Nonreciprocal spin-wave channeling along textures driven by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction

Felipe Garcia-Sanchez,^{1,2} Pablo Borys,³ Arne Vansteenkiste,⁴ Joo-Von Kim,^{1,2,*} and Robert L. Stamps³

¹Institut d'Electronique Fondamentale, Univ. Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France

²UMR 8622, CNRS, 91405 Orsay, France

³SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

⁴Department of Solid State Sciences, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281-S1, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

(Received 30 January 2014; revised manuscript received 29 May 2014; published 13 June 2014)

Ultrathin metallic ferromagnets on substrates with strong spin-orbit coupling can exhibit induced chiral interactions of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) form. For systems with perpendicular anisotropy, the presence of DM interactions has important consequences for current-driven domain-wall motion and underpins possible spintronic applications involving skyrmions. We show theoretically how spin textures driven by the DM interaction allow nonreciprocal channeling of spin waves, leading to measurable features in magnetic wires, dots, and domain walls. Our results provide methods for detecting induced DM interactions in metallic multilayers and controlling spin-wave propagation in ultrathin nanostructures.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.224408

PACS number(s): 75.30.Ds, 75.40.Gb, 75.75.-c, 75.78.Fg

The Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) has been used to explain canted states in weak ferromagnets and antiferromagnets and can appear when crystal structure allows or structural defects exist in such a way as to remove inversion symmetry [1-3]. Some weak ferromagnets also display multiferroicity with simultaneous magnetic- and electric-field response, and the DMI can be associated with magnetoelectric interactions [4–6]. A class of systems admit fascinating chiral spin textures described in terms of DMI, including helicoidal and skyrmionic [7–10] orderings. Skyrmions in particular have attracted much recent attention for spintronics as a result of their unique properties involving propagation under spin-polarized currents, such as dynamics under ultralow critical current densities [11] and high tolerance to material defects [12,13]. Experiments illustrating the controlled nucleation and annihilation of individual skyrmions pave the way towards new applications for information storage and processing [14].

Ultrathin films lack inversion symmetry simply because they are grown on a substrate of one material and are possibly capped with a different material. The resulting structure by definition has asymmetric interfaces and therefore also falls into this class of low-symmetry structure regardless of its underlying atomic symmetry. In such films there is then at least the possibility of a DMI [15,16]. One microscopic mechanism for interface-driven DMI involves the presence of significant spin-orbit coupling at one interface of the ultrathin film. Experiments have shown that such induced chiral interactions can lead to modulated chiral spin structures in manganese monolayers on tungsten [17] and skyrmion lattices in iron monolayers on iridium [9], where the spin configurations observed are in good agreement with electronic-structure calculations. For other candidate systems, such as Pt/Co, it has been argued that a three-site indirect exchange mechanism should lead to an interfacial chiral interaction in Co of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya form [18], with the same symmetry expected for films with perpendicular anisotropy [15]. It is

therefore an intriguing prospect to consider that strong chiral interactions may have been present, but unrecognized, in materials of key interest for spintronics: namely, Pt/Co systems with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy that have been studied for over a decade for possible applications in magnetic storage.

At present, evidence of the DMI in systems like Pt/Co has been inferred from measurements of domain-wall dynamics [19,20] and spin-polarized low-electron electron microscopy studies of static domain-wall profiles [21]. In these systems, it is argued that the DMI can lead to a Néel domain-wall profile at equilibrium [22]. The Néel wall profile is significant in terms of its effect on wall mobilities because these walls are narrow and can travel with high velocities under applied fields or currents involving the spin Hall effect [23]. However, direct schemes for quantifying the DMI in such multilayered structures are still lacking. While surface spectroscopy techniques allow the DMI to be determined through measurements of the asymmetric spin-wave dispersion [24,25], they are less useful for nanostructures in which films are buried.

A challenging problem is therefore to measure the strength of the DMI in these ferromagnetic metals. Here, we show how the DMI may be detected and quantified through the nonreciprocal propagation of spin waves that are channeled by chiral spin textures that appear as a result of it. In particular, we show how nonreciprocity appears for Néel domain walls and how spin-wave channeling occurs at edges of wires and dots where partial walls describe local tilting in the magnetization. These effects give rise to measurable features in the spin-wave spectra of domain walls, as well as wires and dots that are nominally uniformly magnetized.

