Correlated topological insulators and the fractional magnetoelectric effect

B. Swingle, M. Barkeshli, J. McGreevy, and T. Senthil

Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

(Received 13 January 2011; published 31 May 2011)

Topological insulators are characterized by the presence of gapless surface modes protected by time-reversal symmetry. In three space dimensions the magnetoelectric response is described in terms of a bulk θ term for the electromagnetic field. Here we construct theoretical examples of such phases that cannot be smoothly connected to any band insulator. Such correlated topological insulators admit the possibility of fractional magnetoelectric response described by fractional θ/π . We show that fractional θ/π is only possible in a gapped time-reversal-invariant system of bosons or fermions if the system also has *deconfined* fractional excitations and associated degenerate ground states on topological insulator of correlated *bosons* with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$. Extensions to electronic fractional topological insulators are briefly described.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.195139

PACS number(s): 73.43.-f, 11.15.-q, 71.27.+a, 75.85.+t

Topological insulators are insulating phases of matter that enjoy gapless surface modes protected by time-reversal invariance.^{1,2} For noninteracting electrons, these states can be characterized in terms of the nontrivial topology of their band structure.^{3–5} Since the original theoretical proposals, experimental evidence supporting the existence of such states has accumulated in a number of materials.^{1,2,6,7} At the current theoretical frontier is the extension of these phenomena to interacting systems, where a characterization in terms of band structure is insufficient.^{8,9} To that end, a useful alternative characterization (for 3D materials) in terms of the response to an external electromagnetic field has been proposed that makes use of the θ term $\frac{\theta}{2\pi} \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$.^{10,11} Noninteracting fermionic topological insulators have $\theta = \pi \mod 2\pi$, while trivial insulators have $\theta = 0 \mod 2\pi$.

In this paper, we report time-reversal-symmetric topological-insulating phases that cannot be smoothly connected to any band insulator. We focus specifically on two questions. First, is there a fractional generalization of the noninteracting topological insulator characterized by fractional $\frac{\theta}{\pi}$ while preserving time-reversal symmetry? This question was raised recently in an interesting paper,¹² although the details of the example suggested in that work are problematic, as we discuss below. Second, can a system of repulsively interacting bosons form a time-reversal-symmetric topological insulator with a nonzero θ ? As bosons cannot form a band insulator, such a phase, if it exists, is necessarily stabilized by interaction effects. A positive answer in either case implies the existence of time-reversal-protected gapless surface states at an interface with a region with $\theta = 0$. Indeed, these gapless degrees of freedom are needed to "cancel" the naive time-reversal noninvariance of a spatially varying θ in the interface region.

In this paper, we will answer both questions. We first show quite generally that a time-reversal-symmetric fractional θ/π topological insulator that is gapped in the bulk necessarily has *deconfined* fractionalized excitations. The presence of such excitations is signaled by the existence of a kind of topological order familiar from previous work on gapped fractionalized phases in two or more dimensions.^{13–16} One consequence is nontrivial ground-state degeneracy on topologically nontrivial spaces. We illustrate this by constructing an example of a

fractional topological insulator of *bosons* that has $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$. This phase has fractionalized charge 1/2 excitations with a bulk gap and stringlike vortex excitations. These excitations are described in terms of a deconfined Z_2 gauge theory in 3 + 1 dimensions. The system also has degenerate ground states on a closed topologically nontrivial space and time-reversal-protected gapless surface states.

Our construction is readily generalized to describe fractional *electronic* topological insulators. Furthermore, the bosonic topological insulator can be simply reinterpreted as a construction of a time-reversal-symmetric topological spin insulator of quantum magnets that conserve one component of the spin. We will briefly mention these generalizations toward the end of the paper.

We begin by considering a time-reversal-invariant insulator of bosons or fermions in 3 + 1 dimensions with a gap for all excitations. We further assume that the low-energy theory contains a nonzero θ term in the response to an external electromagnetic field that couples to the conserved particle number. With these assumptions we will show that a unique ground state on the three-dimensional torus T_3 implies $\theta = \pi$.

