Electron correlations in the quasi-two-dimensional organic conductor θ **-(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ investigated by 13C NMR**

Michihiro Hirata,¹ Kazuya Miyagawa,¹ Kazushi Kanoda,¹ and Masafumi Tamura²

¹*Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

²*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan*

(Received 5 February 2012; published 29 May 2012)

We report a 13C-NMR study on the ambient-pressure metallic phase of the layered organic conductor *θ*- (BEDT-TTF)2I3 (BEDT-TTF: bisethylenedithio-tetrathiafulvalene), which is expected to connect the physics of correlated electrons and Dirac electrons under pressure. The orientation dependence of the NMR spectra shows that all BEDT-TTF molecules in the unit cell are to be seen equivalent from a microscopic point of view. This feature is consistent with the orthorhombic symmetry of the BEDT-TTF sublattice and also indicates that the monoclinic I_3 sublattice, which should make three molecules in the unit cell nonequivalent, is not practically influential on the electronic state in the conducting BEDT-TTF layers at ambient pressure. There is no signature of charge disproportionation in opposition to most of the *θ*-type BEDT-TTF salts. The analyses of NMR Knight shift *K* and the nuclear-spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ revealed that the degree of electron correlation, evaluated by the Korringa ratio $[\alpha 1/(T_1 T K^2)]$, is in an intermediate regime. However, NMR relaxation rate $1/T_1$ is enhanced above ∼ 200 K, which possibly indicates that the system enters into a quantum critical regime of charge-order fluctuations as suggested theoretically.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.85.195146](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.195146) PACS number(s): 71*.*20*.*Rv, 71*.*30*.*+h, 76*.*60*.*−k

I. INTRODUCTION

The layered organic compounds $(BEDT-TTF)$ ₂*X* exhibit fascinating electronic phases with superconductivity, $¹$ Mott</sup> localization,^{[2](#page-6-0)} spin liquid,^{[3](#page-6-0)} charge ordering, 4.5 massless Dirac fermions, $6,7$ and so on, 8 where *X* is a monovalent anion and BEDT-TTF is bisethylenedithio-tetrathiafulvalene. The system is constructed from alternate stacking of two-dimensional (2D) conducting layers of the donor molecule BEDT-TTF and insulating layers of the anion X [Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0). The anion extracts an electron from two BEDT-TTF molecules, i.e., $(BEDT-TTF)^{+0.5}$: *X*⁻, which results in a quarter filled hole band through intermolecular overlaps of the molecular orbital.

The title compound, θ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ (abbreviated to θ -I₃), is known as a typical 2D metal with highly symmetric zigzag alignment of BEDT-TTF molecules in the conducting layer [Fig. $1(b)$].^{[9](#page-6-0)} It is metallic in the whole temperature range, 9 and the Fermi surface has been confirmed by quantum oscillation^{10–15} and optical^{[16,17](#page-6-0)} experiments. Magnetic susceptibility exhibits weak temperature dependence, $15,18$ characteristic of the Pauli paramagnetism. Moreover, superconductivity is observed at T_c of approximately 3.6 K.^{[9,19](#page-6-0)}

Meanwhile, intense investigations have revealed that other *θ*-type salts with closely associated molecular arrangements, *θ*-(BEDT-TTF)2*M*Zn(SCN)4 [*M* = Rb and Cs] (abbreviated to *θ*-MZn), undergo metal-to-insulator (MI) transitions at $T_{\text{MI}} = 195$ K (θ -RbZn) and 20 K (θ -CsZn),^{[4](#page-6-0)} accompanied by a spontaneous charge ordering $(CO)^5$ $(CO)^5$ and a glassy charge disproportionation, 20 respectively. Even in the conducting state above T_{MI} , where resistivity is temperature insensitive in these materials, 4 the ¹³C-NMR line shows a gradual broadening toward T_{MI} , which is thought of as a manifestation of charge fluctuations.^{[5,20](#page-6-0)} The overall nature implies that the electron correlations play decisive roles in the electronic phases of *θ*-MZn. Despite the close similarities of the molecular arrangements in θ -MZn and θ -I₃, their contrasting ground states demonstrate a variation in the electron correlations and/or bandwidth among the *θ*-type family, as pointed out by Mori *et al.*[4](#page-6-0)

Application of hydrostatic pressures drastically alters the electronic state in θ -I₃. Pressure dependence of the room-temperature resistivity shows a discontinuous jump at [∼]0.5GPa.[21](#page-6-0) Under pressures above this critical value, *^θ*-I3 shows weak temperature dependence in resistivity, 22 a large increase in Hall coefficient,^{[22](#page-6-0)} and $T³$ dependence in nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate, $1/T_1$, below ∼20 K (Ref. [23\)](#page-6-0) with decreasing temperature, indicating the presence of a linearly dispersive energy band near the Fermi level. $24,25$ Notice that the same properties are also observed in α -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ (α -I₃) at high pressures approximately above 1.5 GPa , $6,26$ where a tilted massless Dirac cone is predicted by band-structure calculations.^{7,25} α -I₃ includes three nonequivalent molecules in the unit cell with a zigzag molecular arrangement as in the *θ*-type materials.²⁷ It is suggested that this *α*-type local site symmetry plays a significant role in the realization of the tilted Dirac cone in α -I₃.^{[7,](#page-6-0)[28,29](#page-7-0)} A similar argument is also expected in θ -I₃ under pressures, although the crystal structure above ∼0.5 GPa is not yet determined.

