Exciton character in picene molecular solids

Friedrich Roth, Benjamin Mahns, Bernd Büchner, and Martin Knupfer IFW Dresden, P. O. Box 270116, D-01171 Dresden, Germany (Received 8 February 2011; revised manuscript received 8 March 2011; published 22 April 2011)

We have studied the low-energy electronic excitations of solid picene at 20 K using momentum-dependent electron-energy-loss spectroscopy. Our results demonstrate the presence of five excitonic features below the transport energy gap of picene, which are all characterized by a negligible dispersion. One of these excitons has not been observed in the optical-absorption spectrum of picene molecules in solution and thus is assigned to a (solid-state-induced) charge-transfer exciton. This conclusion is supported by the momentum-dependent intensity variation of this exciton, which clearly signals a significant dipole forbidden contribution, in contrast to the other low-energy excitations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.165436

PACS number(s): 79.20.Uv, 71.35.-y, 74.70.Kn, 74.25.Gz

I. INTRODUCTION

Organic molecular solids consisting of aromatic hydrocarbons or related molecules have been a focus of research for a number of reasons. In particular, their potential application in organic electronic devices has motivated many investigations in the past.¹⁻⁴ In addition, charge transfer, i.e., the addition or removal of charges to or from molecules in organic solids, is one route to modify and control their electronic properties. There are prominent examples in which charge transfer is an essential ingredient in the control of the electronic properties of the respective material class. For instance, the formation of charge-transfer compounds is accompanied by fascinating and sometimes unexpected physical properties. Well-known examples are the charge-transfer salts (e.g., TTF-TCNQ) with low-dimensional electronic behavior and very rich phase diagrams,⁵ or alkali-doped fullerenes,⁶⁻⁸ which support superconductivity, or Mott insulating phases depending on the doping level. Also, charge transfer induced by doping has been successfully applied to tune the electronic properties of organic semiconductor devices.9-11

Recently, the discovery of superconductivity with transition temperatures as high as 18 K in alkali-doped picene has added a new member to the family of charge-transfer compounds with fascinating physical properties.¹² This discovery requires an investigation of the physical properties of picene in the undoped and doped state to develop an understanding of the superconducting and normal state properties, which also might help to tailor further superconductors on the basis of aromatic hydrocarbons.

Picene (C₂₂H₁₄) is a molecule that consists of five benzene rings arranged in a zigzag-like manner. In the condensed phase, picene adopts a monoclinic crystal structure, with lattice constants a = 8.48 Å, b = 6.154 Å, c = 13.515 Å, and $\beta = 90.46^{\circ}$, the space group is $P2_1$, and the unit cell contains two inequivalent molecules.¹³ The molecules are arranged in a herringbone manner, which is typical for many aromatic molecular solids. Recent theoretical and experimental investigations have provided the first insight into the electronic properties of picene and its alkali-metal-doped relative. Undoped picene is characterized by a relatively large band gap in the electronic spectrum and by four close-lying conduction bands above the gap.¹⁴ These conduction bands are filled with electrons upon potassium addition. A recent photoemission study has demonstrated the appearance of a new spectral structure in the gap of picene as a function of K doping.¹⁵ The electronic structure of K-doped picene has also been addressed recently using calculations.^{16–19} These indicate a filling of the conduction bands in K_3 picene and the concomitant formation of a Fermi surface.

In this paper, we present a detailed experimental analysis of the electronic excitation spectrum of undoped solid picene using electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in transmission. This technique enables the determination of the electronic excitation spectrum as a function of momentum transfer and thus provides valuable insight into the dispersion and character of the excitations under scrutiny.^{20–24} Our studies are carried out at 20 K and allow the identification of five excitonic features below the transport gap of picene. These excitons are characterized by a negligible dispersion, which points toward their rather localized nature. The momentum-dependent intensity variation of the excitons provides interesting details on dipole allowed and forbidden characters.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Thin films of picene were prepared by thermal evaporation under high vacuum onto single crystalline KBr substrates kept at room temperature with a deposition rate of 0.2 nm/min. The resulting film thickness was about 100 nm. These picene films were floated off in distilled water, mounted onto standard electron microscopy grids, and transferred into the EELS spectrometer. Prior to the EELS measurements, the films were characterized in situ using electron diffraction. All observed diffraction peaks were consistent with the crystal structure of picene,¹³ while the diffraction spectra revealed a well-pronounced texture. The films show a strong preference of crystallites with their a, b plane parallel to the film surface.¹⁴ Moreover, the width of the diffraction features in momentum space allows an estimation of the grain size in our picene films of at least 70 Å, i.e., significantly larger than the lattice constants. In other words, a typical grain in our films contains more than 800 molecules. Thus, our data represent the electronic excitations of crystalline solid picene.

