Two-dimensional quantum rings with oscillating spin-orbit interaction strength: A wave function picture

Péter Földi,^{1[,*](#page-7-0)} Orsolya Kálmán,² and Mihály G. Benedict¹

1 *Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos körút 84, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary*

2 *Department of Quantum Optics and Quantum Information, Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, The Hungarian*

Academy of Sciences, Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 29-33, H-1121 Budapest, Hungary

(Received 30 June 2010; published 20 October 2010)

We determine the relevant spinor valued wave functions for a two-dimensional quantum ring in the presence of Rashba-type spin-orbit interaction (SOI). The case of constant SOI strength is considered first, then we investigate the physical consequences of time-dependent (oscillating) SOI strength. Floquet's method is applied to find time-dependent eigenspinors, and it is shown that the Floquet quasienergies and thus their differences (the generalized Rabi frequencies) are determined by the radial boundary conditions. Time evolution of various initial states is calculated.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.165322](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.165322)

PACS number(s): 85.35.Ds, 85.35.Be, 03.65. - w

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum rings made of semiconducting materials exhibiting Rashba-type¹ spin-orbit interaction²⁻⁵ (SOI) have attracted considerable attention due to fundamental spindependent quantum interference phenomena that are observable in these systems. Since the strength of the SOI can be tuned with external gate voltages, 3 quantum rings, or systems of them⁶⁻¹⁰ also have possible spintronic¹¹ applications. An interesting point in this context is the question of time-dependent SOI strength. Studies of transport related problems with oscillating SOI have been initiated in Ref. [12](#page-7-8) for a ring, and in Ref. [13](#page-7-9) for a ring-dot system, mainly in the context of spin currents. An analytical one-dimensional model for this problem has been developed in Ref. [14.](#page-7-10) In the current paper we consider a ring with finite width, calculate the time-dependent eigenspinors, i.e., F loquet $15,16$ $15,16$ states of the problem with oscillating SOI strength, and investigate the dynamics of various initial states. Our method is based on the solution of analytic equations leading to the exact spinorvalued wave functions and providing the maximal possible insight into the dynamical processes. This approach allows us to see clearly the appearance of high harmonics of the driving SOI oscillations in the Floquet states and consequently also in the position and spin-resolved time evolution of arbitrary initial states.

Considering different two-dimensional (2D) approaches, magnetotransport in a finite-width ring with Rashba SOI has been investigated using the scattering matrix method, by dividing the device into small stripes which were then connected by scattering matrices[.17](#page-7-13) In Ref. [18](#page-7-14) the single-electron spin orbitals of a two-dimensional ring were found by diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in a basis of multicenter Gaussian functions. The model of a two-dimensional hydrogen atom in the presence of Rashba SOI was used in Ref. [19](#page-7-15) to describe electronic bound states around charged impurities in two-dimensional systems. Persistent currents in semiconductor ballistic rings with spin-orbit Rashba interaction were also investigated by the multicomponent Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid model.²⁰ Luttinger model taking heavy- and light-hole states into account has recently been applied for

the investigation of spin-related quantum phases. 21 For the theoretical description of transport properties of diametrically connected finite-width rings with Rashba SOI, a tightbinding model with concentric lattice of ring chains has been used,²² while in Ref. [23](#page-7-19) a spin-dependent recursive Green'sfunction technique was applied to the relevant 2D Hamiltonian. Magnetic field related effects in ring shaped objects with finite width have also been investigated intensively.^{24–[29](#page-7-21)} Our approach is similar to that of Ref. [30,](#page-7-22) where the features of certain low-lying states as a function of the (constant) Rashba SOI were investigated, and Refs. [31](#page-7-23) and [32,](#page-7-24) where a circular (not ring shaped) quantum dot was considered.

In Sec. \mathbf{II} \mathbf{II} \mathbf{II} we recall the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with Rashba-type SOI term in an infinite 2D space ("bulk" 2D" eigenspinors) then it is shown how the radial boundary conditions lead to a discrete spectrum (Sec. [III A](#page-2-0)). We transfer this method to the case of oscillating SOI strength in Sec. [III B,](#page-3-0) where we determine the Floquet quasienergy spectrum as well as the corresponding Floquet states that satisfy the radial boundary conditions. As applications, in Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) spin and charge density oscillations and the dynamics of wave packets are calculated. Summary is given in Sec. [V.](#page-6-0)

