Magnetic phase diagram of CePt3B1−*^x***Si***^x*

D. Rauch,¹ S. Süllow,¹ M. Bleckmann,^{1,2} B. Klemke,³ K. Kiefer,³ M. S. Kim,⁴ M. C. Aronson,^{4,5} and E. Bauer⁶

¹*Institute of Condensed Matter Physics, University of Technology Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany*

²*Wehrwissenschaftliches Institut fur Werk und Betriebsstoffe WIWeB, D-85435 Erding, Germany ¨*

³*Berlin Neutron Scattering Center, Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin, D-14109 Berlin, Germany*

⁴*Stony Brook University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, New York, NY, USA*

⁵*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, New York, NY, USA*

⁶*Institute of Solid State Physics, Vienna University of Technology, A-1090 Vienna, Austria*

(Received 25 September 2012; published 6 December 2012)

We present a study of the main bulk properties (susceptibility, magnetization, resistivity, and specific heat) of CePt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* , an alloying system that crystallizes in a noncentrosymmetric lattice, and derive the magnetic phase diagram. The materials at the end point of the alloying series have previously been studied, with CePt₃B established as a material with two different magnetic phases at low temperatures (antiferromagnetic below $T_N =$ 7.8 K, weakly ferromagnetic below $T_c \approx 5$ K), while CePt₃Si is a heavy fermion superconductor (T_c = 0.75 K) coexisting with antiferromagnetism (T_N = 2.2 K). From our experiments we conclude that the magnetic phase diagram is divided into two regions. In the region of low Si content (up to $x \sim 0.7$) the material properties resemble those of CePt₃B. Upon increasing the Si concentration further the magnetic ground state continuously transforms into that of CePt₃Si. In essence, we argue that CePt₃B can be understood as a low pressure variant of CePt₃Si.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.86.245104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.245104) PACS number(s): 75*.*30*.*Kz, 71*.*27*.*+a, 75*.*50*.*Ee

I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of superconductivity in the noncentrosymmetric heavy fermion compound CePt₃Si opened up a new field of research on superconductors, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ which nowadays stands in the focus of intense research efforts (for a review see Ref. [2](#page-5-0) and references therein). Most notably, subsequent to the discovery of superconductivity in CePt₃Si similar superconducting states were observed in closely related Ce intermetallics, viz., CeRhSi₃, CeIrSi₃, CeCoGe₃, and CeIrGe₃.^{[3–6](#page-5-0)} Similar to other Ce heavy fermion superconductors, $7-13$ for the noncentrosymmetric systems there is a close relation between (the suppression of) magnetic order and the appearance of superconducting states. $3-6$ These observations mostly were derived from studies of the ground-state properties of the materials under externally applied pressure. Here, in particular, the behavior of $CePt₃Si$ has been investigated quite extensively under applied pressure.^{14-[19](#page-6-0)}

At ambient pressure, CePt₃Si was reported to crystallize in the tetragonal noncentrosymmetric CePt₃B structure (space group *P*4*mm*),^{[1](#page-5-0)} with lattice parameters $a = 4.072$ Å and $c =$ 5*.*442 A. Based on various studies by means of thermodynamic ˚ as well as microscopic techniques the system was characterized as heavy fermion ($\gamma = 0.39$ J/mole K²) superconductor below $T_c = 0.75$ K (0.45 K in a high quality single crystal).^{[1,](#page-5-0)[20](#page-6-0)} Moreover, it was established that superconductivity coexists with a long-range antiferromagnetically ordered state, with an ordering wave vector $\mathbf{q} = (0,0,0.5)$ of strongly reduced moments $\mu_{\text{ord}} = 0.16 \mu_B/\text{Ce}$ being detected below the Néel temperature $T_N = 2.2 \text{ K.}^{21,22}$ $T_N = 2.2 \text{ K.}^{21,22}$ $T_N = 2.2 \text{ K.}^{21,22}$

The superconducting state in $CePt₃Si$ is believed to be of an unconventional nature, although as yet a detailed description of superconductivity has not been developed. Further, the lack of inversion symmetry causes a spin-orbit splitting of the Fermi surface, which might generate chiral spin states. 23 As result of the various pressure studies, most notably it is found that the antiferromagnetic state is already suppressed at a pressure of about 0.6 GPa, while superconductivity persists up to a pressure of 1.5 GPa. Thus, for noncentrosymmetric CePt₃Si the appearance of superconductivity is closely linked to the suppression of magnetic order, although here quantum critical behavior has not been observed in the various physical properties.