The lattice symmetry at ambient pressure is also complicated in *θ*-I3; Kobayashi *et al.*[9](#page-6-0) report a monoclinic structure (space group $P2₁/c$) for the whole crystal, and an orthorhombic symmetry (P_{nma}) for the BEDT-TTF sublattice in terms of x-ray diffraction measurements. Band-structure calculation predicts small Fermi pockets for the monoclinic structure, and a large Fermi surface for the orthorhombic sublattice.^{[9](#page-6-0)} The quantum oscillation and optical reflectance measurements support the latter. $10-17$ These facts suggest that the monoclinicity of the crystal, which mainly originates from the arrangements of triiodine (I_3) molecules, ^{[9](#page-6-0)} seems to make only a negligible influence on the electronic structure. To further unravel this point, it is needed to characterize the local electronic state on BEDT-TTF sites microscopically.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Side view of the orthorhombic structure of θ -I₃ (Refs. [9](#page-6-0) and [32\)](#page-7-0). (b) Top view of the schematic arrangements of BEDT-TTF molecules in the conducting *ac* plane. (c) Molecular principal axes of a BEDT-TTF molecule. Arrows indicate the positions of 13 C atoms. In panels (a) and (b), two molecules, represented by dotted and dashed ellipses, become unequal in their geometric arrangements with respect to the field directions of $H \parallel bc$ and $H \parallel ac$, respectively.

the standard saturation and recovery method. The relaxation curves of nuclear magnetization were well fitted to single exponential functions over a decade.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. NMR spectra, local-site symmetry, and hyperfine-shift tensors

The local-site symmetry at the central 13 C positions of BEDT-TTF molecules [Fig. $1(c)$] is examined by the angular profile of 13 C-NMR spectra, which were measured with changing (i) the angle θ between the crystal *a* axis and the applied field H in the ac conducting plane [Fig. $1(b)$], and (ii) the angle ψ between the crystal *b* axis and *H* in the *bc* plane [Fig. $1(a)$]. Figures $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ show the typical angular dependence of 13C-NMR spectra under *H* applied within *ac* plane (at 40 K) and *bc* plane (at 100 K), respectively. Under all field orientations, the NMR spectra consist approximately of four lines at all measured temperatures. Two pairs of lines in Fig. $2(a)$ exhibit out-of-phase angular dependence against *θ*, whereas an in-phase variation is seen against ψ in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-2-0)

As we mentioned in Sec. [I,](#page-0-0) x-ray diffraction experiments suggest that the crystal structure is monoclinic in θ -I₃ (with space group $P2_1/c$.^{[9](#page-6-0)} In this symmetry, three molecules are crystallographically nonequivalent and locate in each layer of the double-decker unit cell with only two of them possessing inversion symmetry in the molecular center as in α -I₃.^{[27](#page-6-0)[,31,32](#page-7-0)} In the 13 C-NMR spectra, each nonequivalent molecule gives distinct resonance lines. Furthermore, due to the nuclear dipole interaction between the adjacent 13 C nuclei in a molecule [Fig. $1(c)$], each resonance line from a molecule splits into a doublet or a quartet depending on whether the molecule has the inversion symmetry or not. 30 In our case, three molecules in a layer (one without and two with inversion centers) are unequal against arbitrary field directions. Hence, in total, eight lines (one quartet and two doublets) are expected under the *ac*-plane-field geometry ($H \parallel$ layer), and they should be doubled under the *bc*-plane condition where the adjacent layers become unequal against magnetic field. The observed NMR spectra show, however, only four lines under all field directions [Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$]. This indicates that the local site differences among molecules are negligibly small in

