Spin-spin correlation function of the two-dimensional XY model with weak site or bond dilution

Oleksandr Kapikranian*

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 79011 Lviv, Ukraine (Received 16 November 2011; published 5 March 2012)

The spin-spin correlation function of the two-dimensional (2D) *XY* model decays as a power law at all temperatures below the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition point with a temperature-dependent exponent $\eta = \eta(T/J)$ (*J* is the ferromagnetic coupling strength). It is known from computer experiments that in the 2D *XY* model with site or bond dilution this exponent depends on the concentration *p* of removed sites or bonds as well. Knowing the slope $\partial \eta / \partial p$ at point p = 0, one can predict the value of the exponent for small dilution concentrations: $\eta(p) \simeq \eta(0) + p(\partial \eta / \partial p)|_{p=0}$. As shown in this paper, the spin-wave Hamiltonian allows one to obtain exact results for this slope: $(\partial \eta / \partial p)|_{p=0} = T/(2J) + O((T/J)^2)$ and $T/(\pi J) + O((T/J)^2)$ for site and for bond dilution, respectively.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.094405

PACS number(s): 05.50.+q, 75.10.Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

An effect produced by the introduction of structural randomness is perhaps one of the first aspects one would be willing to investigate once the properties of the model of interest have been successfully studied on regular structures. While computer experiment data keep accumulating for diverse models with structural disorder, this problem is often a real challenge to the theory.

We consider the two-dimensional (2D) XY model (sometimes referred to as the planar rotator model), whose Hamiltonian is traditionally written as

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{r}} - \theta_{\mathbf{r}'}), \qquad (1)$$

with the sum spanning the pairs of nearest neighbors in a square lattice of N sites, J > 0 being the coupling strength, and the polar angle θ_r representing the only degree of freedom which can be attributed to a spin of unit length rotating in a plane.

The 2D *XY* model is remarkable for its critical properties, as this particular combination of lattice dimensionality and spin symmetry leads to the existence of a finite range of temperatures in which the system exhibits critical-like behavior [Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) phase];^{1,2} most notably, the spin-spin correlation function decays as a power law with a temperature-dependent exponent $\eta = \eta(T/J)$ below the BKT transition point T_{BKT} .

In the low-temperature limit, where the spin-wave approximation (SWA) is applicable, i.e., the cosine in the Hamiltonian (1) can be replaced by a quadratic expression without significantly affecting the system properties, one arrives easily at a power-law form of the spin-spin correlation function, $R^{-\eta}$, with an exponent linearly dependent on temperature:^{3,4}

$$\eta_{\rm SWA} = T/2\pi J. \tag{2}$$

It is known, however, that as the temperature increases, the real exponent increases nonlinearly with temperature, so that it assumes the exact value of 1/4 at T_{BKT} .⁵

Given the two both theoretically and experimentally (computer experiment is meant here) acknowledged facts that the value of the exponent η at the BKT transition point cannot be changed by structural dilution (see, for example, Ref. 6), whereas the value of the BKT transition temperature is reduced by dilution and depends on its concentration,^{6–8} one can already make a conclusion that the value of η below the BKT point should depend not only on temperature but on dilution concentration as well. It is also clear that η should increase with dilution concentration for $T < T_{\text{BKT}}$. It can be interpreted as the increase of effective temperature (decrease of effective interaction) due to dilution.

A number of works have touched this question, mostly using computer simulations. For site dilution, when some fraction of sites is excluded from Hamiltonian (1), see Refs. 8–11; and for bond dilution, when some fraction of bonds is removed from (1), see Ref. 6.

The present study logically continues the theoretical part of Ref. 10, making a significant advance¹² and covering both the site and bond dilution cases. The focus is on the behavior of the spin-spin correlation function, and the searched quantity is the dilution concentration p dependent exponent η of the correlation function power-law decay. It is natural to assume that the exponent $\eta = \eta(T/J, p)$ is an analytic function with respect to p, away from the percolation threshold. Below, p will denote the fraction of removed bonds or sites, depending on what dilution type is considered. Thus, η can be presented as a power series

$$\eta(p) \simeq \eta(0) + p(\partial \eta/\partial p)|_{p=0} + \cdots .$$
(3)

For small dilution concentrations p, it is enough to know the slope $(\partial \eta / \partial p)|_{p=0}$ to estimate the value of exponent η with good precision. So, in our derivation we drop terms that lead to higher order terms in p in Eq. (3).

Being more simple and transparent from the technical point of view case, bond dilution is considered first in Sec. II, where the spin-spin correlation function is calculated up to the contributions linear in dilution concentration p and temperature T/J. The analogous but more technically involved derivation for the correlation function of a system with site dilution can be found in Sec. III. The final results for the exponent of the spin-spin correlation function of the systems with site and bond dilution are given, respectively, by Eqs. (39) and (27) (see Fig. 2).

II. 2D XY MODEL WITH BOND DILUTION

In this section the case of bond dilution in the 2D XY model is considered. First, in Sec. II A, the bond diluted spin-wave Hamiltonian and the procedure of configurational averaging are defined. Then, in Sec. II B, the spin-spin correlation function is calculated up to the contributions linear in dilution concentration p and temperature.

A. Bond diluted Hamiltonian and configurational averaging

Hamiltonian (1) in the SWA and with bond dilution can be written as

$$H_{\text{b.d.}} = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\alpha = x, y} \left(\theta_{\mathbf{r}} - \theta_{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}} \right)^2 (1 - p_{\mathbf{r}, \alpha}), \qquad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_x = (a,0)$, $\mathbf{u}_y = (0,a)$ (*a* is the lattice spacing), and $p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} = 1$ if bond $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}_\alpha)$ is removed and 0 otherwise (see Fig. 1). Then, any thermodynamic quantity characterizing the system will depend on the particular choice of configuration $\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\}$ of the discrete variables.