The θ term takes the form

$$S_{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int d^3x \, dt \, \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int F \wedge F. \quad (1)$$

The normalization is chosen so that θ is 2π periodic. There will also be a Maxwell-like term in the effective action, but this term is time-reversal-symmetric, and only the θ term is potentially dangerous to time-reversal symmetry. The ground state to ground state amplitude is well defined for adiabatic processes because the ground state is unique and the gap is finite; it is given by

$$Z[\vec{E},\vec{B}] = C \exp(i S_{\theta}[\vec{E},\vec{B}]).$$
⁽²⁾

Consider a field configuration consisting of a background magnetic field in the z direction that is uniform in the xy plane. The flux through the noncontractible xy two-torus is quantized

$$\int_{xy} eB_z = 2\pi n, \tag{3}$$

and we will consider the minimal flux of $2\pi/e$. This flux is an allowed low-energy configuration if the low-energy excitations carry only integer charge. Note that we assume the insulator's unique gapped ground state persists in the presence of an infinitesimal field. This assumption is physically reasonable if we allow arbitrary time-reversal-invariant perturbations. In the presence of this background magnetic field, we insert the same minimal flux $2\pi/e$ through the noncontractible *z* loop of the three-torus. Although the θ term is locally a total derivative, it contributes to bulk processes involving topologically nontrivial background field configurations. The ground state to ground state amplitude for this process is $Z = C \exp(i\theta)$.

Now, this system is time-reversal-invariant by assumption, so the response of the system to the time-reversed configuration of electric and magnetic fields must be the same. The time reversal of the flux insertion process we considered still inserts $2\pi/e$ flux, but the background magnetic flux changes sign to $-2\pi/e$. The ground state to ground state amplitude for this process is $Z = C \exp(-i\theta)$. Thus, the responses to these time-reversed processes are only equal if $\theta = \pi$. This proves our claim that a bulk gap, time-reversal symmetry, and a unique ground state on T_3 are only consistent with $\theta = \pi$ or $\theta = 0$.

Thus, to have a fractional θ angle in a gapped system, we must either break time reversal or have ground-state degeneracy on T_3 and other topologically nontrivial spaces. The latter implies the presence of topological order of a kind familiar from the fractional quantum Hall effect and other fractionalization phenomena in space dimensions higher than one.¹⁶ It goes hand in hand with the presence of *deconfined* fractional excitations in the bulk. We will now give a detailed construction of such a phase in a correlated bosonic system.

We consider hard-core bosons hopping in 3 + 1 dimensions on a diamond lattice with two sites per unit cell. The bosons are taken to be at a commensurate density of one boson per unit cell. Our goal is a time-reversal-invariant fractionalized phase of the bosons, where the U(1) boson number symmetry also possesses a nonzero θ angle for a background U(1) gauge field. The normalization of the charge is fixed by requiring the boson operator b_r to carry charge 1 under the U(1). We employ a slave-particle representation and construct a stable mean-field theory for a topological insulator phase with the desired properties. Write this boson as

$$b_r = d_{r1}d_{r2} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}d_{r\alpha}d_{r\beta},\tag{4}$$

where the two fermions $d_{r\alpha}$ carry charge 1/2 under the boson number symmetry. $\alpha, \beta = 1,2$ is a pseudospin index, so that the d_{α} transform as a spinor under pseudospin SU(2) rotations. We may thus view the boson as a pseudospin-singlet "Cooper pair" of these *d* fermions.

As usual in the slave-particle approach, this decomposition of the boson is redundant. Any pseudospin SU(2) rotation $d_r \rightarrow U_r d_r$, where $d_r = (d_{r1} d_{r2})^T$ and U_r is an arbitrary SU(2) matrix, leaves the boson operator invariant. Because of this local redundancy, any low-energy description involving the fractionalized "slave" particles $d_{r\alpha}$ must necessarily include gauge fields. Below we will construct a stable mean-field theory for a fractionalized phase that breaks the SU(2) gauge structure down to Z_2 . Then the true low-energy theory of the resulting phase will be a Z_2 gauge theory.

We assume a mean-field state for the fermions $d_{r\alpha}$ in which they form a topological band insulator with the α index playing the role usually played by physical spin. For concreteness, we consider the tight-binding Hamiltonian on the diamond lattice introduced by Fu, Kane, and Mele⁴ for a strong topological insulator:

$$H = \sum_{\langle rr' \rangle} t_{rr'} d^{\dagger}_{r\alpha} d_{r'\alpha} + i \sum_{\langle \langle rr' \rangle \rangle} \lambda^{a}_{rr'} d^{\dagger}_{r\alpha} \tau^{a}_{\alpha\beta} d_{r'\beta} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (5)$$