As seen above, θ -I₃ is a unique material connecting the physics of correlated electrons and Dirac electrons, both of which are among the intensively studied issues in condensedmatter physics. In the present work, we aim at elucidating the electronic structure in θ -I₃ at ambient pressure by means of 13 C-NMR experiments. This is expected to provide a basis for understanding the conducting state in the proximity of the CO phase and the massless Dirac fermions in organic conductors. First, we measured the orientation dependence of the ¹³C-NMR spectra against applied magnetic field to evaluate the local-site symmetry and the hyperfine-shift tensor at the central ¹³C sites in BEDT-TTF molecules [Fig. $1(c)$]. Because the hyperfine interaction between electron and ¹³C-nuclear spins is highly anisotropic in $(BEDT-TTF)$ ₂*X* compounds,^{[30](#page-7-0)} the hyperfine-shift tensor $\delta = (\delta^{xx}, \delta^{yy}, \delta^{zz})$ at the ¹³C sites is thereby determined, where *x, y*, and *z* represent the principal axes of the molecule [see Fig. $1(c)$]. Then, we measured the Knight shift *K* and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate, $1/T_1$, and determined local spin susceptibility and electron correlations in a quantitative manner, using the hyperfineshift tensor determined. Based on the experimental data and analyses, we discuss the nature of electronic state in θ -I₃ at ambient pressure.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystal of θ -I₃ was prepared by the conventional electrochemical method. For the 13C-NMR measurements, the central carbon sites in BEDT-TTF molecules were selectively enriched by ¹³C atoms (nuclear spin $I = 1/2$, $\gamma_n/2\pi =$ 10*.*7054 MHz*/*T) with 99% concentration [Fig. 1(c)], where a large spin density is expected in the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) which is known to give the main contribution to the electronic bands at the Fermi level. $\frac{2}{3}$ All NMR measurements were performed for a single crystal at ambient pressure in a magnetic field *H* of approximately 6.00 T, which is rotated within the conducting *ac* plane and the *bc* plane (see Fig. 1). NMR signals were obtained through the fast Fourier transformation of the so-called solid-echo signals, and the 13 C-resonance frequency of tetramethylsilane $[CH₃)₄Si$, TMS] was used as the origin of the NMR shift. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ was determined by

FIG. 2. (Color online) Orientation dependence of the 13C-NMR spectra (a) under *H* within *ac* plane (40 K) and (b) *bc* plane (100 K). *θ* and *ψ* stand for the field angles measured from *a* and *b* axes, respectively. Symbols represent different Pake doublets stemming from the two differently oriented molecules in the double-decker unit cell (ellipses in Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

 θ -I₃, or equivalently the influence of I₃ alignments, which is responsible for the monoclinicity of the crystal, 9 is not significant on the BEDT-TTF layers. This is in line with the x-ray diffraction measurement predicting orthorhombic symmetry for the BEDT-TTF sublattice 9 as the observed four-line structure can be well explained by this picture as follows: in the orthorhombic symmetry, all molecules are crystallographically equivalent and the inversion center locates exactly in-between the two adjacent 13 C atoms in a BEDT-TTF. This results in the four-line structure in the NMR spectra (namely, two pairs of doublets) due to (i) two differently oriented BEDT-TTFs in a layer [Fig. $1(b)$] (in neighboring layers $[Fig. 1(a)]$ $[Fig. 1(a)]$ and (ii) the nuclear dipole interaction as the field *H* is applied parallel to the *ac* (*bc*) plane. [We note that a small peak splitting seen in several spectra, e.g., at $\theta = 20^\circ$, 30 \degree , 40 \degree , 50 \degree , and 60 \degree in Fig. 2(a), is attributable to a slight misalignment of the rotating plane of *H* from *ac* plane.]

The situation becomes clearer when we look into the angular dependence of the NMR line structure in more detail. Based on the orthorhombic symmetry, the observed spectra can be well assigned to two Pake doublets—circles and triangles in Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ —stemming from the molecules denoted by dotted and dashed ellipses in Fig. $1(b)$ [for Fig. $2(a)$] and Fig. $1(a)$ [for Fig. $2(b)$].

The angular dependence of the splitting width *d* for each doublet can be also explained by the orthorhombic symmetry [Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(b)$]. The solid and dashed curves represent the calculated angular dependence of d expressed as $d =$ $(3/2r^3)\gamma_n^2\hbar(1-3\cos^2\Theta)$,^{[30](#page-7-0)} where $\gamma_n/2\pi = 10.7054 \text{ MHz/T}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the ¹³C nucleus, $2\pi\hbar$ is the Planck constant, r denotes the distance between the adjacent ^{13}C nuclei, and Θ is the angle between *H* and the molecular *x* axis [see Fig. $1(c)$]. We used the orthorhombic sublattice structure of BEDT-TTFs reported by Kobayashi *et al.*[32](#page-7-0) and the optimal ¹³C = ¹³C bond length $r \approx 0.135$ nm.^{[33](#page-7-0)} The calculated curves are in good agreement with the observed splitting width.

Figures $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ depict the angular dependence of the 13 C-NMR shift at 100 K given by the midpoint of each Pake doublet under $H \parallel ac$ and $H \parallel bc$, respectively (the same symbols as in Figs. 2 and [3](#page-3-0) are used). We performed a least-square fit of sinusoidal curves to these data sets simultaneously with the three principal values of the 13 C hyperfine-shift tensor as fitting parameters on the basis of the orthorhombic structure.^{[32](#page-7-0)} The resulting fitting curves are shown by solid and dashed lines in Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$, where the principal values of the 13 C hyperfine-shift tensor are uniquely determined as $(\delta_{xx}, \delta_{yy}, \delta_{zz})$ = (67, 134, 300) (in ppm). Again, the agreement between the calculated curves and the experimental data are good.