One is willing to consider here what is often referred to as quenched dilution, i.e., when there is a fixed fraction pof removed bonds distributed randomly in the system and frozen at their position.¹³ Meaningful physical quantities can be obtained averaging them over the configurations with a fixed fraction of removed bonds p. For a large system one might as well allow *all* configurations, ascribing them a probabilistic weight

$$P(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\}) = \prod_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} [(1-p)(1-p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha})+pp_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}]$$
$$= (1-p)^{\sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}(1-p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha})} p^{\sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}}, \tag{5}$$

meaning that a bond is removed with probability p, which will lead to the fact that only realizations with fraction $\sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}/(2N) \simeq p$ (2N is the number of bonds in the initial lattice) of removed bonds will make essential contribution to the averaged quantities, when $N \to \infty$. It immediately follows that

$$\overline{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}^{i}} = p, \quad \overline{p_{\mathbf{r}_{i},\alpha_{1}}\cdots p_{\mathbf{r}_{i},\alpha_{i}}} = p^{i}$$
(6)

[all pairs $(\mathbf{r}_1, \alpha_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{r}_i, \alpha_i)$ are different], where $\overline{(\ldots)}$ means averaging with respect to disorder configurations,

$$\overline{(\ldots)} = \left(\prod_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} \sum_{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}=0,1}\right) P(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\})\ldots,$$

hereafter referred to as configurational averaging.

It is convenient to rewrite Hamiltonian (4) in the Fouriertransformed variables $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \theta_{\mathbf{r}}$ as

$$H_{\text{b.d.}} = H_0 + H(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\}), \quad H(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} H_\alpha(\mathbf{r}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$H_0 = -J \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{-\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (8)$$

with

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\left(\sin^2\frac{k_x a}{2} + \sin^2\frac{k_x a}{2}\right),\tag{9}$$

is the Hamiltonian of the undiluted system, and

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{J}{2N} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} (1 - e^{-ik_{\alpha}a}) \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \right]^2.$$
(10)

The sums over \mathbf{k} in Eqs. (8) and (10) span the first Brillouin zone.

The thermodynamic average of some physical quantity *A* can be written as

$$\langle A \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{\theta} A e^{-\beta H_{\mathrm{b.d.}}} / \mathrm{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-\beta H_{\mathrm{b.d.}}}.$$
(11)

Since $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a complex variable (for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$), i.e., $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} + i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}$, Tr_{θ} above means

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\theta} = \int d\theta_0 \prod_{\mathbf{k} \in B/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^s , \qquad (12)$$

where B/2 stands for a half of the first Brillouin zone excluding $\mathbf{k} = 0$ ($\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^c$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$ in the other half are not independent due to the relations $\theta_{-\mathbf{k}}^c = \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^c$ and $\theta_{-\mathbf{k}}^s = -\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$). Note that it is possible to extend the bounds of integration in Eq. (12) to infinity, since the functions that stand after the trace are always rapidly decaying at $\beta J \rightarrow \infty$.

The configurationally averaged value of $\langle A \rangle$ can be obtained using the Taylor series representations of the exponential and $(1 + x)^{-1}$ functions with respect to powers of $H(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\})$. The equalities in Eq. (6) easily lead to

$$\overline{H^{i}(\{p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}\})} = p \sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} H^{i}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) + p^{2} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{r}',\alpha'} \right]' \frac{i!}{2} \sum_{i'=1}^{i-1} \frac{H^{i-i'}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) H^{i'}_{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r}')}{(i-i')!i'!} + \cdots + p^{n} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{r}_{1},\alpha_{1}} \cdots \sum_{\mathbf{r}_{n},\alpha_{n}} \right]' \frac{i!}{n!} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{i-1} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i-1} \cdots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{i_{n-2}-1} \frac{H^{i-i_{1}}_{\alpha_{1}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) H^{i_{1}-i_{2}}_{\alpha_{2}}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \cdots H^{i_{n-2}-i_{n-1}}_{\alpha_{n-1}}(\mathbf{r}_{n-1}) H^{i_{n-1}}_{\alpha_{n}}(\mathbf{r}_{n})}{(i-i_{1})!(i_{1}-i_{2})! \cdots (i_{n-2}-i_{n-1})!i_{n-1}!} + \cdots,$$
(13)

where [...]' means that the terms having any coinciding pairs of indexes, $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_j$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$, are excluded from the sums enclosed in brackets. This result will be applied in the next section to calculate the spin-spin correlation function.

B. Spin-spin correlation function of the bond diluted 2D XY model

The spin-spin correlation function of the XY model described by Hamiltonian H can be written as

$$G(\mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{Re}\langle e^{i(\theta_{\mathbf{R}} - \theta_0)} \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-\beta H + i\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}}{\operatorname{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-\beta H}}, \quad (14)$$

with

$$\eta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}) = (e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} - 1)/\sqrt{N}.$$
(15)

For the undiluted system, Eq. (8), one can write, since $\theta_{-\mathbf{k}}^c = \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^c$ and $\theta_{-\mathbf{k}}^s = -\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^s$, using the notations of Eq. (12),

$$G_{0}(\mathbf{R}) = \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-2\beta J \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in B/2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} [(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c})^{2} + (\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s})^{2}]} e^{2i \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in B/2} (\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c} - \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s})} / \operatorname{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-2\beta J \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in B/2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} [(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c})^{2} + (\theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s})^{2}]},$$
(16)

where $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c}$ and $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}$ denote the real and imaginary parts of $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})$. It is straightforward to obtain from the Gaussian integration

$$G_0(\mathbf{R}) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4\beta J} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})\eta_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\right], \quad (17)$$

here and below sums over **k** span the entire first Brillouin zone except the point $\mathbf{k} = 0$.