To see more clearly how the symmetry of allowed interactions control dynamic states, we show first how the DMI modifies spin textures. As discussed elsewhere [22,23], the DMI strongly modifies the profile of a domain wall by changing the sense of rotation of the spins through the wall. In perpendicular anisotropy films such a wall has a characteristic size $\lambda = \sqrt{A/K_0}$, which arises from the competition between an isotropic exchange interaction,

^{*}joo-von.kim@u-psud.fr

 $U_{\text{ex}} = \int dV A(\nabla \mathbf{m})^2$, where *A* is the exchange constant, and a uniaxial anisotropy along the *z* axis normal to the film plane, $U_K = -\int dV K_0 m_z^2$, where $K_0 = K_u - \mu_0 M_s^2/2$, K_u is the interface-driven uniaxial anisotropy energy, and M_s is the saturation magnetization. Here, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a unit vector representing the time and spatially varying spin profile in a continuum approximation. The DMI is included by an additional term of the form [15,23]

$$U_{\rm DM} = \int dV D \left[m_z \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{m} \right) - \left(\mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) m_z \right], \qquad (1)$$

where D is the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya constant. The form of the DMI in Eq. (1) leads to a preference for Néel domain walls over Bloch profiles [22,23].

Twisted spin states are also expected at edges with the DMI. To appreciate this, it is useful to recall that the variational procedure leading the to the torque equation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial t} = -|\gamma_0| \mathbf{m} \times \left(-\frac{1}{\mu_0 M_s} \frac{\delta U}{\delta \mathbf{m}} \right), \tag{2}$$

where $U = \int dV\mathcal{U}$ is the total energy, also gives rise to a boundary condition of the form $\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial \mathcal{U} / \partial (\nabla \mathbf{m}) = 0$, where \mathbf{n} is a unit vector normal to the surface of the material considered [26]. With only $U = U_{\text{ex}} + U_K$, one obtains the usual free boundary condition, $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$, in the absence of any surface pinning. Crucially, the inclusion of Eq. (1) in U requires satisfaction of twisted boundary conditions. For example, the boundary surface $\mathbf{n} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ has the conditions

$$Dm_z + 2A\partial_y m_y = 0, \quad -Dm_y + 2A\partial_y m_z = 0, \quad (3)$$

which couples the perpendicular magnetization m_z with gradients in the transverse components $m_{x,y}$, and vice versa [26,27]. Such conditions lead to tilts in the magnetization at the edges even if the system is uniformly magnetized in the bulk.

An example of magnetization tilts at edges is shown in Fig. 1. The profiles were computed with micromagnetics simulations [28,29] by first allowing a uniformly magnetized state in a 512 nm \times 512 nm \times 1 nm square dot to relax under several values of the DMI [26]. Stronger tilts occur when the strength of the DMI is increased, and the sign of the transverse component of the tilts is reversed along with the sign of the DMI [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. These profiles are well described by partially expelled Néel walls. Examples are shown by the solid curves in Fig. 1(c), which represent the theoretical wall profile $m_z(y) = \tan[(-y - y_c)/\lambda]$ at the right edge, where y_c is the position of the domain-wall center outside the film, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1(b). This behavior is reminiscent of the partial twists encountered in exchange-spring systems and ferromagnet/antiferromagnet bilayers where the gradual rotation of the uniformly magnetized hard (ferromagnetic) layer creates torques at the interface that are compensated by formation of a partial wall structure in the soft (antiferromagnetic) layer [30–33]. Here, the DMI acts to pin a partial wall at the edges through Eq. (3), and the strength of the DMI governs the extent to which the partial wall enters the film [Fig. 1(d)].

Dynamic collective excitations above this tilted ground state are spin waves, which can be described by equations of motion in the low-energy, long-wavelength limit by linearizing Eq. (2) with $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{m}_0(\mathbf{x}) + \delta \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t)$, where $\mathbf{m}_0(\mathbf{x})$



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The transverse magnetization component m_y at the boundary edges (located at $y = \pm 256$ nm) of a 512-nm-wide rectangular wire. (b) Illustration of the magnetization tilts for D > 0, with the yellow shaded regions representing the tilts shown in panels (a) and (c). The partial wall (blue curve) is shown schematically, with y_c denoting the wall center and w the wire width. (c) The perpendicular component m_z at the boundary edges, where the solid lines correspond to fits to a partial Néel wall profile. (d) Partial wall center y_c as a function of D.

describes the static configuration and $\delta \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ represents the spin-wave fluctuations. Moon *et al.* have shown that inclusion of a DM term into U allows a term linear in the spin-wave propagation vector in the case of a uniformly magnetized, infinitely extended planar film, thereby creating nonreciprocity [i.e., $\omega(k) \neq \omega(-k)$ for some propagation directions] [34]. Similar results were reported earlier for monolayer Fe films for higher-energy excitations [24,25].

