Ś

Magnetization precession induced by quasitransverse picosecond strain pulses in (311) ferromagnetic (Ga,Mn)As

M. Bombeck,¹ J. V. Jäger,¹ A. V. Scherbakov,² T. Linnik,³ D. R. Yakovlev,^{1,2} X. Liu,⁴ J. K. Furdyna,⁴

A. V. Akimov,^{2,5} and M. Bayer^{1,2}

¹Experimentelle Physik 2, Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44227 Dortmund, Germany

²Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia

³Department of Theoretical Physics, V. E. Lashkaryov Institute of Semiconductor Physics, 03028 Kyiv, Ukraine

⁴Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA

⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

(Received 6 December 2012; published 19 February 2013)

Quasilongitudinal and quasitransverse picosecond strain pulses injected into a ferromagnetic (311) (Ga,Mn)As film induce dynamical shear strain in the film, thereby modulating the magnetic anisotropy and inducing resonant precession of the magnetization at a frequency ~ 10 GHz. The modulation of the out-of-plane magnetization component by the quasitransverse strain reaches amplitudes as large as 10% of the equilibrium magnetization. Our theoretical analysis is in good agreement with the observed results, thus providing a strategy for ultrafast magnetization control in ferromagnetic films by strain pulses.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.060302

PACS number(s): 75.78.Jp, 75.50.Pp, 78.20.hc, 78.20.Ls

The inverse magnetostrictive effect (the Villari effect) in ferromagnetic materials is widely used in sensors and acoustomagnetic transducers operated at frequencies up to hundreds of kilohertz. A further increase of the manipulation speed, extending it to gigahertz (GHz) or subterahertz (THz) frequency, is desirable, because it would open new prospects for high-frequency electronics, computing, and information processing. Although this is a highly challenging task, several important experimental and theoretical advances have recently been made in this direction by demonstrating modulation of magnetization through injection of high-frequency acoustic waves into nanometer ferromagnetic films. Specifically, surface acoustics waves with frequencies ~ 100 MHz were applied to 10 nm Co films for this purpose;¹ coherent precession of magnetization and excitation of spin waves at ~ 10 GHz frequency were achieved by longitudinal picosecond strain pulses in (Ga,Mn)As (Refs. 2 and 3) and Ni (Ref. 4) films; and a comprehensive theoretical analysis of the magnetization dynamics in the presence of picosecond strain pulses was elaborated.5

For practical applications of GHz and sub-THz magnetostrictive phenomena it is mandatory to find materials and conditions where the magnetization modulation occurs with significant amplitudes at these high frequencies. The inherent dependence of magnetic properties on strain provides a powerful tool for ultrafast modulation of a ferromagnetic nanostructure by picosecond strain pulses. Consider the most common case of a cubic ferromagnetic film. At zero or small external magnetic field, the magnetization is oriented along one of the easy magnetization axes (commonly in the layer plane) as a result of magnetic anisotropy. This direction of magnetization may be tilted from its equilibrium position by applying external stress, but due to symmetry properties this will occur only if the strain tensor of the film has nonzero shear (i.e., nondiagonal) elements. In static experiments a strong magnetic response to elastic perturbations takes place when the strain is induced by a force applied in the film plane at a finite angle relative to the easy axis of magnetization.^{6,7}

These considerations can be exploited for magnetization modulation and control by acoustic waves or strain pulses which generate shear strain in the ferromagnetic film. This can be achieved using surface acoustic waves, which are, however, typically limited to frequencies of ~1 GHz, so that higherfrequency magnetoacoustic resonances cannot be reached.⁸ As an alternative, one could use picosecond strain pulses, which involve frequencies up to ~100 GHz to induce resonant oscillations of the magnetic system at high frequencies.^{2–5} However, the corresponding experiments performed so far involved purely longitudinal, i.e., purely compressive, strain pulses propagating perpendicular to the film plane. Then the modulation of magnetization can be obtained only by applying additionally an external out-of-plane magnetic field.^{2,4}

