Molecular spin-liquid state in the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ frustrated spinel HgCr₂O₄

K. Tomiyasu,^{1,*} H. Ueda,² M. Matsuda,³ M. Yokoyama,⁴ K. Iwasa,¹ and K. Yamada⁵

¹Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

²Division of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

³Neutron Scattering Science Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

⁴Faculty of Science, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan

⁵WPI AIMR, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

(Received 12 April 2011; revised manuscript received 5 June 2011; published 21 July 2011)

A hexamer-type spin excitation seen in spinel chromates ACr_2O_4 (A = Mg, Zn, or Cd) is the representative spin-liquid-like state caused by geometrical frustration. To clarify an origin of the state, we comparatively studied spin excitations in an isomorphic material $HgCr_2O_4$ by inelastic neutron scattering and observed a different molecular-type excitation. Numerical analyses performed using model Hamiltonians suggest that these two types of spin excitations originate from a spin-3/2 molecular singlet hidden in a magnetically ordered phase. The difference between the molecular types is explained by the difference in the kind of exchange interactions occurring in the chromates.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.84.035115

PACS number(s): 75.30.-m, 75.40.Gb, 75.50.Xx, 78.70.Nx

I. INTRODUCTION

Remarkable developments have been made in the fields of solid-state physics in magnetic systems and strongly correlated electron systems using the concept of geometrical spin frustration. In frustrated systems, not all classical-spin pairs can be arranged antiferromagnetically on a triangle lattice and a tetrahedral lattice.^{1–3} Therefore, frustration suppresses magnetic ordering and promotes spin-liquid-like fluctuations (zero-energy excitations) in a low-temperature paramagnetic phase, e.g., spin molecules, spin ices, and spin vortices.^{4–8} Recently, dynamical spin molecules were found to exist as nondispersive gapped excitation modes within a magnetically ordered phase, where frustration was assumed to be relieved by a lattice distortion.⁹

Formation of a spin molecule is one of the representative effects of geometrical frustration in a system.⁵ A spin molecule refers to a spin cluster that is spatially confined within a geometrical shape, such as an atomic molecule. Intermolecular correlation among spin molecules is negligible in comparison to the intramolecular correlation; this behavior is similar to the quasiparticle approximation in Landau's Fermi liquid theory.⁹ For example, a paramagnetic phase in the spinel ACr_2O_4 (nonmagnetic A = Mg, Zn, Cd) is known to undergo nonfrustrated hexamer-type spin fluctuations so as to avoid frustration [hexa-I in Fig. 2(b)].^{5,9–11} The magnetic ions Cr^{3+} [$(t_{2g})^3$, spin S = 3/2] form a corner-sharing tetrahedral lattice called a pyrochlore lattice; such a lattice has kagome and triangle planes stacked alternately along the [111] direction and is geometrically frustrated.

HgCr₂O₄ is isomorphic to the aforementioned spinel chromates. Its Curie-Weiss temperature Θ_{CW} has been estimated to be -32 K, indicating an antiferromagnetic spin correlation.¹² It undergoes antiferromagnetic long-range ordering with propagation vectors (1/2,0,1) and (1,0,0) below $T_N = 6$ K, which is much lower than Θ_{CW} owing to frustration.^{12,13} A complex magnetic structure of HgCr₂O₄ determined by powder neutron diffraction also suggests that antiferromagnetic first-neighbor exchange interactions govern the spin system, as is the case with the other chromates.¹³ However, the magnitude of $\Theta_{\rm CW}$ and the degree of frustration $|\Theta_{\rm CW}/T_N| \simeq 5$ are both lower than those of the other chromates; for example, the $\Theta_{\rm CW}$ values of Mg, Zn, and Cd chromates are about -370, -390, and -70 K, and their corresponding $|\Theta_{\rm CW}/T_N|$ values are about 30, 31, and 9, respectively.^{12,14} A low $\Theta_{\rm CW}$ indicates a scaling-down of exchange interactions. A low $|\Theta_{\rm CW}/T_N|$, in turn, indicates a suppression of frustration by relatively strong further-neighbor exchange interactions and spin-lattice coupling, which also explain the wide magnetization plateau observed under a high magnetic field from 10 to 27 T.^{13,15–17}

