Zero-bias conductance peak in detached flakes of superconducting $2H$ **-TaS₂ probed by scanning tunneling spectroscopy**

J. A. Galvis,¹ L. Chirolli,² I. Guillamón,^{1,3} S. Vieira,^{1,3} E. Navarro-Moratalla,⁴ E. Coronado,⁴ H. Suderow,^{1,3} and F. Guinea^{2,3}

¹Laboratorio de Bajas Temperaturas, Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales Nicolas

Cabrera, Condensed Matter Physics Center (IFIMAC), Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain ´

²*Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (CSIC), Sor Juana Inez de la Cruz 3, E-28049 Madrid, Spain ´*

³*Unidad Asociada de Bajas Temperaturas y Altos Campos Magneticos, UAM, CSIC, Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain ´* ⁴*Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), Universidad de Valencia, Catedratico Jos ´ e Beltr ´ an 2, 46980 Paterna, Spain ´*

(Received 28 January 2014; revised manuscript received 22 May 2014; published 18 June 2014)

We report an anomalous tunneling conductance with a zero-bias peak in flakes of superconducting $2H$ -TaS₂ detached through mechanical exfoliation. To explain the observed phenomenon, we construct a minimal model for a single unit cell layer of superconducting $2H$ -TaS₂ with a simplified two-dimensional Fermi surface and a sign-changing Cooper-pair wave function induced by Coulomb repulsion. Superconductivity is induced in the central Γ pocket, where it becomes nodal. We show that weak scattering at the nodal Fermi surface, produced by nonperturbative coupling between tip and sample, gives Andreev states that lead to a zero-bias peak in the tunneling conductance. We suggest that reducing dimensionality down to crystals a few atoms thick could drive a crossover from conventional to sign-changing pairing in the superconductor $2H$ -TaS₂.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.89.224512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.224512) PACS number(s): 74*.*78*.*Na*,* 74*.*20*.*Rp*,* 74*.*55*.*+v*,* 74*.*70*.*Xa

I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical view of single layer and other quasi-twodimensional superconductors is at odds with the experiments available until now. Theoretical proposals point out that, in two dimensions, a non-simply-connected Fermi surface promotes nonconventional superconductivity mediated by repulsive electron-electron interactions, that favor Kohn-Luttinger related pairing [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Nonconventional superconducting states include odd momentum pairing in graphene heterostructures [\[2\]](#page-4-0), chiral superconductivity in doped graphene [\[3\]](#page-4-0), or signchanging superconductivity in $MoS₂$ [\[4\]](#page-4-0). Superconductivity has been found in different two-dimensional (2D) systems, such as single-atom layers $[5,6]$, unit-cell layers of heavy fermion compounds and in iron selenium $[7-12]$, electrons confined at interfaces [\[13,14\]](#page-4-0), or in electron-doped $MoS₂$ [\[15,16\]](#page-4-0). Experiments have studied phase diagrams as a function of carrier density, magnetic field, and temperature [\[5–16\]](#page-4-0). Some tunneling experiments have been made $[6,7]$, reporting conventional single or multigap *s*-wave-like superconducting tunneling features, without clear indications of Kohn-Luttinger related pairing.

Andreev bound states (ABS) are formed by scattering with defects or nonmagnetic impurities in Cooper-pair wave function sign-changing nonconventional superconductors [\[17\]](#page-4-0) and manifest as a peak in the tunneling conductance, often at zero bias (zero-bias conductance peak, ZBCP). ABS are not formed in conventional *s*-wave BCS superconductors with defects or nonmagnetic impurities [\[8,12,18–28\]](#page-4-0). ABS are useful to produce the conditions favoring topological superconductivity and Majorana fermion physics [\[29,30\]](#page-4-0), because they give a large amount of states at the Fermi level, often with a linear dispersion relation [\[19\]](#page-4-0).

Here we present scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements in flakes detached from the surface of the transition metal dichalcogenide $2H$ -TaS₂. We find a ZBCP which becomes more pronounced with increasing separation of the flakes from the bulk. We propose a minimal model with odd-parity superconductivity, which follows the spirit of Refs. [\[2,4\]](#page-4-0), but with an additional band having nodal induced superconductivity. We calculate the tunneling current and find that the tip-sample interaction can be nonperturbative, leading to a ZBCP formed by ABS induced by the interaction with the tip.

