Atomistic fracture energy partitioning at a metal-ceramic interface using a nanomolecular monolayer

Ashutosh Jain,¹ Binay Singh,¹ Saurabh Garg,¹ N. Ravishankar,¹ Michael Lane,² and Ganpati Ramanath^{1[,*](#page-4-0)}

¹*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12180, USA*

²*Department of Chemistry, Emory and Henry College, Emory, Virginia 24327, USA*

(Received 28 September 2010; published 20 January 2011)

We report an experimental approach to partition the fracture energy of a metal-ceramic interface into work of adhesion and plasticity, unveiling the nanomechanics of interfacial deformation and fracture. We obviate crack path uncertainties by constraining fracture to occur in an interfacial nanomolecular layer through fissure of a single bond type whose strength is varied by adjusting the chemical environment. This approach is adaptable for studying interfacial fracture and related phenomena in diverse materials systems in different thermochemical environments.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.83.035412](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.035412) PACS number(s): 68*.*35*.*Np, 31*.*15*.*A−, 46*.*55*.*+d, 81*.*16*.*Dn

I. INTRODUCTION

The partitioning of interface fracture energy into bondbreaking energy and plastic energy in ductile layer(s) constituting the interface is a central problem in fracture mechanics. Fracture energy (or toughness) Γ_{FT} typically has contributions from multiple processes such as bond breaking,^{[1](#page-4-0)} corrosion,^{[2](#page-4-0)} and crack-tip plastic flow and repair. $3,4$ The Griffith and Irwin model^{[1,3](#page-4-0)} partitions Γ_{FT} into two terms *γ_a* and *γ_p*, $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} = \gamma_a + \gamma_p$, where γ_a is the work of adhesion and γ_p is the plastic energy that is a function of γ_a . Cohesive fracture in homogenous bulk materials has been studied for many decades and empirical descriptions of fracture have been developed by approximating $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} \sim \gamma_a$ for brittle materials ($\gamma_p \sim 0$) and $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} \sim \gamma_p$ for ductile materials ($\gamma_p \gg \gamma_a$). However, currently there are no models that incorporate experimentally validated γ_a and γ_p terms to obtain realistic atomistic descriptions of fracture at interfaces composed of both brittle and ductile materials, i.e., where γ_a and γ_p are comparable and neither term can be neglected. Characterizing interfacial fracture has remained an exacting experimental challenge owing to uncertainties in the crack path and the types of bonds broken. Although factors such as film thickness, crack-tip reaction kinetics, and humidity are known to influence fracture energy, 5.6 quantitative partitioning of Γ_{FT} in terms of specific atomistic processes has been elusive. As a result, current atomistic descriptions of interfacial fracture energetics are primarily based on theoretical models and computer simulations.^{[7,8](#page-4-0)}

Here, we demonstrate an experimental approach to partition Γ_{FT} of a metal-ceramic interface by using a nanomolecular monolayer (NML) at the interface. Crack path uncertainties are obviated by constraining fracture to occur through the fissure of only one bond type in the NML at the interface. Additionally, we vary the interfacial bond strength, and hence γ_a , by controlling the chemical environment, thereby allowing γ_p determination by measuring Γ_{FT} . We illustrate this approach for a model copper-silica interface treated with an organosilane NML where bond breaking occurs by siloxane bridge hydrolysis. This method could be adapted for studying interface fracture and related phenomena in different thermochemical environments, e.g., stress corrosion cracking, electromigration, and stress-induced voiding, in a variety of materials systems through an appropriate choice of NMLs.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Our test structures consisted of a copper-silica interface functionalized with a 0.7-nm-thick NML composed of mercapto-propyl-tri-methoxy-silane (MPTMS) molecules. NMLs were formed on Si(001) wafers capped with an 85-nm-thick silica by dipping the wafers in a 5 mM solution of MPTMS in toluene for 30 min in flowing N_2 , as described previously. $9,10$ A 35-nm-thick Cu layer was deposited on the NML*/*SiO2*/*Si(001) followed by a 150-nm-thick Ta layer in a CVC dc sputter system with a 5×10^{-7} Torr base pressure. The samples were annealed at 300 ◦C for 30 min, and the Ta/Cu/NML/SiO₂/Si(001) stack was bonded to a dummy Si wafer with an epoxy, for four-point-bend tests. The Ta layer was used to offset poor Cu-epoxy adhesion. Our earlier works $9,10$ have shown that the NML is strongly bound to the copper overlayer via thioligation, and bond breaking occurs exclusively at the NML-silica interface through the fissure of Si-O-Si bridges formed during molecular assembly [Fig. $1(a)$]. We vary γ_a through moisture control by exploiting the sensitivity of these siloxane bonds to hydrolysis. $9,11$