To obtain the asymptotic behavior of Eq. (17) at $R \to \infty$, one should use the fact that $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{-\mathbf{k}} = \frac{4}{N}\sin^2\frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}}{2}$ oscillates very fast compared to $1/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$ and, thus, can be replaced by its average value 2/N everywhere expect the region close to the singularity point $\mathbf{k} = 0$. In this region, replacing in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ the sum with an integral and taking the leading terms of the Taylor expansion of $\sin^2\frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}}{2}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$, one gets an integrable expression. One arrives at (see, for example, Refs. 4 and 10 for details)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0} \eta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})\eta_{-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R})/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \underset{R\to\infty}{\to} \frac{2}{\pi}\ln\frac{R}{a} + \text{const.}$$
(18)

It is easy to see that this asymptotic expression leads to a power-law decay of the spin-spin correlation function, $R^{-\eta}$, with an exponent given by Eq. (2).

For a system with bond dilution the spin-spin correlation function is given by Eq. (14) with $H = H_{b.d.}$, Eqs. (7)–(10). Applying the scheme of configurational averaging described in Sec. II A to the correlation function, one is able to collect the resulting series into the following expression:

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 + p \sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} \left(\langle e^{i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-\beta H_\alpha(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_0 \right. \\ \left. \times \left. G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{R}) \langle e^{-\beta H_\alpha(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_0^{-1} - 1 \right) + O(p^2) \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where the terms of higher order in p are dropped and $\langle ... \rangle_0$ denotes thermodynamic averaging with Hamiltonian (8) of the undiluted system:

$$\langle \ldots \rangle_0 = \mathrm{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-\beta H_0} \ldots / \mathrm{Tr}_{\theta} e^{-\beta H_0}.$$
(20)

Now, using the Taylor series representation of an exponential and the results of Appendix A [Eqs. (A5) and (A4)], one obtains for $H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$ given by Eq. (10)

$$\langle e^{-\beta H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_{0} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} = \sqrt{2}.$$
 (21)

[Here and below, $(2m)!! \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{m} 2i, (2m-1)!! \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{m} (2i-1), m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $0!! \equiv 1$.]

In a similar way, using Eqs. (A3) and (A4), and the notation

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} (1 - e^{-ik_{\alpha}a}) \eta_{-\mathbf{k}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (22)$$

one arrives at

$$\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}e^{-\beta H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}\rangle_{0} = G_{0}(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{(2\beta J)^{n-l}} \times \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2l)!!(2n-2l)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} I_{\alpha}^{2(n-l)}(\mathbf{r}) \right\}.$$

$$(23)$$

The unity and the term with l = n in Eq. (23) give $\sqrt{2}$ [see Eq. (21)]. Changing the index $n \rightarrow i = n - l$ and rearranging the terms of the infinite series, one has

$$\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}e^{-\beta H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})}\rangle_{0} = G_{0}(\mathbf{R})\left\{\sqrt{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{i}}{(4\beta J)^{i}}\frac{I_{\alpha}^{2i}(\mathbf{r})}{(2i)!}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\right.$$
$$\left. \times \frac{(2(l+i)-1)!!}{(2l)!!2^{l}}\right\} = G_{0}(\mathbf{R})\sqrt{2}e^{-\frac{I_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{r})}{4\beta J}}.$$
(24)

The Taylor series representation of $(1 - x)^{-n/2}$,

$$(1-x)^{-n/2} = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2l-2+n)!!}{(2l)!!} \frac{n}{n!!} x^l, \qquad (25)$$

with x = 1/2 and n = 1 and 2i + 1 was used in Eqs. (21) and (24), respectively.

Now, having Eqs. (21) and (24), one can write the spin-spin correlation function in the low-temperature limit as

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 - \frac{p}{4\beta J} \sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} I_\alpha^2(\mathbf{r}) \right\}$$
$$\simeq G_0(\mathbf{R}) e^{-\frac{p}{4\beta J} \sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} I_\alpha^2(\mathbf{r})}.$$
(26)

Noticing that $\sum_{\mathbf{r},\alpha} I_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{-\mathbf{k}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$, from Eq. (18) immediately follows a power-law decay of the correlation function, $R^{-\eta}$, with a dilution concentration dependent exponent

$$\eta_{\text{b.d.}}(p) = \eta(0) + p \frac{T}{\pi J} + O(p^2) + O((T/J)^2)$$

\$\approx \eta(0) (1 + 2p),\$ (27)

where $\eta(0)$ is the exponent of the pure system, Eq. (2).

III. 2D XY MODEL WITH SITE DILUTION

In this section the case of site dilution in the 2D XY model is considered. In Sec. III A, the site diluted spin-wave Hamiltonian is defined; then, in Sec. III B, the spin-spin

correlation function is calculated up to the contributions linear in dilution concentration p and temperature.