Chiral interactions also create nonreciprocity for spin-wave propagation along the edges of magnetic wires and dots. We can understand how the DMI-induced edge texture affects spin-wave propagation by examining propagation across and along a one-dimensional domain boundary wall. With only $U = U_{ex} + U_K$, the domain wall appears as a reflectionless potential for spin waves traveling across the wall axis [35]. In this case, one possible process involving a static wall is an acquired phase that accompanies the complete transmission of the spin wave through the domain wall [36,37]. However, the DMI deforms the wall profile such that the potential is no longer reflectionless, and a traveling spin wave hybridizes with wall-localized states and is partially reflected. Travel along the wall axis is different. Propagation in this direction also requires the spin wave to be in one of the hybridized states but positive or negative wall-axis directions are not equivalent when the DMI is present, resulting in spin-wave channels for right (+x)and left (-x) propagation that have different energies.

Degenerate-state perturbation theory is required to quantify the degree of nonreciprocity introduced by the DMI. By treating the case where the DMI is weak compared to the isotropic exchange, Eq. (2) can be solved for linear spin-wave propagation at arbitrary directions with respect to



FIG. 2. (Color online) Néel wall eigenfrequencies calculated using perturbation theory for weak DMI. (a), (b) The DMI splits frequencies into two sheets that are otherwise degenerate and distorts the sheets such that propagation is nonreciprocal with respect to $k_x[\omega(k_x) \neq \omega(-k_x)]$. Dispersion relations for states propagating along the domain wall $(k_y = 0)$ for (c) D = 1.5 mJ/m² and (d) D = -1.5 mJ/m².

the wall axis [26]. Example results are shown in Fig. 2 where propagation across (y direction) and along (x direction) the wall are contrasted. The DMI lifts the degeneracy between propagating states that exists when D = 0. In Fig. 2(a), one sees that counterpropagating states at a given k have different frequencies when propagating along the wall ($k_y = 0$). Propagation along a wall with opposite chirality is shown in Fig. 2(b), and we see that chirality controls the nonreciprocity of the propagation. The general structure of the dispersion is shown in Fig. 2(c) for the same chirality as in Fig. 2(a).

The consequences for propagation along the edges of the spin texture induced by the DMI now follow. As discussed previously, domain walls are pinned outside any finite-sized thin-film element, but the tail of the walls remain and have the same chirality. As a result, the energies of spin-wave states propagating along a given edge will depend on their propagation direction due to the asymmetry introduced by the DMI for the $k_y = 0$ states [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. In consequence, the lowest-energy spin waves propagate only along one direction when localized on one side of the wire and flow in the opposite direction when localized on the other side.

To examine this nonreciprocal propagation in detail, we performed micromagnetic simulations of spin-wave propagation in a thin rectangular wire [26]. An example of the spin waves found for a 256-nm-wide wire is given in Fig. 3. A pulsed magnetic field, with a spatial extension of 1 nm, was applied across the width and at the center of the 2048-nm-long rectangular stripe and the wave vector of



FIG. 3. (Color online) Nonreciprocal propagation in a thin rectangular wire. Spatial profiles of m_x resulting from a rf field excitation, $\mathbf{h}_{rf}(t) = h_0 \sin(2\pi f_{rf}t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, where $\mu_0 h_0 = 5 \text{ mT}$, at (a) $f_{rf} = 50 \text{ GHz}$ and (b) $f_{rf} = 16 \text{ GHz}$. The different wave-vector components considered are illustrated. In panel (a), f_{rf} is in the spin-wave band and nonreciprocal propagation occurs for k_{top} and k_{bot} , while k_{cen} propagation is symmetric. In panel (b), f_{rf} is in the gap of the bulk modes and only edge modes are excited. (c) Dispersion relations computed from simulations for $D_{ex} = 4.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$, with f_{rf} used in panels (a) and (b) indicated. Dots represent simulation results. The solid black curve (and gray shaded area) represents the theoretical dispersion relation for exchange modes. The solid red curve represents the fit given by Eq. (4). (d) Dispersion relation for $D_{ex} = 2.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$.