II. SPIN-DEPENDENT EIGENVALUE PROBLEM IN 2D

The Hamiltonian for an electron moving in the *x*-*y* plane in the presence of Rashba spin-orbit interaction, can be written as

$$
H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \left(P_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} L_z^2 \right) + 2\alpha \left(\frac{1}{r} S_r L_z - S_\varphi P_r \right) = H_0 + H_{\text{SO}} \tag{1}
$$

using cylindrical coordinates. Here m^* is the effective mass of the electron, α is the Rashba coupling strength, H_0 is the spin-independent part, and H_{SO} contains the spin-orbit interaction term. The radial and azimuthal spin operators, S_r and S_{φ} , are dimensionless here (their true dimension comes from with the factor \hbar). We have also introduced the notation *P_r*=−*i* $\partial/\partial r$ and *L_z*=−*i* $\partial/\partial \varphi$ for the radial component of the momentum and *z* component of the dimensionless orbital angular momentum, respectively. Nanoscale quantum rings, for which the Hamiltonian above is relevant, can be fabricated from, e.g., InAlAs/InGaAs-based heterostructures³³ or $HgTe/HgCdTe$ quantum wells.⁵ Let us note that the confining potential does not appear explicitly in the Hamiltonian above. We are going to consider hard wall boundary conditions that will be taken into account in Sec. [III.](#page-2-1) Although soft wall confinement is definitely more realistic (see, e.g., Ref. [34](#page-7-26)), we expect that our analytic approach can capture the most important physical phenomena appearing in rings of finite width.

The Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) (1) (1) commutes with

$$
K = L_z + S_z \tag{2}
$$

the *z* component of the total angular momentum as obviously $[H_0, K] = 0$ while in

$$
[HSO, K] = 2\alpha \left[\frac{1}{r} S_r L_z - S_\varphi P_r, L_z + S_z \right]
$$
 (3)

we obtain for the nonzero commutators $[S_r, L_z]=iS_\varphi$, $[S_r, S_z] = -iS_\varphi$, $[S_\varphi, L_z] = -iS_r$, and $[S_\varphi, S_z] = iS_r$. This can also be expected from the fact that *H* comes from the Dirac Hamiltonian that conserves total angular momentum. Note that L_z and S_z alone are not constants of motion.

Looking at the form of K , one sees that its eigenvalues κ are doubly degenerete because an eigenvalue m of L_z and $1/2$ of S_z leads to $\kappa = m + 1/2$, which gives the same result as eigenvalues *m*+1 and −1/2 of the respective operators. This is similar to the one-dimensional case, where the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian in the subspace corresponding to a given value of κ are linear combinations of the eigenstates $e^{im\varphi}$ \uparrow and $e^{i(m+1)\varphi}$ \downarrow $>$ [31](#page-7-23)[,32,](#page-7-24)[35](#page-7-27) Note that in the eigenbasis of the spin oparator S_z we have $|\uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|\downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Guided by the cylindrical symmetry of the system, in the two-dimensional case we are led to look for the solution of the eigenvalue equation of $H_0 + H_{SO}$ in the subspace corresponding to a given value of κ in the form of a linear combination of basis states ϕ_m \uparrow and ϕ_{m+1} \downarrow \rangle , where $\phi_m = Z_m(kr)e^{im\varphi}$ are the eigenfunctions of the free Hamiltonian $H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \Delta_{r\varphi}$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} k^2$ and written here in terms of cylindrical coordinates.³¹ As it is well known, the cylindrical wave functions $Z_m(kr)$ obey the Bessel equation

$$
\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{m^2}{r^2}\right)Z_m = k^2 Z_m,\tag{4}
$$

where *k* is a positive real number, while in the case of a closed ring, *m* must be an integer. Accordingly we look for the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian as a linear combination $\psi = a_{k} \phi_{m} |\uparrow\rangle + b_{k} \phi_{m+1} |\downarrow\rangle$, which leads to the following equation for the eigenspinors:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} -\Delta_{r\varphi} & \gamma e^{-i\varphi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) \\ \gamma e^{i\varphi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) & -\Delta_{r\varphi} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{\kappa} \phi_m \\ b_{\kappa} \phi_{m+1} \end{array}\right) = \frac{2m^*}{\hbar^2} E \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{\kappa} \phi_m \\ b_{\kappa} \phi_{m+1} \end{array}\right),
$$
\n(5)

where $\gamma = 2m^* \alpha / \hbar^2$. Due to the recurrence relations valid for Bessel functions $36,37$ $36,37$ one has

$$
e^{-i\varphi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) Z_{m+1} e^{i(m+1)\varphi} = k Z_m e^{im\varphi},
$$

$$
e^{i\varphi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right) Z_m e^{im\varphi} = k Z_{m+1} e^{i(m+1)\varphi}
$$
 (6)

thus

$$
H_{\text{SO}}\phi_m|\uparrow\rangle = \gamma k \phi_{m+1}|\downarrow\rangle,\tag{7}
$$

$$
H_{\text{SO}}\phi_{m+1}|\downarrow\rangle = \gamma k \phi_m|\uparrow\rangle\tag{8}
$$

and Eq. (5) (5) (5) results in

$$
\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \begin{bmatrix} k^2 & \gamma k \\ \gamma k & k^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{\kappa} \\ b_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} a_{\kappa} \\ b_{\kappa} \end{bmatrix},
$$
(9)

where the $|\cdot|$ brackets denote that we have written the eigenvalue equation in the $\{\phi_m | \uparrow\}$, $\phi_{m+1} | \downarrow\}$ basis. This yields the following two eigenvalues

$$
E^{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (k^2 \pm \gamma k)
$$
 (10)

and the corresponding ratios of the coefficients are

$$
\left(\frac{a_{\kappa}}{b_{\kappa}}\right)^{\pm} = \pm 1. \tag{11}
$$

The actual values of the coefficients can be determined by an additional normalization procedure to be given below. The result above is valid for arbitrary positive, zero, or negative integer *m*.