Thus $HgCr_2O_4$ might exhibit spin excitations other than hexa-I. To the best of our knowledge, no inelastic neutron scattering experiments on $HgCr_2O_4$ have yet been performed, probably because of the strong neutron absorption of Hg nuclei.

In this paper, therefore, we performed powder inelastic neutron scattering experiments on HgCr₂O₄ above and below T_N without a magnetic field. This paper reports the discovery of another type of dynamical spin molecule from the experiments. It also discusses the origin of the difference between molecular types and proposes a quantum-mechanical picture for spin molecules by numerical analyses using model Hamiltonians.

II. EXPERIMENTS

A powder sample of HgCr₂O₄ was synthesized by thermal decomposition of Hg₂CrO₄ in an evacuated silica tube.¹² Some preliminary neutron experiments were performed on the triple-axis spectrometer TAS-2 of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), installed in the thermal guide tube of the JRR-3 reactor in JAEA. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments were performed on the triple-axis spectrometer HER (C1-1) at the Institute for Solid State Physics (ISSP), University of Tokyo; this spectrometer is installed in the cold guide tube of the same reactor. The energy of the final neutrons, E_f , was fixed to 3.6 meV with a horizontal collimation sequence of guide-open-radial-open, where the radial collimator has three blank channels. A horizontal focusing analyzer merged the

scattered neutrons in a range of about 7° scattering of angle. A cooled Be filter and a pyrolytic graphite Bragg-reflection filter efficiently eliminated the half- λ contamination. The powder (4.5 g) was filled in a thin aluminum foil, which was then shaped into a hollow cylinder of diameter 25 mm in order to minimize the strong neutron absorption. Then, the sample was enclosed in an aluminum container with ⁴He exchange gas, which was placed under the cold head of a closed-cycle ⁴He refrigerator.

III. RESULTS

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the scattering intensity distributions in momentum Q and energy E space, measured above and below T_N , respectively. Above T_N , quasielastic scattering with two peaks at $Q \simeq 0.9$ and 1.5 Å⁻¹ is observed, as shown in Fig. 1(a). In contrast, below T_N , this double-Q scattering becomes gapped resonance-like excitations around 1.5 meV, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The central values of resonance energies at the two Q's seem to be slightly different.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Measured inelastic neutron scattering data for powder HgCr₂O₄. (a),(b) Scattering intensity distributions in (Q, E) space above and below T_N , respectively. The vertical tone indicates the scattering intensity in arbitrary units. (c) Q dependence of E-integrated intensity. The integration ranges are given in each panel. The solid lines are a guide to the eye. (d) E dependence of intensity integrated from Q = 0.5 to 2.0 Å⁻¹ measured at several temperatures. In the data for 10, 7, and 5 K, the vertical origins are shifted to the height shown by horizontal solid lines on the right side, and the solid curves are a guide to the eye. The solid curve for the 3 K data is obtained by a multi-Gaussian fitting, indicated by the dotted and broken lines. The errors are smaller than the symbols used.

The *Q* dependence of *E*-integrated intensity with higher statistics is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1(c). A homothetic double-*Q* curve is observed at the two temperatures. The peak around 1.5 Å⁻¹ is attributable to hexa-I, since the *Q* value coincides with that observed for MgCr₂O₄ and ZnCr₂O₄.^{9,18} The emergence of the peak at around 0.9 Å⁻¹ is characteristic of HgCr₂O₄.