From all these arguments, it is thus concluded that all of the BEDT-TTF molecules in θ -I₃ are electronically equivalent within experimental accuracy, indicating that the local-site symmetry at BEDT-TTFs can be practically seen as orthorhombic even though the crystal structure is monoclinic. This suggests that the three-dimensional network of the triiodine sublattice, which gives rise to the monoclinic symmetry of θ -I₃,^{[9](#page-6-0)} contributes little to the reduction of the electronic and structural symmetries in the BEDT-TTF layers, and hence, when we look into the conducting layer solely, the BEDT-TTF sublattice is to be treated approximately as orthorhombic. This is in a good agreement with the quantum oscillation 10^{-15} and optical reflectance $16,17$ experiments, both of which point to a large Fermi surface expected in the orthorhombic symmetry.⁹

It is also noteworthy to mention that each line in the NMR spectra is, in the whole temperature range, much narrower (∼1 kHz) than the cases in *θ*-RbZn and *θ*-CsZn, where the NMR lines show a splitting or broadening over several kHz or more in association with the charge ordering or its glassy freezing, respectively.^{5,20} The present spectral feature clearly indicates that θ -I₃ at ambient pressure is free from these

FIG. 3. (Color online) Angular dependence of the nuclear dipole splitting width *d* for the two differently oriented molecules in the unit cell (symbols; see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) under (a) $H \parallel ac$ (40 K) and (b) $H \parallel bc$ (100 K), which are extracted from Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$, respectively. The same symbols are used as in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) Calculated angular dependence is shown by solid and dashed curves based on the orthorhombic symmetry reported by Kobayashi *et al.* (Ref. [32\)](#page-7-0) and the optimal ¹³C = ¹³C bond length of $r \approx 0.135$ nm (Ref. [33\)](#page-7-0).

instabilities of the charge organization. This is consistent with the sharp line width observed in Raman scattering experiments.^{[34](#page-7-0)}

As we mentioned in Sec. [I,](#page-0-0) the metallic phase of θ -I₃ is suggested to turn into a massless Dirac fermion system with a linear energy-momentum dispersion above \sim 0.5 GPa,^{21,22} as confirmed by our previous 13 C-NMR shift and relaxation-rate 1*/T*¹ measurements at 0.8 GPa.[23](#page-6-0) Noticeably, NMR spectra in the high-pressure phase exhibit more than eight lines. The qualitative difference in the spectral feature below and above ∼0.5 GPa evidences that the transition at ∼0.5 GPa accompanies a structural phase transition with a symmetry reduction; thereby θ -I₃ is very probably transformed into a new structure similar to α -I₃ that accommodates the massless Dirac fermions.

400

FIG. 4. (Color online) Angular dependence of the 13C-NMR shift *δ* (central line shift of a Pake doublet) at (a) *H ac* (100 K) and (b) $H \parallel bc$ (100 K) for the two unequal molecules in the unit cell (symbols; see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The same symbols are used as in Figs. [2](#page-2-0) and 3. Curves stand for the least-square fits to the data calculated from the orthorhombic structure (Ref. [32\)](#page-7-0) and the hyperfine-shift tensor given in the text.

B. Knight shift, nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate, and electron-correlation effect

Next, we turn our attentions to the local electronic structures in θ -I₃ at ambient pressure. We measured the temperature dependence of 13C-NMR spectra, which gives Knight shift *K* and nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate 1*/T*1.

Figure $5(a)$ shows the temperature dependence of the ¹³C-NMR spectra under an external field *H* of 6.00 T applied parallel to the *c* axis in the conduction layer. All molecules become equivalent under this field orientation, so only a single Pake doublet is observed.

The spectra show moderate temperature dependence with decreasing temperature. The NMR shift *δ*, defined as the midpoint of the doublet, is composed of the Knight shift *K* and the chemical shift σ terms, i.e., $\delta = K + \sigma$. The Knight shift *K* is related to the electron-spin susceptibility χ_s via $K = a \chi_s / 2 \mu_B N_A$, where *a* is the hyperfine-coupling constant,

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of the ¹³C-NMR spectra under $H \parallel c$. (b), (c) Temperature dependence of the Knight shift K (= δ – σ ; with the central NMR line shift δ and the temperature-independent chemical shift $\sigma \approx 106$ ppm; see the text) and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate divided by temperature $1/T_1T$ under the same field orientation.