FIG. 1. Two-dimensional quantum ring and the relevant coordinates.

III. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The geometry shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-2) requires to specify the solution of the spin-dependent eigenvalue problem presented in the previous section with appropriate boundary conditions. In the following we consider hard wall potentials that confine the electrons into the ring shaped region. We assume that the spinor-valued wave functions ψ vanish at the radial coordinates r_0 and r_1

$$
\psi(kr_0) = \psi(kr_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(12)

From now on, we use dimensionless quantities. With the notation $\Omega = \frac{\hbar}{2m^*r_1^2}$ energy is to be measured in units of $\hbar\Omega$ while the strength of the Rashba spin-orbit interaction is characterized by the dimensionless ratio $\omega/\Omega = 2m^* \alpha r_1 / \hbar^2 = \gamma r_1$.

A. Constant SOI

Results of Sec. [II](#page-0-0) show that a certain energy ε can result from two positive *k* values, which are given by the solutions of Eq. (10) (10) (10)

$$
k_{+} = -\frac{\omega}{2\Omega r_{1}} + \frac{1}{r_{1}}\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{2\Omega}\right)^{2} + \varepsilon},
$$

$$
k_{-} = \frac{\omega}{2\Omega r_{1}} + \frac{1}{r_{1}}\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega}{2\Omega}\right)^{2} + \varepsilon},
$$
(13)

where $\varepsilon = E/\hbar \Omega$. For a given value of $\kappa = m + 1/2$, we obtain four linearly independent spinors $31,32$ $31,32$

$$
|\psi_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} J_m(k_+r)e^{im\varphi} \\ J_{m+1}(k_+r)e^{i(m+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
|\psi_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} N_m(k_+r)e^{im\varphi} \\ N_{m+1}(k_+r)e^{i(m+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
|\psi_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -J_m(k_-r)e^{im\varphi} \\ J_{m+1}(k_-r)e^{i(m+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
|\psi_4\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -N_m(k_-r)e^{im\varphi} \\ N_{m+1}(k_-r)e^{i(m+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix}
$$
(14)

the superpositions of which correspond to the energy ε . However, when we take the boundary conditions into account, generally we find that they cannot be satisfied for an arbitrary energy. Equation ([12](#page-2-3)) for $\Psi = \sum c_n |\psi_n\rangle$ mean a set of four linear equations for the expansion coefficients c_n and nontrivial solution exists only if the determinant

$$
D\left(\varepsilon,\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} J_m(k_+r_0) & N_m(k_+r_0) & -J_m(k_-r_0) & -N_m(k_-r_0) \\ J_{m+1}(k_+r_0) & N_{m+1}(k_+r_0) & J_{m+1}(k_-r_0) & N_{m+1}(k_-r_0) \\ J_m(k_+r_1) & N_m(k_+r_1) & -J_m(k_-r_1) & -N_m(k_-r_1) \\ J_{m+1}(k_+r_1) & N_{m+1}(k_+r_1) & J_{m+1}(k_-r_1) & N_{m+1}(k_-r_1) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(15)

vanishes. (Note that energy and SOI dependence of *D* results from that of the wave numbers k_{\pm} .) For a certain (constant) SOI strength, by sweeping the energy, we can look for zeros of $D(\varepsilon)$, to find a discrete spectrum determined by the boundary conditions, as demonstrated in Fig. [2.](#page-3-1) Different double "curves" (that are close to parabolas) represent different radial modes: the ones with the lowest energy correspond to states with no node between r_0 and r_1 while for states with energies *n* step higher there are *n* circles between r_0 and r_1 where both spinor components are zero (see Fig. 3). The two states corresponding to the same radial mode and value of κ

are orthogonal if we take the spin degree of freedom also into account. The eigenenergies and states can be labeled as ε_{nk}^{\pm} , $|\psi_{nk}^{\pm}\rangle$, respectively, with κ and *n* referring to the spatial degrees of freedom (azimuthal and radial coordinates) while + and − distinguish the two spin directions.