II. EXPERIMENT

We measure a single crystalline sample of $2H$ -TaS₂ grown by chemical transport [\[31,32\]](#page-4-0). We use the STM setup described elsewhere [\[33\]](#page-4-0), featuring an *in situ* sample positioning system that allows one to easily change the scanning window and cover macroscopically different portions of the sample. We take images with a tunneling conductance around 0.3 μ S, unless stated otherwise, and a bias set-point of 2.5 mV. Samples have a lateral size of 5 mm and present a residual resistance ratio around 10 with a critical temperature of $T_c = 3$ K. The resistance-vs-temperature plot shows a feature at 75 K due to the charge density wave (CDW) transition in this compound [inset of Fig. $1(c)$] [\[34–37\]](#page-4-0). Before cooling, we exfoliate the samples using the adhesive-tape method. We find some areas which are shiny and flat, and others with a large amount of detached and broken flakes. In the large and flat areas, superconductivity shows *s*-wave BCS gap features (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) [\[38,39\]](#page-4-0). In the other areas, we often identify pronounced steps, as the one shown in Fig. $2(a)$, and places with fractured layers, as shown in Fig. [3\(a\).](#page-2-0) Fractures and pronounced steps evidence detached flakes, and are produced by exfoliation. Generally, scanning is more difficult on those areas. However, by searching many different scanning windows, we are able to obtain atomic resolution over some flakes.

III. RESULTS

We find that, on the areas with detached flakes, the detached upper surface flakes show a ZBCP, whereas the lower surface shows a superconducting gap [Fig. $2(b)$]. The ZBCP

FIG. 1. (Color online) In (a) we show the structure of $2H$ -TaS₂, and highlight the unit-cell size. Panel (b) is an atomic-resolution surface topography, showing the characteristic features of large and flat surfaces of $2H$ -TaS₂, and (c) shows the tunneling conductance of $2H$ -TaS₂ in the superconducting phase at 150 mK (the inset shows the temperature dependence of the resistivity). These results are obtained on large flat areas of $2H$ -TaS₂; the following figures instead are in areas showing detached and broken flakes.

disappears at the T_c of the bulk [Figs. [2\(c\)](#page-2-0) and [2\(d\)\]](#page-2-0). The phenomenology is varied, with peaks of different sizes. For instance, in Fig. [3](#page-2-0) the upper layer shows a fracture separating two regions with different tunneling conductance curves. The top left layer is a few tenths of nm more separated from the bottom layer than the top right layer [see the profile in Fig. $3(b)$]. The tunneling spectroscopy on the bottom layer shows a superconducting gap, and the one on the top layers a ZBCP. On the top left layer the ZBCP is more pronounced than on the top right layer. There appears to be a correlation between the coupling of the detached layer and the size of the ZBCP.

IV. MODELLING SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN UNIT-CELL LAYERS OF 2H-TaS₂

A. Superconductivity in flakes

To analyze our results in $2H$ -TaS₂, we construct a minimal two-dimensional tight-binding model intended to reproduce main features of the Fermi surface of $2H$ -TaS₂ unit-cell layers [Fig. [4\(a\)\]](#page-3-0). We take a central, nearly circular Fermi surface at the Γ point and also nearly circular pockets at K and K' points. Electronic states on the Fermi surface have a strong 5*d* character, favoring an active role of electron-electron interaction as a leading superconducting instability [\[1\]](#page-4-0). The contribution of electron-electron interaction to $K-K'$ intervalley scattering is

due to the short-range part of the Coulomb potential. The latter consists of the Hubbard onsite repulsion between electrons with opposite spin in the same atomic orbital. This is not negligible for 5*d* electrons and favors a triplet odd-momentum pairing state, with gaps of opposite signs in different *K* and *K* valleys, $\Delta_K = -\Delta_{K'}$, which breaks parity [\[2,4,15,16](#page-4-0)[,40\]](#page-5-0). In the even-parity Γ -centered Fermi pocket, superconductivity is induced with a nodal character.

