# Ab initio lattice dynamics and thermodynamics of rare-earth hexaborides LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>

Tanju Gürel<sup>1</sup> and Resul Eryiğit<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Namik Kemal University, Tekirdağ 59030, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu 14280, Turkey

(Received 24 May 2010; revised manuscript received 11 August 2010; published 14 September 2010)

We have performed an *ab initio* study of structural, elastic, lattice-dynamical, and thermodynamical properties of rare-earth hexaborides  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$ . The calculations have been carried out within the density-functional theory and linear-response formalism using pseudopotentials and a plane-wave basis. Thermodynamical properties of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  obtained from quasiharmonic approximation are in a good agreement with the available experimental data. We also present the complete phonon-dispersion curves, phonon density of states, and mode-Grüneisen parameters and compared with the experimental measurements. A sizable difference between the vibrational contribution to entropy of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  is found. The thermal electronic contribution to entropy and specific heat is found to be important for  $CeB_6$ .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.104302

PACS number(s): 63.20.dk, 65.40.-b

# I. INTRODUCTION

Rare-earth hexaborides  $(RB_6)$  have been attracting much attention because of their interesting electronic and magnetic which include properties, metallic, semiconducting  $(EuB_6, YbB_6)$ <sup>1</sup>, superconducting [YB<sub>6</sub> (Ref. 2)], fluctuating valence  $[SmB_6 (Ref. 3)]$ , and heavy fermion behavior  $[CeB_6$ (Ref. 4)]. These wide range of properties result from a complicated interplay between the lattice structure and the lattice-dynamical and electronic properties of RB<sub>6</sub>'s. RB<sub>6</sub> compounds crystallize in cubic CsCl structure (space group  $O_{b}^{1}$  with rare-earth atoms occupying the cube corners while boron octahedra at the body-center position. In such a structure, each rare-earth atom is surrounded by eight boron octahedra. This unique cage structure of the RB6's has important implications on their lattice-dynamical properties which determine their thermodynamical behavior to a great extent. Along these lines, Mandrus *et al.*<sup>5</sup> have shown that the temperature dependence of the specific heat and resistivity of LaB<sub>6</sub> could be explained well by using a model of La ions as independent Einstein oscillators embedded in a Debye framework of boron ions.

The structural, elastic, vibrational, and thermodynamical properties of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> have been investigated experimentally by several groups. But the results are sometimes contradictory or insufficient. Detailed Raman-scattering investigation of divalent and trivalent hexaborides has been reported by Refs. 6-8. The lattice dynamics of  $RB_6$  compounds show a number of peculiar features, such as very flat acoustic mode dispersion along most of the high-symmetry directions of the Brillouin zone (BZ) and an observation of a low-frequency Raman-active mode which is not expected based on the symmetry considerations.<sup>6</sup> This mode has been assigned to defect or two-phonon scattering which involves zone-boundary LA modes. Various significantly different values have been reported for the measured elastic compliance constants for  $CeB_6$ , especially  $C_{12}$ , in the literature; Ref. 9 gives  $C_{12}$ =-93 GPa while Nakamura *et al.*<sup>10</sup> report a value of 53 GPa for the same constant. There are, also, similar but smaller differences among the various experimental reports on the bulk modulus values of the each compound  $[LaB_6]$  (Refs. 11–15) and CeB<sub>6</sub> (Refs. 9, 10, 16, and 17)]. Experimental phonon-dispersion curves measured with inelastic neutron scattering are reported by Smith *et al.*<sup>18</sup> and Kunii *et al.*<sup>19</sup> for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, respectively. But these studies give the dispersions only for the acoustic modes and the lowest optic mode.

Thermodynamical properties, such as entropy, specific heat, thermal-expansion coefficient, and temperaturedependent equation of state (EOS) of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> have been the subject of many studies over the years. The thermodynamical properties of LaB<sub>6</sub> has an extra importance for the analysis of the thermal properties of  $RB_6$ 's because they are used as the nonmagnetic reference values to extract the magnetism related thermal properties of all the other  $RB_6$ 's.<sup>19</sup> This practice assumes identical vibrational thermal properties for all  $RB_6$ 's which needs to be proved or refuted by sound theoretical methods. Temperature-dependent specific heat as function of magnetic field and magnetic entropy of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> were studied by Refs. 20 and 21.

On the theoretical side, Guo-Liang *et al.*<sup>22</sup> have investigated the elastic and thermal properties of  $LaB_6$  in the framework of density-functional theory (DFT) with a quasiharmonic Debye model. Phonon and thermodynamical properties of alkali hexaborides have been studied by Shang *et al.*<sup>23</sup> The flatness of the acoustic branch of hexaborides and its dependence on the valence of the metal is investigated from first principles by Ref. 7.

Although there are a number of first-principles study of lattice-dynamics<sup>7,24</sup> and thermal properties of LaB<sub>6</sub> by using different approximations, there is no comparable study on CeB<sub>6</sub>, to the best of our knowledge. So, a comparative *ab initio* study of elastically, vibrational, and thermodynamical properties of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> would be helpful in understanding peculiarities of caged structures.