Here we show that exploiting transverse acoustic modes, which generate dynamical shear strain, enforces an out-ofplane modulation, thereby increasing the efficiency of ultrafast magnetization control significantly. In detail, we study a crystalline magnetic film grown on a low-symmetry (311) crystallographic plane. In such a sample, a strain pulse generated by standard picosecond acoustic techniques⁹ given by a sequence of a quasilongitudinal acoustic (QLA) wave packet followed by a quasitransverse acoustic (QTA) wave packet.^{10,11} These acoustic excitations propagating into the ferromagnetic film cause dynamically elastic shear impacts on the magnetic system. We show experimentally that both the QLA and the QTA pulses induce a magnetization modulation, which for the out-of-plane component of the magnetization becomes as large as 10% of its absolute value M_0 when the QTA pulse hits the film. Such a large change in magnetization M exceeds by several times previously reported values in the GHz frequency range. Our theoretical analysis shows how to obtain optimum conditions for high-amplitude modulation of magnetization in ferromagnetic films by transverse picosecond strain pulses.

The sample used in the experiment is a ferromagnetic (311) (Ga,Mn)As film with a thickness d = 85 nm grown by low-temperature molecular beam epitaxy on a (311)



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) The geometry of the experiment. The inset shows the directions of the two easy axes of magnetization, [001] and [010]. (b) Temporal evolutions of the tensor components ε_{zz} and ε_{xz} propagating along the [311] axis in GaAs, calculated for the QLA strain pulse injected from the Al film at a pump excitation energy density of 3 mJ/cm². The inset shows the spectral density of the acoustic wave packet. (c) As (b), but for the QTA polarization. The calculations of these temporal evolutions take into account the acoustic mismatch at the Al/GaAs interface, resulting in multiple peaks in the temporal and spectral profiles.

semi-insulating GaAs substrate with 100 μ m thickness. Magnetization curves indicate that the magnetic properties of the present structure are similar to those reported in previous studies of (Ga,Mn)As films grown on (311) GaAs substrates.^{12–16} The experiments are performed in a cryostat with a superconducting magnet at temperature T = 6 K, well below the Curie temperature $T_c = 60$ K of the film. The magnetic field H is applied in the plane of the (Ga,Mn)As film, forming an angle φ_H with the [233] crystallographic axis. The geometry of the experiment, including the definition of the basis axes (x, y, and z), is shown in Fig. 1(a). The two easy magnetization axes in (Ga,Mn)As are along the [001] and [010] crystallographic directions of the cubic film [see inset in Fig. 1(a)], neither of which lies in the (311) plane of the film. Applying an in-plane field **H** turns the equilibrium magnetization M_0 towards the (311) plane, and

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 87, 060302(R) (2013)

for $\mu_0 H > 0.25$ T (μ_0 is the vacuum permeability) \mathbf{M}_0 may with high accuracy be considered to fall into the film, i.e., $M_z \ll M_0$.

The picosecond strain pulses were generated by pulsed optical pump excitation of a 100 nm Al film deposited on the GaAs substrate, opposite to the (Ga,Mn)As layer. The temporal evolution of the strain pulses was described in earlier works.^{17–19} They are injected from the Al film into the GaAs substrate and subsequently propagate there along the [311] crystallographic axis. Solution of the elastic equation for propagation of acoustic waves along this low-symmetry direction indicates that two acoustic modes are generated, QLA and QTA, with sound velocities of $s_{LA} = 5.1 \times 10^3$ m/s and $s_{TA} = 2.9 \times 10^3$ m/s, respectively.²⁰ In the basis of the axes shown in Fig. 1(a) the QLA and QTA strain pulses have the following strain tensor amplitude components: $\varepsilon_{zz}^{LA} =$ $0.918\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_{xz}^{LA} = 0.077\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_{yz}^{LA} = 0$, $\varepsilon_{zz}^{TA} = 0.067\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_{xz}^{TA} = -0.2\varepsilon_0$, and $\varepsilon_{yz}^{TA} = 0$. Here the factor ε_0 is the amplitude of the composition (i.e. the factor ε_0) is the amplitude of the compressive (i.e., longitudinal) strain pulse excited in an isotropic medium: $\varepsilon_0 = k_w W$, where the coefficient $k_w \sim 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{mJ}$ and W is the excitation density on the Al film.¹⁹ The calculated temporal profiles $\varepsilon_{ii}^{q}(t)$ for the strain pulses (q gives their polarization: QLA or QTA) injected into the GaAs substrate are shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) for W =3 mJ/cm².²¹ The spectra of these QLA and QTA acoustic wave packets obtained by fast Fourier transformation of $\varepsilon_{ij}^q(t)$ are shown in the insets.

