Effects of spin density wave quantization on the electrical transport in epitaxial Cr thin films

E. Osquiguil, E. E. Kaul, L. Tosi, and C. A. Balseiro

Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, 8400 Bariloche, Argentina

(Received 21 November 2011; published 15 March 2012)

We present measurements of the electrical resistivity ρ in epitaxial Cr films of different thicknesses grown on MgO (100) substrates, as a function of temperature T. The $\rho(T)$ curves display hysteretic behavior in a certain temperature range, which is film thickness dependent. The hysteresis are related to the confinement of quantized incommensurate spin density waves (ISDW) in the film thickness. Our important finding is to experimentally show that the temperature T_{mid} where the ISDW changes from N to N + 1 nodes *decreases* as the film thickness *increases*. Identifying T_{mid} with a first-order transition between ISDW states with N and N + 1 nodes, and using a Landau approach to the free energy of the ISDW together with Monte Carlo simulations, we show that the system at high temperatures explores all available modes for the ISDW, freezing out in one particular mode at a transition temperature that indeed decreases with film thickness L. The detailed dependence of $T_{\text{mid}}(L)$ seems to depend rather strongly on the boundary conditions at the Cr film interfaces.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.104410

PACS number(s): 75.30.Fv, 73.50.-h, 75.70.Ak

I. INTRODUCTION

Besides the superconducting state, itinerant antiferromagnetism is another macroscopic manifestation of a collective quantum state of the charged electrons in a metal.¹ Bulk Cr is the paradigmatic and unique simple metal showing this electronic ground state. In single crystalline samples, an incommensurate spin density wave (ISDW) forms below the Néel temperature $T_N = 311$ K, characterized by wave vectors $\mathbf{Q}_{\pm} = (2\pi/a_{\rm Cr})(1\pm\delta)$ along the $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions.² Here $a_{\rm Cr} =$ 2.88 Å is the lattice parameter of the Cr bcc unit cell, and $\delta = a_{\rm Cr} / \Lambda_{\rm ISDW}$ is the deviation from commensurability, with Λ_{ISDW} the wavelength of the ISDW. This deviation is temperature dependent,³ leading to a variation of Λ_{ISDW} from 60 Å at 10 K to 78 Å at 310 K. Above the spin-flip temperature $T_{\rm sf} = 123$ K, the spin waves are transverse with the spin S perpendicular to Q. Contrary to what happens in single crystals in which all three crystallographic directions are equivalent, in thin Cr films⁴ the wave vector \mathbf{Q} orients perpendicular to the film surface as its thickness decreases,^{5,6} and the ISDW's are transverse for all temperatures⁷ below T_N . This confinement of the ISDW leads to the quantization of the wave vector \mathbf{Q}_N , with N an integer, giving rise to very interesting hysteretic phenomena.

Fullerton *et al.*⁸ measured neutron scattering and electrical transport in Cr/Cr_{97.5}Mn_{2.5} (001) superlattices, where the CrMn layers, being antiferromagnetic with a higher T_N , exert some stringent boundary conditions on the Cr surfaces. They found that temperature cycling produced irreversibility in the derivative of the resistivity and in the neutron scattering scans. These features were attributed to a change in an odd number of nodes in the ISDW inside the Cr layers which is compatible with the magnetic coupling at the interfaces with the CrMn layers.

Recently, Kummamuru *et al.*⁹ reported on transport results in epitaxially grown Cr (100) thin films of different thicknesses. Here the boundary conditions are different from those in the superlattices of Fullerton *et al.* However, they found thermal hysteretic behavior of the Hall coefficient and resistivity for thicknesses below 510 Å. They interpreted

these results in terms of ISDW domains with N nodes (at high temperatures) or N + 1 nodes (at low temperatures) in the Cr film. For thicker films (3500 Å) this mechanism disappears, and only an irreversibility in the $\rho(T)$ curves was seen in a wider temperature range, and explained in terms of domain-wall scattering of electrons.

If hysteretic phenomena are indeed related to a first-order phase transition taking place between domains of ISDW's with N and N + 1 nodes inside the Cr layers, it is natural to ask how the transition temperature depends on the Cr thickness. Since for thicker films the number of available modes for the ISDW increases in the whole temperature range where $\Lambda_{ISDW}(T)$ changes, one would naively expect to find the hysteretic behavior at higher temperatures in the thicker films. In this article we address these issues both experimentally and theoretically, and demonstrate that exactly the opposite behavior is found, i.e., the hysteretic region and the transition temperature move toward lower temperatures as the film thickness is increased. We therefore believe that this work is important for the understanding of the behavior of ISDWs in confined geometries.