The thermodynamical properties of a solid are determined mostly by the vibrational degrees of freedom, since, generally the electronic degrees of freedom play a noticeable role only for metals at very high temperatures.<sup>25</sup> Investigations by several groups<sup>26–29</sup> show that first-principles DFT treatments within the quasiharmonic approximation (QHA) provide a reasonable description of the thermodynamical properties of many bulk materials below the melting point. Quasiharmonic

approximation lets one take into account the anharmonicity of the potential at the first order: vibrational properties can be understood in terms of the excitation of the noninteracting phonons. The temperature dependence of phonons is determined via thermal expansion of the volume of the material. Carrier et al.<sup>29</sup> have shown that even at high-temperature and high-pressure conditions QHA provides an efficient way to calculate reasonably accurate thermodynamical properties. In ab initio quasiharmonic calculations there are two main approaches; one is to compute the temperature-dependent phonon-free energy from phonon frequencies calculated at different lattice constants and find the equilibrium lattice properties by fitting an equation of state to total free energy.<sup>28,30,31</sup> Another approach is to calculate the phonon frequencies and their first and second volume derivatives at zero temperature and then calculate all thermal quantities in terms of these quantities by varying only the temperature of the thermal occupation number in the corresponding formula.<sup>32</sup> Earlier, the quasiharmonic approximation was used to calculate the thermodynamical properties of many monatomic crystals such as Si,<sup>26</sup> Ag,<sup>28,30</sup> Al,<sup>31,32</sup> Li,<sup>31</sup> Na,<sup>31</sup> W,<sup>32</sup> Cu,<sup>33</sup> C,<sup>34</sup> and Cs.<sup>35</sup> In addition to these elements, Grabowski et al.<sup>36</sup> have also calculated the linear thermal expansion and heat capacity of Pb, Au, Pd, Pt, Rh, and Ir. In recent years, quasiharmonic approximation has been applied with great success to more complex materials such as alloys [Al<sub>3</sub>Li,<sup>37</sup> NiAl,<sup>38</sup> and PdTi (Ref. 38)], hydrides [TiH<sub>2</sub> (Ref. 39)], oxides [SiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>40</sup> ZrO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>41</sup> PuO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>42</sup> and MgO (Ref. 43)], perovskite MgSiO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>44</sup>  $\gamma$ -Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>45</sup> hexaborides [CaB<sub>6</sub>,<sup>23</sup>  $SrB_6$ ,<sup>23</sup>  $BaB_6$ ,<sup>23</sup>  $YbB_6$  (Ref. 46)], GaN,<sup>47</sup> and ZnSe.<sup>48</sup>

In this paper, our aim is to help resolve the inconsistencies in experimental data of elastic constants and bulk modulus of lanthanum and cerium hexaborides, investigate the source of low-frequency "anomalous" Raman peak in RB<sub>6</sub> compounds and compare the lattice contribution to various thermodynamical properties of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>. Toward that end, we use the linear-response theory within density-functional theory framework with the local-density approximation (LDA) to the exchange-correlation energy to calculate the lattice structure, elastic constants, zone-center normal modes, phonon dispersions and the dispersion in mode-Grüneisen parameters, and thermal properties, such as the temperature dependence of volume expansion coefficient, bulk modulus, and heat capacity of two hexaborides; LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> by calculating the free energy of these materials for a series of lattice constants and fitting the resulting free energy to an equation of state at several temperatures. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a brief description of theory and technicalities of the computational procedure is given. In Sec. III, we present and discuss the results we have obtained and compare them with available experimental data. Finally, in Sec. IV, we give our conclusions.

## **II. THEORETICAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

The EOS of a crystal at a given temperature T is constructed as<sup>49</sup>

$$P = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}\right)_T,\tag{1}$$

where F is the Helmholtz free energy. In the quasiharmonic approximation, F is given by

$$F(V,T) = E(V) + F_{el}(V,T) + F_{vib}(\{\omega_{qj}(V)\},T), \qquad (2)$$

where E(V) is the static contribution to the internal energy at volume V and can be easily obtained from standard DFT calculations.  $F_{\rm el}(V,T)$  given in Eq. (2) is the thermal electronic contribution to free energy and is given by  $F_{\rm el}=E_{\rm el}$  –  $TS_{\rm el}$ . Here  $E_{\rm el}$  is the electronic excitation energy and is given by<sup>50</sup>

$$E_{\rm el}(V,T) = \int n(\varepsilon,V) f(\varepsilon) \varepsilon d\varepsilon - \int^{\varepsilon_F} n(\varepsilon,V) \varepsilon d\varepsilon, \qquad (3)$$

where  $n(\varepsilon, V)$  is the electronic density of states (DOS) at energy  $\varepsilon$  and volume V,  $f(\epsilon)$  is the Fermi distribution function. The electronic entropy  $S_{el}$  is defined as

$$S_{\rm el}(V,T) = -k_B \int n(\varepsilon,V) [f(\varepsilon) \ln f(\varepsilon) + (1-f(\varepsilon)) \ln(1 - f(\varepsilon))] d\varepsilon.$$
(4)

Thermal electronic contribution to free energy is generally considered to be negligible away from the melting point of the material under consideration. The electronic entropy to the lowest order in temperature is estimated by Watson and Weinert as<sup>51</sup>

$$S_{\rm el} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} n(\varepsilon_F) k_B^2 T, \qquad (5)$$

where  $n(\varepsilon_F)$  is the electronic DOS at the Fermi level. So for materials with a high DOS at the Fermi energy, one would expect a sizeable contribution from the electronic degrees of freedom which is found to be the case for CeB<sub>6</sub> and discussed below in Sec. III.