where $\langle rr' \rangle$ runs over nearest neighbors and $\langle \langle rr' \rangle \rangle$ runs over next-nearest neighbors on the diamond lattice. The "spinorbit" interaction is defined by $\lambda_{rr'}^a = \lambda \epsilon^{abc} n_{rr'}^{(1)b} n_{rr'}^{(2)c}$, where $n_{rr'}^{(1)a}$ and $n_{rr'}^{(2)a}$ are the nearest neighbor bond vectors, with a regarded as a spatial index, traversed when hopping from rto the next-nearest neighbor r'. As shown by Fu, Kane, and Mele,⁴ by perturbing the nearest neighbor hopping $t_{rr'}$ away from uniform hopping, we may enter a strong topological insulator phase. As the mean-field Hamiltonian does not conserve any component of the spin, it follows that the SU(2)gauge structure implied by the slave-particle representation is broken down. Indeed, only a Z_2 subgroup—corresponding to changing the sign of $d_{r\alpha}$ —is preserved. As promised, the low-energy theory of fluctuations about the mean field is a Z_2 gauge theory. As this admits a deconfined phase in three spatial dimensions, our mean-field ansatz describes a stable state of the original boson system. This mean-field ansatz also provides a wave function $|\psi_b\rangle$ for our bosonic fractional topological insulator:

$$|\psi_b\rangle = P_{\vec{s}_r=0}|\psi_{\rm TI}\rangle. \tag{6}$$

Here, $|\psi_{\text{TI}}\rangle$ is simply the Slater determinant wave function for the strong topological insulator. The operator $P_{\vec{s}_r=0}$ projects onto the sector with zero pseudospin at each lattice site.

Under a time-reversal transformation Θ , the boson *b* remains invariant. How does time reversal act on the slave fermions d_{α} ? The specification of how various physical symmetries act on the slave particles is part of the formulation of the slave-particle theory; any choice is allowed as long as it is consistent with the transformation properties of physical, gauge-invariant operators. In the case at hand, it is convenient to choose d_{α} to transform as ordinary fermions: $\Theta d_{r1} = d_{r2}$ and $\Theta d_{r2} = -d_{r1}$. This choice makes the mean-field Hamiltonian of (5) manifestly time-reversal-invariant and the action of Θ on the fermions satisfies $\Theta^2 = -1$. A different choice would make the mean-field Hamiltonian time-reversal-invariant only up to an SU(2) gauge transformation but would yield the same projected wave function and the same low-energy physics.

The resulting low-energy theory consists of four bands of fermions (two from the two-atom unit cell and two from the pseudospin index) coupled to a Z_2 gauge field. The Z_2 gauge field is in its deconfined phase. The choice of one boson per unit cell gives us two emergent fermions per unit cell, and these fermions form a topological insulating state by filling the lower two of the four bands. The low-energy physics is thus fully gapped in the bulk, with the quasiparticles carrying charge under a Z_2 gauge field. Line defects that carry Z_2 gauge flux (vision lines) will also exist as excitations in the

bulk. The fermionic quasiparticles carry fractional charge 1/2 under the U(1) particle number symmetry. Additionally, these line defects will carry gapless fermionic states bound to their cores.¹⁷

With this different minimal charge, we may expect an interesting θ angle in the bulk. If the fermions carried charge 1 under the U(1) symmetry, then upon integrating them out we would obtain a θ term with $\theta \pmod{2\pi} = \pi$. Since the fermions actually couple with fractional charge, repeating the calculation gives a θ term with $\theta \pmod{2\pi q^2} = q^2\pi$, where q = 1/2 is the fermion charge. In fact, the *d* fermions couple to both the Z_2 and the U(1) gauge fields, and the result of integrating them out is a θ term for the combined gauge field $\frac{1}{2}A_{U(1)} + A_{Z_2}$, where the Z_2 gauge field A_{Z_2} comes from the original SU(2) gauge structure that was broken by the fermion band structure.^{12,18,19}

The appearance of an effectively reduced periodicity for the electromagnetic U(1) θ angle is subtle. Consider the theory with $\theta = 2\pi q^2 = \pi/2$ on a space without boundary. This value of the θ angle must be effectively trivial in a phase with charge 1/2 fractionalized excitations. The precise meaning of this statement is as follows: all physical observables on this closed space, including the spectrum of dyons and all Berry's phases, are equivalent to those of a theory with $\theta = 0$. In the presence of a boundary, there is the possibility of surface states, unprotected by time reversal, equivalent to an *integer* quantum hall state of charge 1/2 fermions, but it remains true that all bulk observables depend on θ mod $2\pi q^2$.