The ternary compound $CePt₃B$ is isostructural to the heavy fermion superconductor CePt₃Si, with lattice parameters $a =$ 4.003 Å and $c = 5.075$ Å.^{[24,25](#page-6-0)} With these—compared to CePt3Si—much smaller lattice parameters it might be argued that chemical pressure effects take place here, such that in some sense CePt₃B would represent a high pressure variant of $CePt₃Si. However, with the replacement of Si by B the electron$ count is lower by one electron in CePt₃B. Naively, one could argue that this should tend to weaken the hybridization strength because of a lower electron density corresponding to a reduced chemical pressure.

Effectively, the experimentally observed physical properties of CePt₃B appear to lead to the conclusion that this material is a local moment magnet with much weaker electronic correlations than CePt₃Si. Based on thermodynamic and transport experiments it has been established that $CePt₃B$ undergoes two magnetic transitions at low temperatures, the first one into a presumably antiferromagnetic (AFM) state below $T_N = 7.8$ K, the latter one into a state with a weakly ferromagnetic (FM) signature below $T_C \sim 4.5$ –6 K. Here, to account for the two magnetic phases in CePt₃B one would argue that there is a transition of large magnetic moments (order of magnitude ∼*μB*) into an antiferromagnetic structure below T_N , and which transforms into a weakly ferromagnetic one below T_C through canting of the magnetic moments. Within this line of thought, the canting might be a consequence of the lacking inversion symmetry, as this would give rise to an additional magnetic exchange term, the Dzyahloshinskii-Moriya interaction.^{[26](#page-6-0)} Here, a magnetic exchange term $\alpha S_1 \times S_2$ between two spins S_1 , S_2 on sites without inversion symmetry, if combined with a ferro- or antiferromagnetic coupling, produces complex magnetic states such as canted or helical structures. $27-29$

Surprisingly, in a recent study of the magnetically ordered phases of CePt₃B by means of neutron scattering and muon spin rotation (μ SR) this scenario could not be verified.^{[30](#page-6-0)} On the one hand, in μ SR experiments both transitions T_N and T_C have been identified as bulk transitions. As well, the muon precession frequency does suggest the presence of a fairly large ordered magnetic moment in both phases. On the other hand, in neutron powder diffraction no additional intensity from scattering in the magnetically ordered phase has been observed. As yet, this failure to detect magnetic intensity in neutron scattering is not understood.

Given that $CePt₃Si$ and $CePt₃B$ are isostructural, the question arises if there is a relationship between the magnetically ordered phases in both compounds. One possible route to study this topic is an alloying experiment on the series of materials $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$. Here, it can be studied how the antiferromagnetic state of CePt₃Si evolves out of that of $CePt₃B$, and if the noncentrosymmetric crystal structure plays a role in defining the magnetic ground state. In the following we will present such a study. We will characterize the structural and physical ground-state properties of the alloying series $CePt₃B_{1-x}Si_x$, and in particular we will discuss our data in terms of chemical pressure effects.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

Polycrystalline samples of CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}, 0 $\le x \le 1$, have been prepared by high-frequency melting the constituents in stoichiometric ratio under argon atmosphere in a water-cooled copper crucible. Subsequently the samples have been annealed at $880\degree$ C for 14 days in evacuated quartz tubes.

Metallurgically, the materials have been characterized by means of powder x-ray diffraction. All samples crystallize in the tetragonal lattice with the space group *P*4*mm*, in agreement with Refs. [1,](#page-5-0) [24,](#page-6-0) and [31.](#page-6-0) Within experimental resolution (∼10 vol %) no secondary phases have been detected in the diffraction spectra. The lattice parameters *a* and *c* as determined from x-ray diffraction are summarized in Table I. Further, Fig. 1 visualizes the significant increase of the lattice parameters upon replacing boron by silicon, with a basically linear evolution of the lattice parameters in accordance with Vegard's law. In the figure and the table the data from Refs. [1](#page-5-0) and [25](#page-6-0) are included. Overall, replacing boron by silicon leads to an increase of the unit-cell volume of about 10%. Using the bulk modulus of 162 GPa, 32 this increase of the unit-cell

TABLE I. Lattice parameters *a*, *c* and unit-cell volume *V* of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$ (space group *P4mm*).

\mathcal{X}	0.0 (Ref. 25)	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8 1.0 (Ref. 1)
$a(\AA)$	4.004			4.025 4.043 4.068 4.075	4.072
$c(\AA)$	5.075			5.140 5.207 5.286 5.377	5.442
$V(\AA^3)$	81.36			83.27 85.11 87.48 89.29	90.23

FIG. 1. (Color online) Lattice parameters *a* and *c* versus silicon concentration for CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}, 0 $\le x \le 1$.

volume would correspond to a negative chemical pressure of about 16 GPa. Notably, the change of the *c*-axis parameter is much larger (about 7% from CePt₃B to CePt₃Si) than the *a* axis parameter (less than 2%), which would indicate some anisotropy of this chemically exerted pressure.