The EELS measurements were carried out at 20 K using a 170 keV spectrometer in combination with a He flow cryostat described elsewhere.²⁵ We note that at this high primary beam energy, only singlet excitations are possible. The energy

and momentum resolution were chosen to be 85 meV and 0.03 Å⁻¹, respectively. We have measured the loss function Im $[-1/\epsilon(\mathbf{q},\omega)]$, which is proportional to the dynamic structure factor S(\mathbf{q},ω), for momentum transfer \mathbf{q} parallel to the film surface [$\epsilon(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ is the dielectric function].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Fig. 1, we present the loss function of solid picene in a range between 3 and 5 eV measured at 20 K. We note that due to the pronounced texture of our films,¹⁴ the data in Fig. 1 predominantly represent excitations with a polarization vector within the a,b crystal plane. The loss spectrum as presented in Fig. 1 is dominated by a broad peak at 4.4 eV as well as a pronounced fine structure right after the excitation onset. The overall structure of the loss function has been very well reproduced recently using calculations based on the *GW* self-energy approximation.¹⁴ In addition, in Fig. 1 we can identify five well separated features at 3.25, 3.41, 3.61, 3.77, and 3.93 eV. Compared to previous EELS measurements of solid picene at room temperature,¹⁴ these low-energy structures are significantly better resolved and well defined.

In general, the lowest electronic excitations in organic molecular solids usually are excitons, i.e., bound electron-hole pairs.^{28–32} This is one of the consequences of the weak van der Waals interaction between the molecules, which is responsible for the molecular arrangement in the crystal. The decision criterion that has to be considered to analyze the excitonic character and binding energy of an excitation is the energy of the excitation with respect to the so-called transport energy gap, which represents the energy needed to create an unbound, independent electron-hole pair. This transport energy gap of picene has been estimated previously to about 4.05 eV.^{14,33} Consequently, the five excitation features in solid picene that are observed below 4 eV as depicted in Fig. 1 are excitons,



FIG. 1. (Color online) Loss function of solid picene measured with a momentum transfer of q = 0.1 eV at 20 K. The two emphasized areas indicate the energy ranges of former observed peaks in the optical-absorption spectrum of picene in solution as reported in Refs. 26 and 27. In addition, the transport energy gap E_g of solid picene is indicated.

and the exciton binding energy of the lowest-lying exciton is as large as about 0.85 eV.

In addition, the electronic excitation spectrum of individual picene molecules has been studied in the past using opticalabsorption measurements.^{26,27} In Fig. 1, we have highlighted the energy areas in which these optical data show corresponding excitation structures.

Intriguingly, these studies reveal five excitations below 4 eV, however no excitation feature has been observed so far in the energy window around 3.61 eV as highlighted in Fig. 1. In general, electronic excitations in solution and in the condensed phase can be observed at different energies due to different screening effects related to the polarization of the surrounding. An assignment of the excitation at 3.61 eV to one of the features observed for picene molecules in solution would thus require a downshift of this excitation feature of about 200 meV or more going to the condensed phase. However, we do not observe such a large shift for the lowest-lying excitations; in their case, the difference between solution and solid-state data is smaller than 40 meV. As a consequence, an assignment of the excitation feature at 3.61 eV to a molecular electronic transition also seen in the optical absorption of picene molecules in solution would require an anisotropy of the dielectric screening of more than a factor of 5, taking into account the different polarization of the excitations.²⁶ Such a large anisotropy, however, is very unlikely for a molecular crystal out of aromatic hydrocarbons. For instance, in the case of pentacene-a close relative of picene-ellipsometry investigations of single crystals have revealed a maximal anisotropy of the dielectric constant along the crystal axes of less than $1.8.^{34}$ We therefore assign the exciton at 3.61 eVto a solid-state induced electronic excitation, a conclusion that is further supported by the momentum dependence of this excitation, as discussed below.