In this way we can obtain a complete solution of the eigenvalue problem related to the Hamiltonian (1) (1) (1) with the boundary conditions described by Eq. (12) (12) (12) for any fixed SOI strength. This allows us to calculate the time evolution of any initial state $|\Psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{n\kappa} \beta_{n\kappa}^{\dagger} |\psi_{n\kappa}^{\dagger}\rangle + \beta_{n\kappa}^{-} |\psi_{n\kappa}^{\dagger}\rangle$ simply as

FIG. 2. Level scheme for two-dimensional rings with different parameters. (a) $r_0/r_1 = 0.6$, $\omega/\Omega = 0.1$; (b) $r_0/r_1 = 0.6$, $\omega/\Omega = 4.0$; (c) $r_0/r_1 = 0.8$, $\omega/\Omega = 0.1$, and (d) $r_0/r_1 = 0.8$, $\omega/\Omega = 4.0$. Energy is measured in units of $\hbar \Omega = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*}$ $\frac{n}{2m^*r_1^2}$. The vertical line in panel (b) corresponds to $\kappa = 9/2$, which is the value for which the wave functions are plotted in Fig. [3.](#page-3-2)

$$
|\Psi(\tau)\rangle = \sum_{n\kappa} \beta_{n\kappa}^+ |\psi_{n\kappa}^+\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{n\kappa}^+ \tau} + \beta_{n\kappa}^- |\psi_{n\kappa}^-\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{n\kappa}^- \tau}.\tag{16}
$$

We use here the dimensionless time variable $\tau = \Omega t / 2\pi$, and the expansion coefficients are given by the inner product

$$
\beta_{n\kappa}^{\pm} = \langle \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^{\pm} | \Psi(0) \rangle \rangle = \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^{\pm}(r,\varphi) | \Psi(r,\varphi,0) \rangle r d\varphi dr, \tag{17}
$$

where $\langle .|. \rangle$ denotes the usual spin inner product. Note that the eigenstates are normalized in the sense of Eq. (17) (17) (17) , i.e., $\langle \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^+ | \psi_{n\kappa}^+ \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^- | \psi_{n\kappa}^- \rangle \rangle = 1.$

The spinor valued eigenfunctions are visualized in Fig. [3,](#page-3-2) where the spin-independent probability density

$$
\rho^{\pm}(r,\varphi) = \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^{\pm}(r,\varphi) | \psi_{n\kappa}^{\pm}(r,\varphi) \rangle \tag{18}
$$

is shown for $n=1, \ldots, 4$ as well as the position-dependent expectation values $\langle S_x \rangle$, $\langle S_y \rangle$, and $\langle S_z \rangle$, where, e.g.,

$$
\langle S_z \rangle(r, \varphi) = \langle \psi_{n\kappa}^+(r, \varphi) | S_z | \psi_{n\kappa}^+(r, \varphi) \rangle. \tag{19}
$$

B. Oscillating SOI strength

When the strength of the SOI is not constant, the dimensionless Hamiltonian still can be transformed into an algebraic matrix using the basis states ϕ_m \uparrow \rangle and ϕ_{m+1} \downarrow \rangle , leading to

$$
H(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} k^2 & k \frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega} \\ k \frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega} & k^2 \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (20)

FIG. 3. (Color online) Wave functions for $\kappa = 9/2$, r_0 / r_1 $=0.6$, ω/Ω = 4.0 [See the vertical line in Fig. [2](#page-3-1)(b)]. Probability den-sities in Eq. ([18](#page-3-4))—that do not depend on φ in the current cases—are shown in panel (a) while the position-dependent expectation value of S_x , S_y and S_z for the states indicated by the arrows can be seen in panel (b).

where units of $1/r_1$ have been used for the wave number *k*. In the following we consider the case of oscillating SOI strength, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega} = A \cos(\nu \tau) = A \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\tau}{T}\right). \tag{21}
$$

According to Floquet's theorem, there is a time-dependent basis

FIG. 4. Floquet quasienergies k^2 (measured in units of $\hbar\Omega$) for a ring with $r_0 / r_1 = 0.6$.

$$
|\Phi_r(\tau)\rangle = |u_r(\tau)\rangle e^{-i\epsilon_r \tau}, \quad |u_r(\tau + \mathcal{T})\rangle = |u_r(\tau)\rangle,
$$

$$
\langle u_1(\tau)|u_2(\tau)\rangle = 0, \quad \langle u_r(\tau)|u_r(\tau)\rangle = 1,
$$
 (22)

where $T=2\pi/\nu$. Unlike the Floquet states $|u_r(\tau)\rangle$, the elements of this basis themselves are not T-periodic functions. Let us recall that if ϵ_r is a Floquet quasienergy and the corresponding state is $|\Phi_r(\tau)\rangle$, then the same holds for $\epsilon_r + n\nu$ and $|\Phi_r(\tau)\rangle \times \exp(in\nu\tau)$ for any integer *n*. However, these states are equivalent from the dynamical point of view, thus it is sufficient to focus on only two nonequivalent quasienergies.