The physical bulk properties of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ have been examined by means of susceptibility, magnetization, resistivity, and specific-heat measurements. The susceptibility and magnetization were measured employing a commercial superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer, at temperatures ranging from 1.8 to 300 K in fields up to 5 T. Resistivity measurements were carried out using a standard ac four-point technique at temperatures from 1.8 to 300 K. Furthermore, specific-heat measurements have been performed in a commercial calorimeter from 0.3 to 300 K at Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin (Germany) and at Brookhaven National Laboratory (USA).

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In Fig. 2 the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ and inverse susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(T)$ in a field

FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of (a) the susceptibility $\chi(T)$ and (b) inverse susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(T)$ of CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*} for $0 \le x \le 1$ in a magnetic field of $B = 1$ T. The data for CePt₃Si are taken from Ref. [33.](#page-6-0)

TABLE II. Magnetic transition temperatures and characteristic physical parameters of CePt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* , as determined from susceptibility χ , resistivity ρ , and specific heat C_p : antiferromagnetic transition temperature T_N , ferromagnetic transition temperature T_C , Curie-Weiss temperature Θ_{CW} , effective magnetic moment μ_{eff} , characteristic temperature of the crystal-field splitting *T_{CEF}*, Kondo temperature T_K , Sommerfeld coefficient γ , and magnetic entropy S_{mag} at $T = T_N$. Values for CePt₃B and CePt₃Si are taken from Refs. [1,](#page-5-0) [24,](#page-6-0) and [25.](#page-6-0)

\boldsymbol{x}		0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
T_N (K)	χ	8.1	5.6	4.3	2.9	2.2	2.2
T_N (K)	ρ	7.8	6.1	4.5	2.8	2.6	2.2
T_N (K)	C_p	7.8	5.3	4.3	2.9	2.6	2.2
T_C (K)	χ	5.6	2.4	2.0			
T_C (K)	C_p	≈ 4.5	1.8	1.7	1.6		
Θ_{CW} (K)	χ	-26	-28	-29	-31	-44	-46
$\mu_{\rm eff}$ (μ_B)	χ	2.39	2.39	2.34	2.56	2.58	2.54
T_{CEF} (K)	ρ	150	143	140	130	131	119
T_K (K)	ρ	\approx 7	12	19	18	16	11
γ (mJ/mole K ²)	C_p	57	151	295	337	349	390
$S_{\text{mag}}(T=T_N)$	C_p	0.78	0.74	0.57	0.52	0.48	0.22
$(R \ln 2)$							

 $B = 1$ T are depicted. At high temperatures a paramagnetic Curie-Weiss behavior is observed. The effective Ce moments μ _{eff} are derived from fits of the data between 50 and 300 K using the common expression^{[34](#page-6-0)} $\chi = [C/(T - \Theta_{CW})] + \chi_0$, $C \propto \mu_{\text{eff}}^2$, and summarized in Table II. These data indicate a stable \overline{Ce}^{3+} state at high temperatures for all compositions CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}. Further, the Curie-Weiss temperatures Θ_{CW} indicate predominant antiferromagnetic interactions, which increase with silicon concentration *x*. Moreover, also the Kondo temperature T_K depends on the magnetic coupling strength $J \propto \Theta_{CW}$, which thus increases as well.

As demonstrated in Fig. 3, at low temperatures deviations from Curie-Weiss behavior become apparent, denoting

FIG. 3. (Color online) Low-temperature regime of the susceptibility $\chi(T)$ of CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}, $0 \le x \le 1$, in a magnetic field of *B* = 1 T. The data for $CePt₃Si$ are taken from Ref. [33.](#page-6-0)

FIG. 4. (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the magnetization of CePt₃B (a) and CePt₃B_{0.8}Si_{0.2} (b).

transitions into long-range-ordered states. Transition temperatures are determined as anomalies in plots χT vs T, with T_C identified as maximum of χT , and T_N as inflection point. This way, the transition temperatures for CePt₃B are determined as $T_N = 8.1$ K and $T_C = 5.6$ K, in good agreement with the Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [25.](#page-6-0) Next, for *x* up to 0*.*4 two magnetic phase transitions, an antiferromagnetic and a ferromagnetic one, are identified. In contrast, for a larger silicon amount *x* the ferromagnetic transition seems to have disappeared, while the antiferromagnetic transition persists for all x , with T_N decreasing to 2.2 K in CePt₃Si. The values T_N and T_C as determined from the susceptibility are also summarized in Table II.