To construct the wave functions, we consider a triangular lattice with two *d* orbitals per site, $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy} , up to nearest-neighbor hopping. The triangular lattice is specified by two basis vectors $\mathbf{a}_1 = (a, 0)$ and $\mathbf{a}_2 = (a/2, \sqrt{3}a/2)$, and we also define $\mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_2 - \mathbf{a}_1$. On the same site the two orbitals are orthogonal and degenerate. We introduce Fermionic operators $c_{\mathbf{R},\alpha,\sigma}$ for electrons at site **R**, with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in orbital $\alpha = d_{x^2-y^2}$, d_{xy} and group them in the orbital vector $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{R},\sigma}$. A gap that changes sign between the K and K' valleys can be modeled as an imaginary nearest-neighbor pairing, in the spirit of the Haldane model [\[41\]](#page-5-0). The Hamiltonian can be compactly written as

$$
H = -\sum_{\mathbf{R},\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{R},\sigma}^{\dagger} h_i \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{a}_i,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}
$$

$$
- i \delta \sum_{\mathbf{R}\alpha} \sum_{s=\pm, j=1}^{3} c_{\mathbf{R}\alpha \uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{R} + s\mathbf{a}_j,\alpha \downarrow}^{\dagger} s (-1)^j + \text{H.c.}, \quad (1)
$$

where $(h_i)_{\alpha,\beta} \equiv h_{\mathbf{R},\alpha;\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{a}_i,\beta}$ for $i = 1,2,3$ is the hopping matrix element between orbital *α* in site **R** and orbital $β$ in site **R** + **a**_{*i*}. The matrices h_i are parametrized by two independent hoppings $t_{dd\sigma}$ and $t_{dd\pi}$ as in Ref. [\[42\]](#page-5-0). In the Nambu basis defined by the eight-component vector $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathcal{T} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k}})^T = (\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}, \mathbf{c}_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow}^{\dagger}, -\mathbf{c}_{-\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^{\dagger})^T$, with $\mathcal{T} = i s_y K$ the time reversal operator, the Bogoliubov–de Gennes Hamiltonian reads $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{BdG}} = (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 - \mu)\tau_z + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} s_z \tau_x$, where s_i and τ_i are Pauli matrices acting on the spin and particle-hole subspaces, respectively, μ the chemical potential, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = -2 \sum_{i=1}^3 h_i \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i)$, and the triplet *f*-wave gap function is $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\delta \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i})$. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}$ yields two spin-degenerate bands ϵ_k^{\pm} . Choosing the Fermi energy at half the bandwidth, $\mu = 0$, for $t_{dd\pi} \leq t_{dd\sigma}/3$ the Fermi surface (Fig. [4](#page-3-0) left) consists of two inequivalent pockets centered at the *K* and *K'* points, defined by $\epsilon_{k}^{-} = \mu$, and a pocket centered about the Γ point, defined by $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^+ = \mu$. The odd-momentum gap function has strength approximately $\Delta_K \sim 4\delta$ on the *K* and *K*['] valleys, and it induces a weaker pairing $\Delta_{\Gamma} \sim \delta$ on the *-* pocket characterized by sign-changing nodes (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0).

Scattering at localized centers has been extensively considered by literature in nodal *d*-wave superconductors [\[19](#page-4-0)[,43–45\]](#page-5-0) and in graphene [\[46–48\]](#page-5-0). Both share a particle-hole symmetric spectrum and a low-energy Dirac-like dispersion. In nodal *d*-wave superconductors, scattering-induced mixing of wave functions characterized by sign-changing gap produces ABS, breaks pairs, and leads to a decrease in T_c . Within our model, the leading superconducting instability, arising upon shortrange repulsive electron-electron *K*-*K*^{*'*} intervalley scattering, generates a gap with strength Δ_K on the *K* valley. But close to the Fermi energy the relevant energy scale is the reduced gap δ on the Γ pocket that determines the cutoff scale for the linear approximation around the nodal points.