The determination of the two relevant elements of the basis in Eq. ([22](#page-4-1)) and the corresponding Floquet quasienergies for a given value of *k* can be done numerically essentially by the Fourier expansion of the eigenvalue equation. In the current case, however, an exact analytical result can also be found (see Appendix)

$$
|\Phi^{+}(\tau)\rangle = e^{-ik^{2}\tau} \left[1 \right] \frac{e^{-iAk/\nu \sin \nu\tau}}{\sqrt{2}},
$$

$$
|\Phi^{-}(\tau)\rangle = e^{-ik^{2}\tau} \left[-1 \right] \frac{e^{iAk/\nu \sin \nu\tau}}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad (23)
$$

that is, the quasienergies k^2 are doubly degenerate. Using the basis elements we can construct a determinant similar to that of the previous section

 $\overline{1}$

$$
D_2(k) = \begin{bmatrix} J_m(kr_0) & N_m(kr_0) & -J_m(kr_0) & -N_m(kr_0) \\ J_{m+1}(kr_0) & N_{m+1}(kr_0) & J_{m+1}(kr_0) & N_{m+1}(kr_0) \\ J_m(kr_1) & N_m(kr_1) & -J_m(kr_1) & -N_m(kr_1) \\ J_{m+1}(kr_1) & N_{m+1}(kr_1) & J_{m+1}(kr_1) & N_{m+1}(kr_1) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(24)

the zero value of which means that boundary conditions given by Eq. (12) (12) (12) are satisfied (see second part of the Appendix). Similarly to the case of constant SOI, the resulting quasienergies $k^2(n, m, \pm) = \epsilon_{n\kappa}^{\pm}$ (and states $|\Phi_{n\kappa}^{\pm}\rangle$) can be distinguished by specifying the radial (n) , the angular momentum (κ) , and the spin (\pm) quantum numbers. A representative example of the set of the quasienergies is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-4-2)

Now the problem of the time evolution governed by the Hamiltonian (20) (20) (20) can be solved for any given initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ using the Floquet basis: one simply needs to expand $|\psi_0\rangle$ in this basis at $\tau=0$ and use the time dependence of the basis elements to compute the dynamics.

Let us note that the results above can be adapted to the case when the SOI strength—besides the oscillating part discussed above—has a constant shift, i.e., when $\frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega}$ $=B+A \cos(\nu \tau)$. As it is pointed out in the Appendix, we only have to replace $i\frac{Ak}{\nu}$ sin $\nu\tau$ by $-iB\tau + i\frac{Ak}{\nu}$ sin $\nu\tau$ everywhere in the exponents.

IV. TIME EVOLUTION: HIGH HARMONICS AND WAVE-PACKET MOTION

The time dependence of the Floquet states given by Eq. (23) (23) (23) implies that for "allowed" values of k (when the boundary conditions are satisfied) the time evolution of measurable quantities shall contain harmonics of the driving frequency ν . More explicitly, by using a relevant form of the Jacobi-Anger identity, 37 we can write

$$
e^{\pm iAk/\nu\sin\nu\tau} = \sum_{\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{\alpha}\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\right) e^{\pm i\alpha\nu\tau}.
$$
 (25)

This implies, that for SOI oscillations with large enough amplitudes A , several multiples of ν can appear in the time evolution.

As an example, we consider doublet states with welldefined *z* component of the total angular momentum. The time evolution of these states can be of interest because conservation of the angular momentum may provide a method for preparing them. Absorption of circularly polarized photons, e.g., can excite these states. Interaction of short light pulses with the electrons confined in a ring has been dis-cussed in Refs. 38-[40.](#page-7-31)) Spin oscillations could be detected, e.g., by Faraday rotation experiments.⁴¹ Taking a superposition of two states with the same quantum numbers κ and n , the resulting spin oscillations are shown in Fig. 5 (where, in order to focus on the appearance of the harmonics, rapid oscillations resulting from the difference of the quasienergies have been averaged out.) Note the qualitatively different behavior for small and large amplitude SOI oscillations. The amplitude of the spin oscillation shown in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) is position dependent but the frequencies that appear in the time evolution are determined by the amplitude of the SOI variation: larger amplitude *A* means that we can see more harmonics of ν .

Observable charge-density oscillations appear when the initial state is a superposition of spinors corresponding to different radial modes. With realistic parameters, in this case the difference of the quasienergies is larger than routinely achievable driving frequencies. (For a ring with r_1 =250 nm, r_0 =0.6 r_1 made of InGaAs, the frequency corresponding to the ground—first excited radial mode transition is in the terahertz range.) Fig. [6](#page-5-1) illustrates the broadening of the frequency distribution due the SOI oscillations. The weight of the various frequency components in the Fourier expansion of the probability density corresponding to the

 \mathbf{I}

FIG. 5. (Color online) Spin oscillations in a ring with $r_0 / r_1 = 0.6$, $\nu = 0.01$ as a function of time and coordinates *r* and φ . The amplitude of the SOI oscillations is $A = 0.1$ for panel (a), where $\langle S_y \rangle(\tau)$ is shown, and *A*=3.0 for panel (b), where we can see the time evolution of $\langle S_x \rangle(\tau)$. The initial state is $(\Phi_{1,15/2}^+ + \Phi_{1,15/2}^-)/\sqrt{2}$ in both cases and rapid oscillations due to the difference of the quasienergies have been removed by averaging in time domain. Panel (c) corresponds to the same parameters and expectation value as (a) but it shows a circular section. (See the schematic rings on the right hand side that illustrate what slices are plotted in the different panels.)