With q = 1/2, we find $\theta = \pi/4$ for the background U(1) gauge field. One way to understand the correctness of this result is to consider the spectrum of dyons, bound states of electric and magnetic charge. In the presence of deconfined charge e/2 excitations, the $2\pi/e$ monopoles become confined, and the minimal monopole strength becomes $g_{\min} = 4\pi/e$. The Witten effect attaches charge $\frac{\theta}{2\pi} \frac{eg_{\min}}{2\pi} e$ to the minimal monopole.²⁰ Here, the minimal monopole carries 1/4 extra U(1) charge due to the θ term. This extra charge is precisely half that of the minimal pure electric charge and ensures that the spectrum of dyons is symmetric under time reversal. Another way to understand the value of θ is to consider the surface states.^{1,2} $\theta = \pi/4$ corresponds to a surface Hall conductivity of $\frac{1}{8} \frac{e^2}{2\pi}$,¹⁰ which is precisely what we would obtain from a single Dirac cone of charge 1/2 fermions.

The phase we have constructed has a gap to all excitations, a fractional θ angle, and preserves time reversal. As we have argued, it must have ground-state degeneracy on topologically nontrivial spaces, such as the three-torus T_3 . T_3 possesses three elementary noncontractible loops (one-cycles) corresponding to the *x*, *y*, and *z* circles. By moving a Z_2 charge around the *i*th one-cycle, we can detect the presence ($n_i = 1$) or absence ($n_i = 0$) of a Z_2 flux through that cycle. Thus, the ground states can be labeled by configurations { n_i } of Z_2 flux through the noncontractible loops, and we find a total of $2^3 = 8$ states.

We can also consider the analog of the ground state-toground state process considered above. $2\pi/e$ monopoles are confined in our phase by a string consisting of an odd number of Z_2 flux lines. For example, it costs an energy of order the linear system size to put a background $\int_{xy} eB_z = 2\pi$ flux because of the necessary presence of a Z_2 flux line wrapping the system in PHYSICAL REVIEW B 83, 195139 (2011)

the z direction. However, $\int_{xy} eB_z = 4\pi$ is a perfectly allowed low-energy configuration in accord with the presence of charge 1/2 excitations. Similarly, inserting $\int_{tz} eE_z = 2\pi$ flux through the z cycle does not return us the same ground state, as this process is equivalent to inserting a Z_2 flux. Note that the phase acquired by the state in this process is not gauge-invariant or physically meaningful, as we have not made a closed loop in Hilbert space. Instead, we must insert $\int_{tz} eE_z = 4\pi$ flux to return to the same state. The time-reversed processes of inserting $4\pi/e$ flux in a $\pm 4\pi/e$ background flux take states to themselves up to a well-defined phase. These phases are $\exp(\pm i4\theta)$, which with $\theta/\pi = 1/4$ are equal, consistent with time reversal.

The phase we have constructed has degenerate ground states on topologically nontrivial spaces without boundary. We can also consider interfaces between different phases: the trivial insulator \mathcal{I} , the Z_2 fractionalized \mathcal{TI}^* phase we have constructed, and a more traditional Z_2 fractionalized phase \mathcal{I}^* with $\theta = 0$. Such a phase corresponds to choosing a nontopological insulator band structure for the *d* fermions. There are three kinds of interfaces we can construct from these three phases.

An $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^*$ interface will not generically have protected surface states, although it may admit gapless surface states in the presence of additional symmetries. An $\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{T}\mathcal{I}^*$ interface will have protected gapless surface states, just like at an interface between a fermionic topological insulator and a trivial band insulator. The only remaining question is what happens at an $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{T}\mathcal{I}^*$ interface? The double "sandwich" interface $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{T}\mathcal{I}^*$ should have gapless surface states, even as the width of the \mathcal{I}^* region shrinks, because the $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^*$ interface brings no additional gapless states to the $\mathcal{I}^*/\mathcal{T}\mathcal{I}^*$ interface. Hence, we expect an $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{T}\mathcal{I}^*$ interface to have protected surface states.

The conclusion that we have gapless surface states is supported by the presence of the bulk θ angle. Indeed, if the surface of our $T\mathcal{I}^*$ phase is covered with a time-reversal-breaking perturbation, then the bulk θ term implies that the surface Hall conductivity is $\sigma_{xy} = (2n + 1)\frac{1}{8}\frac{e^2}{2\pi}$. This is effectively the contribution from a single Dirac cone, protected in the absence of time-reversal-breaking, modulo integer quantum Hall states of the charge 1/2 fermions.

One can generalize our construction to provide examples of fractionalized fermionic topological insulators. For example, first fractionalize the physical spinful fermion $c_{r\alpha}$ into a fermionic spinon $f_{r\alpha}$ and a bosonic chargon b_r , so that $c_{r\alpha} = b_r f_{r\alpha}$ in a Z_2 deconfined phase while preserving all symmetries. Then put the boson b_r into an interesting state of the type described here with fractionalized charge 1/2 fermions that have a topological band structure. This will yield a stable fractional topological insulator of fermions with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and will preserve time-reversal symmetry.