FIG. 2. (Color online) In (a) we show a topography of a surface of the sample showing a detached layer. The upper inset shows a profile through the step at the border of the layer, and the lower inset shows an image of the zero-bias conductance (the color scale is shown in units of conductance normalized at high bias voltages) taken at 0.15 K. The image is an overlay at the position where it was taken. In (b) we show tunneling conductance curves obtained throughout the top layer (blue) and on the bottom layer (red), both at 0.15 K. The corresponding temperature dependencies are shown in (c) and (d).

B. Tunneling into flakes with induced nodal superconductivity

Weak scattering in the Γ pocket is enough to produce ABS because δ is small. We calculate the tunneling current using Nambu-Keldysh nonequilibrium formalism [\[49–51\]](#page-5-0), where the tunneling is treated at all orders in perturbation theory.

Assuming a pointlike tunneling of amplitude t_0 , the current is determined by the momentum-averaged superconductor Green's function $\hat{g}_s(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (z - \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{BdG}})^{-1}$, which, due to oddness of the gap function, yields no contribution from Andreev reflection in the tip. Following Ref. [\[51\]](#page-5-0), the

FIG. 3. (Color online) In (a) we show a topography (190 nm \times 175 nm) of a region with an upper layer slightly separated from the lower layer. An image of the zero-bias conductance on the area marked by the black square is also shown. In (b) we show profiles across two different directions, as marked by the green and blue lines of the image in (a). We give the unit-cell size as an arrow in (b). In the inset we show an atomic-scale topography image obtained in the area marked by a green square in (a). Data are taken at 0.15 K.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Left: Fermi surface of the TaS_2 obtained from the tight-binding model described in the text for the choice $t_{dd\sigma} = 50t_{dd\pi} \equiv t$. Zones of positive/negative gap and nodal lines are highlighted. On the K, K' valleys (blue color) the gap is denoted by Δ and on the Γ pocket (violet color) by δ . The red dashed line is the first Brillouin zone. Right: Conductance expected in a STM measurement as a function of the applied bias voltage *V* for the two-*d*-orbital tight-binding model. The gap is chosen to be $\delta / t_{dd\sigma} = 0.05$. Coupling is nonperturbative with $\tilde{\lambda} = 0.6, 0.8$ (red dashed and dark continuous lines respectively, temperature of *δ/*10).

conductance is

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{4\pi^2 t_0^2 \rho_{\text{tip}}(\omega - eV)\rho_{\text{sc}}(\omega)}{|1 - t_0^2 g_{\text{sc}}(\omega) g_{\text{tip}}(\omega - eV)|^2},\tag{2}
$$

where $g_{\alpha}(\omega) = \text{Tr} \hat{g}_{\alpha}(\omega + i0^{+})/2$ and $\rho_{\alpha} = -\text{Im} g_{\alpha}/\pi$, with $\alpha = \text{tip}$, sc. In the denominator of Eq. (2) we recognize the tip self-energy, $\Sigma_{\text{tip}} = t_0^2 g_{\text{tip}}$. Close to the Fermi energy it is natural to assume $\Sigma_{\text{tip}} = -i\pi t_0^2 \rho_{\text{tip}}$, with ρ_{tip} being the constant tip density of states at the Fermi energy. A resonant behavior is reached when the denominator of Eq. (2) goes to zero,

$$
1 - \Sigma_{\text{tip}} g_{\text{sc}}(\Omega) = 0. \tag{3}
$$

These are the poles of the tip-modified superconductor Green's function at the tip position. The problem is analogous to the case of a strongly scattering scalar impurity in a *d*-wave nodal superconductor, where a localized virtually bound state is induced [\[19,](#page-4-0)[44,45\]](#page-5-0). In our case, the source Σ_{tip} is purely imaginary. Following Ref. [\[45\]](#page-5-0), by approximating the nodal points as Dirac cones in the limit $|\omega| \ll \delta$, we find a purely imaginary resonance $Ω = iδx$, with $x \ln x = 1/λ$, where $λ =$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{3}N_{\rm f}t_0^2\rho_{\rm tip}/t_{dd\sigma}$ is the effective coupling, and $N_{\rm f}=12$ the total number of flavors (six spin-degenerate Dirac cones). The tip locally breaks time-reversal symmetry and in the limit $\lambda \to \infty$ produces a resonance at zero energy characterized by a large broadening of order of *δ*.