 μ_B is the Bohr magneton, and N_A is Avogadoro's number. The chemical shift σ originates from the orbital motion of electrons in a BEDT-TTF molecule and is temperature independent. In the present field configuration, it is estimated as $\sigma \approx 106$ ppm from the chemical-shift tensors reported by Kawai *et al.*^{[35,36](#page-7-0)} and the orthorhombic structure.³² By subtracting σ from the NMR shift δ , we evaluated the Knight shift *K* (= $\delta - \sigma$) as shown in Fig. 5(b). The Knight shift *K* decreases monotonically with temperatures with a slight concave around 200 K, approaching a value of $K \approx 100$ ppm in the low-temperature limit.

The temperature dependence of Knight shift *K* is more prominent than that of the spin susceptibility χ_s determined by magnetization measurements earlier.^{[15](#page-6-0)} It is not clear what causes the difference in the temperature dependence of χ s

and *K* in θ -I₃. If this is attributable to sample dependence, one possibility is the randomness in the position of tri-iodine molecules as reported by x-ray diffraction experiments; I_3 molecules have two possible positions along the *c*-axial direction that are mutually shifted by $c/2$, where c (=0.496 nm) is the lattice constant along the c axis.^{[9](#page-6-0)} This randomness gives a disorder in the tri-iodine positions, which may affect the electronic properties. However, if the I_3 disorder had a large influence on the electronic states, the NMR spectra would exhibit eight lines as they should reflect the whole crystal structure and the monoclinic symmetry of the crystal does produce eight lines (see Sec. [III A\)](#page-1-0). Obviously, this is not the case as seen in our previous arguments in Sec. [III A.](#page-1-0) Furthermore, the observed linewidth is so sharp (\sim 1 kHz) as to be resolution limited. These facts indicate that the disorder in the I_3 sublattice, if any, is not influential on the electronic states in the BEDT-TTF sublattice at least for the present sample.

In Fig. $5(c)$, we show the temperature dependence of nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ divided by temperature, $1/T_1T$, under the same field orientation (*H* \parallel *c*). $1/T_1T$ exhibits a monotonic decrease with decreasing temperature. Compared with the Knight shift *K* shown in Fig. $5(b)$, $1/T_1T$ shows relatively large temperature dependence, which seems to get steeper above approximately 200 K. In a metallic system, $1/T_1$ is proportional to the scattering rate of conducting electrons by nuclear spins near the Fermi level E_F and is known, in the case of an isotropic hyperfine interaction characterized by the coupling

constant *a*, to follow the Korringa relation³⁷—1/ $T_1T =$ $4\pi k_B/\hbar(\gamma_n/\gamma_e)^2 a^2(\mu_B/2N_A)^2 \langle D(E_F)^2 \rangle_T$ —where *D*(*E*_F) is the electronic density of states at E_F , γ_e is the gyromagnetic ratio of electron, and $\langle x \rangle_T$ stands for the thermal average of the quantity *x*. In a conventional metal without electron-electron correlations, the following relation holds between Knight shift *K* and relaxation rate $1/T_1$: $(1/T_1T)K^{-2}$ ($\hbar/4\pi k_B$)(*γ*e/*γ*_n)²</sup> = 1. In $(BEDT-TTF)$ ₂X compounds, however, the hyperfine interaction is strongly anisotropic at the 13C positions because of a significant contribution of dipolar interactions from the *p*^z orbitals. This effect introduces a geometrical form factor in the above relation, namely, $(1/T_1T)K^{-2}(\hbar/4\pi k_B)(\gamma_e/\gamma_n)^2$ = $\beta(\zeta,\eta)$,^{30,31} with

$$
\beta(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{(a^{xx}/a^{zz})^2(\sin^2\eta + \cos^2\zeta\cos^2\eta) + (a^{yy}/a^{zz})^2(\cos^2\eta + \cos^2\zeta\sin^2\eta) + \sin^2\zeta}{2[(a^{xx}/a^{zz})\sin^2\zeta\cos^2\eta + (a^{yy}/a^{zz})\sin^2\zeta\sin^2\eta + \cos^2\zeta]^2},
$$
\n(1)

where (a^{xx}, a^{yy}, a^{zz}) are the principal values of the ¹³Chyperfine-coupling tensor, ζ is the angle between the external field *H* and the *z*-principal axis shown in Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-1-0) and η is the polar angle measured from the *x*-principal axis in the *xy* plane of Fig. $1(c)$. $\beta(\zeta,\eta)$ is dependent on the orientation of the applied field *H* relative to the molecular axes. Furthermore, the effect of the electron correlations is incorporated into the form by the introduction of a factor K , called the Korringa ratio, which measures the degree of spin fluctua-tions quantitatively,^{[30](#page-7-0)} i.e., $(1/T_1T)K^{-2}(\hbar/4\pi k_B)(\gamma_e/\gamma_n)^2$ = $\beta(\zeta,\eta)$ K. K is unity for free electrons, while it becomes larger (smaller) than unity if there are antiferromagnetic (ferro-magnetic) spin fluctuations.^{[38](#page-7-0)} Using the hyperfine-shift tensor determined in Sec. [III A,](#page-1-0) the susceptibility χ_s at 100 K reported by Salameh *et al.*^{[15](#page-6-0)} (χ _s = 4.9 × 10⁻⁴ emu/mol f.u.), and the chemical-shift tensors determined by Kawai *et al.*, [35,36](#page-7-0) we estimated the principal values of the 13 C-hyperfine-coupling tensor as $(-1.1, -0.9, 5.6)$ (in kOe/ μ B). Then, $\beta(\zeta, \eta)$ is evaluated as $\beta(\zeta,\eta) \approx 0.97$ with $(\zeta,\eta) = (139^\circ,85^\circ)$ under the current field configuration $(H \parallel c)$.