II. EXPERIMENT

Cr thin films were grown epitaxially on MgO (100) substrates using dc magnetron sputtering in a similar way as that reported by Kummamuru *et al.*⁹ The films, with thicknesses between 270 Å and 1100 Å, were characterized by x-ray diffraction showing rocking curves that have an angular dispersion at FWHM around the [002] peak of 0.5° , indicating a very good degree of epitaxy. Results from AFM scans show a mean surface roughness of about 20 Å, which is the third part of Λ_{ISDW} at low temperatures. All films were patterned using photolithography and chemical etching in a convenient configuration to perform four terminal measurements of the resistance. It was measured as a function of *T* between 15 and 340 K in a commercial cryocooler. The error in the resistance measurement was 1/10000, almost independent of *T*.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Resistivity as a function of temperature for a cooling-warming cycle for the 270 Å film. The inset shows the difference $(\rho_c - \rho_w)/\rho_w$, expressed as a percentage.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Fig. 1 we show the resistivity of the 270 Å film as a function of temperature in the temperature region where the hysteretic behavior appears in $\rho(T)$ while making a cooling-warming cycle. The inset shows the difference between ρ_{cooling} and ρ_{warming} ($\rho_c - \rho_w$) normalized by ρ_w and expressed as a percentage. Clearly, in a rather small temperature window, the resistivity while cooling down is higher than the values of $\rho(T)$ when warming up the film by an amount that reaches 0.5% at its maximum. This value is of the same order of magnitude as that reported by Kummamuru *et al.*⁹

We observed that the derivative of the resistivity curves has an intrinsic noise in the temperature region where the hysteresis is seen, which is not related to the measurement method. We will address this issue in a forthcoming article.¹⁰ For the purpose of the physics we want to analyze here, it is enough to work with the smoothed curves of $\partial \rho / \partial T$ as a function of T as shown in Fig. 2, corresponding to the data displayed in Fig. 1. Clearly, the hysteretic behavior seen in $\rho(T)$ is reflected also in the derivatives of both the cooling and warming cycles. The inset shows the difference between them. We define the temperature at which the derivatives are equal within the hysteretic zone (marked with an arrow) as $T_{\rm mid}$ (=235 K for 270 Å). This coincides with the temperature at the maximum of $(\rho_c - \rho_w)/\rho_w$. The temperatures where the difference between the derivatives has its maximum and minimum values, below and above $T_{\rm mid}$, are marked in the insets of Figs. 1 and 2 using dotted vertical lines. We call these temperatures T_L and T_H (212 K and 254 K respectively, for 270 Å) and define $\Delta T = T_H - T_L$. As we will show below, the ΔT region where the hysteresis occurs is film thickness dependent.

To appreciate the mentioned behavior, we plot in Fig. 3 T_{mid} as a function of film thickness *L*. Note that the bars are not error bars but represent the irreversibility region width ΔT as defined above. It can be observed that there exists a systematic shift of the hysteretic region toward lower temperatures as the film thickness is increased. In fact, T_{mid} goes down and tends to saturate as the film thickness becomes larger than 750 Å. For comparison, we include the data from Kummamuru *et al.*⁹ (squares). As can be seen, their data are in very good agreement with our results. This would be expected because both set of



FIG. 2. (Color online) Derivatives of the $\rho(T)$ curves shown in Fig. 1. The inset shows the difference of $\partial \rho / \partial T$ between the cooling and warming cycles.

films have been grown following the same procedure and over the same type of substrate. In the data of Fullerton *et al.*⁸ a similar decreasing trend for T_{mid} may be observed, but a much steeper decrease of T_{mid} with thickness seems to be present, at least for the samples reported (63 Å and 200 Å). Since it is well known that the magnetism at Cr surfaces strongly depends on conditions at its surface or interface,⁴ this different behavior might be related to the role played by the CrMn layers in their Cr/CrMn superlattices.¹¹