The most likely candidate for such an excitation is a charge-transfer transition, where in the final state the electron and hole sit on adjacent picene molecules. This is reminiscent of the low-energy excitations of pentacene, a close aromatic relative of picene, where also such charge-transfer excitations have been discussed.^{23,35,36} Within a simple point charge approach,²⁸ one can estimate the binding energy E_B of such a charge-transfer exciton using

$$E_B \sim \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r} \frac{e^2}{\langle r \rangle}$$

where $\langle r \rangle$ denotes the mean distance of the two adjacent molecules that participate in the charge-transfer excitation, and ϵ_r is the static dielectric constant ($\epsilon_r \sim 4$ for picene¹⁴). The distance of the two adjacent molecules in the *a*,*b* plane of solid picene is about 5.3 Å, which leads to an estimate for E_B of about 0.7 eV. In other words, in picene it is reasonable to assume charge-transfer excitons at similar energies as intramolecular excitons, also called Frenkel excitons. Moreover, the presence of both types of excitons at similar energies can lead to a sizable interaction of these excitation species and result in excitons with a mixed character, a situation that has been discussed in the past also for other organic molecular solids.^{37–39}

To obtain a more detailed picture of the excitons in picene, we have measured the loss function with increasing



FIG. 2. (Color online) Momentum dependence of the EELS spectra of solid picene (q is increasing from top to bottom). The measurements were carried out at 20 K.

momentum transfer *q*. As shown in Fig. 2, all identified excitons in picene with the exception of the feature at 3.61 eV do not change in energy within a momentum range up to 0.6 Å, which covers almost the entire first Brillouin zone parallel to the *a*,*b* crystal directions. Consequently, in the framework of an exciton band-structure description, this yields a vanishing group velocity ($\sim \frac{\partial E(k)}{\partial k}$) for these excitations, i.e., they can be regarded as rather localized. For the excitation at 3.61 eV a very small negative dispersion of about 30 meV can be seen in Fig. 2. In consideration of the assignment of this excitation to a charge-transfer exciton (see above), this finite dispersion would corroborate the different character of this excitation. However, we emphasize that the size of the dispersion is close to the experimental resolution.

Interestingly, we can also identify a substantial intensity variation, especially for the three excitons lowest in energy. Moreover, while the intensity of the first two excitons at 3.25 and 3.41 eV decreases with increasing momentum transfer q, the opposite is the case for the exciton observed at 3.61 eV. In the case of localized excitations, i.e., those with negligible dispersion, their character can be analyzed in terms of a multipole expansion, whereas upon increasing momentum transfer, dipole (or optically) allowed excitations lose intensity and dipole forbidden excitons (e.g., quadrupole transitions) will increase in intensity.^{20,21,40,41} Thus, the two singlet excitons with lowest excitation energy in solid picene are of predominant dipole character, while the following exciton at 3.61 eV is characterized by a significant dipole forbidden contribution. Furthermore, the momentum value $q_{\rm max}$ where a dipole forbidden excitation reaches its intensity maximum can be used to estimate the mean radius of the wave function of this excitation: $\langle r \rangle \sim 2/q_{\text{max}}$.^{40,41} In Fig. 3, we present a comparison of the intensity variation of the excitons at 3.25 and 3.61 eV. Again, the decreasing intensity for the lowest-lying exciton clearly signals its predominant dipole allowed character, in good agreement with the fact that this feature was also observed in optical-absorption measurements of picene molecules in solution.^{26,27} In contrast, the intensity of the 3.61 eV exciton reaches its intensity maximum at



