]. Experimentally we are not clear about the origin of this surface resonance state in BP; it may be understood theoretically in the future.

We further mapped the bulk band dispersion in the Z-L-Z ($k_z = \pi$) and X- Γ -X ($k_z = 0$) directions. Figures 4(a) and 4(c) show the ARPES spectra measured using 104 eV photons with different linear polarization along the Z-L-Z-L direction. Figure 4(e) shows the ARPES spectra along the X- Γ -X- Γ direction. Low energy bands in two in-plane BZs were measured, which helps us to determine all the bands because some bands in the first BZ are weak or even unobservable, but



FIG. 3. (Color online) High resolution ARPES spectra near the $\overline{\Gamma}$ point using different photon energy: (a) hv = 114 eV, (b) hv = 110 eV, (c) hv = 104 eV, and (d) hv = 94 eV. White dashed line is the bulk band. Green dashed line is the surface band. (e) MDCs at a binding energy of -0.75 eV. Open symbols are the experimental data. Solid and dashed lines are the fitting results.



FIG. 4. (Color online) The experimental band dispersions in the *ac*-plane (a)Along *L*-*Z*-*L*-*Z* direction using *s*-polarization light. (b)SDI plots of (a). (c)Along *L*-*Z*-*L*-*Z* direction using *p*-polarization light. (d)SDI plot of (c). (e) Along $X-\Gamma-X-\Gamma$ direction using *s*-polarization light. (f) SDI plots of (e). White and red dashed line marks the bulk bands. Green dashed line marks the surface bands.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Comparison of the calculated band dispersion with the experimentally determined band dispersion along (a) Γ -*Z*- Γ direction, (b) *L*-*Z*-*L* direction, (c) and *X*- Γ -*X* direction. The calculation band is from Ref. [26]. (d) *L*-*Z*-*L* direction. The calculation band is from Ref. [24].

can be resolved in the second BZ due to matrix element effects. To further reduce the matrix element effects, *p*-polarization light is also used as shown in Fig. 4(c). In fact, seen from Fig. 4, all the bands can be well resolved either by looking at different BZ or using different polarization. In order to enhance the band dispersion, second derivative image (SDI) plots are shown in Figs. 4(b), 4(d), and 4(f), correspondingly.

By tracing the peak position in the ARPES spectra as well as in the SDI plots in Fig. 4, we plot all the bulk bands that were observed in the single BZ in Fig. 5. Previously reported calculation results [24,26,27] were overlaid on the top of experimental results. The red dots are experimental data and blue solid lines are calculated bands. Seen from Fig. 5(a), there are dramatic differences between the experimental bands and the calculated bands along the k_z direction. Close to the Fermi level, there is a single paraboliclike band with a width of about 1.75 eV in calculation. Experimentally, within this energy region (<2 eV), we observed two bands (band I and band II). The bandwidth of the topmost band (band I) is about 0.7 eV, which indicated bulk BP would behave more two dimensionally than theoretical expectation. This effect is consistent with our recent transport measurements, which are not shown here but will be described elsewhere in detail [32].

Moreover, from the band mapping in the plane, we reveal that the observed band renormalization along the k_z direction is caused by the splitting of the calculated band 1. Figures 5(b) and 5(c) present the bands in the plane along the L-Z-L and $X-\Gamma-X$ direction, respectively. In calculations, from the Fermi level to a binding energy of about -6 eV, there are two sets of band complexes, between which there is an energy gap. This gap is smaller in Ref. [21] [Fig. 5(d)]. However experimentally, as shown in Fig. 5(b), we found that these two band complexes overlapped. The total bandwidth in the plane becomes about 6 eV, which is nearly ten times larger than that along the out-of-plane direction. Near the valence band maximum [Figs. 5(b) and 5(d)], within the experimental uncertainty, the experimental band agrees very well with the calculations. Among the binding energy from 0 to -3 eV, there are two bands in calculation (labeled by blue "1" and "2"). However, clearly resolved in experiments, there are three bands (labeled by red "I," "II," and "III"). This discrepancy can be understand based on the splitting of band 1. Seen from Fig. 5(a), the shapes of band I, band II, and band 1 look similar, so we think band 1 in calculation actually splits into two bands (band I and band II) in real materials. Due to the existence of band II, band 2 is pushed to high binding energy to form the experimental observed band III in the L-Z-L direction.

In summary, we studied the detailed band dispersions in the *ac* plane as well as out-of-plane in BP. Consistent with calculation, the valence band maximum is at the Z point. However, the observed band width along the k_z direction is much smaller than predicted in calculation, which implies that electrons are more localized in the two-dimensional plane. Besides the bulk energy bands, surface resonant states are also observed, which may play important roles when the thickness of BP is reduced to several layers. Our findings imply that more details in BP should be considered in order to quantitatively explain the discrepancy between the experimental data and the existing calculation results.