the excited spin waves for different excitation frequencies was computed. From this analysis, the dispersion relation for propagating edge and bulk spin waves for different strengths of the DMI was constructed. For excitation frequencies in the spin-wave band [Fig. 3(a)], $f_{\rm rf} = 50$ GHz, three distinct wave vectors can be identified for propagation along one direction, which correspond to the top (k_{top}) , center (k_{cen}) , and bottom (k_{bot}) of the wire. For propagation towards the right, +x, we note that $|k_{top}| < |k_{cen}| < |k_{bot}|$, while for propagation towards the left, -x, the opposite inequality applies, $|k_{top}| > |k_{cen}| > |k_{bot}|$. Moreover, $k_{top} = -k_{bot}$, which is a clear signature of nonreciprocal propagation. We observe a shifted quadratic dispersion relation for the edge modes, while the central modes remain symmetric about $k_{cen} = 0$ [Fig. 3(c)]. For the central modes k_{cen} , the dispersion relation is well described by exchange-dominated spin waves, where the theoretical curve using our micromagnetic parameters, $\omega = (2\gamma/M_s)(Ak_{cen}^2 + K_0)$, agrees well with the simulated curves. For the edge modes, the shifted dispersion relation for $D = 4.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ is well described by the fit [solid red line in Fig. 3(c)]

$$\omega = \frac{2\gamma}{M_s} \left(Ak_{\rm top}^2 + 0.9K_0 + 0.46Dk_{\rm top} \right). \tag{4}$$

This describes a reduction in the spin-wave gap K_0 due to the reduced anisotropy field at the edge in addition to a linear wave-vector term that describes the nonreciprocity. As Fig. 1(d) shows, the center of the partial wall is located farther



FIG. 4. (Color online) Map of the eigenmode power spectral density (PSD) as a function of D for (a) 100-nm-diameter circular dots and (b) 100-nm-wide square dots. Selected profiles of the four lowest modes for different strengths of the DMI for the (c) circular and (d) square dots.

outside for smaller values of the DMI, which results in a weaker nonreciprocal channeling effect. This can be seen in the dispersion relation of the edge modes in Fig. 3(d), where the shifts become less pronounced as *D* decreases.

Channeling as demonstrated for the wire geometry is robust with regards to the curvature of the edge. In a circular dot, for example, it is known that clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise (CCW) propagating azimuthal spin waves are degenerate in frequency. The inclusion of the DMI, however, lifts this degeneracy by favoring one handedness over the other. To appreciate how this might occur, one can imagine the edge modes in a circular dot constructed by deforming a rectangular wire bent into a ring-shaped structure. The lowest-frequency spin waves traveling along outer circumference can propagate with only one handedness. Spin waves traveling along the inner

- [1] I. Dzyaloshinsky, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241 (1958).
- [2] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. 120, 91 (1960).
- [3] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 228 (1960).
- [4] C. Ederer and N. A. Spaldin, Phys. Rev. B 71, 060401 (2005).
- [5] C. Ederer and C. J. Fennie, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 434219 (2008).
- [6] T. Varga, A. Kumar, E. Vlahos, S. Denev, M. Park, S. Hong, T. Sanehira, Y. Wang, C. J. Fennie, S. K. Streiffer, X. Ke, P. Schiffer, V. Gopalan, and J. F. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 047601 (2009).

circumference travel with the opposite handedness at the same frequency.

Figure 4 illustrates the spin-wave eigenmode spectra for a circular dot 100 nm in diameter and a square dot 100 nm in width. A key feature is the frequency splitting of certain modes as the strength of the DMI is increased. The frequency of other modes, on the other hand, are only slightly affected by the DMI. For a similar dot size, the magnitude of the splitting appears to be larger for the circular dots, which suggests that the azimuthal component of the eigenmodes plays an important role. For the circular dots, the frequency splitting with increasing DMI is associated with lifting in the degeneracy of eigenmodes with a strong azimuthal character, such as Modes 2 and 3 in Fig. 4(c). While there is no discernible change in the spatial profile of these modes, a frequency splitting of around 1 GHz appears at $D = 2.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$. Modes with a strong radial character, such as Modes 1 and 4 in Fig. 4(c), experience only a slight decrease in their frequency with increasing D and little change in their spatial profile. These differences can be understood in terms of the nonreciprocal wall channeling described earlier, where radial modes are similar to the $k_v \neq 0$ case for the domain-wall eigenmodes, while azimuthal modes are similar to the $k_x \neq 0$ case, which are strongly nonreciprocal. Similar features are also seen in the square dots, but the distinction between "radial" and "azimuthal" modes is not as sharp. One difference can be seen in Mode 4 in Fig. 4(d), which represents a mixed radial-azimuthal excitation for which splitting due to the DMI results in an asymmetric profile at higher frequencies.