Other important features are worthy of remarking. In the inset of Fig. 3 we plot the difference of the derivatives as a function of *T* for films of increasing thickness. For the sake of clarity, the vertical zero of each curve has been shifted by an arbitrary offset. The important point here is not the absolute value of $\partial \rho / \partial T$, but the temperature T_{mid} at which $\partial \rho_c / \partial T$ (cooling) equals $\partial \rho_w / \partial T$ (warming). Note that ΔT increases, while the amplitude of the difference between $\partial \rho_c / \partial T$ and $\partial \rho_w / \partial T$, measured at T_L and T_H , decreases. These last two



FIG. 3. (Color online) Transition temperature as a function of film thickness. Red squares correspond to data in Ref. 9. The vertical bars are not error bars but the width of the hysteretic region (see text). The dashed lines are guides to the eye. Inset: Difference between the derivatives of $\rho(T)$ curves for cooling and warming cycles of films with increasing thicknesses. For clarity, the vertical zero of each curve (horizontal lines) has been arbitrarily shifted. The arrows indicate the temperature $T_{\rm mid}$ (see text).

observations give a clear clue as to why the irreversible behavior in $\rho(T)$ is not likely to be seen in thicker samples.

If we identify T_{mid} as the temperature at which a first-order transition takes place between a state with an ISDW with N nodes to a new state with N + 1 nodes when the temperature is reduced, then our data clearly show that the transition temperature *decreases* as the film thickness *is increased*, tending to saturation for films thicker than 750 Å. In order to explain this behavior of $T_{\text{mid}}(L)$, let us look more in detail at the quantization of the ISDW in thin films, which is important to understand the hysteresis of the resistivity in cooling-warming cycles.

As a product of different internal interactions, δ depends on temperature.³ It varies quite linearly from $\delta = 0.0379$ at T = 300 K, to $\delta = 0.044$ at T = 200 K. As a direct consequence, Λ_{ISDW} changes from 76 Å to 65.5 Å in the same temperature range. At much lower temperatures it saturates to a constant value $\delta_c = 0.048$ giving $\Lambda_{\text{ISDW}} \approx 60$ Å.

Since the magnetic instability in the electron system depends on the combination $\cos(\mathbf{Q}_+ \cdot \mathbf{r}) + \cos(\mathbf{Q}_- \cdot \mathbf{r})$, it is clear that the resulting beating has a fast variation modulated by a long wavelength $(Q_+ - Q_-)/2 = \frac{2\pi}{a/\delta}$. Thus, the ISDW in Cr has a wavelength

$$\Lambda_{\rm ISDW} = \frac{2.88}{\delta} \, \text{Å.} \tag{1}$$

When the ISDW is confined in Cr films with nonmagnetic interfaces, as is the case in our Cr films, we expect a configuration with antinodes (or nodes)¹² at the films' surfaces. For this case, the available modes are separated by half a wavelength. Given a film with thickness L, the allowed values for Λ_N are therefore 2L/N, where N is either the number of nodes inside the film (antinodes at the surfaces), or antinodes in the film (nodes at the surface).

In the window of wavelength values found in bulk chromium given by $\delta(T)$ (60 Å to 78 Å), there are only a few modes available for each film thickness. Their number increases as *L* increases. This is shown in Fig. 4 where we plot the allowed modes for each film thickness. The lines correspond to $\Lambda(T)$ as calculated from Eq. (1), using a linear approximation for $\delta(T)$ between 200 K and 300 K, obtained from the data in Ref. 3. This approximation seems reasonable because in the thickness range in which our samples lay (270–1100 Å) we do not see appreciable variations of the Néel temperature, indicating that there is no strong dependence of δ with thickness.^{13,14}

As can be seen in Fig. 4, in the film⁹ of 175 Å, the transition occurs between N = 4 and N = 5 at the temperature where the line corresponds to $\Lambda_5 \approx \Lambda_{\text{ISDW}}(T)$. Correspondingly, for the 270 Å film the transition between N = 7 and N = 8 lies at a lower temperature. From this, it seems that the transition temperature would decrease with L. This reasoning, however, cannot be extended to the other film thicknesses based on the information displayed in Fig. 4 alone, because more modes than one become available for the ISDW, and there is no way to choose *a priori* at which one the transition temperature depends on L, in Sec. IV we propose a phenomenological model which takes into account this variation of the number of



FIG. 4. (Color online) Available ISDW modes for different film thicknesses in the temperature region of interest. The temperature scale is the same for all panels.

permitted modes when L increases, and we also incorporate some dynamics.