equal weight superposition of Floquet states with $\kappa = 15/2$ and $n=1,2$ is shown in this figure. For small amplitude SOI oscillations, the frequency distribution is narrow, it contains essentially only the difference of the quasienergies, $k_1^2 - k_2^2$. However, larger, but still realistic SOI oscillation amplitudes induce harmonics of the order of a few tens to appear with

FIG. 6. Normalized magnitudes of the frequency amplitudes in the Fourier series expansion of the probability density corresponding to $(\Phi^+_{1,15/2} + \Phi^+_{2,15/2}) / \sqrt{2}$ at $r = 0.75$, $\varphi = 0$. Note that frequency is measured in units $1/\hbar\Omega$.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Collapse and revival phenomenon in a ring with constant SOI (ω/Ω =3.0, r_0/r =0.6.) The initial state is a Gaussian wave packet, being multiplied by $\sin \frac{\pi(r-r_0)}{r_1-r_0}$ to satisfy the boundary conditions.

non-negligible weight, resulting in a considerable widening of the frequency distribution.

Now we consider the time evolution of a localized wave packet where the width of the frequency distribution also plays a prominent role. Starting with a narrow, spin-polarized initial state, one expects it to spread so that the spin direction changes locally during the process. However, the boundary conditions imply that when "bouncing back" from the walls, as well as when the "tail" and "head" of the spreading wavepacket overlap, we observe interference phenomena. This leads to rather complex dynamics, which, however, is periodic in the following sense: the discrete nature of the spectrum (which is a consequence of the boundary conditions and the geometry) may cause the initial phases to be restored after a certain "revival time." In other words, we expect periodic "collapse and revival" phenomena: the initially localized wave packet becomes delocalized along the ring but later it reassembles again.

Having determined the distribution of the frequencies that appear in a time evolution allows for the estimation of the revival time T_r . For a single-peaked distribution with numerous frequencies involved, the width $\Delta\omega$ of the envelope is the most important quantity, we have $T_r \approx 2\pi/\Delta\omega$. In our case, similarly to the predictions of the one-dimensional model, 14 the internal structure inside a peak also plays a role leading to a longer revival time as the one that can be deduced from $\Delta\omega$. However, for initial wave packets narrow enough in the radial direction, several radial modes are excited, leading to rapid phase oscillations. In view of this, we cannot expect perfect revivals, but as the example of Fig. [7](#page-5-2) shows, the characteristic features of the collapse and revival phenomena are generally present also in the two-dimensional model. The difference between the dynamics in the radial and azimuthal direction is emphasized by Figs. $7(b) - 7(d)$ $7(b) - 7(d)$: superpositions of wave packets localized at different spatial

positions (Schrödinger-cat states) appear rapidly in the radial direction compared to the formation of similar states in the azimuthal direction and approximate revival of the initial wave packet (involving azimuthal coordinates as well) takes also considerably more time. (In fact, Schrödinger-cat states in the azimuthal direction appear at integer fractions of T_r .) The appearance of "radial Schrödinger-cat states" is obviously an interesting two-dimensional feature.

Note that the results of the current paper can be considered as an important step toward the solution of the transport problem through a two-dimensional ring with oscillating SOI strength, which can be of experimental importance. If the leads connecting the ring to the electrodes are narrow enough, we expect the energy levels to be modified only slightly, thus our results can provide a good approximation inside the ring. Considering transport phenomena, besides the usual transversal-mode-related quantization (seen as different parabolas in Figs. 2 and 4) of the conductance, strong SOI oscillations can lead to multiple "sideband currents.["14](#page-7-10)[,42](#page-7-33) That is, qualitatively, the appearance of high harmonics of the driving frequency in the energy spectrum of the transmitted electrons is expected also in the case when wide wires strongly modify the energy spectrum of the ring. In principle, the spin oscillations coupled to coherent propagation could be detected also in a closed ring of finite width by an experiment similar to the pump-probe method applied in Ref. [41.](#page-7-32)

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the current paper we used a wave function picture to describe the dynamics of electrons confined into a twodimensional ring-shaped region, in the presence of oscillating Rashba-type spin-orbit interaction strength. Our approach is analytic until the point when evaluation of special functions is necessary, it provides the most possible physical insight into the problem. We show that radial boundary conditions are responsible for the discreteness of the Floquet quasienergy spectrum, and determine the corresponding time-dependent eigenspinors. Using this basis it was demonstrated that high harmonics of the SOI oscillation frequency appear in the spectrum of spin and charge density oscillations, already with experimentally achievable SOI oscillation amplitudes. We also determined the time evolution of localized wave packets, collapse and revival phenomena, as well as both radial and azimuthal Schrödinger-cat states were shown to appear.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) under Contracts No. T81364 and No. M045596. P.F. was supported by a J. Bolyai grant of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. The research was supported by the TAMOP 421B project of the National Development Agency, Hungary.