We determined the fracture energy of thin-film sandwiches with Cu/NML/SiO₂ interfaces by four-point-bend tests carried out *in situ* in environments with different water activity, $0.2 \le a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \le 0.8$, and temperature, 278 K $\le T \le 358$ K, in a Cincinnati Sub-Zero ZP-8 chamber. We note that $a_{H₂O}$ is identical to relative humidity, and represents the water chemical potential $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ when plotted on a log scale (as we have), because $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = NRT \log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, where *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the absolute temperature, and *N* is the number of interfacial bonds per unit area. We strained 5 mm \times 40 mm rectangular beams of Si*/*epoxy*/*Ta*/*Cu*/*NML*/*SiO2*/*Si(001) stacks at a 10 nm*/*s displacement until a crack emerging from a fine notch scribed on the Si substrate propagated to the weakest interface [see the green line in Fig. $1(b)$], an event indicated by the first plateau in the load-displacement curve corresponding to a critical driving energy Γ_c . At the plateau, we halted the externally applied displacement to determine the minimum subcritical energy required for crack propagation [see the red line in Fig. $1(b)$]. The delamination velocity ν decreases monotonically and approaches zero as the remnant driving energy Γ diminishes to a threshold value that corresponds to the fracture toughness Γ_{FT} at equilibrium of the atomistic

FIG. 1. (Color) (a) Schematic sketch depicting water-induced siloxane bond breaking in an organosilane NML at a Cu*/*NML*/*silica interface. (b) A typical load-relaxation curve from a four-point-bend test with a schematic showing the crack path. A crack initiated at the notch tip on the substrate traverses to the weakest interface and propagates laterally at a constant load corresponding to Γ_c (green). When displacement is arrested, the crack is driven subcritically by the remnant load (red), which continually decreases until crack growth stops. The remnant load at the crack arrest point corresponds to the equilibrium fracture toughness Γ_{FT} .

process that drives the crack. Thus, Γ_{FT} is extracted from *v* $-\Gamma$ curves as $v \to 0$ and $d\Gamma/dt \to 0$, as described elsewhere.^{[12](#page-4-0)} In our tests, the phase angle was ∼43◦, corresponding to approximately equal parts of shear and normal loading at the interface.¹³ Each Γ_{FT} value reported here is an average of at least four tests; the error bars denote one standard deviation.

Fracture surfaces were analyzed by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to determine the fracture path and obtain insights into the bond-breaking mechanism. XPS measurements were carried out in a PHI 5400 instrument with a Mg *Kα* beam at a 23.5 eV analyzer pass energy. Charging-induced spectral shifts were corrected by using the adventitious C 1*s* peak at 285 eV as an internal calibration.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fracture toughness tests show that Γ_{FT} is a strong function of both temperature and water activity, $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ [see Figs. 2(a)

FIG. 2. (Color) (a) Crack velocity v vs the driving energy Γ plots obtained at $T = 278$ K at different $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$. The red solid line represents the reaction rate kinetics model fit (Ref. [15\)](#page-4-0) for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 0.25$. (b) Plot showing Γ_{FT} decrease with $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (blue) and temperature (green).