The QLA and QTA strain pulses propagate through the GaAs substrate, eventually reaching the (Ga,Mn)As layer after $t_{LA} \approx 19.6$ ns and $t_{TA} \approx 34.5$ ns, respectively. At these moments the strain pulses perturb the magnetic anisotropy of the layer, leading to ultrafast modulation of the magnetization. The temporal evolution of $\mathbf{M}(t)$ is monitored by measuring the angle of Kerr rotation $\Delta \psi(t)$ of a weak, linearly polarized optical probe pulse taken from the same femtosecond laser as the pump and hitting the sample normal to the film plane.²¹ For $\mu_0 H > 0.25$ T, when \mathbf{M}_0 is in the plane of the film, the elasto-optical contribution to Kerr rotation from circular dichroism²² is negligible. By studying the Kerr signals for different linear polarizations of the probe beam, we verified that linear dichroism^{3,23,24} does not contribute to $\Delta \psi(t)$. Thus measurement of $\Delta \psi(t)$ provides directly the temporal evolution of the z component of the magnetization $M_z(t)$, with the relative change of $\Delta M_z(t)$ given by $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0 =$ $K \Delta \psi(t)$, where the coefficient $K = 140 \text{ rad}^{-1}$ was obtained from Kerr rotation calibration measurements in the Faraday geometry ($\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{z}$) in the absence of strain pulses.

The solid lines in Fig. 2 show the signals $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ measured at $\varphi_H = 0$ (**H** || [233]) using a low pump excitation density W = 3 mJ/cm² for three values of H. Nonzero $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ is detected in the time intervals when the QLA and QTA strain pulses are propagating in the (Ga,Mn)As film. The signals are oscillatory with a period that decreases with increasing magnetic field. The signals decay during several hundreds of picoseconds. Note that the oscillation period and decay time of $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ are similar for QTA and QLA pulses at a given H.

The magnetic field dependences for the root mean square (rms) amplitude \bar{M}_z averaged over a 0.3 ns time interval are



FIG. 2. (Color online) Time evolutions of the *z* component of the magnetization modulation induced by QLA and QTA picosecond strain pulses measured for $W = 3 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ at different magnetic fields with $\mathbf{H} \parallel [\bar{2}33] (\varphi_H = 0)$. The dotted curves show numerical calculations for zero damping. t = 0 corresponds to the time of impact of the pump excitation pulse on the Al film.

shown in Fig. 3(a). These dependences show broad maxima at $\mu_0 H_m^{TA} = 0.4$ T and $\mu_0 H_m^{LA} = 0.7$ T for the signals induced by the QLA and QTA modes, respectively. Figure 3(b) compares $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ of the QTA pulse measured at $\varphi_H = 0$ and $\varphi_H = \pi/2$. It is clearly seen that the amplitude of the signal measured at $\phi_H = \pi/2$ is drastically weaker than at $\varphi_H = 0$.

The observation of oscillatory signals $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ induced by the QTA strain pulses is the main experimental result of the present work. Below we present the results of our corresponding theoretical analysis.²¹ We use the following parameters for numerical calculations: saturation magneti-



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Magnetic field dependences of the rms amplitudes of the measured Kerr rotation signals induced by QTA and QLA strain pulses. Vertical arrows indicate the calculated values of H at which the synchronization condition for excitation of precession is fulfilled. The inset shows the calculated precession frequencies (solid line) and the precession frequencies obtained by fast Fourier transformation of the measured $\Delta M_z(t)$ (symbols) as a function of applied magnetic field. (b) Temporal evolution of the *z* component of the magnetization measured for the magnetic field applied along [$\bar{2}33$] ($\varphi_H = 0$) and along [$0\bar{1}1$] ($\varphi_H = \pi/2$).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 87, 060302(R) (2013)

zation $\mu_0 M = 30$ mT; cubic anisotropy coefficient $K_c = -50$ mT; uniaxial magnetoelastic coefficient $A_{2\varepsilon} = 20$ T; shear magnetoelastic coefficient $A_{2xy} = 100$ T; nonzero static strain components $\varepsilon_{xx}^{(s)} = \varepsilon_{yy}^{(s)} = \varepsilon_h, \varepsilon_{xz}^{(s)} \approx 0.275\varepsilon_h$, and $\varepsilon_{zz}^{(s)} \approx -0.724\varepsilon_h$, where $\varepsilon_h = -2.1 \times 10^{-3}$. These parameters are in good agreement with the data reported in Refs. 13–15 and perfectly fit the experimental results described here.