We numerically calculate the tunneling conductance for the model Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) for a constant imaginary self-energy. We assume the tip couples to a particular site, with equal strength t_0 to the two $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy} orbitals. When dealing with the tight-binding model the coupling constant becomes $\tilde{\lambda} = t_0^2 \rho_{\text{tip}}/t_{dd\sigma}$, which is smaller than the effective low energy coupling constant λ by about an order of magnitude, $\tilde{\lambda}$ = $\lambda\sqrt{3}/(N_f) \ll \lambda$. A relatively weak tip-sample coupling can become nonperturbative at the low energy scale *δ*. For very weak coupling $\lambda \ll 1$ ($\lambda < 1$) the conductance shows a local density of states with a gap at Δ_K on the *K* and *K*^{\prime} Fermi pockets, and a small nodal gap Δ_{Γ} on the Γ pocket. For larger

coupling $\lambda \leq 1$ ($\lambda > 1$) a broad ZBCP occurs (Fig. 4). The features indicative of the larger sized gap structure are washed out if coupling is unequal and stronger to the Γ pocket. With large coupling, the interaction is nonperturbative and the size of the ZBCP, compared to the tunneling conductance, does not vary strongly.

The nodal superconductivity giving the ZBCP is weak because it is induced by the *K* bands. Thus, the ZBCP appears for a range of relatively weak tip-sample couplings. Tip-sample coupling t_0 on order of $0.1\Delta_K$ remains perturbative with respect to the *K* band but is enough to produce a sizeable ABS in the Γ pocket.

V. DISCUSSION

In our experiment, we observe a ZBCP centered at the Fermi level that is essentially featureless. The ZBCP remains of same order within a conductance range of 0.2 and 2 *μ*S. This can be expected, since the small induced gap on the Γ pocket makes the perturbative regime with respect to this band inaccessible for operational values of the tunneling conductance. Thus, tunneling into the Γ band remains nonperturbative and gives a ZBCP, whose size, as discussed below, depends on the coupling between the detached surface layer and the layer below.

 $2H$ -TaS₂ is a quasi-two-dimensional layered transitionmetal dichalcogenide and belongs to the series of 2*H*-*MX*² with $M =$ Ta, Nb and $X =$ S, Se. Experiments report two-band superconducting gaps in $2H$ -NbSe₂ and in $2H$ -NbS₂ [\[52–58\]](#page-5-0). In 2H-TaSe₂, mixed surfaces are observed, which consist of a unit-cell superconducting $2H$ -TaSe₂ over nonsuperconducting 17 -TaSe₂ crystallizing in a trigonal structural polytype. These superconducting unit-cell $2H$ -TaSe₂ layers give also images with a ZBCP in the tunneling conductance [\[59\]](#page-5-0). The model presented here can also explain qualitatively this behavior. There are some relevant differences, however. In $2H$ -TaS₂ the ZBCP is more difficult to find than in $2H$ -TaSe₂ and appears only by intensive searching for detached layers. After exfoliation with adhesive tape, $2H$ -TaSe₂ has a stronger tendency to form large flat areas with mixed polytypes close to the surface, and $2H$ -TaS₂ shows often nice flat surfaces, separated by areas with detached layers. Moreover, strong atomic-scale modulations are observed in the size of the ZBCP in 2H-TaSe₂. By contrast, the ZBCP discussed here in $2H$ -TaS₂ is roughly homogeneous at atomic scale. The van der Waals gap between *MX*² layers increases from $2H$ -NbS₂, $2H$ -NbSe₂, $2H$ -TaS₂, and $2H$ -TaSe₂, with the latter two compounds having a mostly two-dimensional Fermi surface [\[34\]](#page-4-0). Stronger coupling between layers for the Nb compounds may explain why ZBCPs have only been observed in the Ta compounds. The form and electronic properties of the interlayer coupling seem rather important in the formation of ZBCPs.