In order to confirm that the resonance-like excitations consist of two modes with different Q peak positions and similar excitation energies, we compared the Q dependences of intensities integrated in two narrow E ranges [see the middle and bottom panels in Fig. 1(c)]. The 1.7 meV data show only the single peak around 0.9 Å⁻¹ (middle), whereas the 1.1 meV data show the double-Q structure with relatively stronger intensity around 1.5 Å⁻¹ (bottom), evidencing the coexistence of the two modes.

Figure 1(d) shows *E* spectra integrated from Q = 0.5 to 2.0 Å⁻¹ and measured at several temperatures around T_N . With decreasing temperature, the shape of the quasielastic spectra observed above T_N changes abruptly to the gapped shape below T_N , similar to a first-order transition. The spectral profile appreciably becomes asymmetric at 3 K. A double-Gaussian fitting with a constant background and an elastic line gives central values of 1.3 and 1.7 meV for the two modes.

To summarize, we discovered two almost degenerated spinexcitation modes below T_N : the first mode is centered around 1.3 meV and 1.5 Å⁻¹ and is most probably based on hexa-I, and the second mode is centered around 1.7 meV and 0.9 Å⁻¹. The modes are quasielastic above T_N .

IV. ANALYSES

In order to determine the dynamical spin structures, we analyzed the Q dependence of quasielastic excitations, though it is difficult without single-crystal data. The cross section of spin molecules is described by

$$S(Q) = C_1 |F(Q)|^2 \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^N S_j \exp(i \, \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j) \right|^2 \right] + \text{B.G.}, \quad (1)$$

where C_1 is a scale factor of the experimental scattering intensity, B.G. is a constant background, F(Q) is the magnetic form factor of Cr^{3+} , for which the Watson-Freeman form factor was used below,¹⁹ *j* denotes the site of Cr^{3+} , *N* is the total number of sites in a molecule, r_j is the position, and S_j takes only ± 1 corresponding to collinear spins that dynamically fluctuate in arbitrary directions.^{5,9} The large square brackets indicate an orientational average with resolution convolution. Using Eq. (1), we determined a kagome-star dodecamer model, which can be regarded as a superposition of the first-neighbor hexamer (hexa-I) and a second-neighbor hexamer (hexa-II), as shown in Fig. 2(b). The molecules are formed on the kagome planes. Figure 2(a) shows the calculated Q dependence of intensity for the dodecamer (solid curve), which is in good agreement with the experimental data.

We also note that the dodecamer model cannot be definitively distinguished from the model of the superposition of individual hexa-I and hexa-II. Figure 2(a) also shows the calculated curves for hexa-I (broken) and hexa-II (dotted),



FIG. 2. (Color online) Dynamical structure modeling of spinexcitation modes in $HgCr_2O_4$. (a) Experimental Q dependence (symbols) and calculated Q dependence (curves). The experimental data are obtained by subtracting a low-Q background component fitted with a Lorentzian from the raw data [top panel in Fig. 1(c)]. The latter curves are obtained from a kagome-star dodecamer model consisting of hexa-I and hexa-II (b), and show the common results calculated independently using Eqs. (1) and (4) (see the text). The broken and dotted curves broaden approximately with reciprocals of the hexa-I and hexa-II spatial sizes around the main peaks at $Q \simeq 1.5$ and 0.9 Å⁻¹, respectively. (b) Kagome-star dodecamer model. The subunits hexa-I and hexa-II are depicted by bold lines. The closed and open circles indicate up and down spins, respectively, fluctuating dynamically in arbitrary directions. Exchange interactions J_1 and J_2 are also defined. (c) Energy schemes of hexa-I and hexa-II obtained by exact diagonalization of Eqs. (2) and (3). The hexa-I part in HgCr₂O₄ is converted into hexa-I in the other chromates by tuning the energy scale.²⁰

whose summation with equal weight gives the same curve as the solid one. Hereafter, we refer to both these models as the dodecamer model without any distinction.