From earlier theoretical studies⁵ it is known that three factors govern $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$: (i) the angle by which the strain tilts the axis of magnetization precession from its equilibrium orientation \mathbf{M}_0 , which is equivalent to the generation of a torque for precession of \mathbf{M} ; (ii) the degree to which synchronization is fulfilled in the spin-phonon interaction (in analogy with wave vector selection rules); and (iii) the existence of acoustic modes in the strain pulse spectrum resonant with magnetic excitations.

The symmetry of the experimental geometry used [Fig. 1(a)] for $\varphi_H = 0$ and at $\mu_0 H > 0.25$ T results in time-dependent tensor components ε_{zz}^q and ε_{xz}^q launching the magnetization precession around an axis, which is tilted out of the film plane purely vertically. The tilting angle, which determines factor (i), can be described as the change $\Delta\theta$ of the polar angle θ between the [311] direction and the equilibrium orientation of \mathbf{M}_0 :

$$\Delta \theta_q = A \varepsilon_{zz}^q + B \varepsilon_{xz}^q. \tag{1}$$

The coefficients A and B in Eq. (1) are determined by the magnetic anisotropy parameters and show complex dependences on the equilibrium direction of the magnetization $\mathbf{M}_{0.5}^{-1}$. As an example for their magnitudes, numerical calculations for $\mu_0 H = 0.7$ T give A = 18 rad and B = 82 rad. For strain pulses propagating along the [311] direction we thereby get as maximum values for the tilt angles $\Delta\theta_{LA} = 23\varepsilon_0$ and $\Delta\theta_{TA} = -15\varepsilon_0$. Note that $\Delta\theta_q$ plays the most important role in building up the precession amplitude \overline{M}_z when the other two factors (ii) and (iii), are close to their optimal values. Only then do the maximum deviations $\Delta M_z(t) \sim -M_0 \Delta \theta_q$.

The synchronization condition (ii) is at an optimum when the time for a round trip of the acoustic phonon forward and backward through the magnetic film is close to the period of a magnetic precession.^{3,5} More precisely, the following equation should be fulfilled:

$$f_H = 0.37 s_q d^{-1}, (2)$$

where f_H is the *H*-dependent frequency of precession of **M** [see the inset of Fig. 3(a)].

The last factor (iii) which influences $\Delta M_z(t)$ is related to the spectral content of the acoustic strain pulses shown in the insets of Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) for the QLA and QTA modes, respectively. These broad spectra are for both modes centered in the GHz frequency range of magnetization precession, making picosecond strain pulses an efficient tool for highfrequency resonant manipulations of **M**.

All three factors (i), (ii), and (iii) play important roles for the complicated trajectory of $\mathbf{M}(t)$ described by the Landau-Lifshitz equation. The dashed curves in Fig. 2 show the results of numerical calculations of $\Delta M_z(t)$ without taking into account the decay of precession. Most importantly, the positions of maxima and minima of $\Delta M_z(t)$ occur at the same times in the calculated and measured traces, and the buildup



FIG. 4. (Color online) Temporal evolution of the *z* component of the magnetization induced by QTA (a) and QLA (b) strain pulses for $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T (with **H** || [233]) and T = 6 K at different excitation power densities *W*. The inset in (a) shows the rms amplitude of the measured signals induced by QTA pulses as a function of *W*.