Furthermore, magnetization measurements at low temperatures have been carried out on CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*} for $0 \le x \le 0.8$. CePt₃B exhibits weak ferromagnetic hysteresis below T_C (extrapolated remanent ferromagnetic moment for $T \rightarrow 0$ K: $0.09 \mu_B$ /Ce atom), in good agreement with Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [30](#page-6-0) [see Fig. 4(a)]. Conversely, for the samples $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$, $x \neq 0$, no ferromagnetic hysteresis is observed [cf. Fig. 4(b)]. However, the samples $x = 0.2$ and 0.4 both display a weakly ferromagnetic shape of the magnetization curve at 1*.*8 K (estimated remanent ferromagnetic moment at 1*.*8 K: ∼ $0.02\mu_B/Ce$ atom), consistent with the observation of a second phase transition in the *χ* measurements. As an example, the corresponding data are shown for $CePt₃B_{0.8}Si_{0.2}$ in Fig. 4(b).

In a next step, we have determined the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0.2 \le x \le 0.8$. In Fig. [5](#page-3-0) the resistivity $\rho(T)$ and the normalized resistivity $\rho/\rho_{300K}(T)$ are depicted, together with the resistivity data for CePt₃B and CePt₃Si taken from Refs. 24 and [33.](#page-6-0)

Overall, the absolute values of the resistivities $\rho(T)$ increase with silicon amount up to $x = 0.6$. This behavior reflects the enhanced level of disorder from chemical alloying. Correspondingly, for larger *x* the disorder level and absolute values $\rho(T)$ decrease.

Further, $\rho(T)$ of the alloying series exhibits a shallow resistive minimum for the intermediate silicon compositions *x* = 0*.*4*,* 0*.*6, and 0*.*8 at temperatures of∼15–20 K. Likely, this

FIG. 5. (Color online) Temperature dependence of (a) the resistivity $\rho(T)$ and (b) the normalized resistivity $\rho/\rho_{300K}(T)$ of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$; data for $x = 0$ and 1 taken from Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [33.](#page-6-0)

behavior results from Kondo scattering at low temperatures.³⁵ Fits to the temperature range with the resistive upturn using a dependence $\rho - \rho_0 \propto \ln(T)$ yield rough estimates for the Kondo temperatures and are included in Table [II.](#page-2-0) The order of magnitude of the Kondo temperatures is in agreement with the values given for CePt₃B and CePt₃Si (see Refs. 25 and 33), although with the small temperature and resistive range fitted no firm conclusions about the *x* dependence of T_K can be drawn.

At low temperatures, the drops in $\rho(T)$ denote the transitions into antiferromagnetically ordered states. From the data the antiferromagnetic transition temperatures T_N are determined and included in Table [II.](#page-2-0) Consistent with the susceptibility, it is seen that T_N is suppressed with increasing silicon concentration. While the transition of $CePt₃B$ occurs as a rather sharp kink, the transitions in alloyed CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}, $x \ge 0.2$, become broader due to chemical disorder. The transition into the weakly ferromagnetic state at T_c is not observable in the resistivity, in agreement with the conclusions from Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [25.](#page-6-0) Such behavior might be accounted for if at T_c the transition is from one ordered magnetic state into another ordered one, with no change of the size of the magnetic unit cell, and correspondingly no significant changes to the band structure or scattering cross sections.

In an intermediate temperature range, in the (normalized) resistivity a shoulder is observed, denoting scattering from crystalline electric-field split levels. The position of the resistive shoulder can be estimated by determining the maximum of the second temperature derivative $d^2 \rho / dT^2$, T_{CEF} , and which is a measure for the splitting of the low-lying crystal-field levels. The compositional dependence of this characteristic temperature T_{CEF} is summarized in Table [II,](#page-2-0) which decreases with increasing silicon composition. Previously, such crystalfield effects have been attributed to a doublet-doublet splitting

FIG. 6. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the specific heat C_p/T versus T of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$; data for $x = 0$ and 1 are taken from Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [33.](#page-6-0)

of the Ce^{3+} ground state (see Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [25\)](#page-6-0), with a level splitting of the order of magnitude of about 100 K for the different samples. The decrease of the splitting in CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*} by about 20% with increasing *x* is consistent with the increase of the lattice parameter, weakening the electric-field strength on the rare-earth site.