Next, using the dodecamer model, we analyzed the resonance-like excitations observed below T_N by assuming the following effective Hamiltonians:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{hexa-I}} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle - \mathbf{I}} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j, \qquad (2)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{hexa-II}} = J_2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle - \text{II}} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j, \qquad (3)$$

where $S_i = 3/2$, *i* and *j* denote the hexagonal sites 1 to 6, $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle - 1}$ and $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle - 11}$ denote summation over all first-neighbor and second-neighbor *S* pairs (not doubly counted), and J_1 and J_2 denote the first- and second-neighbor exchange interactions that are antiferromagnetic, as expected from the quasielastic excitations [Fig. 2(b)]. We used 4096 (= 4⁶) basis states of $|S_1^z, S_2^z, S_3^z, S_4^z, S_5^z, S_6^z\rangle$, where $S_i^z = \pm 3/2$ and $\pm 1/2$. Recent LSDA+U calculations estimated that J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 are dominant in HgCr₂O₄,²¹ and the hexa-I has not only J_1 but also J_2 and J_3 . However, it is difficult to resolve the three parameters from the present powder data. Therefore, for simplicity, we used the parameter J_1 as effective interactions of them in Eq. (2).

After obtaining molecular ground states $|\lambda_0\rangle$ and *n*th excited states $|\lambda_n\rangle$ with excitation energy E_n by exact diagonalization of Eqs. (2) and (3), the cross section of magnetic inelastic neutron scattering at $E = E_n$ can be calculated by

$$S(Q, E_n) = C_2 |F(Q)|^2 \delta(\hbar \omega - E_n) \Biggl[\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^3 \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{Q_\alpha Q_\beta}{|Q|^2} \right) \\ \times \sum_{j, j'=1}^N \langle \lambda_0 | \hat{S}_j^\alpha | \lambda_n \rangle \langle \lambda_n | \hat{S}_{j'}^\beta | \lambda_0 \rangle \\ \times \exp[i \, \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r}_j - \boldsymbol{r}_{j'})] \Biggr] + \text{B.G.}, \qquad (4)$$

where C_2 is a scale factor of the inelastic scattering intensity, α and β are the components of the Cartesian coordinate, and \hat{S} is a spin operator.^{22,23} The directional term $(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - Q_{\alpha}Q_{\beta}/|Q|^2)$ can be considered as a constant by taking the orientational average over dynamically fluctuating molecules.

Figure 2(c) shows energy schemes obtained from Eqs. (2) and (3) with antiferromagnetic $J_1 = 1.8$ meV and $J_2 =$ 2.4 meV; these are in good agreement with the experimental resonance energies. Interestingly, the two spin-3/2 hexamer systems have a nonmagnetic singlet ground state ($S^{tot} = 0$) and triplet first-excited states ($S^{\text{tot}} = 1$), where $S^{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} S_i$. The states are represented by complex linear combinations of $S^{z} = \pm 3/2$ and $\pm 1/2$. A direct product of the hexa-I and hexa-II singlets generates a dodecamer-singlet ground state, and the two types of hexamer singlet-triplet excitations correspond to its intra-activations. A similar dodecamer singlet unit with six spin-1/2 dimers, called a pinwheel, was recently discovered in a kagome material by single-crystal inelastic neutron scattering.²⁴ The calculated Q dependences of intensity for the two types of hexamer excitations, obtained from Eq. (4), are completely homothetic to those for the quasielastic excitations [broken and dotted curves in Fig. 2(a)], respectively, whose summation is again in good agreement with the experimental data.