of the oscillation amplitude at early times is also in excellent quantitative agreement. The calculated magnitude of $\Delta \theta_{LA}$ is close that of $\Delta \theta_{TA}$, and the experimental values of \bar{M}_z for QLA and QTA [see Fig. 3(a)] have similar magnitudes for those values of H when the synchronization condition is closely fulfilled, in both experiment and theory. The measured H_m^q [i.e., the fields at which \overline{M}_z are maximum for the modes q, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a)] are in good agreement with the values of H [shown in Fig. 3(a) by vertical arrows] corresponding to conditions of optimum synchronization according to Eq. (2). The calculated dependence of $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ on the angle φ_H explains the large difference between the amplitudes of the signals measured at $\varphi_H = 0$ and $\varphi_H = \pi/2$, as seen in Fig. 3(c). Qualitatively, the [011] direction ($\varphi_H = \pi/2$) is a specific direction, along which M is insensitive to strain: if M points along $[0\bar{1}1]$, the coefficients A and B in Eq. (1) decrease significantly relative to the $\varphi_H = 0$ case, so that the tilt angle $\Delta \theta$ becomes negligible. There are several directions for which such reduction takes place; they are determined by the structural symmetry as is detailed in Ref. 5. Therefore the theoretical model contributes to understanding and designing ultrafast modulation of magnetization for the most common case of its orientation in equilibrium, M_0 , in the film plane.

Next we turn to the measured dependence $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ on the pump excitation density W in Fig. 4 for the QTA (a) and QLA (b) modes. The temporal evolution of $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ for the QTA mode remains unchanged with increasing excitation density W [Fig. 4(a)] and, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(a),

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 87, 060302(R) (2013)

the amplitude \bar{M}_z^{TA} increases linearly with W. A different behavior is observed for the QLA mode [see Fig. 4(b)]. Here the temporal evolution $\Delta M_{z}(t)/M_{0}$ stays the same only up to $W = 8 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$, but for higher W strong nonlinear effects start to become significant: the arrival time of the QLA strain pulse decreases, the duration of the response to QLA increases, and the value of \bar{M}_z^{LA} tends to saturate with increasing W. These features of the QLA-induced signal are the result of nonlinear propagation of the high-amplitude compressive acoustic waves in the GaAs substrate.^{19,25} For shear waves corresponding studies have not yet been performed; a detailed discussion of the nonlinear effects is beyond the scope of the present work. However, most importantly the linearity of \bar{M}_{z}^{TA} with W for the QTA mode results in values of $\Delta M_{z}(t)/\tilde{M}_{0}$ up to 10% at $W = 52 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ (and potentially increases further for larger ε_0), while for the QLA mode $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ tends to saturate at $\sim 2\%$ for this value of W [compare Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. The magnitude of the magnetization tilt is limited only by the acoustic energy in the QTA mode, i.e., by the laser power of our setup. A source capable of delivering stronger QTA waves may achieve full magnetization switching based on the demonstrated technique.

In conclusion, we have observed ultrafast resonant magnetization precession induced by quasitransverse strain pulses which cause a shear perturbation acting on the magnetization lying in the plane of the ferromagnetic film. For low excitation densities the precession amplitudes of the signals induced by QTA and QLA strain pulses have similar values. Considering that only $\sim 6\%$ of the incident elastic energy is funneled into the QTA mode, we may conclude that QTA waves are considerably more efficient in inducing a magnetization modulation compared to OLA waves. The amplitude of precession induced by the QTA pulses increases linearly with strain amplitude, with $\Delta M_z(t)/M_0$ reaching a value of $\sim 10\%$ of the absolute equilibrium value, and limited only by technical constraints, so that complete magnetization switching by QTA modes seems possible. These experiments, along with guidance by our theoretical analysis, provide an instrument for ultrafast magnetization control using transverse acoustic pulses, and therefore show prospects for manipulating the magnetization in thin ferromagnetic films without applying an external magnetic field.

We acknowledge Boris Glavin and Vitalyi Gusev for fruitful discussions. The work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. BA 1549/14-1), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant No. 11-02-00802), the Russian Academy of Science, and the US National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMR-1005851).

- ¹S. Davis, A. Baruth, and S. Adenwallab, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 232507 (2010).
- ²A. V. Scherbakov, A. S. Salasyuk, A. V. Akimov, X. Liu, M. Bombeck, C. Bruggemann, D. R. Yakovlev, V. F. Sapega, J. K. Furdyna, and M. Bayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 117204 (2010).
- ³M. Bombeck, A. S. Salasyuk, B. A. Glavin, A. V. Scherbakov, C. Bruggemann, D. R. Yakovlev, V. F. Sapega, X. Liu, J. K. Furdyna, A. V. Akimov, and M. Bayer, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 195324 (2012).
- ⁴J.-W. Kim, M. Vomir, and J.-Y. Bigot, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 166601 (2012).