With the use of Knight shift *K* [Fig. [5\(b\)\]](#page-4-0) and $1/T_1T$ [Fig. [5\(c\)\]](#page-4-0), and the value of $\beta(\zeta,\eta)$ determined above, the temperature dependence of the Korringa ratio K is obtained as shown in Fig. 6. There is no strong temperature dependence in K with $K = 2.4 \pm 0.2$ up to ~200 K, which tends to increase into a value of $K \sim 3.0$ around room temperature. The value greater than unity points to the presence of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations but is appreciably smaller than the typical values in strongly correlated electron systems realized in similar organic conductors; for instance, the 2D metal with strong electron correlations, *κ*-(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu[N(CN)₂]Br and κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu(NCS)₂,^{[39–41](#page-7-0)} locating in the vicinity of Mott transition,² show the Korringa ratio of $K \sim 8$ at ambient pressure. The present value of K hence indicates that electrons in θ -I₃ are moderately correlated. This is consistent with the experiments of quantum oscillations^{[10–15](#page-6-0)} giving an effective mass which is approximately half that of the κ -type salts.^{[42](#page-7-0)}

The overall results show no signature of charge ordering unlike most of θ -type compounds. Most importantly, however, it should be noted that the steep increase in $1/T_1T$ above \sim 200 K [Fig. [5\(c\)\]](#page-4-0) is a feature not seen in other metallic salts 43 and implies an enhancement of spin scatterings. The feature that the enhancement is less prominent in K (Fig. 6) suggests that the spin scatterings are distributing over a wide range in *k*-space and are irrelevant to antiferromag-netic instabilities. Recently, Cano-Cortes and co-workers^{[44](#page-7-0)} theoretically investigated the extended Hubbard model with a modeled *θ*-type structure. Among the consequences is the possible quantum phase transition of charge order with respect to the strength of electron correlations. $44,45$ In the metallic side in the phase diagram, the system is predicted to exhibit a crossover from a Fermi liquid to an incoherent bad metal with quantum critical charge fluctuations at a finite temperature *T* [∗], which depends on the distance from the quantum critical point

FIG. 6. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the 13C-NMR Korringa ratio, $K \propto 1/(T_1 T K^2)$, under *H* \parallel *c*. The dashed line stands for the free electron's case ($K = 1$; see the text).

in the phase diagram. In the incoherent state above T^* , the spin lifetime is expected to be shortened without preferential scattering vector. The turnabout behavior in $1/T_1T$ around 200 K can be a signature of this crossover. Assuming that the largest transfer integral, t_a , is $t_a \sim 80$ meV in θ -I₃ as deduced from the analysis of optical data,¹⁶ $T^* \sim 200$ K corresponds to a reduced temperature of $T^*/t_a \sim 0.2$, which is in a reasonable range in the prediction. 44 A related feature is seen in the optical conductivity measurements by Takenaka *et al.*[46](#page-7-0) who observed a rapid loss of electronic coherence with increasing temperature. These experimental and theoretical results suggest that θ -I₃ is situated not so far away from the charge-ordering point. In case that spin fluctuations develop toward an antiferromagnetic ordering, the Korringa ratio K should be temperature sensitive, reflecting the preferential development of antiferromagnetic fluctuations with a welldefined wave vector Q relative to the $Q = 0$ (ferromagnetic) fluctuation. If the charge sector is also fluctuating, however, spin fluctuations should be spread in *Q* and may be only moderately reflected in K .