For the hexa-I part, we also calculated the intensity distributions in the hk0 and hhl zones from both Eqs. (1) and (4) without powder orientational averaging. The patterns obtained from both these equations are the same, which is consistent with the *single-crystal* data measured below and above T_N in MgCr₂O₄.⁹

V. DISCUSSION

In this way, spin excitations above and below T_N in HgCr₂O₄ were explained by the kagome-star dodecamer model with hexa-I and hexa-II, which is based on the proximity of J_1 and J_2 . Then, the following question arises: what is the reason for this proximity in HgCr₂O₄? In chromates, J_1 involves a direct exchange with a Cr-Cr direct overlap of t_{2g} orbitals, and J_2 involves superexchange with a

Cr-O-A-O-Cr path, with a Cr-O-A bond angle of about 125°. The magnitude of J_1 weakens with increasing Cr-Cr distance, and that of J_2 strengthens with increasing bond angles up to 180°, according to the Goodenough-Kanamori rules. Superexchange also occurs with 90° Cr-O-Cr paths, which can be neglected according to the rules. Meanwhile, as the ionic radius of an A^{2+} cation increases from Mg²⁺ to Hg²⁺, the Cr-Cr distance increases from 2.94 to 3.06 Å and the Cr-O-A angle increases from 122° to 131°.^{25,26} Thus J_1 is expected to weaken and J_2 is expected to strengthen in HgCr₂O₄. This tendency is also supported by recent LSDA+U calculations.²¹

The above analyses revealed that the singlet-triplet picture, which is usually based on the quantum spin-1/2 dimer, can be extended to spin-3/2 hexamers and the dodecamer. Singlet formation does not imply complete disappearance of magnetic moments and can coexist with magnetic order, since the g factor is arbitrary in the analyses. In fact, powder neutron diffraction experiments revealed that ACr_2O_4 (A = Mg, Zn, Hg) exhibits an ordered moment with only 2.2, 2.0, and 1.7 $\mu_{\rm B}$.^{13,27,28} These values are around 1 $\mu_{\rm B}$ lower than the full value of 3 $\mu_{\rm B}$, which is consistent with the partial singlet formation conjecture. Thus, in the sense of the singlet, magnetically ordered phases in ACr₂O₄ could be cited as a new class of quantum spin liquid with classical magnetic long-range order. Then, quasielastic spin excitations in a paramagnetic phase, whose origin has been one of the longstanding issues related to frustration, could be interpreted as its thermally fluctuating spin-liquid state.

We mention spin-wave excitations, which should arise from the magnetic long-range order. The dispersion could not be extracted in our powder data. However, in a magnetically ordered phase in isomorphic ZnCr₂O₄, weak inelastic signals of the dispersion are observed in a low-energy region below the resonance-like excitations.¹⁸ Thus the spin-wave excitations also seem to be hidden below the resonance energy of about 1 meV in HgCr₂O₄. Single-crystal inelastic scattering experiments on $HgCr_2O_4$ will be needed to detect the spin wave and clarify the coexistence of spin liquid and long-range order.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we discovered almost degenerated spinexcitation modes in HgCr₂O₄ by powder inelastic neutron scattering. The Q dependence of intensity demonstrates the coexistence of the normal hexa-I-type excitation and another type of excitation. On the basis of dynamical spin structure analyses, we propose the use of the kagome-star dodecamer model with hexa-I and hexa-II, which is based on the proximity between J_1 and J_2 . Further, by numerically analyzing model Hamiltonians, we proposed a quantum-mechanical picture that is applicable to all chromates: the quantum spin liquid (spin-3/2 hexamer/dodecamer singlet) coexists with magnetic longrange order as ground states below T_N , and the quasielastic excitations correspond to its thermally fluctuating spin-liquid state above T_N . Further experimental and theoretical studies will be needed to prove the partial spin-liquid conjecture.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Asami for providing assistance at the JAEA, M. Onodera for providing assistance at Tohoku University, and Professor T. Masuda and Professor T. J. Sato for fruitful discussions. The neutron experiments at the JAEA were performed under User Programs conducted by ISSP. This study was financially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (22740209), Priority Areas (22014001), Scientific Researches (S) (21224008) and (A) (22244039), and Innovative Areas (20102005) from the MEXT of Japan; it was also supported by the Inter-university Cooperative Research Program of the Institute for Materials Research at Tohoku University.