This work is supported by National Basic Research 2012CB927401, Program of China (Grants No. 2011CB921902, No. 2013CB921902, No. and No. 2011CB922200), NSFC (Grants No. 91021002, No. 10904090, No. 11174199, No. 11204175, and No. 11134008), the SCST, China (Grants No. 12JC1405300, No. 13QH1401500, No. 10JC1407100, No. 10PJ1405700, and No. 11PJ405200). The Advanced Light Source is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. D.Q. acknowledges additional support from the Top-notch Young Talents Program.

- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Science 306, 666 (2004).
- [2] S. Lebègue, T. Björkman, M. Klintenberg, R. M. Nieminen, and O. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. X 3, 031002 (2013).
- [3] J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. ONeill, S. D. Bergin, P. J. King, U. Khan, K. Young, A. Gaucher, S. De, R. J. Smith, I. V.

Shvets, S. K. Arora, G. Stanton, H. Y. Kim, K. Lee, G. T. Kim, G. S. Duesberg, T. Hallam, J. J. Boland, J. J. Wang, J. F. Donegan, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, A. Shmeliov, R. J. Nicholls, J. M. Perkins, E. M. Grieveson, K. Theuwissen, D. W. McComb, P. D. Nellist, and V. Nicolosi, Science 331, 568 (2011).

[4] C. Park and H. Sohn, Adv. Matter. 19, 2465 (2007).

- [5] C. Park, J. Kim, H. Kim, and H. Sohn, Chem. Soci. Rev. 39, 3115 (2010).
- [6] C. D. Zhang, J. C. Lian, W. Yi, Y. H. Jiang, L. W. Liu, H. Hu, W. D. Xiao, S. X. Du, L. L. Sun, and H. J. Gao, J. Phys. Chem. C 113, 18823 (2009).
- [7] L. Q. Sun, M. J. Li, K. Sun, S. H. Yu, R. S. Wang, and H. M. Xie, J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 14772 (2012).
- [8] T. NIlges, M. Kersting, and T. Pfeifer, J. Solid State Chem. 181, 1707 (2008).
- [9] C. Marino, A. Debenedetti, B. Fraisse, F. Favier, and L. Monconduit, Electrochem. Commun. 13, 346 (2011).
- [10] A. S. Rodin, A. Carvalho and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 176801 (2014).
- [11] S. Appalakondaiah, G. Vaitheeswaran, S. Lebègue, N. E. Christensen, and A. Svane, Phys. Rev. B 86, 035105 (2012).
- [12] J. S. Qiao, X. H. Kong, Zhi-Xin Hu, F. Yang, and W. Ji, Nat. Commun. 5, 4475 (2014).
- [13] A. Brown and S. Rundqvist, Acta Cryst. 19, 684 (1965).
- [14] A. Morita, Appl. Phys. A 39, **39**, 227 (1986).
- [15] M. C. Stan, J. V. Zamory, S. Passerini, T. Nilges, and M. Winter, J. Mater. Chem. A 1, 5293 (2013).
- [16] M. Nagao, A. Hayashi, and M. Tatsumisago, J. Power Sources 196, 6902 (2011).
- [17] Y. Ktayama, T. Mizutani, W. Utsumi, O. Shimomura, M. Yamakata, and K. Funakoshi, Nature (London) 403, 170 (2000).
- [18] J. C. Jamieson, Science 139, 1291 (1963).
- [19] C. A. Vanderborgh and D. Schiferl, Phys. Rev. B 40, 9595 (1989).

- [20] Y. Akahama, M. Kobayashi, and H. Kawamura, Solid State Commun. 104, 311 (1997).
- [21] K. J. Chang and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 33, 6177 (1986).
- [22] H. Kawamura, I. Shirotani, and K. Tachikawa, Solid State Commun 49, 879 (1984).
- [23] J. Wittig and B. T. Matthias, Science 160, 994 (1968).
- [24] L. Li, Y. Yu, G. J. Ye, Q. Ge, X. Ou, H. Wu, D. L. Feng, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, Nat. Nano. 9, 372 (2014).
- [25] Y. Takao and A. Morita, Physica B 105, 93 (1981).
- [26] H. Asahina, K. Shindo, and A. Morita, J. Phys. Soc. J 51, 1193 (1982).
- [27] N. B. Goodman, L. Ley, and D. W. Bullett, Phys. Rev. B 27, 7440 (1983).
- [28] Y. Du, C. Ouyang, S. Shi, and M. Lei, J. Appl. Phys. 107, 093718 (2010).
- [29] T. Takahashi, H. Tokailin, S. Suzuki, T. Sagawa, and I. Shirotani, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1105 (1984).
- [30] T. Takahashi, N. Gunasekara, H. Ohsawa, H. Ishii, T. Kinoshita, S. Suzuki, T. Sagawa, H. Kato, T. Miyahara, and I. Shirotani, Phys. Rev. B 33, 4324 (1986).
- [31] S. Endo, Y. Akahama, S. Terada, and S. Narita, J. Appl. Phys. 21, L482 (1982).
- [32] X. X. Bai et al. (unpublished).
- [33] A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z. X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- [34] A. Zangwill, *Physics at Surfaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988).