Finally, in Fig. 6 we present the specific heat C_p/T versus T at low temperatures. Again, for CePt₃B two magnetic phase transitions can be observed as a peak in C_p/T for the antiferromagnetic transition at T_N , and as a shoulder for the weakly ferromagnetic transition at T_C . On a qualitative level, it is apparent that the main peak is moving to lower temperatures with increasing Si composition. To quantify matters and to determine the transition temperatures T_N an entropy balance model is used. For this, in a plot of the magnetic specific heat divided by *T* (C_{mag}/T) versus temperature *T* the transition temperatures are determined by a linear line construction with equal areas, as indicated in Fig. $7(a)$ for the data of the sample $x = 0.2$. The transition temperatures T_N as determined with this approach are summarized in Table [II.](#page-2-0) Similarly, the position of the specific-heat shoulder at T_C is determined, which shifts to lower temperatures with increasing silicon amount. 36 Ultimately, the weakly ferromagnetic phase is not perceivable anymore for *x >* 0*.*6. Correspondingly, for $CePt₃Si$ only the antiferromagnetic peak is identified, implying that ferromagnetism has disappeared for large values of *x*.

In a next step, from the experimental specific-heat data the magnetic contribution is derived by subtracting the lattice contribution of isostructural, nonmagnetic LaPt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* . For this, we use the experimentally determined specific of $LaPt₃B$ and LaPt₃Si (see Refs. [1](#page-5-0) and 25) and calculate the corresponding lattice contributions for alloyed LaPt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* from an interpolation of the specific heat of the two end points. This way, we obtain the magnetic specific-heat contribution C_{mag} plotted in Fig. [7\(a\)](#page-4-0) as C_{mag}/T vs temperature *T*. From this plot, clearly the change of the shape of the specific heat curve is seen from a double-peak-like structure for $x \leq 0.6$ to a single antiferromagnetic peak for $x \geq 0.8$.

Previously, for CePt₃B the magnetic specific-heat contribution at low temperatures (in the antiferromagnetic state)

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Temperature-dependent magnetic contribution to the specific heat, C_{mag} , plotted as C_{mag}/T versus T and (b) temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy S_{mag} of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$. The data for $x = 0.0$ and 1.0 are taken from Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [33;](#page-6-0) the line construction illustrates the entropy balance procedure to determine the transition temperature.

was described in terms of spin-wave excitations following the model of Continentino *et al.*[37](#page-6-0)

$$
C_{\text{mag}} = \frac{\delta \Delta^{7/2} \sqrt{T}}{\exp\left(\frac{\Delta}{T}\right)} \bigg[1 + \frac{39}{20} \bigg(\frac{T}{\Delta}\bigg) + \frac{51}{32} \bigg(\frac{T}{\Delta}\bigg)^2 \bigg],
$$

with $\delta \propto 1/D^3$. Here, *D* is the spin-wave velocity, while Δ represents the value of the antiferromagnetic spin-wave dispersion gap. Moreover, the electronic contribution to the specific heat $\alpha \gamma T$ is also taken into account, with the Sommerfeld coefficient *γ*. Fits of C_{mag}/T deliver a *x* dependence of the Sommerfeld coefficient *γ* summarized in Table [II.](#page-2-0)^{[38](#page-6-0)} Evidently, with increasing *x* the Sommerfeld coefficient and the effective electron mass *m*[∗] increase as well, thus reflecting a transition from a local moment antiferromagnet (CePt₃B) to a heavy fermion system $(CePt₃Si)$ upon alloying.

With the magnetic specific heat extrapolated this way to $T = 0$ K we can calculate the magnetic entropy S_{mag} [Fig. $7(b)$]. Consistent with the enhancement of the Sommerfeld coefficient with x , we find a suppression of the entropy recovered at T_N , with S_{mag} at $T = T_N$, measured in units $R \ln 2$, summarized in Table [II.](#page-2-0) Commonly, the magnetic entropy *S*mag is considered to scale with the size of the ordered magnetic moment. Given that in $CePt₃Si$ there is an ordered magnetic moment of $\mu_{ord} = 0.16\mu_B$, and taking into account a reduction of the magnetic entropy $S_{\text{mag}}(T = T_N)$ by a factor of 4 from CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}, $x = 1$ to $x = 0$, it would suggest that for CePt₃B the ordered moment should be of the order of $0.6\mu_B$. This finding is consistent with our observations on the bulk properties of CePt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* as well as the results of muon spin rotation experiments from Ref. [30.](#page-6-0)

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

With the values of the antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic transition obtained from various experimental techniques and summarized in Table II we construct the magnetic phase diagram depicted in Fig. 8. While there is some variation of the absolute values of T_N and T_C derived from different

FIG. 8. (Color online) Magnetic phase diagram of the antiferromagnetic T_N , ferromagnetic T_C , and superconducting T_c transition temperatures in dependency of the concentration of silicon, *x*, for $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$, $0 \le x \le 1$; data for $x = 0$ and 1 taken from Refs. [24](#page-6-0) and [33.](#page-6-0)

techniques, overall we find a continuous transformation of the antiferromagnetic phase in $CePt₃B$ into that of $CePt₃Si$, with a smooth suppression of ordering temperatures. In contrast, the weakly ferromagnetic phase in $CePt₃B$ is completely suppressed at a critical value of $x \sim 0.7$. Eventually, superconductivity appears close to stoichiometric $CePt₃Si$, although from our data we cannot accurately determine the critical concentration x_c of the appearance of superconductivity.