- ¹L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **57**, 2680 (1935).
- ²G. H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **79**, 357 (1950).
- ³P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **102**, 1008 (1956).
- ⁴R. Ballou, E. Lelievre-Berna, and B. Fåk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2125 (1996).
- ⁵S.-H. Lee, C. Broholm, W. Ratcliff, G. Gasparovic, Q. Huang, T. H. Kim, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature (London) **418**, 856 (2002).
- ⁶S. T. Bramwell and M. J. P. Gingras, Science **294**, 1495 (2001).
- ⁷M. Kanada, Y. Yasui, Y. Kondo, S. Iikubo, M. Ito, H. Harashima, M. Sato, H. Okumura, K. Kakurai, and H. Kadowaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 313 (2002).
- ⁸H. Kawamura, A. Yamamoto, and T. Okubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 023701 (2010).
- ⁹K. Tomiyasu, H. Suzuki, M. Toki, S. Itoh, M. Matsuura, N. Aso, and K. Yamada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 177401 (2008).
- ¹⁰H. Suzuki and Y. Tsunoda, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **68**, 2060 (2007).

- ¹¹J.-H. Chung, M. Matsuda, S.-H. Lee, K. Kakurai, H. Ueda, T. J. Sato, H. Takagi, K.-P. Hong, and S. Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 247204 (2005).
- ¹²H. Ueda, H. Mitamura, T. Goto, and Y. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 094415 (2006).
- ¹³M. Matsuda, H. Ueda, A. Kikkawa, Y. Tanaka, K. Katsumata, Y. Narumi, T. Inami, Y. Ueda, and S.-H. Lee, Nature Phys. **3**, 397 (2007).
- ¹⁴H. Ueda, H. Mitamura, T. Goto, and Y. Ueda, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **159**, 256 (2005).
- ¹⁵K. Penc, N. Shannon, and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 197203 (2004).
- ¹⁶Y. Motome, K. Penc, and N. Shannon, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **300**, 57 (2006).
- ¹⁷Y. Tanaka *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 043708 (2007).
- ¹⁸S.-H. Lee, C. Broholm, T. H. Kim, W. Ratcliff, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3718 (2000).
- ¹⁹R. E. Watson and A. J. Freeman, Acta Crystallogr. 14, 27 (1961).

^{*}tomiyasu@m.tohoku.ac.jp

- ²⁰The J_1 values are estimated to be 6.7 and 6.4 meV for MgCr₂O₄ and ZnCr₂O₄ from the resonance energies 4.7 and 4.5 meV, respectively.^{10,18}
- ²¹A. N. Yaresko, Phys. Rev. B 77, 115106 (2008).
- ²²W. Marshall and S. W. Lovesey, *Theory of Thermal Neutron Scattering* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1971).
- ²³Equation (1) is obtained from Eq. (4) only when the matrix elements $\langle \lambda_n \mid \hat{S}_i^{\alpha} \mid \lambda_0 \rangle$ can be simply reduced for elastic scattering.
- ²⁴K. Matan, T. Ono, Y. Fukumoto, T. J. Sato, J. Yamaura, M. Yano, K. Morita, and H. Tanaka, Nature Phys. 6, 865 (2010).
- ²⁵H. Ehrenberg, M. Knapp, C. Baehtz, and S. Klemme, Powder Diffr. 17, 230 (2002).
- ²⁶M. Weil and B. Stöger, Acta Crystallogr. E **62**, i199 (2006).
- ²⁷H. Shaked, J. M. Hastings, and L. M. Corliss, Phys. Rev. B **1**, 3116 (1970).
- ²⁸S. Ji, S.-H. Lee, C. Broholm, T. Y. Koo, W. Ratcliff, S.-W. Cheong, and P. Zschack, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 037201 (2009).