In conclusion, we have shown theoretically that Néel domain walls driven by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction can modify spin-wave propagation by inducing nonreciprocal channeling along the center of the wall. The channeling also occurs at the edges of wires and dots, where partial walls appear as a result of twisted boundary conditions. In dots, the DMI leads to large frequency splitting of eigenmodes with a strong azimuthal character. These features offer a means of quantifying experimentally the DMI in metallic multilayer systems relevant for spintronics.

The authors acknowledge fruitful discussions with M. Bailleul, A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, J. Sampaio, and V. Cros. This work was supported by the University of Glasgow, EPSRC, the French National Research Agency (ANR) under Contract No. ANR-11-BS10-0003 (NanoSWITI), the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACyT), and the Flanders Research Foundation (FWO).

- [7] S. Muhlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Boni, Science 323, 915 (2009).
- [8] X. Z. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J.-H. Park, J. H. Han, Y. Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) 465, 901 (2010).
- [9] S. Heinze, K. von Bergmann, M. Menzel, J. Brede, A. Kubetzka, R. Wiesendanger, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, Nat. Phys. 7, 713 (2011).
- [10] S. Seki, X. Z. Yu, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, Science 336, 198 (2012).

- [11] F. Jonietz, S. Muhlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Munzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Boni, R. A. Duine, K. Everschor, M. Garst, and A. Rosch, Science 330, 1648 (2010).
- [12] J. Iwasaki, M. Mochizuki, and N. Nagaosa, Nat. Commun. 4, 1463 (2013).
- [13] J. Sampaio, V. Cros, S. Rohart, A. Thiaville, and A. Fert, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 839 (2013).
- [14] N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, K. Von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, Science 341, 636 (2013).
- [15] A. N. Bogdanov and U. K. Rößler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 037203 (2001).
- [16] U. K. Rößler, A. N. Bogdanov, and C. Pfleiderer, Nature (London) 442, 797 (2006).
- [17] M. Bode, M. Heide, K. Von Bergmann, P. Ferriani, S. Heinze, G. Bihlmayer, A. Kubetzka, O. Pietzsch, S. Blügel, and R. Wiesendanger, Nature (London) 447, 190 (2007).
- [18] A. Fert and P. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1538 (1980).
- [19] S. Emori, U. Bauer, S.-M. Ahn, E. Martinez, and G. S. D. Beach, Nat. Mater. 12, 611 (2013).
- [20] K.-S. Ryu, L. Thomas, S.-H. Yang, and S. Parkin, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 527 (2013).
- [21] G. Chen, T. Ma, A. T. N'Diaye, H. Kwon, C. Won, Y. Wu, and A. K. Schmid, Nat. Commun. 4, 2671 (2013).
- [22] M. Heide, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, Phys. Rev. B 78, 140403 (2008).
- [23] A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, E. Jué, V. Cros, and A. Fert, Europhys. Lett. 100, 57002 (2012).

- [24] L. Udvardi and L. Szunyogh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 207204 (2009).
- [25] K. Zakeri, Y. Zhang, J. Prokop, T.-H. Chuang, N. Sakr, W. X. Tang, and J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 137203 (2010).
- [26] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.224408 for simulation details and a description of the boundary conditions and degenerate perturbation theory.
- [27] S. Rohart and A. Thiaville, Phys. Rev. B 88, 184422 (2013).
- [28] A. Vansteenkiste and B. Van de Wiele, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 323, 2585 (2011).
- [29] http://mumax.github.io
- [30] D. Suess, T. Schrefl, S. Fähler, M. Kirschner, G. Hrkac, F. Dorfbauer, and J. Fidler, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 012504 (2005).
- [31] F. Garcia Sanchez, O. Chubykalo-Fesenko, O. Mryasov, and R. Chantrell, Physica B (Amsterdam, Neth.) 372, 328 (2006).
- [32] D. Mauri, H. Siegmann, P. Bagus, and E. Kay, J. Appl. Phys. 62, 3047 (1987).
- [33] J.-V. Kim and R. L. Stamps, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 094405 (2005).
- [34] J.-H. Moon, S.-M. Seo, K.-J. Lee, K.-W. Kim, J. Ryu, H.-W. Lee, R. D. McMichael, and M. D. Stiles, Phys. Rev. B 88, 184404 (2013).
- [35] J. Winter, Phys. Rev. 124, 452 (1961).
- [36] R. Hertel, W. Wulfhekel, and J. Kirschner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 257202 (2004).
- [37] C. Bayer, H. Schultheiss, B. Hillebrands, and R. L. Stamps, IEEE Trans. Magn. 41, 3094 (2005).