A. Hamiltonian of the 2D XY model with spin vacancies

The spin-wave Hamiltonian of a system with site dilution differs from that of bond dilution, Eq. (4), in the way that the *four* bonds adjacent to each spinless site must be removed, so the occupation number

$$p_{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if there is no spin on site } \mathbf{r}, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(28)

has to be introduced; then,

$$H_{\text{s.d.}} = H_0 + H(\{p_r\}), \quad H(\{p_r\}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p_r H_1(\mathbf{r}), \quad (29)$$

where H_0 is the Hamiltonian of the pure model, Eq. (8), and

$$H_1(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} (\theta_{\mathbf{r}} - \theta_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u}})^2, \qquad (30)$$

with $\mathbf{u} = (\pm a, 0), (0, \pm a)$, which in the Fourier variables reads as

$$H_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{J}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')\mathbf{r}} g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}'}, \qquad (31)$$

with $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'} [\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \text{ was defined in Eq. (9)}].$

One can notice that expression (29) is not precise when there are neighboring spin vacancies; in this case, the common bond between the vacant sites is subtracted from the "pure" Hamiltonian twice, so it is, in fact, brought back with an opposite sign. The precise form of $H(\{p_r\})$ would be

$$H(\{p_{\mathbf{r}}\}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p_{\mathbf{r}} H_1(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \rangle} p_{\mathbf{r}} p_{\mathbf{r}'} H_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'), \qquad (32)$$

where $H_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{J}{2}(\theta_{\mathbf{r}} - \theta_{\mathbf{r}'})^2$. However, it is not only that the second term in Eq. (32) gives contributions of order of p^2 and higher, after configurational averaging, but it can be *always* dropped when considering the spin-spin correlation function, since any nonphysical extra bonds corresponding to neighboring spinless sites in Eq. (29) are isolated from the rest of the system.

B. Spin-spin correlation function of the site diluted 2D XY model

Now, everything said in Sec. II A about the bond dilution and configurational averaging can be applied to site dilution as well, with the only difference being that here occupation numbers $p_{\mathbf{r}}$ are defined for each site \mathbf{r} , and $p = \overline{p_{\mathbf{r}}} \simeq \sum_{\mathbf{r}} p_{\mathbf{r}}/N$ is now the fraction (concentration) of removed *sites*.

Then, dropping the higher order terms with respect to dilution concentration p, the configurationally averaged correlation function can be written as

(

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 + p \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\langle e^{i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-\beta H_1(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_0 \right. \\ \left. \times G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{R}) \langle e^{-\beta H_1(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_0^{-1} - 1 \right) + O(p^2) \right\}$$
(33)

with η_k given by Eq. (15).

The thermodynamic averages in Eq. (33) can be calculated using the Taylor series expansion: $e^{-\beta H_1(\mathbf{r})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [-\beta H_1(\mathbf{r})]^n/n!$. Then, the problem reduces to the calculation of the quantity $\langle e^{i \sum_k \eta_k \theta_k} H_1^n(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_0$ with η_k given by Eq. (15) and $\eta_k = 0$, which is presented in Appendix B. Looking at the results in Eqs. (B6) and (B8), it is easy to see that

$$\langle e^{-\beta H_1(\mathbf{r})} \rangle_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left((-1)^i \frac{I_i}{2i} \right)^l = \exp \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \frac{I_i}{2i},$$

and similarly

$$\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}e^{-\beta H_{1}(\mathbf{r})}\rangle_{0} = G_{0}(\mathbf{R})\exp\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\frac{I_{i}}{2i}$$
$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4\beta J}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j}I_{j}^{*}\right].$$

Explicit expressions for the quantities I_i and I_i^* are given in Eqs. (C1)–(C3).

Finally, from Eq. (33),

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 + p \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{4\beta J} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j I_j^*} - 1 \right) \right\}.$$
 (34)

Using the result of Appendix C, Eq. (C5), with η_k given by Eq. (15), one has

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 - 2p + p \sum_{\mathbf{r} \neq 0, \mathbf{R}} \left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{8\beta J} F_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})} \right) \times e^{-\frac{\pi}{8\beta J(\pi-2)} F_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})} - 1 \right\}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$F_{i}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = [S_{i}(x - X, y - Y) - S_{i}(x, y)]^{2} + [S_{i}(y - Y, x - X) - S_{i}(y, x)]^{2}$$
(36)

(i = 1,2) with the functions S_1 , S_2 defined in Eqs. (D1) and (D2).

Now, one can expand the exponential function, retaining only the term linear in $1/\beta J$:

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \left\{ 1 - 2p - p \sum_{\mathbf{r} \neq 0, \mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{\pi}{8\beta J} F_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + \frac{\pi}{8\beta J(\pi - 2)} F_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) \right) + O((\beta J)^{-2}) \right\}.$$
 (37)

Then, using the asymptotic forms (D4) and (D5), and replacing the sum with an integral, one can show that when $R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2} \rightarrow \infty$, the leading term comes from the integral which in polar coordinates reads as

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \int_{\mathbf{r}\neq 0,\mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{r} F_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R})$$

= $\frac{R^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{r}\neq 0,\mathbf{R}} \frac{r \, dr \, d\varphi}{r^2(r^2 + R^2 - 2rR\cos\varphi)} + \cdots,$



FIG. 1. The occupation number $p_{\mathbf{r},\alpha}$ ($\alpha = x, y$) takes value 1 if bond ($\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u}_{\alpha}$) is removed and 0 otherwise.

where the integral spans the entire system excluding areas close to $\mathbf{r} = 0$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R}$. This integration can be realized as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbf{r}\neq 0,\mathbf{R}} dr \, d\varphi \rightarrow \int_{a}^{R-a} dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi + \int_{R+a}^{a\sqrt{N}} dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi + \int_{R-a}^{R+a} dr \int_{a/R}^{2\pi-a/R} d\varphi.$$