FIG. 3. (Color online) Momentum dependence of the spectral weight of the two excitonic excitations at 3.25 eV (red diamonds) and 3.61 eV (black circles) as observed in the loss function of solid picene. The data around 0.75 and 1.05 Å⁻¹ could not be determined accurately enough because of considerably enhanced multiple scattering in this region due to (100) and (010) Bragg reflections. The data are normalized to the *q*-dependent intensity variation at 10 eV excitation energy in order to take into account the overall momentum dependence of the scattering cross section.

finite momentum (about 0.7 Å⁻¹) as would be theoretically expected for, e.g., a quadrupole excitation.⁴¹ This underlines a significant dipole forbidden part and now gives a (very rough) estimate of the radius of this exciton of about 3 Å. Here, one should keep in mind that our data also suggest a mixed character of the excitons in solid picene (see the discussion above), which limits a quantitative analysis of the exciton extension. Nevertheless, the observed momentum maximum is in reasonable agreement with our interpretation of the exciton at 3.61 eV having charge-transfer character.

IV. SUMMARY

To summarize, our electron-energy-loss spectroscopy studies at low temperature and as a function of momentum transfer have enabled us to elucidate the low-energy singlet excitations in solid picene. The electronic excitation spectrum consists of five excitons below the transport energy gap of picene. While four of those have also been observed in optical-absorption measurements of individual picene molecules in solution, one of these excitons (at 3.61 eV excitation energy) is only seen in the condensed phase, and we attribute it to a charge-transfer excitation involving neighboring molecules in the crystal. Moreover, this solid-state specific exciton is also distinguished by a significant dipole forbidden character, as revealed by our momentum-dependent investigations. The fact that the lowest exciton has a binding energy of about 0.85 eV also indicates that electronic correlation effects play a role in the electronic properties of picene,³¹ since in a simple approach this binding energy can be taken as a measure for the electronic correlation energy U, and a value of $U \sim 0.85$ eV is larger than the conduction-band width.14

ROTH, MAHNS, BÜCHNER, AND KNUPFER

We thank R. Schönfelder, R. Hübel, and S. Leger for technical assistance. This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grants No. KN393/13 and No. KN393/14). We are grateful to Matteo Gatti, Pierluigi Cudazzo, and Angel Rubio for fruitful discussions.

- ¹M. Granström, K. Petritsch, A. Arias, A. Lux, M. Andersson, and R. Friend, Nature (London) **395**, 257 (1998).
- ²A. Dodabalapur, H. Katz, L. Torsi, and R. Haddon, Science **269**, 1560 (1995).
- ³G. Tsivgoulis and J. Lehn, Adv. Mater. 9, 39 (1997).
- ⁴Y. Kaji, R. Mitsuhashi, X. Lee, H. Okamoto, T. Kambe, N. Ikeda, A. Fujiwara, M. Yamaji, K. Omote, and Y. Kubozono, Org. Electron. **10**, 432 (2009).
- ⁵N. Toyota, M. Lang, and J. Müller, *Low-Dimensional Molecular Metals*, Springer Series in Solid State Sciences Vol. 154 (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
- ⁶O. Gunnarson, *Alkali Doped Fullerides* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
- ⁷J. Weaver and D. Poirier, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich and F. Spaepen (Academic, London, 1994), Vol. 48, pp. 1–108.
- ⁸O. Gunnarsson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **69**, 575 (1997).
- ⁹M. Pfeiffer, A. Beyer, T. Fritz, and K. Leo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 3202 (1998).
- ¹⁰A. Nollau, M. Pfeiffer, T. Fritz, and K. Leo, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 4340 (2000).
- ¹¹W. Gao and A. Kahn, Org. Electron. **3**, 53 (2002).
- ¹²R. Mitsuhashi, Y. Suzuki, Y. Yamanari, H. Mitamura, T. Kambe, N. Ikeda, H. Okamoto, A. Fujiwara, M. Yamaji, N. Kawasaki, Y. Maniwa, and Y. Kubozono, Nature (London) **464**, 76 (2010).
- ¹³A. De, R. Ghosh, S. Roychowdhury, and P. Roychowdhury, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C **41**, 907 (1985).
- ¹⁴F. Roth, M. Gatti, P. Cudazzo, M. Grobosch, B. Mahns, B. Büchner, A. Rubio, and M. Knupfer, New J. Phys. **12**, 103036 (2010).
- ¹⁵H. Okazaki, T. Wakita, T. Muro, Y. Kaji, X. Lee, H. Mitamura, N. Kawasaki, Y. Kubozono, Y. Yamanari, T. Kambe, T. Kato, M. Hirai, Y. Muraoka, and T. Yokoya, Phys. Rev. B 82, 195114 (2010).
- ¹⁶G. Giovannetti and M. Capone, e-print arXiv:1011.3308.
- ¹⁷M. Kim, B. I. Min, G. Lee, H. J. Kwon, Y. M. Rhee, and J. H. Shim, e-print arXiv:1011.2712.
- ¹⁸P. L. de Andres, A. Guijarro, and J. A. Verges (2010), e-print arXiv:1010.6168.
- ¹⁹T. Kosugi, T. Miyake, S. Ishibashi, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 113704 (2009).
- ²⁰M. Knupfer, T. Pichler, M. S. Golden, J. Fink, M. Murgia, R. H. Michel, R. Zamboni, and C. Taliani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1443 (1999).