and $2(b)$]. Specifically, Γ_{FT} decreases with increasing a_{H_2O} at constant temperature as well as with increasing temperature at constant $a_{H₂O}$. The latter is owing to an increase in the water-vapor pressure $p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ driven by an increase in saturation vapor pressure p_{sat} with temperature $(a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/p_{\text{sat}})^{14}$ $(a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/p_{\text{sat}})^{14}$ $(a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/p_{\text{sat}})^{14}$ consistent with previous studies of Cu/TaN/SiO₂ interfaces.⁵ We attribute the Γ_{FT} decrease with a_{H_2O} to water-induced siloxane bridge fissure at the interface, similar to stress corrosion cracking in glasses, 15 as corroborated by fracture surface spectroscopy measurements. XPS spectra from Cu fracture surfaces show the Si 2*p* core-level band at ∼102.5 eV, which is characteristic of silyl alkyl moieties in the organosilane, in contrast to the Si 2*p* signature at ∼103.5 eV seen on silica fracture surfaces (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0), confirming that delamination occurs via siloxane bond fissure at the NML-silica interface.¹⁰

FIG. 3. (Color) (a) Survey XPS spectra from silica fracture surfaces show Si and O peaks with no traces of Cu, while Cu fracture surfaces exhibit prominent Cu peaks (top). (b) Multiplex scans on Cu fracture surfaces show Si 2*p* signatures of silyl alkyl moieties (∼102.5 eV) in the organosilane, in contrast to the silica band (∼103.5 eV) on silica fracture surfaces (bottom left). This result, and the exclusive presence of S 2*p* peak on the Cu fracture surface (bottom right), indicate that delamination occurs via siloxane bond fissure at the NML-silica interface (top left: where filled green and red spheres denote Si and S, respectively, in the MPTMS NML). The essentially identical spectral features for $0.05 \le a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.8$ (bottom left) indicate that the delamination path is unchanged. At $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.8$ —the highest water activity in our experiments—Cu fracture surfaces exhibit a silica sub-band along with the prominent silyl alkyl signature, suggesting some fracture-path deviation owing to bond breaking in the silica substrate.

This delamination pathway remains unchanged for $0.05 \leq$ $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.8$, as seen from fracture surfaces showing essentially identical spectral features. At the highest water activity in our experiments, i.e., $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.8$, Cu fracture surfaces exhibit a silica sub-band along with a prominent silyl alkyl signature, suggesting some fracture-path deviation owing to bond breaking in the silica substrate.

Plotting Γ_{FT} as a function of $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ for $T = 293 \text{ K}$ reveals two distinct regimes separable at $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.5$ –0.4 [see Fig. 4(c)]. For $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \leq 0.4$, Cu/NML/silica interfaces exhibit *-*FT values ranging from [∼]2.1 to 3.6 J*/*m2, which are comparable to or significantly higher than the $\gamma_a \sim 1.75 \text{ J/m}^2$ reported for fused silica,^{[16](#page-4-0)} pointing to the existence of secondary energy dissipation processes such as copper plasticity. The twofold higher magnitude of $d\Gamma_{\text{FT}}/d\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$ –0.5 than that observed at higher $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ allows us to separate the relative extents of γ_a and γ_p at the Cu/NML/silica interface.

At high moisture contents, e.g., for $a_{H₂O} > 0.4$, the main energy dissipation mechanism is siloxane bond fissure via hy-

FIG. 4. (Color) (a) Γ_{FT} as a function of $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ at 293 K. Inset schematically illustrates γ_a and γ_p ; plasticity is depicted as wiggles in the delaminated Cu film. (b) Cu yield stress σ_y extracted from Γ_{FT} – log a_{H_2O} plots (red) compared with that obtained by nanoindentation (blue), molecular dynamics simulations of nanograined bulk Cu (Ref. [24\)](#page-4-0), and extrapolation of an analytical model developed from nanoindentation of thicker films (Ref. 25). (c) The Γ_{FT} increase with Cu film thicknesses at constant $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ where $\gamma_p \neq 0$.

drolysis through the reaction Si-O-Si + $H_2O \rightarrow 2Si$ -OH, implying that $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} = \gamma_a$. The linear behavior of Γ_{FT} with log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is reminiscent of the linear dependence of the equilibrium free energy of this reaction on $\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$,^{[17](#page-4-0)} which yields the interfacial Si-O-Si bond density $N_{Si-O-Si}$, and hence γ_a . Thus, log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ serves as a proxy for γ_a . By using $d\gamma_a/d\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} =$ $N_{\text{Si-O-Si}}RT$, we get $N_{\text{Si-O-Si}} = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-2}$, which agrees well with $N_{\text{Si-O-Si}} = 0.7 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-2}$ obtained by fitting *v*- Γ characteristics for different $a_{H₂}$ to a reaction-rate kinetics model for water-induced fracture in orthosilicates.^{15,18} The fit also yields a crack propagation activation energy of ∼0.3 eV*/*bond attributed to siloxane hydrolysis, confirming that $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} = \gamma_a$ for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 0.4$.