There is no difficulty in finding the integrals above, so, finally, one arrives at

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} = G_0(\mathbf{R}) \bigg\{ 1 - 2p - p \frac{\pi}{2\pi\beta J} \ln(R/a) \bigg\},\,$$

which can be written for small concentrations p and low temperatures $1/(\beta J)$ as

$$\overline{G(\mathbf{R})} \simeq (1 - 2p) \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{-\eta_{\text{s.d.}}},\tag{38}$$

with

$$\eta_{\text{s.d.}}(p) = \eta(0) + p \frac{T}{2J} + O(p^2) + O((T/J)^2)$$

\$\approx \eta(0)(1 + \pi p), (39)\$

where $\eta(0)$ is the exponent of the pure system given by Eq. (2). The factor (1 - 2p) in Eq. (38), which appeared naturally from the expansion, is the probability to have both sites that stand in the pair correlation function occupied with spins: $(1 - p)^2 \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} 1 - 2p$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The spin-spin correlation function of the 2D *XY* model decays as a power law at all temperatures below the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition point with a temperaturedependent exponent $\eta = \eta(T/J)$. In the 2D *XY* model with site or bond dilution this exponent depends on concentration *p* of removed sites or bonds as well. The knowledge of the slope $\partial \eta/\partial p$ at point p = 0 allows one to predict the value of the exponent for small dilution concentrations: $\eta(p) \simeq$ $\eta(0) + p(\partial \eta/\partial p)|_{p=0}$. The analytical derivation, performed here in the low-temperature limit, led to $(\partial \eta/\partial p)|_{p=0} = \pi \eta(0)$ and $2\eta(0)$ for site and bond dilution, respectively, where $\eta(0) = T/2\pi J$ is the well-known result for the model without dilution. These results are illustrated in Fig. 2.

The positive sign of $(\partial \eta / \partial p)|_{p=0}$ was well expected, since, as it was mentioned in the Introduction, dilution can be



FIG. 2. Analytical (lines) and Monte Carlo (squares, site dilution only) results for the ratios $\eta_{s.d.}(p)/\eta(0)$ and $\eta_{b.d.}(p)/\eta(0)$ (*p* is the concentration of missing spins and bonds, respectively). Concerning the analytical results, one is referred to Eqs. (39) and (27). The Monte Carlo data are borrowed from Ref. 10 and come from simulations with the Wolff cluster algorithm at T/J = 0.08.

interpreted as the increase of effective temperature. One might be tempted to equate the left sides of Eqs. (27) and (39) to the universal value of $\eta(T_{BKT}) = 1/4$ and identify the *T* in the right side as the corresponding critical temperatures for site and bond dilution. Unfortunately, such an estimate of $T_{BKT}(p)$ as a function of *p* would not be quantitatively reasonable, since Eqs. (27) and (39) were obtained in the spin-wave approximation and do not hold for *T* close to $T_{BKT}(p)$.

It is worth noting that in order to compare the results for site and bond dilutions it may be more instructive to express the concentration of spinless sites, p = (numberof empty sites)/(number of all sites), through the actual $concentration of missing bonds, <math>p' = [(four bonds) \times (number$ of empty sites)]/(number of all bonds). (The latter relationholds, of course, only under the assumption of low dilutionconcentration, when the probability to have neighboringspinless sites is negligible.) Finally, noting that the totalnumber of bonds in the system is two times the numberof all sites, we have <math>p = p'/2. Then one shall compare the exponent

$$\eta_{\text{s.d.}}(p') = \eta(0)[1 + (\pi/2)p'] \tag{40}$$

and Eq. (27) for p' = p, which means that we look at the systems with the same number of missing bonds (although in the case of site dilution all missing bonds are connected in unbreakable groups of four). One can notice that $\eta_{b.d.} > \eta_{s.d.}$ for the same concentration of missing bonds, which is well expected, since the disordering effect must be stronger for a completely random distribution of removed bonds in comparison to the site dilution case when removed bonds are distributed randomly then.

It also should be mentioned that, in principle, taking higher order terms in dilution concentration p in Eq. (13), one would expect to arrive at the end at the correlation function with exponent $\eta(p)$ represented by a series in powers of p divergent at the percolation threshold value $p = p_{perc}$ for the square lattice [which is exactly 1/2 for bond dilution and $\simeq 0.41$ for site dilution (see, for example, Ref. 14)]. It is interesting in that it might give an exact value for the site percolation threshold which is not known yet. However, it also might not be possible to carry out this calculation in an exact way, due to very high complexity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Yurij Holovatch and Bertrand Berche, without whose guidance I would not have started this problem in the first place, for useful discussions and corrections to the manuscript. I also acknowledge the support of the FP7 EU IRSES project N269139 'Dynamics and Cooperative Phenomena in Complex Physical and Biological Media' and a grant from the President of Ukraine program for young scientists.

APPENDIX A: EXPRESSION FOR $\langle \theta_{k_1} \dots \theta_{k_{2n}} e^{i \sum_k \eta_k \theta_k} \rangle_0$

Looking at Eq. (16), it is easy to see that

$$\langle \theta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \dots \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}} e^{i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \rangle_0 = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{2n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}_1}} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}}} G_0(\mathbf{R}) , \quad (A1)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}} , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-\mathbf{k}}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{c}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}} . \quad (A2)$$

Here and below, $\langle \dots \rangle_0$ stands for the thermodynamic averaging with the Hamiltonian of the undiluted system, see Eq. (20).