- ²¹M. Knupfer, J. Fink, E. Zojer, G. Leising, and D. Fichou, Chem. Phys. Lett. **318**, 585 (2000).
- ²²M. Knupfer, T. Schwieger, J. Fink, K. Leo, and M. Hoffmann, Phys. Rev. B 66, 035208 (2002).
- ²³R. Schuster, M. Knupfer, and H. Berger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 037402 (2007).
- ²⁴C. Kramberger, R. Hambach, C. Giorgetti, M. H. Rümmeli, M. Knupfer, J. Fink, B. Büchner, L. Reining, E. Einarsson, S. Maruyama, F. Sottile, K. Hannewald, V. Olevano, A. G. Marinopoulos, and T. Pichler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 196803 (2008).
- ²⁵J. Fink, Adv. Electron. Electron Phys. **75**, 121 (1989).
- ²⁶J. Gallivan and J. Brinen, J. Chem. Phys. **50**, 1590 (1969).
- ²⁷L. Ruzicka and E. Mörgeli, Helv. Chim. Acta **19**, 377 (1936).
- ²⁸M. Pope and C. E. Swenberg, *Electronic Processes in Organic Crystals and Polymers*, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, New York, 1999).
- ²⁹E. Silinish, Organic Molecular Crystals, 1st ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1980).
- ³⁰M. Knupfer, Appl. Phys. A **77**, 623 (2003).
- ³¹R. W. Lof, M. A. van Veenendaal, B. Koopmans, H. T. Jonkman, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3924 (1992).
- ³²I. Hill, A. Kahn, Z. Soos, and R. Pascal, Chem. Phys. Lett. **327**, 181 (2000).
- ³³N. Sato, H. Inokuchi, and E. A. Silinsh, Chem. Phys. **115**, 269 (1987).
- ³⁴M. Dressel, B. Gompf, D. Faltermeier, A. K. Tripathi, J. Pflaum, and M. Schubert, Opt. Express 16, 19770 (2008).
- ³⁵M. L. Tiago, J. E. Northrup, and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. B 67, 115212 (2003).
- ³⁶K. Hummer and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 081202 (2005).
- ³⁷M. Hoffmann, K. Schmidt, T. Fritz, T. Hasche, V. M. Agranovich, and K. Leo, Chem. Phys. **258**, 73 (2000).
- ³⁸M. Knupfer, T. Schwieger, H. Peisert, and J. Fink, Phys. Rev. B 69, 165210 (2004).
- ³⁹Y. Tokura, T. Koda, Y. Iyechika, and H. Kuroda, Chem. Phys. Lett. **102**, 174 (1983).
- ⁴⁰M. Knupfer and J. Fink, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 10731 (1999).
- ⁴¹M. W. Haverkort, A. Tanaka, L. H. Tjeng, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 257401 (2007).