IV. CONCLUSION

We performed ¹³C-NMR measurements for a single crystal of θ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ at ambient pressure to probe microscopically the nature of the metallic state, which is a rare case in the *θ*-type family of compounds. The orientation dependence of the NMR spectra revealed that the lattice symmetry can be practically understood by the orthorhombic symmetry with a homogeneous molecular arrangement. The NMR shift and relaxation rate $1/T_1$ measurements showed the Korringa relation up to room temperature with the weakly temperature-dependent Korringa ratio \mathcal{K} (=2 – 3), which indicates that θ -(BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ is in a moderately correlated regime. However, the enhancement of relaxation rate, observed above ∼200 K, is a possible signature of the quantum critical charge fluctuations as suggested by a recent theoretical study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank H. Kobayashi for informing us of the structural data before publication and for helpful and kind discussions. This work was supported by MEXT Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research on Innovative Area (New Frontier of Materials Science Opened by Molecular Degrees of Freedom; Grants No. 20110002 and No. 21110519), JSPS Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (A) (Grant No. 20244055) and (C) (Grant No. 20540346), and MEXT Global COE Program at University of Tokyo (Global Center of Excellence for the Physical Sciences Frontier; Grant No. G04).

- 1T. Ishiguro, K. Yamaji, and G. Saito, *Organic Superconductors* (Springer, Berlin, 1997); A. G. Lebed, *The Physics of Organic Superconductors and Conductors* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- 2K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, and A. Kawamoto, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr0306541) **104**, [5635 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr0306541) K. Kanoda and R. Kato, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140521) Phys. **2**[, 167 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140521)
- 3Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, M. Maesato, and G. Saito, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 107001 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.107001)
- 4H. Mori, S. Tanaka, and T. Mori, Phys. Rev. B **57**[, 12023 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.12023)
- 5K. Miyagawa, A. Kawamoto, and K. Kanoda, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R7679) **62**, [7679R \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.R7679)
- 6N. Tajima, S. Sugawara, M. Tamura, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.051010) **75**, 051010 (2006).
- 7S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Suzumura, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054705) **75**[, 054705 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054705)
- 8K. Miyagawa, A. Kawamoto, and K. Kanoda, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R8487) **56**, [8487R \(1997\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R8487) T. Kawai and A. Kawamoto, *ibid*. **78**[, 165119 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.165119)
- 9H. Kobayashi, R. Kato, A. Kobayashi, Y. Nishio, K. Kajita, and W. Sasaki, Chem. Lett. **1986**[, 789 \(1986\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1986.789) **1986**[, 833 \(1986\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1986.833)
- A. Kobayashi, R. Kato, H. Kobayashi, S. Moriyama, Y. Nishio, K. Kajita, and W. Sasaki, *ibid*. **1986**[, 2017 \(1986\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1986.2017)
- 10K. Kajita, Y. Nishio, T. Takahashi, W. Sasaki, R. Kato, H. Kobayashi, and A. Kobayashi, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(89)90760-6) **70**, 1181 [\(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(89)90760-6)
- 11S. J. Klepper, G. J. Athas, J. S. Brooks, M. Tokumoto, T. Kinoshita, N. Tamura, and M. Kinoshita, Synth. Met. **70**[, 835 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-6779(94)02670-T)
- 12M. Tokumoto, A. G. Swanson, J. S. Brooks, M. Tamura, H. Tajima, and H. Kuroda, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(90)90597-5) **75**, 439 (1990).
- 13M. Tamura, H. Kuroda, S. Uji, H. Aoki, M. Tokumoto, A. G. Swanson, J. S. Brooks, C. C. Agosta, and S. T. Hannahs, [J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.63.615) Soc. Jpn. **63**[, 615 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.63.615)
- ¹⁴T. Terashima, S. Uji, H. Aoki, M. Tamura, M. Kinoshita, and M. Tokumoto, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(94)90553-3) **91**, 595 (1994).
- 15B. Salameh, A. Nothardt, E. Balthes, W. Schmidt, D. Schweitzer, J. Strempfer, B. Hinrichsen, M. Jansen, and D. K. Maude, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.054509) Rev. B **75**[, 054509 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.054509)
- 16M. Tamura, K. Yakushi, H. Kuroda, A. Kobayashi, R. Kato, and H. Kobayashi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.57.3239) **57**, 3239 (1988).
- 17Y. Oshima, H. Ohta, S. Okubo, K. Koyama, M. Motokawa, M. Tamura, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, [Synth. Met.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(00)00711-6) **120**, 853 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(00)00711-6)
- 18H. H. Wang, B. A. Vogt, U. Geiser, M. A. Beno, K. D. Carlson, S. Kleinjan, N. Thorup, and J. M. Williams, [Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268949008035999) **181**[, 135 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268949008035999)
- 19K. Kajita, Y. Nishio, S. Moriyama, W. Sasaki, R. Kato, H. Kobayashi, and A. Kobayashi, [Solid State Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(87)90625-9) **64**, 1279 [\(1987\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(87)90625-9)
- 20R. Chiba, K. Hiraki, T. Takahashi, H. M. Yamamoto, and T. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**[, 216405 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.216405)
- 21M. Tamura, M. Matsuzaki, N. Tajima, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, Synth. Met. **86**[, 2007 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(97)81000-4)
- 22N. Tajima, A. Tajima, M. Tamura, R. Kato, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, [J. Phys. IV \(France\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jp4:2004114055) **114**, 263 (2004).
- 23K. Miyagawa, M. Hirayama, M. Tamura, and K. Kanoda, [J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.063703) Soc. Jpn. **79**[, 063703 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.063703)
- ²⁴B. Dóra and F. Simon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**[, 197602 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.197602)
- 25S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, and Y. Suzumura, [Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2009-00020-0) **67**, [139 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2009-00020-0)
- 26M. Hirata, K. Ishikawa, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, and M. Tamura (unpublished).
- 27K. Bender, I. Henning, and D. Schweitzer, [Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268948408078687) **108**[, 359 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268948408078687)
- 28T. Mori, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.014703) **79**, 014703 (2010).
- 29K. Asano and C. Hotta, Phys. Rev. B **83**[, 245125 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.245125)
- ³⁰A. Kawamoto, K. Miyagawa, Y. Nakazawa, and K. Kanoda, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.15522)* Rev. B **52**[, 15522 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.15522)
- 31M. Hirata, K. Ishikawa, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, and M. Tamura, Phys. Rev. B **84**[, 125133 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.125133)
- 32H. Kobayashi (private communications).
- ³³The ¹³C. = ¹³C. bond length *r* in the BEDT-TTFs of θ -I₃ is estimated as $r \approx 0.125$ nm from x-ray diffraction experiment (Ref. 32), which is, however, too small to reproduce our dipolar splitting width *d* shown in Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(b)$. This mismatch probably stems from the different mechanisms of x-ray and NMR measurements; x-ray is sensitive to the electron density distribution in a molecule, while NMR reflects the positions of the nuclei. The x-ray results possibly underestimate the bond length, for it typically has the order of 0.131–0.134 nm [see, for example P. Guionneau *et al.*, [Synth Met.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(97)80983-6) **86**[, 1973 \(1997\)\].](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(97)80983-6) In the present study, we estimated the bond length as $r \approx 0.135$ nm from the fittings to the orientation dependence of d [Figs. [3\(a\)](#page-3-0) and [3\(b\)\]](#page-3-0), which falls into the typical range.
- 34R. Wojciechowski, K. Yamamoto, K. Yakushi, M. Inokuchi, and A. Kawamoto, Phys. Rev. B **67**[, 224105 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.224105)
- 35T. Kawai and A. Kawamoto, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.074711) **78**, 074711 (2009).
- 36In Ref. 35, Kawai *et al.* determined the principal components of the chemical-shift tensors in α -I₃ at ambient pressure, in the CO state (60 K), for the four nonequivalent molecules (i.e., molecules *A*, *A* , *B*, and *C*). These chemical-shift tensors are basically independent on molecular arrangements and are reasonably turned over to θ -I₃. However, as the authors of Ref. 35 pointed out, the