The magnetic phase diagram has to be discussed in context with the evolution of the other physical parameters of the alloying series CePt₃B_{1−*x*}Si_{*x*}. The suppression of magnetic order is accompanied by a significant enhancement of electronic correlations, as evidenced by the increasing electronic specific-heat coefficient *γ* . Qualitatively, this behavior can be discussed within the concept of the Doniach phase diagram, which considers the competition of long-range magnetic order from a Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY)–like exchange and the Kondo effect. 39 Here, the basic idea is that there is a difference in the dependence on the magnetic coupling strength *J* of the characteristic energy scales of the RKKY exchange, $k_B T_{RKKY} \propto J^2 N(E_F) [N(E_F)]$ = density of states at the Fermi energy], and the Kondo energy, $k_B T_{Kondo} \propto$ $[1/N(E_F)] \exp[-1/JN(E_F)]$. For comparatively small *J* values the RKKY exchange dominates, causing magnetically ordered local moment states to occur. In contrast, for large *J* values the Kondo screening will prevail, and long-range order will be suppressed.

The resistivity and the *x* dependence of the Curie-Weiss temperature indicate that for both $CePt₃B$ and $CePt₃Si$ the Kondo energy scale is of the order 10 K, and increases with *x* by about a factor of 2. Further, the Curie-Weiss temperature Θ_{CW} indicates that the basic magnetic energy scale T_{RKKY} is slightly larger than T_K (25–45 K) and which increases with *x*, too. In result, with replacing B by Si in CePt3B1−*^x*Si*^x* a Doniach-like phase diagram is traversed, starting with the local moment magnet CePt₃B. For increasing Si content x the Kondo effect is enhanced and tends to win over magnetic order, with the end point of the heavy fermion antiferromagnetic superconductor CePt₃Si.

Commonly, such Doniach-like phase diagrams are observed in pressure experiments or in isoelectronic chemical pressure studies. In our case, the situation is somewhat more complicated. Chemically a negative pressure is exerted in the alloying series $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ with a large increase of the lattice parameters with *x*. The negative pressure effect is also corroborated by the observation of a decreasing crystal-field splitting with *x*. This negative pressure, however, appears to be counteracted by the increasing electron count while replacing B by Si. Adding one conduction electron might lead to a small shift of the Fermi energy and thus affect the density of states at the Fermi level, $N(E_F)$. However, as first approximation the replacement of B by Si is often considered to not significantly change band-structure properties. $40-42$ These elements only produce flat and broad bands at the Fermi level, and which should not be of great relevance to the magnetic properties of the materials. In consequence, as result of our experimental study, CePt₃B appears to represent a low-pressure variant of CePt₃Si.

In fact, pressure experiments carried out so far on $CePt₃B$ are broadly consistent with this statement.^{[25](#page-6-0)} Here, for pressure up to 1.85 GPa only a slight increase of T_N by about 10% is observed, without qualitative changes to the character of the magnetic ground state. Within the concept of the Doniach model, this observation would reflect that $CePt₃B$ is still deep in the local moment region of the Doniach phase diagram, and that a much larger pressure would be required to drive the system into the range of strong electronic correlations and close to a magnetic instability. Consequently, it would be very interesting to see if the properties of $CePt₃B$ under very high pressure resemble those of CePt3Si, and in particular if the system becomes superconducting.

There are a couple of further points to be considered in context with the phase diagram of $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$. First, there is the nonobservation of superconductivity for $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$, $x = 0.8$, down to 0.3 K. Strictly speaking, to ultimately settle this experimental case, it would be necessary to carry out experiments to lower temperatures $(^{3}He/^{4}He)$ as well as for samples $CePt_3B_{1-x}Si_x$ with values *x* closer to 1. Conceptually, however, the rapid suppression of superconductivity by doping with nonmagnetic elements is in line with the ideas and observations about unconventional superconductivity in general (see Refs. $43-46$) and in CePt₃Si specifically.^{16,47}