The abrupt increase in the slope $d\Gamma_{\text{FT}}/d\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ below $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.5$ –0.4 reflects the onset of an additional energy dissipation mechanism, identified to be copper plasticity. We discount the possibility of deformation in the silica layer because this mechanism is operative only above $900\degree C$.^{[19](#page-4-0)} If copper plasticity is responsible for the higher $d\Gamma_{\rm{FT}}/d\log a_{\rm{H_2O}}$ for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$, the copper film should yield at $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.4-0.5$. To verify this requirement, we further examined the threshold work of adhesion γ_0 (= γ_a = Γ_{FT} at yield point) value of \sim 2 J/m² and estimated the yield stress σ_y from the strain energy per unit volume at $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \sim 0.4{\text{-}}0.5$. Because the loading phase angle in our four-point-bend tests is ∼43◦, the normal and shear mode components are roughly equal, i.e., $\Gamma_{\text{I}} \sim \Gamma_{\text{II}} \sim \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \sim 1 \text{ J/m}^2$. This value is in good agreement with the dislocation emission energy of [∼]0.84 J*/*m2 for mode I yielding according to the Rice-Thompson description, $2⁰$ and is consistent with the expectation that Γ_I is the main contributor to interfacial bond cleavage and plasticity while Γ_{II} accounts for frictional effects. The yield stress σ_y , calculated from $\Gamma_I \sim \frac{\gamma_0}{2}$ for different copper thicknesses by assuming copper stiffness $E = 130 \text{ GPa}$ (Ref. [21\)](#page-4-0), are within ~15%–30% of σ_v , obtained from nanoindentation measurements [see Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-2-0), confirming that the $d\Gamma_{\text{FT}}/d\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ increase for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$ is owing to copper plastic deformation. Moreover, the observed linear increase in Γ_{FT} with log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ for $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$ [Fig. [4\(c\)\]](#page-2-0) is consistent with increased plastic deformation because the plastic zone size R_0 is expected to scale linearly with γ_a (and hence log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) as^{[22](#page-4-0)} $R_0 = \frac{E\gamma_a}{3\pi(1-v^2)\sigma_y^2}$ for constant σ_y , where *ν* is the Poisson's ratio. Finally, our observation of the Γ_{FT} increase with Cu film thickness [see Fig. $5(c)$] for a fixed $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ in the $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$ regime also confirms copper plasticity because lower σ_y and larger plastic zones in thicker films are expected to abet plastic deformation.^{[21,23](#page-4-0)}

The above discussion indicates that measuring Γ_{FT} as a function of $\log a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (or $\mu_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) could be an attractive alternative way of determining thin-film yield stress. The σ_y values extracted from Γ_{FT} - log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ plots are similar to, but consistently lower than, those from nanoindentation [Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-2-0), and higher than those predicted by molecular dynamics simulations^{[24](#page-4-0)} of nanograined bulk copper as well as extrapolations of nanoindentation results from thicker films.²⁵ These results suggest that obtaining σ_y by our method mitigates the so-called substrate effect invoked to explain high σ_{v} obtained from nanoindentation of sub-200-nm-thick films.

For $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \lesssim 0.4$, the fracture toughness Γ_{FT} includes non-
vigible contributions from both ν , and ν . We can calcul negligible contributions from both γ_a and γ_p . We can calculate γ_p by calculating the difference between the measured toughness Γ_{FT} and the γ_a obtained by extrapolating the γ_a vs log $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ linear fit for high $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ to the $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} < 0.4$ regime. This extrapolation is justified because γ_a is sensitive only to $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, as described earlier. Thus, we can plot γ_p as a function of γ_a [see

FIG. 5. (Color) (a) Plastic energy γ_p in copper plotted as a function of the interfacial work of adhesion γ_a , at $T = 293$ K. (b) Deformation mechanism map created from Γ_{FT} measurements on structures with Cu/NML/SiO₂ interfaces with a 100-nm-thick Cu film for 278 K $\leq T \leq 358$ K and $0.6 \leq a_{\text{H}_2O} \leq 0.1$. In yelloworange-red regions γ_p is a major contributor, while green and blue regions represent conditions where $\Gamma_{\text{FT}} \sim \gamma_a$.