Noting that $\frac{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \eta_{\mathbf{k}'}} = 2\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ ($\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ is the Kronecker delta) and establishing some simple recursive relations when taking sequential derivatives from Eq. (17), one relatively easily arrives at

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \cdots \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}} e^{i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \\ \\ = G_{0}(\mathbf{R}) \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{(2\beta J)^{2n-l}} \sum_{\operatorname{comb}(2n,l)} \prod_{u=1}^{l} \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{u}}, -\mathbf{k}_{j_{u}}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{i_{u}}}} \\ \\ \\ \times \prod_{w=1}^{2n-2l} \frac{\eta_{-\mathbf{k}_{p_{w}}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{p_{w}}}}, \end{cases}$$
(A3)

where the sum $\sum_{\text{comb}(2n,l)}$ spans all distinguishable combinations of *l* pairs $(\mathbf{k}_{i_1}, \mathbf{k}_{j_1}), (\mathbf{k}_{i_2}, \mathbf{k}_{j_2}), \dots, (\mathbf{k}_{i_l}, \mathbf{k}_{j_l})$ [combinations which can be obtained from each other by permutations of the pairs are not distinguished] which can be formed using $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{2n}$. It is instructive to point out that

$$\sum_{\text{comb.}(2n,l)} 1 = \frac{(2n)!}{(2!)^l (2n-2l)!l!}.$$
 (A4)

Note, that when $\eta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, (A3) gives

$$\left\langle \theta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \cdots \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}} \right\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{(2\beta J)^n} \sum_{\operatorname{comb}(2n,n)} \prod_{u=1}^n \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}_{iu}, -\mathbf{k}_{ju}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{iu}}}.$$
 (A5)

APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF $\langle e^{i \sum_{k} \eta_{k} \theta_{k}} H_{1}^{n}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{0}$

To calculate the quantity

$$\left\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}H_{1}^{n}(\mathbf{r})\right\rangle_{0} = (J/N)^{n}\sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{k}_{2n}}e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_{1}+\dots+\mathbf{k}_{2n})\mathbf{r}} \\ \times g_{\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2}}\cdots g_{\mathbf{k}_{2n-1},\mathbf{k}_{2n}}\left\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\cdots\theta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}}\right\rangle_{0},$$
(B1)

 $[g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ was defined after Eq. (31)] one needs the result of the previous appendix for $\langle \theta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \cdots \theta_{\mathbf{k}_{2n}} e^{i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \rangle_0$, Eq. (A3). Each Kronecker delta from Eq. (A3) deletes one summation index \mathbf{k}' from the sum in Eq. (B1) and "connects" two \mathbf{k} 's belonging either to one g:

$$N^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}=N^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}}/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}},$$

or to two different g's:

$$N^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}g_{*,\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}',*}\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}=N^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}g_{*,\mathbf{k}}g_{-\mathbf{k},*}/\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

The former will be symbolically represented as g' and the latter as g - g. In the same vein, $g \times \eta$ will denote $N^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} g_{*,\mathbf{k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$. Note also, that $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = g_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}}$.

Using Eq. (A3) and the symbolic notations introduced above, one can write Eq. (B1) as a sum of terms which are products of nonfactorizable "blocks" $\overline{\backslash g}$, $\overline{\backslash g - g}$, ..., $(\eta \times g \times \eta)$, $(\eta \times g - g \times \eta)$, ..., etc.:

$$\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}H_{1}^{n}(\mathbf{r})\rangle_{0}$$

$$= G_{0}(\mathbf{R})\sum_{l=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{(2\beta J)^{2n-l}}\sum_{\operatorname{comb}(2n,l)}\left(\overline{\neg g}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}$$

$$\times \left(\overline{\neg g - g}\right)^{\lambda_{2}}\cdots\left(\overline{\neg g - \cdots - g}\right)^{\lambda_{l}}$$

$$\times (\eta \times g \times \eta)^{\lambda_{1}^{*}}\cdots(\eta \times \underbrace{g - \cdots - g}_{n} \times \eta)^{\lambda_{n}^{*}}.$$
(B2)

To each term of the combinatorial sum $\sum_{\text{comb}(2n,l)}$, defined after Eq. (A3), corresponds a certain set of integer numbers $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, \lambda_1^*, \ldots, \lambda_n^*\}$, $\lambda_i, \lambda_i^* = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ However, there are many terms corresponding to the same set $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, \lambda_1^*, \ldots, \lambda_n^*\}$. Determining the number of terms (combinations of "connections") in Eq. (B2) which correspond to any particular set of λ 's, one can use the λ 's as summation indexes. Using shorter notations

$$I_{i} = \underbrace{\underbrace{g - g - \dots - g}_{i}}_{i}, \quad I_{j}^{*} = \eta \times \underbrace{g - g - \dots - g}_{j} \times \eta$$
(B3)