The possibility of time-reversal-invariant fractional topological-insulating phases for fermions was posed recently by Maciejko, Qi, Karch, and Zhang,¹² which partially motivated this work. The specific theoretical construction of such a phase in that work also involved a slave-particle construction that breaks the electron into N fractionally charged "quarks" with an SU(N) gauge redundancy. Each of the N quarks were assumed to form strong topological insulators, and

upon integrating out these quarks, the low-energy theory was argued to be SU(N) gauge theory with $\theta_{SU(N)} = \pi$ and $\theta_{U(1)} = \pi/N$. As that work points out, the flow to strong coupling is believed to be confining for these quarks.²¹ Thus the mean-field quark topological band structure ansatz does not, in that case, directly describe a stable phase. What is the precise low-energy fate once the SU(N) gauge theory flows to strong coupling? There is considerable uncertainty in answering this question due to our rather poor understanding of strongly coupled non-Abelian gauge theories. In the large N limit,²² it is known that SU(N) gauge theory at $\theta_{SU(N)} = \pi$ spontaneously breaks time reversal. Thus, at least at large N, this construction does not lead to a time-reversal-protected topological insulator. The situation is less clear at small N. However, if time-reversal symmetry is not spontaneously broken and there is a bulk-energy gap, then our argument requires that the theory possess ground-state degeneracy on T_3 and fractionalized excitations. One way this may happen is if the theory enters a Higgs phase that leaves unbroken the Z_N center of SU(N), similar to our construction.

We have studied a number of questions surrounding correlated topological insulators in interacting bosonic and fermionic systems. Such insulators admit the possibility of having fractional θ/π , while preserving time reversal, as suggested by Maciejko, Qi, Karch, and Zhang.¹² We showed that this requires the presence of deconfined fractional excitations in the bulk and associated ground-state degeneracies on topologically nontrivial closed spaces. We also showed that even repulsive bosons could form topological insulators with time-reversal-protected surface modes. This was illustrated with a concrete example of a time-reversal-invariant Z_2 fractionalized phase of bosons with fractional $\theta/\pi = 1/4$, degenerate ground states on the three-torus T_3 , and protected surface states.

While our results show that fractional topological insulators are necessarily fractionalized in the more general sense, the converse is, of course, not true: fractionalized phases need not have fractional θ/π . We also conjecture that there are no nonfractionalized phases of bosons with $\theta = \pi$, based on the intuition that one must always have fermionic excitations in the spectrum to achieve a topological insulating state. This remains an open question.

There are a number of directions for future work. It would be interesting to identify some candidate materials in which bosonic topological insulators might be realized. To that end, it might be useful to reinterpret them as possible phases of insulating spin-1/2 quantum magnets with conserved S^z . In that incarnation they correspond to gapped Z_2 quantum spin liquids with protected gapless surface modes. More generally, correlated phases with interesting protected-surface modes are likely to support a rich set of phenomena that will hopefully be explored in detail in the future.

We thank M. Levin, X.-L. Qi, J. Maciejko, A. Karch, and T. Grover for useful discussions. T.S. was supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-0705255. J.M. was supported by the US Department of Energy under cooperative research agreement no. DE-FG0205ER41360 and by an Alfred P. Sloan fellowship.

- ¹X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Today **63**, 33 (2010).
- ²M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045 (2010).
- ³C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005).
- ⁴L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007).
- ⁵J. E. Moore and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 75, 121306 (2007).
- ⁶B. Bernivig, T. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Science **314**, 1757 (2006).
- ⁷M. Konig *et al.*, Science **318**, 766 (2007).
- ⁸D. Pesin and L. Balents, Nature Phys. 6, 376 (2010).
- ⁹M. Levin and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 196803 (2009).
- ¹⁰X.-L. Qi, T. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195424 (2008).
- ¹¹A. M. Essin, J. E. Moore, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 146805 (2009).

- ¹²J. Maciejko, X.-L. Qi, A. Karch, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 246809 (2010).
- ¹³D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1559 (1982).
- ¹⁴X.-G. Wen and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 9377 (1990).
- ¹⁵P. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 17 (2006).
 ¹⁶X.-G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-body Systems* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2007), p. 335.
- ¹⁷Y. Zhang, Y. Ran, and A. Vishwanath (2009), e-print arXiv:0904.0690v1.
- ¹⁸J. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B **205**, 1 (1982).
- ¹⁹J. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B **205**, 17 (1982).
- ²⁰E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 86, 283 (1979).
- ²¹E. Shuryak, *The QCD Vacuum, Hadrons and Superdense Matter* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004), p. 95.
- ²²E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2862 (1998).