APPENDIX

1. Floquet states

The operator given by Eq. (20) (20) (20) commutes with itself at any two time instants τ_1 and τ_2 . Therefore the time evolution operator can be written as

$$
U(\tau) = U(0, \tau) = e^{-i\int_0^{\tau} H(\tau') d\tau'}.
$$
 (A1)

As $H(\tau) = k^2 \mathbf{1} + \sigma_x kA \cos(\nu \tau)$, by evaluating the integral we find

$$
U(\tau) = e^{-ik^2\tau} \sum_{n} \left(\frac{iAk \sin \nu \tau}{\nu} \right)^n \frac{\sigma_x^n}{n!}.
$$
 (A2)

Note that in the more general case, when $\frac{\omega(\tau)}{\Omega}$ = *B*+*A* cos($\nu\tau$), all the steps described below still can be followed, the single modification is that we have to add $-iB\tau$ to the scalar term in the sum above. (That is, $i\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau$ should be replaced by $-iB\tau + i\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau$.)

Since even powers of σ_x are equal to the 2×2 unit matrix while the odd ones give σ_r again, finally we have

$$
U(\tau) = e^{-ik^2\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) & -i\sin\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) \\ -i\sin\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) & \cos\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (A3)

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $e^{-ik^2\tau\mp iAk/\nu\sin \nu\tau}$, and the corresponding eigenvectors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{1}{1})$ do not depend on time. Comparing the result with Eq. (22) (22) (22) , we obtain the Floquet states given by Eq. (23) (23) (23) .

2. Boundary conditions in the time-dependent case

 $D_2(k)$ given by Eq. ([24](#page-4-4)) is obtained by arranging the Floquet states with both possible radial functions (evaluated at the boundaries) in a determinant form. The corresponding linear equation, is, however, time dependent. Its matrix, *M*, can be obtained from D_2 by multiplying the first two columns by $exp(-ik^2\tau - i\frac{Ak}{\nu}sin \nu \tau)$ while the third and the fourth one by $exp(-ik^2 \tau + i \frac{AK}{\nu} sin \nu \tau)$. [The rows of *M* should in principle also be obtained from that of D_2 using the appropriate φ -dependent factors but this means only the multiplication of the equations by $exp(im\varphi)$ or $exp[i(m+1)\varphi]$. Considering only the signs of the coefficients in the matrices/ determinants, we write symbolically

$$
D_2(k) = \begin{vmatrix} + & + & - & - \\ + & + & + & + \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & + & + & + \end{vmatrix} . \tag{A4}
$$

Using the same notations and omitting irrelevant common multiplicative factors, the matrix of the homogeneous equation that requires the boundary conditions to be satisfied at any time instant reads

$$
M = \cos\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right)M_{+} - i\sin\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right)M_{-} \quad (A5)
$$

with

$$
M_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} + & + & \mp & \mp \\ + & + & \pm & \pm \\ + & + & \mp & \mp \\ + & + & \pm & \pm \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (A6)

The determinant corresponding to the linear equations described by M_{\pm} is still D_2 , thus when it vanishes, both equations have a nontrivial solution vector. Choosing one of them, we have e.g., $M_+ \alpha = 0$. Obviously, changing the sign of the third and fourth components of α , we obtain a solution $\tilde{\alpha}$ for which $M_-\tilde{\alpha}$ =0. Finally the spinor valued wave functions satisfying the boundary conditions in the time-dependent case are obtained by using, e.g., the first two rows of $M(r)$ $(r_0$ is being replaced by *r* in *M*)

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\psi_1 \\
\psi_2\n\end{pmatrix} = \cos\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) \sum_n \begin{pmatrix}\n[M_+(r)]_{1n}\alpha_n e^{im\varphi} \\
[M_+(r)]_{2n}\alpha_n e^{i(m+1)\varphi}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
-i \sin\left(\frac{Ak}{\nu}\sin \nu\tau\right) \sum_n \begin{pmatrix}\n[M_-(r)]_{1n}\tilde{\alpha}_n e^{im\varphi} \\
[M_-(r)]_{2n}\tilde{\alpha}_n e^{i(m+1)\varphi}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= e^{-iAk/\nu\sin \nu\tau} \sum_n \begin{pmatrix}\n[M_+(r)]_{1n}\alpha_n e^{im\varphi} \\
[M_+(r)]_{2n}\alpha_n e^{i(m+1)\varphi}\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$
\n(A7)

According to Floquet's theorem, these states [that correspond to the zeros of $D_2(k)$] multiplied by $e^{-ik^2\tau}$ can be called the time-dependent eigenstates of the problem.