principal components are strongly dependent on the amount of charge (hole) on the molecule. In the CO state of α -I₃, the charge valence is roughly estimated as $A : A' : B : C = 0.8 : 0.2 : 0.8 : 0.2$ [Kakiuchi *et al.*,[J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.76.113702) **76**, 113702 (2007)]. On the other hand, the charge is homogeneous with 0.5 valences in θ -I₃ within the present experimental accuracy. Therefore we took an average of chemical-shift tensors of the four independent molecules in α -I₃, which yields (σ^{xx} , σ^{yy} , σ^{zz})_{average} = (116, 175, 55) ppm, and used this averaged shift tensor in the analyses throughout this work.

- 37C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance*, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
- 38T. Moriya, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.18.516) **18**, 516 (1963).
- ³⁹H. Mayaffre, P. Wzietek, C. Lenoir, D. Jerome, and P. Batail, [Eur.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/28/3/009) Phys. Lett. **28**[, 205 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/28/3/009)
- 40S. M. De Soto, C. P. Slichter, A. M. Kini, H. H. Wang, U. Geiser, and J. M. Williams, Phys. Rev. B **52**[, 10364 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.10364)
- 41M. Itaya, Y. Eto, A. Kawamoto, and H. Taniguchi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.227003) **102**[, 227003 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.227003)
- 42J. Caulfield, W. Lubczynski, F. L. Pratt, J. Singleton, D. Y. K. Ko, W. Hayes, M. Kurmoo, and P. Day, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/15/013) **6**, [2911 \(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/6/15/013)
- 43K. Kanoda, [Hyperfine Interact.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1012696314318) **104**, 235 (1997); [Physica C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(97)00266-9) **282**, [299 \(1997\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(97)00266-9) [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.051007) **75**, 051007 (2006).
- 44L. Cano-Cortes, J. Merino, and S. Fratini, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.036405) **105**, [036405 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.036405)
- 45M. Dressel, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/29/293201) **23**, 293201 (2011).
- 46K. Takenaka, M. Tamura, N. Tajima, H. Takagi, J. Nohara, and S. Sugai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**[, 227801 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.227801)