Our experiment and model suggests that there can be an interesting crossover behavior from a nodal odd-momentum gap in the detached flake case, that manifests itself with the appearance of a ZBCP, to an ordinary BCS gap in the bulk case, depending on the coupling between layers. For instance, the peak in Fig. [3](#page-2-0) increases with the step height. We expect that coupling with the substrate affects the whole layer, and leads to averaging of sign-changing gap features, and not localized Andreev levels as those formed by a point scatterer. At present, it appears challenging to better control interlayer coupling and study this effect quantitatively. But this may become possible in the future using intercalation of molecular compounds between layers [32], and would open a way to modify parity and spatial structure of pairing interactions.

The T_c values where the ZBCP disappears are of the order of those found in the substrate. The T_c in the transition-metal dichalcogenides can vary between 0.1 and 10 K [\[60\]](#page-5-0) depending on pressure or defects in the crystal. Thus, internal strain is probably important in explaining superconducting T_c in layers. New superconducting properties might be unveiled by further improving unit-cell layer synthesis and measurement.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we provide a model according to which unitcell layers of two-dimensional $2H$ -TaS₂ present induced nodal superconductivity that can be perturbed by weak scattering. Extended Andreev excitations at the Fermi level can arise without a significant pair breaking effect on the main superconducting bands. The proposed mechanism suggests a way to generate ABS in a controlled way and can be extended to

other nonconventional superconducting systems. Experiments in detached flakes of the transition metal dichalcogenide $2H$ -TaS₂ present a strong zero-bias conductance peak, which can be understood as an ABS produced by nodal induced superconductivity, as proposed in our model. This suggests that flakes a few atoms thick could show very different superconducting properties than the bulk counterpart.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish MINECO (Consolider Ingenio Molecular Nanoscience CSD2007-00010 program and FIS2011-23488, ACI2009-0905, FIS2011-23713, and MAT2011-22785), by the European Union (ERC Advanced Grants No. 290846 and No. 247384, Graphene Flagship Contract No. CNECT-ICT-604391, Marie Curie Actions FP7-PEOPLE-2013-CIG-618321, and COST MP1201 action), by the Comunidad de Madrid through program Nanobiomagnet, and by the Generalidad de Valencia through programs PROMETEO and ISIC-NANO. L.C. acknowledges discussions with F. Taddei. We acknowledge technical support of UAM's workshops, SEGAINVEX.