*foldi@physx.u-szeged.hu

- ¹E. I. Rashba, Sov. Phys. Solid State 2, 1109 (1960).
- ²D. Grundler, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.6074)* **84**, 6074 (2000).
- ³ J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, H. Takayanagi, and T. Enoki, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1335)* Lett. **78**[, 1335](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1335) (1997).
- 4Y. Sato, S. G. T. Kita, and S. Yamada, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1362356) **89**, 8017 $(2001).$ $(2001).$ $(2001).$
- 5M. König, A. Tschetschetkin, E. M. Hankiewicz, J. Sinova, V. Hock, V. Daumer, M. Schäfer, C. R. Becker, H. Buhmann, and L. W. Molenkamp, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.076804)* **96**, 076804 (2006).
- 6T. Bergsten, T. Kobayashi, Y. Sekine, and J. Nitta, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.196803) Lett. 97[, 196803](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.196803) (2006).
- 7Z. Zhu, Y. Wang, K. Xia, X. C. Xie, and Z. Ma, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.125311) **76**, [125311](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.125311) (2007).
- 8O. Kálmán, P. Földi, M. G. Benedict, and F. M. Peeters, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.125306) Rev. B 78[, 125306](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.125306) (2008).
- ⁹P. Földi, O. Kálmán, M. G. Benedict, and F. M. Peeters, [Nano](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl801858a) Lett. 8[, 2556](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl801858a) (2008).
- ¹⁰T. Chwiej and B. Szafran, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.245306)* **78**, 245306 (2008).
- 11D. D. Awschalom, D. Loss, and N. Samarth, *Semiconductor* Spintronics and Quantum Computation (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
- ¹² B. H. Wu and J. C. Cao, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.113303)* **75**, 113303 (2007).
- 13F. Romeo, R. Citro, and M. Marinaro, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.245309) **78**, 245309 $(2008).$ $(2008).$ $(2008).$
- ¹⁴P. Földi, M. G. Benedict, O. Kálmán, and F. M. Peeters, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.165303)* Rev. B **80**[, 165303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.165303) (2009).
- ¹⁵ M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.205320)* **66**, 205320 (2002).
- ¹⁶ W. Li and L. E. Reichl, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.15732)* **60**, 15732 (1999).
- ¹⁷ B. H. Wu and J. C. Cao, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.115313)* **74**, 115313 (2006).
- ¹⁸M. P. Nowak and B. Szafran, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.195319)* **80**, 195319 (2009).
- ¹⁹C. Grimaldi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.113308)* 77, 113308 (2008).
- 20 M. Pletyukhov and V. Gritsev, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.165316)* **70**, 165316 (2004).
- 21M. Jääskeläinen and U. Zülicke, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.155326) **81**, 155326

 $(2010).$ $(2010).$ $(2010).$

- ²² S. Souma and B. K. Nikolić, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.195346)* **70**, 195346 (2004).
- ²³D. Frustaglia and K. Richter, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.235310)* **69**, 235310 (2004).
- 24B. Szafran and M. R. Poniedziałek, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.155334) **80**, 155334 $(2009).$ $(2009).$ $(2009).$
- 25E. Strambini, V. Piazza, G. Biasiol, L. Sorba, and F. Beltram, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.195443) **79**, 195443 (2009).
- 26M. R. Poniedzialek and B. Szafran, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/21/215801) **22**[, 215801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/21/215801) (2010).
- 2^7 S. Bellucci and P. Onorato, J. Nanophotonics 4, 043508 (2010).
- ²⁸ S. Bellucci and P. Onorato, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3366716) **107**, 073703 (2010).
- ²⁹L. Arrachea, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.155407)* **70**, 155407 (2004).
- ³⁰ J.-S. Feng and Z. Liu, [Chin. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/26/8/080305) **26**, 080305 (2009).
- 31 E. Bulgakov and A. Sadreev, [JETP Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/1.1387515) **73**, 505 (2001).
- 32E. Tsitsishvili, G. S. Lozano, and A. O. Gogolin, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.115316) 70[, 115316](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.115316) (2004).
- 33T. Koga, J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, and H. Takayanagi, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.046801) Lett. **89**[, 046801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.046801) (2002).
- ³⁴ M. Büttiker, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.7906)* 41, 7906 (1990).
- 35B. Molnár, F. M. Peeters, and P. Vasilopoulos, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.155335) **69**, [155335](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.155335) (2004).
- ³⁶*Tables of Integrals, Sums and Rows*, edited by I. Gradstein and I. Rizik (Nauka, Moskva, 1971).
- ³⁷*Handbook of mathematical functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1965).
- 38A. Matos-Abiague and J. Berakdar, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.166801) **94**, 166801 $(2005).$ $(2005).$ $(2005).$
- ³⁹ Z.-G. Zhu and J. Berakdar, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.235438)* 77, 235438 (2008).
- 40Z. G. Zhu and J. Berakdar, [Phys. Status Solidi B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pssb.200983031) **247**, 641 $(2010).$ $(2010).$ $(2010).$
- 41Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1906301) **86**, 162107 (2005).
- 42 M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.075303)* 68, 075303 (2003).