IV. MODEL

A. The Free Energy of the ISDW

When the temperature is decreased below T_N , the wavelength of the ISDW in the Cr film would try to follow its bulk behavior.³ However, as discussed in the previous section: The ISDW is confined in a film of a given thickness, the variation in temperature of Λ_{ISDW} is quantized, and the number of nodes varies discontinuously from N to N + 1, in a process that involves a first-order phase transition. Neutron diffraction⁸ as well as x-ray experiments⁹ confirm this physical picture in Cr/CrMn multilayers and in Cr thin films, respectively.

Let us consider a one-dimensional model of a transverse ISDW with $\mathbf{Q} = q\hat{z}$, where \hat{z} is the direction perpendicular to the film's surface. In this case the order parameter takes the form $\Psi = \Psi_0 e^{iqz}$. We can then write an expression for the free energy (per unit length) depending on Ψ_0 and q,

$$F[\Psi_0, q] = F_0 + a \left(T - T_N^{\text{bulk}} \right) |\Psi|^2 + b |\Psi|^4 + c |(\nabla - iq_0)\Psi|^2 + U_{\text{surface}},$$
(2)

where F_0 is the part of the free energy which is independent of the ISDW, $T_N^{\text{bulk}} = 311$ K, and q_0 is the wave vector that the ISDW would have in the bulk material. Following McMillan¹⁵ we include the term $|(\nabla - iq_0)\Psi|^2$ which gives a contribution proportional to $(q - q_0)^2 \Psi_0^2$. This takes into account the fact that there exists an energy cost in order to deform the ISDW with respect to its bulk form. The key point is that q_0 varies in temperature, and these variations should be followed by the ISDW in order to keep the energy at a minimum.

There is, nevertheless, a competition between the energy paid to deform the ISDW respect to the bulk, and the energy cost for not satisfying the boundary conditions imposed by the surface. As already mentioned, the most natural way to choose these boundary conditions for Cr films that are not in contact with magnetic materials is to have nodes or antinodes at the surfaces. For both cases $\Lambda_N = 2L/N$, i.e., $q = \pi N/L = k_N$. Considering this, the surface contribution to the free energy is given by a term proportional to $(q - k_N)^2 \Psi_0^2$. The way



FIG. 5. Cuts of the two-dimensional free energy $F[\Psi_0, q(T)]$ at different temperatures and for a fixed value of Ψ_0 . (a) $T/T_N = 0.96$, (b) $T/T_N = 0.74$, (c) $T/T_N = 0.48$. Available modes correspond to a film of 760 Å.

to account for the different possible available modes $\{k_N\}$ is simply by selecting the one that gives the lowest contribution to the free energy at a given temperature (see Fig. 4). Then, we explicitly have for the free energy,

$$F[\Psi_0,q] = F_0 + a \left(T - T_N^{\text{bulk}}\right) \Psi_0^2 + b \Psi_0^4 + c(q - q_0)^2 \Psi_0^2 + U \min_{\{k_N\}} \left[(q - k_N)^2 \Psi_0^2 \right].$$
(3)

If the nodes (or antinodes) are pinned at the surface (enough large U), then the free energy displays a series of minima corresponding to parabolic potentials sitting close to each k_N . This is shown in Fig. 5 for a fixed amplitude of the order parameter Ψ_0 and adequate numeric parameters. Note the change in the potential landscape with temperature. As T is decreased the barriers between modes increase, and the free energy changes in such a way as to give preference to the modes with higher q's, i.e., shorter wavelengths and more nodes (antinodes) in the ISDW. In this simple way we can understand how the ISDW's wavelength is jumping from N to N + 1 nodes and so on as the temperature decreases. Given the fact that each of these jumps involves a first-order transition, hysteresis is expected in cooling-warming cycles.



FIG. 6. (Color online) Average q as a function of temperature for different film thicknesses. The fluctuations in q at high temperatures freeze to a fixed number of nodes at a temperature T_{mid} which depends on the film thickness (arrows).



FIG. 7. Freezing temperature from Fig. 6 as a function of film thickness. The dashed line is a guide to the eye.