- [1] W. Kohn and J. M. Luttinger, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.524) **[15](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.524)**, [524](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.524) [\(1965\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.524).
- [2] F. Guinea and B. Uchoa, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134521) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134521)**, [134521](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134521) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.134521).
- [3] R. Nandkishore, L. Levitov, and A. Chubukov, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2208) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2208)**, [158](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2208) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2208).
- [4] R. Roldán, E. Cappelluti, and F. Guinea, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.054515)* [88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.054515), [054515](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.054515) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.054515).
- [5] S. Qin, J. Kim, Q. Niu, and C.-K. Shih, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1170775) **[324](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1170775)**, [1314](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1170775) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1170775).
- [6] T. Cren, D. Fokin, F. Debontridder, V. Dubost, and D. Roditchev, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.127005) **[102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.127005)**, [127005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.127005) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.127005).
- [7] T. Tominaga, T. Sakamoto, H. Kim, T. Nishio, T. Eguchi, and Y. Hasegawa, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.195434) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.195434)**, [195434](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.195434) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.195434).
- [8] W. Wang, Z. Li, W. Zhang, Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, W. Li, H. Dao, Y. Ou, P. Deng, K. Chang *et al.*, [Chin. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/29/3/037402) **[29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/29/3/037402)**, [037402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/29/3/037402) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/29/3/037402).
- [9] H. Gardner, A. Kumar, L. Yu, P. Xiong, M. Wausawithana, L. Wang, O. Vafek, and D. Shlom, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2075) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2075)**, [895](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2075) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2075).
- [10] Y. Mizukami, H. Shishido, T. Shibauchi, M. Shimozawa, S. Yasumoto, D. Watanabe, M. Yamashita, H. Ikeda, T. Terashima, H. Kontani *et al.*, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2112) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2112)**, [849](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2112) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2112).
- [11] D. Liu *et al.*, [Nat. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1946) **[3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1946)**, [931](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1946) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1946).
- [12] P. Hirschfeld, M. Korshunov, and I. Mazin, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124508) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124508)**, [124508](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124508) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124508).
- [13] C. Ahn, J.-M. Triscone, and J. Mannhart, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01878) **[424](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01878)**, [1015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01878) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01878).
- [14] A. Bollinger, G. Dubuis, J. Yoon, D. Pavuna, J. Misewich, and I. Bozovic, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09998) **[472](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09998)**, [458](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09998) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09998).
- [15] K. Taniguchi *et al.*, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4740268) **[101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4740268)**, [042603](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4740268) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4740268).
- [16] J. Ye, Y. Zhang, M. Bahramy, R. Arita, and Y. Iwasa, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228006) **[338](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228006)**, [1193](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228006) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1228006).
- [17] B. J. van Wees, P. de Vries, P. Magnée, and T. M. Klapwijk, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.510) **[69](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.510)**, [510](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.510) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.510).
- [18] C. C. Tsuei and J. R. Kirtley, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.969) **[72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.969)**, [969](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.969) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.72.969).
- [19] A. V. Balatsky, I. Vekhter, and J.-X. Zhu, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.373) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.373)**, [373](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.373) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.373).
- [20] J. Hoffman, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124513) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124513)**, [124513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124513) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/74/12/124513).
- [21] C.-R. Hu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1526) **[72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1526)**, [1526](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1526) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1526).
- [22] Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3451) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3451)**, [3451](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3451) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3451).
- [23] S. Kashiwaya, Y. Tanaka, M. Koyanagi, H. Takashima, and K. Kajimura, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1350) **[51](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1350)**, [1350](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1350) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.1350).
- [24] C.-R. Hu, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1266) **[57](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1266)**, [1266](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1266) [\(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1266).
- [25] S. Kashiwaya and Y. Tanaka, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/63/10/202) **[63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/63/10/202)**, [1641](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/63/10/202) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/63/10/202).
- [26] E. Bascones and F. Guinea, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.214508) **[64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.214508)**, [214508](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.214508) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.214508).
- [27] M. Sato, Y. Tanaka, K. Yada, and T. Yokoyama, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.224511) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.224511)**, [224511](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.224511) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.224511).
- [28] [J. P. Dahlhaus, M. Gibertini, and C. W. J. Beenakker,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.174520) *Phys. Rev.* B **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.174520)**, [174520](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.174520) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.174520).
- [29] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057)**, [1057](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057).
- [30] C. W. J. Beenakker, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-030212-184337) **[4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-030212-184337)**, [113](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-030212-184337) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-030212-184337).
- [31] R. Gruehn and R. Glaum, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(20000218)39:4<692::AID-ANIE692>3.3.CO;2-Y) **[39](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(20000218)39:4<692::AID-ANIE692>3.3.CO;2-Y)**, [692](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(20000218)39:4<692::AID-ANIE692>3.3.CO;2-Y) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(20000218)39:4<692::AID-ANIE692>3.3.CO;2-Y).