[for explicit expressions for I_i , I_i^* the reader is referred to Eqs. (C1)–(C3)], one arrives at

$$\langle e^{i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\eta_{\mathbf{k}}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}}H_{1}^{n}(\mathbf{r})\rangle_{0}$$

$$= G_{0}(\mathbf{R})(2\beta)^{-n}\sum_{l=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{(2\beta J)^{n-l}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{\lambda_{i}=0}^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\lambda_{j}^{*}=0}^{\infty}\right]$$

$$\times \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}i\lambda_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(j-1)\lambda_{j}^{*}-l\right)\delta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}^{*}-(n-l)\right)$$

$$\times \Lambda_{\lambda_{1},\dots,\lambda_{l}}^{\lambda_{1}^{*},\dots,\lambda_{n}^{*}}I_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}\cdots I_{l}^{\lambda_{l}}I_{1}^{*\lambda_{1}^{*}}\cdots I_{n}^{*\lambda_{n}^{*}}, \qquad (B4)$$

where $\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x=0 \\ 0, x\neq 0 \end{cases}$, and $\Lambda_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}^{\lambda_1^*,\dots,\lambda_n^*}$ is the combinatorial factor given by the number of combinations of connections in the sum in Eq. (B2) corresponding to the set $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l,\lambda_1^*,\dots,\lambda_n^*\}$. The upper possible values of λ 's are finite, of course, for finite n, but are not important (and so can be put equal to ∞ for simplicity), since the first Kronecker delta in Eq. (B4) ensures that altogether one has l connections between g's and the second Kronecker delta ensures that one has (n - l) pairs of η 's; any realizations $\{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l,\lambda_1^*,\dots,\lambda_n^*\}$ that do not fulfill this condition do not contribute to the sum.

this condition do not contribute to the sum. Factor $\Lambda_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n}^{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n}$ can be found from a simple combinatorial analysis: it is given by the number of ways of dividing *n* elements *g* into λ_1 and λ_1^* blocks of *one g*, λ_2 and λ_2^* blocks of *two g*'s, and so on, which is given by (blocks with the same number of *g*'s are not distinguished)

$$n!/[\lambda_{1}!\lambda_{2}!\cdots\lambda_{l}!\lambda_{1}^{*}!\lambda_{2}^{*}!\cdots\lambda_{n}^{*}! \times (1!)^{\lambda_{1}}(2!)^{\lambda_{2}}\cdots(l!)^{\lambda_{l}}(1!)^{\lambda_{1}^{*}}(2!)^{\lambda_{2}^{*}}\cdots(n!)^{\lambda_{n}^{*}}],$$

times the number of ways of connecting g's inside every block. Consider a block of $g_{\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}'_1}$, $g_{\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}'_2}$, ..., $g_{\mathbf{k}_i,\mathbf{k}'_i}$ and count in how many ways one can interconnect all g's in it: $g - g - \cdots - g'$. The answer will be $2^{i-1}(i-1)!$, which

is the number of permutations *i*! divided by 2i, since (a) it is a cyclic structure (so only one *i*th part of all permutations give distinct combinations of interconnections, others are their repetitions) and (b) the combination of connections is not changed by inversion of the g's' order (hence only one-half of the permutations must be counted), and multiplied by 2^i , since every g has two k's by which it can connect. The same reasoning leads to $2^{j-1}j!$ possible combinations of connections inside a block $\eta \times \underbrace{g - g - \ldots - g}_{j} \times \eta$, since it

is not cyclic. Eventually,

$$\Lambda_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}^{\lambda_1^*,\dots,\lambda_n^*} = n! \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{[2^{i-1}(i-1)!]^{\lambda_i}}{\lambda_i!(i!)^{\lambda_i}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{[2^{j-1}j!]^{\lambda_j^*}}{\lambda_j^*!(j!)^{\lambda_j^*}} \,. \tag{B5}$$

Therefore, one has

$$= G_0(\mathbf{R}) \frac{n!}{\beta^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{(2\beta J)^{n-l}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda_i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_i!} \left(\frac{I_i}{2i}\right)^{\lambda_i}$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\lambda_{j}^{*}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{*}!} \left(\frac{I_{i}^{*}}{2}\right)^{\lambda_{i}^{*}} \delta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}^{*} - (n-l)\right) \delta$$
$$\times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} i\lambda_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (j-1)\lambda_{j}^{*} - l\right).$$
(B6)

When $\eta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$,

$$\left\langle H_1^n(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle_0 = (2\beta)^{-n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda_i=0}^\infty \right) \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\lambda_i - n \right), \\ \times \Lambda^{0,\dots,0}_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n} I_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots I_n^{\lambda_n},$$
 (B7)

and hence

$$\left\langle H_1^n(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle_0 = \frac{n!}{\beta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\lambda_i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_i!} \left(\frac{I_i}{2i} \right)^{\lambda_i} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\lambda_i - n \right). \quad (B8)$$

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF I_I AND I_I^*

The sums I_i and I_i^* , introduced in Appendix B, Eq. (B3), can be written as

$$I_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{I}_{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}) / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (C1)$$

and

$$I_i^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \tilde{I}_{i-1}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \frac{\eta_{-\mathbf{k}} \eta_{-\mathbf{k}'}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{r}^*}$$
(C2)

 $(i \ge 1)$ with

$$\tilde{I}_{i}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{N^{i}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_{1},\dots,\mathbf{k}_{i}} \frac{g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}_{1}}g_{\mathbf{k}_{1},-\mathbf{k}_{2}}\cdots g_{\mathbf{k}_{i-1},-\mathbf{k}_{i}}g_{\mathbf{k}_{i},\mathbf{k}'}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\cdots\gamma_{\mathbf{k}_{i}}}$$
(C3)

for $i \ge 1$ and $\tilde{I}_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}$. One can notice the obvious recursive relation

$$\tilde{I}_{i+1}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^*} \tilde{I}_i(\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}^*) g_{\mathbf{k}^*,\mathbf{k}'} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}^*} .$$
(C4)