Second, there is the issue about the relationship between the antiferromagnetic states in $CePt₃B$ and $CePt₃Si$. Based on our observations, we conclude that the simple antiferromagnetic structure of CePt₃Si, with $q = (0,0,0.5)$, evolves out of the antiferromagnetic phase of CePt₃B. For the latter compound, however, it has been demonstrated that the AFM structure does not consist of a $q = (0,0,0.5)$ ordering,^{[30](#page-6-0)} but instead possesses a different (possibly rather complex) magnetic structure. The question arises whether there is a continuous transformation of the magnetic structure in CePt₃B into that of CePt₃Si, or if it is instantaneous, and how does this affect the magnetic fluctuation spectrum? This, in turn, might be of relevance to the issue of the coupling mechanism of unconventional superconductivity in CePt₃Si. Similarly, the suppression of weak ferromagnetism with *x* might be associated to modifications of the magnetic fluctuation spectrum relevant to superconductivity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Parts of this work was supported by the Austrian FWF (P22295), the Laboratory for Magnetic Measurements (LaMMB) at HZB, and the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science. Work at Stony Brook University was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-0907457.

¹E. Bauer, G. Hilscher, H. Michor, Ch. Paul, E. W. Scheidt, A. Gribanov, Yu. Seropegin, H. Noël, M. Sigrist, and P. Rogl, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.027003)* Rev. Lett. **92**[, 027003 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.027003)

- 3N. Kimura, K. Ito, K. Saitoh, Y. Umeda, H. Aoki, and T. Terashima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**[, 247004 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.247004)
- 4I. Sugitani, Y. Okuda, H. Shishido, T. Yamada, A. Thamizhavel, E. Yamamoto, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, T. Takeuchi, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.043703) **75**, 043703 (2006).
- 5T. Kawai, H. Murananka, M.-A. Measson, T. Shimoda, Y. Doi,
- T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, G. Knebel, G. Lapertot, D. Aoki, J. Flouquet, T. Takeuchi, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.064716) Jpn. **77**[, 064716 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.064716)
- 6F. Honda, I. Bonalde, S. Yoshiuchi, Y. Hirose, T. Nakamura,
- K. Shimizu, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, Physica C **470**[, S543 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2009.10.126)
- 7F. Steglich, J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, W. Franz, and H. Schäfer, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1892)* **43**, 1892 (1979).
- 8D. Jaccard, K. Behnia, and J. Sierro, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90860-O) **163**, 475 [\(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(92)90860-O)
- 9R. Movshovich, T. Graf, D. Mandrus, J. D. Thompson, J. L. Smith, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B **53**[, 8241 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.8241)
- 10N. D. Mathur, F. M. Grosche, S. R. Julian, I. R. Walker, D. M. Freye, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, and G. G. Lonzarich, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/27838) **394**, [39 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/27838)
- 11F. M. Grosche, P. Argarwal, S. R. Julian, N. J. Wilson, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, S. J. S. Lister, N. D. Mathur, F. V. Carter, S. S. Saxena, and G. G. Lonzarich, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/12/32/101) **12**, L533 [\(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/12/32/101)
- 12J. L. Sarrao and J. D. Thompson, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.051013) **76**, 051013 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.051013)
- 13R. Settai, T. Takeuchi, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.051003) **76**, 051003 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.051003)
- 14T. Yasuda, H. Shishido, T. Ueda, S. Hashimoto, R. Settai, T. Takeuchi, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.1657) Jpn. **73**[, 1657 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.1657)
- 15N. Tateiwa, Y. Haga, T. D. Matsuda, S. Ikeda, T. Yasuda, T. Takeuchi, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1903) **74**, 1903 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1903)

²C. Pfleiderer, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1551) **81**, 1551 (2009).