- [32] E. Coronado, C. Marti-Gastaldo, E. Navarro-Moratalla, A. Ribera, S. Blundell, and P. Bajer, [Nat. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.898) **[2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.898)**, [1031](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.898) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nchem.898).
- [33] H. Suderow, I. Guillamon, and S. Vieira, [Rev. Sci. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3567008) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3567008)**, [033711](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3567008) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3567008).
- [34] K. Rossnagel, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/21/213001) **[23](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/21/213001)**, [213001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/21/213001) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/21/213001).
- [35] R. L. Barnett, A. Polkovnikov, E. Demler, W.-G. Yin, and W. Ku, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.026406) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.026406)**, [026406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.026406) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.026406).
- [36] K. Rossnagel and N. V. Smith, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.073102) **[76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.073102)**, [073102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.073102) [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.073102).
- [37] Y. Ge and A. Y. Liu, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104101) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104101)**, [104101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104101) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104101).
- [38] I. Guillamon, H. Suderow, J. Rodrigo, S. Vieira, P. Rodiere, L. Cario, E. Navarro-Moratalla, C. Marti-Gastaldo, and E. Coronado, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/10/103020) **[13](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/10/103020)**, [103020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/10/103020) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/10/103020).
- [39] J. van Wezel, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035131) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035131)**, [035131](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035131) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.035131).
- [40] I. Mazin, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08914) **[464](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08914)**, [183](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08914) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08914).
- [41] F. D. M. Haldane, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.2015) **[61](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.2015)**, [2015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.2015) [\(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.2015).
- [42] I. Guillamón, H. Suderow, F. Guinea, and S. Vieira, *Phys. Rev.* B **[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134505)**, [134505](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134505) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.134505).
- [43] P. A. Lee, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.1887) **[71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.1887)**, [1887](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.1887) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.1887).
- [44] [A. V. Balatsky, A. Rosengren, and B. L. Altshuler,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.720) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.720)**, [720](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.720) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.720).
- [45] A. V. Balatsky, M. I. Salkola, and A. Rosengren, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.15547)* **[51](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.15547)**, [15547](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.15547) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.15547).
- [46] S. Ryu and Y. Hatsugai, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.077002) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.077002)**, [077002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.077002) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.077002).
- [47] V. M. Pereira, F. Guinea, J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, N. M. R. Peres, and A. H. Castro Neto, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.036801) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.036801)**, [036801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.036801) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.036801).
- [48] N. M. R. Peres, F. Guinea, and A. H. Castro Neto, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.125411) **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.125411)**, [125411](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.125411) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.125411).
- [49] A. Martín-Rodero, F. J. García-Vidal, and A. Levy Yeyati, *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[72](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.554)**, [554](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.554) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.554).
- [50] A. Levy Yeyati, A. Martín-Rodero, and F. J. García-Vidal, *Phys.* Rev. B **[51](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.3743)**, [3743](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.3743) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.3743).
- [51] J. C. Cuevas, A. Martín-Rodero, and A. Levy Yeyati, *Phys. Rev.* B **[54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.7366)**, [7366](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.7366) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.7366).
- [52] H. F. Hess, R. B. Robinson, and J. V. Waszczak, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2711)* **[64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2711)**, [2711](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2711) [\(1990\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2711).
- [53] I. Guillamon, H. Suderow, S. Vieira, L. Cario, P. Diener, and P. Rodiere, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.166407) **[101](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.166407)**, [166407](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.166407) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.166407).
- [54] M. Iavarone, R. Di Capua, G. Karapetrov, A. E. Koshelev, D. Rosenmann, H. Claus, C. D. Malliakas, M. G. Kanatzidis, T. Nishizaki, and N. Kobayashi, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.174518) **[78](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.174518)**, [174518](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.174518) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.174518).
- [55] J. Kačmarčik, Z. Pribulová, C. Marcenat, T. Klein, P. Rodière, L. Cario, and P. Samuely, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014518) **[82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014518)**, [014518](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014518) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014518).
- [56] P. Diener, M. Leroux, L. Cario, T. Klein, and P. Rodière, *Phys.* Rev. B **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054531)**, [054531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054531) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.054531).
- [57] J. G. Rodrigo and S. Vieira, [Physica C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2003.10.030) **[404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2003.10.030)**, [306](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2003.10.030) [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2003.10.030).
- [58] E. Boaknin *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.117003) **[90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.117003)**, [117003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.117003) [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.117003).
- [59] J. A. Galvis, P. Rodiere, I. Guillamon, M. R. Osorio, J. G. ` Rodrigo, L. Cario, E. Navarro-Moratalla, E. Coronado, S. Vieira, and H. Suderow, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094502) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094502)**, [094502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094502) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.094502).
- [60] J. A. Wilson, F. J. DiSalvo, and S. Mahajan, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018737500101391) **[24](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018737500101391)**, [117](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018737500101391) [\(1975\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018737500101391).