In the thermodynamic limit, one can replace the sum $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ over the first Brillouin zone with the integral $\frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} dk_x \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} dk_y$, and then, noticing that

$$\frac{a^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_x \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_y \frac{\sin^4 \frac{k_x a}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{k_y a}{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

and

$$\frac{a^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_x \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_y \frac{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2} \cos^2 \frac{k_x a}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{k_y a}{2}}$$
$$= \frac{a^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_x \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_y \frac{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2} \sin^2 \frac{k_y a}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{k_y a}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}'} g_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}''} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}''} - \frac{1}{\pi} (g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}''} + g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}''}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}''}, \end{split}$$
$$\\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} g_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}''} / \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}''} - \frac{1}{\pi} (g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}''} + g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}''}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}''}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}=-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}'}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{I}_i(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = A_i g_{\mathbf{k},(-1)^i \mathbf{k}'} + B_i(g_{\mathbf{k},-\mathbf{k}'} + g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}) + C_i \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'},$$

with coefficients A_i , B_i , and C_i obeying the recursive relations

$$A_{i+1} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right) A_i,$$
$$B_{i+1} = -\frac{1}{\pi} A_i + \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\right) B_i,$$
$$C_{i+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right) (A_i + 2B_i) - C_i,$$

and $A_0 = 1$, $B_0 = 0$, $C_0 = 0$. Thus,

$$A_{i} = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{i},$$

$$B_{i} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{j} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{i-1-j}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{i} - \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{i} \right],$$

$$C_{i} = (-1)^{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{j} \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[(-1)^{i-1} + \left(1 - \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{i} \right].$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 85, 094405 (2012)

Finally, one can obtain expressions for I_i and I_i^* and find that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} I_{i}^{*}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \left(\left[\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{4(\pi - 2)} \right] g_{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'} - \left[\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4(\pi - 2)} \right] g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \right) \\ \times \frac{\eta_{-\mathbf{k}} \eta_{-\mathbf{k}'}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{r}}, \text{ if } \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \eta_{-\mathbf{k}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{r}} = 0; \\ \infty, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(C5)

APPENDIX D: FUNCTIONS $S_1(A, B)$ AND $S_2(A, B)$

In this Appendix one finds the asymptotic form for the functions

$$S_{1}(A,B) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\sin \frac{k_{x}a}{2} \cos \frac{k_{x}a}{2}}{\sum_{\alpha = x,y} \sin^{2} \frac{k_{\alpha}a}{2}} \sin Ak_{x} \cos Bk_{y} , \quad (D1)$$

$$S_2(A,B) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2}}{\sum_{\alpha = x, y} \sin^2 \frac{k_x a}{2}} \cos Ak_x \cos Bk_y ,$$
 (D2)

where the sums span the first Brillouin zone. It turns out that simple analytic expressions can be obtained, assuming that at least one of the arguments A, B is large. Using the integral¹⁵

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2|a|} e^{-|a|},$$
 (D3)

one can show that

$$S_{1}(A \to \infty, B) = \frac{a}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/a} dk_{y} e^{-A\frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{k_{y}a}{2}} \cos Bk_{y}$$
$$\times \frac{\sinh\left(2\sin\frac{k_{y}a}{2}\right)}{2\sin\frac{k_{y}a}{2}} \simeq \frac{a}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/a} dk_{y} e^{-Ak_{y}} \cos Bk_{y},$$

and

$$S_1(A, B \to \infty) = \frac{a}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_x e^{-B\frac{2}{a}\sin\frac{k_x a}{2}} \sin Ak_x$$
$$\times \cos\frac{k_x a}{2} \simeq \frac{a}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/a} dk_y e^{-Bk_x} \sin Ak_x.$$

So,

$$S_1(A,B) = \frac{a}{\pi} \frac{A}{A^2 + B^2},$$
 (D4)

when at least one of its arguments A, B is sufficiently large. In a similar way one can show that

$$S_2(A,B) = \frac{a^2}{2\pi} \frac{B^2 - A^2}{(A^2 + B^2)^2},$$
 (D5)

if at least one of its arguments A, B is sufficiently large.

*akap@icmp.lviv.ua

- ¹J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181 (1973). ²V. L. Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 610 (1972).
- ³T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1889 (1965).

⁴F. Wegner, Z. Phys. **206**, 465 (1967).

⁵J. M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C 7, 1046 (1974).

SPIN-SPIN CORRELATION FUNCTION OF THE TWO- ...

- ⁶T. Surungan and Y. Okabe, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 184438 (2005).
- ⁷O. Kapikranian, B. Berche, and Yu. Holovatch, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 5716 (2008).
- ⁸G. M. Wysin, A. R. Pereira, I. A. Marques, S. A. Leonel, and P. Z. Coura, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 094418 (2005).
- ⁹B. Berche, A. I. Farińas-Sánchez, Yu. Holovatch, R. Paredes, Eur. Phys. J. B **36**, 91 (2003).
- ¹⁰O. Kapikranian, B. Berche, and Yu. Holovatch, Eur. Phys. J. B **56**, 93 (2007).
- ¹¹Yun-Zhou Sun, Lin Yi, and Yi-Hua Gao, Solid State Commun. **149**, 1000 (2009).
- ¹²Compared to Ref. 10, where only the first three orders of the expansion in powers of $H(\{p_r\})$ [see Eq. (29)] were taken into account, here, the entire infinite series is considered. It has to be admitted also that the third-order contribution was estimated incorrectly in Ref. 10.
- ¹³R. Brout, Phys. Rev. **115**, 824 (1959).
- ¹⁴M. E. J. Newman and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4104 (2000).
- ¹⁵A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series: Vol. 1. Elementary Functions* (CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1986).