- ¹⁶M. Nicklas, G. Sparn, R. Lackner, E. Bauer, and F. Steglich, *[Physica](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.071)* B **359–361**[, 386 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.071)
- 17Y. Aoki, A. Sumiyama, G. Motoyama, Y. Oda, T. Yasuda, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.114708) **76**, 114708 (2007).
- 18G. Motoyama, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Maeda, A. Sumiyama, and Y. Oda, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.77.075004) **77**, 075004 (2008).
- 19M. Nicklas, F. Steglich, J. Knolle, I. Eremin, R. Lackner, and E. Bauer, Phys. Rev. B **81**[, 180511\(R\) \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.180511)
- ²⁰T. Takeuchi, T. Yasuda, M. Tsujino, H. Shishido, R. Settai, H. Harima, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.014702) **76**, 014702 (2007).
- 21N. Metoki, K. Kaneko, T. D. Matsuda, A. Galatanu, T. Takeuchi, S. Hashimoto, T. Ueda, R. Settai, Y. Onuki, and N. Bernhoeft, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/15/L01) [Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/15/L01) **16**, L207 (2004).
- 22A. Amato, E. Bauer, and C. Baines, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.092501) **71**, 092501 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.092501)
- 23S. Hashimoto, T. Yasuda, T. Kubo, H. Shishido, T. Ueda, R. Settai, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, H. Harima, and Y. Onuki, [J. Phys.: Condens.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/23/L02) Matter **16**[, L287 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/23/L02)
- 24 S. Süllow, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, A. A. Menovsky, and J. A. Mydosh, Physica B **199&200**[, 644 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(94)91933-X)
- 25R. Lackner, M. Sieberer, H. Michor, G. Hilscher, E. Bauer, P. S. Salamakha, O. L. Sologub, and K. Hiebl, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/11/022) **17**[, S905 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/11/022)
- 26I. Dzyaloshinskii, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90076-3) **4**, 241 (1958); T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. **120**[, 91 \(1960\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.120.91)
- $27K$. Prokeš, R. Feyerherm, E. Dudzik, V. Sechovský, and M. Mihalik, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/7/076001) **23**, 076001 (2011).
- 28P. Bak and M. H. Jensen, J. Phys. C **13**[, L881 \(1980\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/13/31/002)
- 29 O. Nakanishia, A. Yanase, A. Hasegawa, and M. Kataoka, [Solid](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(80)91004-2) [State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(80)91004-2) **35**, 995 (1980).
- ³⁰D. Rauch, S. Süllow, M. Bleckmann, A. Buchsteiner, N. Stüßer, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, and E. Bauer, J. Phys.: Conf. Series (to be published).
- 31O. L. Sologub, J. R. Hester, P. S. Salamakha, E. Leroy, and C. Godart, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(01)01913-2) **337**, 10 (2002).
- 32M. Ohashi *et al.* (unpublished).
- 33E. Bauer, R. Lackner, G. Hilscher, H. Michor, M. Sieberer, A. Eichler, A. Gribanov, Y. Seropegin, and P. Rogl, [J. Phys.:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/12/012) [Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/12/012) **17**, 1877 (2005).
- ³⁴The correction χ_0 to the Curie-Weiss law is minor, with χ_0 up to max. 5% of $χ_{300K}(T)$.
- 35J. Kondo, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.32.37) **32**, 37 (1964).
- ³⁶Qualitatively, the sample $x = 0.4$ has a different appearance than $x = 0.2$, with the maximum in C_p/T now occurring at T_c rather than T_N . Apparently, this change of shape reflects that for this sample entropy is shifted from the antiferromagnetic phase to the ferromagnetic one, if compared to the sample $x = 0.2$. Since we have no microscopic information about type of the ordered states nor the size of the magnetic moments, we cannot draw any firm conclusions about a relationship between shape of the specific heat and the magnetically ordered state.
- 37M. A. Continentino, S. N. de Medeiros, M. T. D. Orlando, M. B. Fontes, and E. M. Baggio-Saitovitch, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.012404)**64**, 012404 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.012404)
- ³⁸Because of the rather complex fit of the low-temperature specific heat there is an estimated uncertainty in the determination of *γ* of about 5% resulting from parameter interdependency.
- 39S. Doniach, in *Valence Instabilities and Related Narrow Band Phenomena*, edited by R. D. Parks (Plenum, New York, 1977), p. 169; [Physica \(Amsterdam\) B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4363(77)90190-5) **91**, 231 (1977).
- ⁴⁰L. M. Sandratskii and J. Kübler, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.9258) 50, 9258 [\(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.9258)
- 41M. Divis, L. Steinbeck, M. Richter, and P. Mohn, [J. Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(01)00950-1) **321**[, 10 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(01)00950-1)
- 42A. N. Yaresko, V. N. Antonov, and B. N. Harmon, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.214426) **68**, [214426 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.214426)
- 43A. J. Millis, S. Sachdev, and C. M. Varma, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.4975) **37**, 4975 [\(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.4975)
- 44Y. Dalichaouch, M. C. de Andrade, D. A. Gajewski, R. Chau, P. Visani, and M. B. Maple, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3938) **75**, 3938 [\(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3938)
- ⁴⁵S. Süllow, B. Ludoph, B. Becker, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, A. A. Menovsky, and J. A. Mydosh, Phys. Rev. B **56**[, 846 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.846)
- 46A. P. Mackenzie, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, A. W. Tyler, G. G. Lonzarich, Y. Mori, S. Nishizaki, and Y. Maeno, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.161) **80**[, 161 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.161)
- 47A. Grytsiv, D. Kaczorowski, Cz. Marucha, and P. Rogl, [J. Alloys](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.02.060) Compd. **509**[, 5216 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.02.060)