- ⁵T. L. Linnik, A. V. Scherbakov, D. R. Yakovlev, X. Liu, J. K. Furdyna, and M. Bayer, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 214432 (2011).
- ⁶A. W. Rushforth, E. De Ranieri, J. Zemen, J. Wunderlich, K. W. Edmonds, C. S. King, E. Ahmad, R. P. Campion, C. T. Foxon, B. L. Gallagher, K. Výborný, J. Kučera, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 085314 (2008).
- ⁷D. E. Parkes, S. A. Cavill, A. T. Hindmarch, P. Wadley, F. McGee, C. R. Staddon, K. W. Edmonds, R. P. Campion, B. L. Gallagher, and A. W. Rushforth, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 072402 (2012).
- ⁸M. Weiler, L. Dreher, C. Heeg, H. Huebl, R. Gross, M. S. Brandt, and S. T. B. Goennenwein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 117601 (2011).
- ⁹C. Thomsen, H. T. Grahn, H. J. Maris, and J. Tauc, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 4129 (1986).
- ¹⁰T. Pezeril, P. Ruello, S. Gougeon, N. Chigarev, D. Mounier, J.-M. Breteau, P. Picart, and V. Gusev, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174307 (2007).
- ¹¹D. H. Hurley, O. B. Wright, O. Matsuda, V. E. Gusev, and O. V. Kolosov, Ultrasonics **38**, 470 (2000).
- ¹²X. Liu, J. K. Furdyna, M. Dobrowolska, W. L. Lim, C. Xie, and Y. J. Cho, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 165205 (2007).
- ¹³Y. Y. Zhou, X. Liu, J. K. Furdyna, M. A. Scarpulla, and O. D. Dubon, J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 23, 87 (2010).
- ¹⁴C. Bihler, H. Huebl, M. S. Brandt, S. T. B. Goennenwein, M. Reinwald, U. Wurstbauer, M. Doppe, D. Weiss, and W. Wegscheider, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 012507 (2006).

- ¹⁵L. Dreher, D. Donhauser, J. Daeubler, M. Glunk, C. Rapp, W. Schoch, R. Sauer, and W. Limmer, Phys. Rev. B 81, 245202 (2010).
- ¹⁶W. Stefanowicz, C. Śliwa, P. Aleshkevych, T. Dietl, M. Döppe, U. Wurstbauer, W. Wegscheider, D. Weiss, and M. Sawicki, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 155203 (2010).
- ¹⁷G. Tas and H. J. Maris, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 15046 (1994).
- ¹⁸O. B. Wright, Phys. Rev. B 49, 9985 (1994).
- ¹⁹A. V. Scherbakov, P. J. S. van Capel, A. V. Akimov, J. I. Dijkhuis, D. R. Yakovlev, T. Berstermann, and M. Bayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 057402 (2007).
- ²⁰Z. V. Popovic, J. Spitzer, T. Ruf, M. Cardona, R. Notzel, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1659 (1993).
- ²¹See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.87.060302 for details of experimental methods and theoretical calculations.
- ²²L. Thevenard, E. Peronne, C. Gourdon, C. Testelin, M. Cubukcu, E. Charron, S. Vincent, A. Lemaître, and B. Perrin, Phys. Rev. B 82, 104422 (2010).
- ²³A. V. Kimel, G. V. Astakhov, A. Kirilyuk, G. M. Schott, G. Karczewski, W. Ossau, G. Schmidt, L. W. Molenkamp, and Th. Rasing, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 227203 (2005).
- ²⁴P. Němec, E. Rozkotová, N. Tesařová, F. Trojánek, E. De Ranieri, K. Olejník, J. Zemen, V. Novák, M. Cukr, P. Malý, and T. Jungwirth, Nat. Phys. 8, 411 (2012).
- ²⁵E. Pěronne and B. Perrin, Ultrasonics 44, e1203 (2006).