B. Monte-Carlo Simulation Results

One of the most important results of this work is the description of how the temperature of the maximum hysteresis, T_{mid} , moves to lower values as the thickness of the Cr films is increased. From the scheme shown in Fig. 4 it can be inferred that when many ISDW modes are available (larger *L*'s), a large barrier should be needed in order to freeze the state of the system with the largest number of nodes, otherwise the system would wander between all available modes. Since the ISDW's amplitude Ψ_0 increases with decreasing temperature as well as the barriers do, lower temperatures are needed to freeze the system in a high k_N mode.

To better quantify this, we introduce some dynamics by means of a Monte-Carlo simulation of the system. Here we have a two-dimensional phase space (q, Ψ_0) and an energy given by Eq. (3). The resulting average value of q after several runs is shown in Fig. 6 for different film thickness as the temperature is decreased. At high temperatures, the system explores all possible k_N 's for each L, but as the temperature is lowered, the ISDW chooses only one k_N and stays there no matter how low the temperature goes. This behavior defines a freezing temperature that we identify with T_{mid} .

The values obtained from the results in Fig. 6 for the freezing temperature corresponding to each film thickness are plotted in Fig. 7. Clearly, the freezing temperature follows the same trend as the experimental data for $T_{mid}(L)$, i.e., it goes down as the film thickness is increased. The saturation seen in the transition temperature in our data for *L*'s larger than 750 Å naturally occurs in our Monte-Carlo simulations if we put, mimicking the experimental results,³ a constant $\delta(T)$ at low temperatures. With this simple phenomenological model we do not pretend to get a quantitative agreement with our experimental data, but it is important to understand the physics behind the observed hysteretic phenomena.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the hysteretic behavior that appears in $\rho(T)$ in epitaxial Cr thin films is a consequence of the quantization of a transverse incommensurate spin density wave in the direction perpendicular to the film surfaces, with a first-order phase transition occurring between domains with N and N + 1 nodes (or antinodes). The number N at which the transition manifests is film thickness dependent, being EFFECTS OF SPIN DENSITY WAVE QUANTIZATION ON ...

larger for thicker films. An analysis of the one-dimensional ISDW free energy, estimated using McMillan's approach for CDW's, together with some dynamics introduced via Monte-Carlo simulations, show that the potential barriers between allowed modes increases as the system is cooled down. As a consequence of this, and for each L, at high temperatures the system explores all available modes but remains frozen in a high k_N state at low enough temperatures. Therefore, this simple phenomenological model explains in a natural way the experimental results which show that the temperature at which the transition between N and

- ¹A. W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. **128**, 1437 (1962).
- ²E. Fawcett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 209 (1988).
- ³S. A. Werner, A. Arrott, and H. Kendrick, Phys. Rev. **155**, 528 (1967).
- ⁴H. Zabel, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **11**, 9303 (1999).
- ⁵P. Sonntag, P. Böedeker, A. Schreyer, H. Zabel, K. Hamacher, and H. Kaiser, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **183**, 5 (1998).
- ⁶P. Bodeker, A. Hucht, A. Schreyer, J. Borchers, F. Guthoff, and H. Zabel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 914 (1998).
- ⁷E. E. Fullerton, S. D. Bader, and J. L. Robertson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1382 (1996).
- ⁸E. E. Fullerton, J. L. Robertson, A. R. E. Prinsloo, H. L. Alberts, and S. D. Bader, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 237201 (2003).

N + 1 modes takes place decreases as the film thickness is increased.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank H. Pastoriza and K. Ogando for help with the patterning of the samples. E.O., E.K., and C.A.B. are members of CONICET. L.T. has a scholarship from CONICET. This work was partially supported by PIP No. 11220080101821 CONICET, PICT R1776 ANPCyT, and PIP No. 1122008010111001 CONICET.

- ⁹R. K. Kummamuru and Yeong-Ah Soh, Nature (London) **452**, 859 (2008).
- ¹⁰C. A. Balseiro, L. Tosi, E. Osquiguil, and E. E. Kaul (unpublished).
 ¹¹R. S. Fishman and Z.-P. Shi, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 13849 (1999).
- ¹²K. Mibu, M. Takeda, J. Suzuki, A. Nakanishi, T. Kobayashi, Y. Endoh, and T. Shinjo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 287202 (2002).
- ¹³R. S. Fishman, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **13**, R235 (2001).
- ¹⁴Eli Rotenberg, B. K. Freelon, H. Koh, Andreas Bostwick, K. Rossnagel, A. Schmid, and S. D. Kevan, New J. Phys 7, 114 (2005).
- ¹⁵W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 1187 (1975).