Fig. 5(a)] by the equation $\gamma_p = \tau(\gamma_a - \gamma_0)$, where $\gamma_0 = \gamma_a$ at yield point. For $\gamma_a < \gamma_0$, the plastic energy $\gamma_p = \tau = 0$, and for $\gamma_a > \gamma_0$, γ_p increases linearly with γ_a with $\tau \sim 1.2$. Therefore, besides separating the contributions of the work of adhesion and plasticity, our results reveal the quantitative dependence of γ_p on γ_a . While a multiplicative effect has been postulated,²⁶ and analytical models predict $0.2 < \tau < 8$ for heterointerfaces, 21 our experimental results here provide a another means for directly determining *τ* . Earlier experimental works $27-30$ based on a dislocation model and measurement of Cu films with different thicknesses have also related γ_p and γ_a . But our approach obviates complications of yield-stress variations in films of different thicknesses and offers versatility for studying the effects of environmental degradation, segregation, and molecular functionalization. This validation provides a means to refine Griffith-Irwin descriptions beyond idealized interfaces to realistic hybrid materials and heterointerfaces where neither γ_p nor γ_a can be neglected.

Finally, by carrying out experiments similar to the ones described above at different temperatures, we can obtain deformation mechanism maps for the interfacial delamination as a function of thermochemical variables such as temperature and water activity [see Fig. $5(b)$]. At all temperatures, γ_a increases with decreasing $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ owing to decreased hydroxylation-induced interfacial siloxane bridge weakening. At low $a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, γ_a is high, and Γ_{FT} increases with increasing temperature owing to increased γ_p . At high a_{H_2O} , $\Gamma_{FT} \sim \gamma_a$ because the interfacial bonds are too weak to support copper plasticity; γ_a decreases with increasing temperature owing to facile bond breaking at elevated temperatures. Generation of interfacial deformation maps by our approach for other materials systems would open up new ways of studying the effects of thermochemical parameters on interfacial fracture to model phenomena such as stress corrosion cracking, stressinduced voiding and electro-migration that are accentuated in devices and systems composed of nanomaterials.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated an experimental approach by using molecular functionalization and environmental control to quantitatively partition the fracture energy $\Gamma_{\rm{FT}}$ of a heterointerface into work of adhesion γ_a and plastic energy γ_p . We employed a model metal-ceramic interface with a nanomolecular monolayer to force fracture to occur in a confined nanoscopic plane by breaking exactly one kind of interfacial bond whose strength can be adjusted by altering the chemical environment. This approach obviates uncertainties in the crack path, and the number and types of bonds broken, and allows the determination of the work of adhesion γ_a as well as the extraction of plastic energy γ_p and its dependence on γ_a . Our results provide a means to quantitatively describe fracture energetics by using the Griffith-Irwin model without neglecting either γ_p or γ_a . This framework obviates errors arising from neglecting either term and paves the way for rational design and accurate stability modeling of materials and structures composed of heterointerfaces, e.g., composites, coatings, material joints, nanoelectronics devices, and packaging. Additionally, it provides an alternative means for determining the ductile layer yield stress σ_{v} . Finally, our approach is attractive for studying the effects of environmental degradation, segregation, and molecular functionalization on the nanomechanics of interfacial fracture in diverse materials systems through the appropriate choice of nanomolecular layers and chemical environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the NSF through the Grant No. DMR 0519081 and a NRI-NIST grant through the Index Center at the University at Albany.

* ramanath@rpi.edu

- 1A. A. Griffith, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A **221**, 98 (1920).
- ²*Failure Analysis and Prevention* (ASM International, Materials Park, OH, 1975).
- 3G. R. Irwin, *Fracture Dynamics: Fracturing of Metals* (American Society for Metals, Cleveland, OH, 1948).
- 4J. W. Hutchinson, [J. Mech. Phys. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(68)90013-6) **16**, 1 (1968).
- ⁵M. Lane, R. H. Dauskardt, N. Krishna, and I. Hashim, [J. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2000.0033) Res. **15**[, 203 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2000.0033)
- 6Z. Suo, in *Comprehensive Structural Integrity*, edited by W. Y. W. Gerberich (Elsevier, St. Louis, MO, 2003), Vol. 8.
- 7N. A. Fleck, J. W. Hutchinson, and Z. Suo, [Int. J. Solids Struct.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(91)90069-R) **27**, [1683 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(91)90069-R)
- 8K. K. Cameron and R. H. Dauskardt, Scr. Mater. **54**[, 349 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2005.10.006)
- 9D. D. Gandhi, M. Lane, Y. Zhou, A. P. Singh, S. Nayak, U. Tisch, M. Eizenberg, and G. Ramanath, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05826) **447**, 299 (2007).
- ¹⁰G. Ramanath, G. Cui, P. G. Ganesan, X. Guo, A. V. Ellis,
- M. Stukowski, K. Vijayamohanan, P. Doppelt, and M. Lane, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1591232) Phys. Lett. **83**[, 383 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1591232)
- 11J. J. Vlassak, Y. Lin, and T. Y. Tsui, [Mater. Sci. Eng. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2004.08.070) **391**, 159 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2004.08.070)
- 12Q. Ma, [J. Mater. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.1997.0122) **12**, 840 (1997).
- 13P. G. Charalambides, J. Lund, A. G. Evans, and R. M. McMeeking, [J. Appl. Mech.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.3176069) **56**, 77 (1989).
- 14P. R. Wiederhold, *Water Vapor Measurement* (Dekker, New York, 1997).
- 15B. R. Lawn, *Fracture of Brittle Solids*(Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993).
- 16R. J. Charles, *A Review of Glass Strength* (Pergamon, New York, 1961).
- 17M. Lane, [Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.matsci.33.012202.130440) **33**, 29 (2003).
- 18R. F. Cook and E. G. Liniger, [J. Am. Ceram. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1993.tb03726.x) **76**, 1096 [\(1993\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1993.tb03726.x)
- ¹⁹A. Perriot, D. Vandembroucq, E. Barthel, V. Martinez, L. Grosvalet, C. Martinet, and B. Champagnon, [J. Am. Ceram. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00747.x) **89**, 596 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2005.00747.x)
- 20J. R. Rice and T. R., [Philos. Mag. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786437408213555) **29**, 73 (1974).
- 21M. Lane, R. H. Dauskardt, A. Vainchtein, and H. J. Gao, [J. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2000.0395) Res. **15**[, 2758 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2000.0395)
- 22V. Tvergaard and J. W. Hutchinson, [J. Mech. Phys. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(92)90020-3) **40**, 1377 [\(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(92)90020-3)
- ²³*Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials* (ASM International, Materials Park, OH, 1990).
- 24J. Schiotz and K. W. Jacobsen, Science **301**[, 1357 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1086636)
- 25A. A. Volinsky, J. Vella, I. S. Adhihetty, V. Sarihan, L. Mercado, B. H. Yeung, and W. W. Gerberich, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **649**, Q5.3 (2001).
- 26V. Tvergaard and J. W. Hutchinson, [Philos. Mag. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01418619408242253) **70**, 641 [\(1994\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01418619408242253)
- 27I. H. Lin and R. Thomson, Acta Mater. **34**[, 187 \(1986\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(86)90191-4)
- 28A. A. Volinsky, N. R. Moody, and W. W. Gerberich, [Acta Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(01)00354-8) **50**[, 441 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6454(01)00354-8)
- $29A$. A. Volinsky, N. I. Tymiak, M. D. Kriese, W. W. Gerberich, and J. W. Hutchinson, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **539**, 277 (1999).
- 30W. W. Gerberich, A. A. Volinsky, N. I. Tymiak, and N. R. Moody, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. **594**, 351 (2000).