 $F_{\rm vib}$  in Eq. (2) is the vibrational contribution to free energy and is given by

$$F_{\rm vib}(\{\omega_{\mathbf{q}j}(V)\},T) = k_B T \int_0^{\omega_L} \ln\left(2\,\sinh\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) g(\omega)d\omega, \quad (6)$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\omega_L$  is the largest phonon frequency, and  $g(\omega)$  is the phonon density of states

$$g(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}j} \delta[\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{q},j}(V)], \qquad (7)$$

where  $\omega_{\mathbf{q},j}(V)$  is the frequency of the *j*th phonon mode at the wave vector  $\mathbf{q}$  in BZ at lattice volume *V*, and  $\delta(\cdots)$  is the Dirac delta function. In the actual calculations, the Dirac delta function in Eq. (7) is approximated by a Gaussian of width  $\sim 1$  meV, and the sum over the  $\mathbf{q}$  is carried out over a dense *k* grid which will be discussed at the end of this section.

The specific-heat capacity at constant volume in the quasiharmonic approximation is the sum of a vibrational contribution,

$$C_{v}^{(\text{vib})} = k_{B} \int_{0}^{\omega_{L}} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_{B}T}\right)^{2} \operatorname{csch}^{2}\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_{B}T}\right) g(\omega) d\omega \qquad (8)$$

and an electronic contribution

$$C_{v}^{(\mathrm{el})} = T \left( \frac{\partial S_{\mathrm{el}}}{\partial T} \right)_{V}.$$
(9)

Due to anharmonicity, the heat capacity at a constant pressure,  $C_p$ , is different from the heat capacity at a constant volume,  $C_v$ . At high temperatures,  $C_v$  goes to a constant which is given by classical equipartition law:  $C_v \approx 3Nk_B$ , where N is the number of atoms in the system while  $C_p$ , which is what experiments determine directly, is proportional to T.  $C_p$  can be obtained from  $C_v$  by

$$C_p = C_v + \alpha_v^2 BVT, \tag{10}$$

where  $\alpha_v$  is the volume thermal-expansion coefficient and *B* is the bulk modulus which is given by

$$B(T) = V \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial V^2}\right)_T.$$
 (11)

The vibrational contribution to the entropy of the crystal is given by

$$S_{\text{vib}} = k_B \int_0^{\omega_L} \left[ \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \coth \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} - \ln \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \right) \right] g(\omega) d\omega.$$
(12)

The present results have been obtained thanks the use of the ABINIT code,<sup>52</sup> which is based on pseudopotentials and plane waves. It relies on an efficient fast Fourier transform algorithm<sup>53</sup> for the conversion of wave functions between real and reciprocal space, on the adaptation to a fixed potential of the band-by-band conjugate-gradient method,<sup>54</sup> and on

a potential-based conjugate-gradient algorithm for the determination of the self-consistent potential.<sup>55</sup> Technical details of computation of responses to atomic displacements and subsequent computation of dynamical matrices can be found in Refs. 56 and 57 while the calculation of elastic constants in the linear-response framework are given in Ref. 58.

The interaction between the valence electrons and nuclei and core electrons is described by using Troullier-Martins<sup>59</sup> and optimized type pseudopotentials. As the exchangecorrelation potential we use the LDA of Perdew-Wang<sup>60</sup> functional. Spin polarization is not considered in the present study. Lanthanum, cerium, and boron pseudopotentials are generated by using the OPIUM code.<sup>61</sup> We have treated the 5*s* (2.02), 5*p* (2.02), 5*d* (2.02), and 6*s* (2.19) electrons of La, the 5*p* (1.82), 5*d* (1.89), 4*f* (2.19), and 6*s* (2.75) electrons of Ce, and the 2*s* (1.14) and 2*p* (1.49) electrons of B as valance configurations with corresponding cutoff radii in atomic units.

For both LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, integrations over the Brillouinzone volume are found to converge for a mesh of a  $4 \times 4$  $\times 4$  Monkhorst-Pack grid<sup>62</sup> which corresponds to four points in the irreducible zone. In order to deal with possible convergence problems for metals, Fermi-Dirac scheme with smearing parameter  $\sigma$ =0.02 Ha is used. The cutoff energies of 35 and 55 Ha were found to be enough for convergence of all the reported quantities for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, respectively. Phonon dynamical matrices were computed *ab initio* for a  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  **q** point mesh; Fourier interpolation was then used to obtain the dynamical matrices on a  $64 \times 64 \times 64$  **q** point mesh, which is used for the calculation of all quantities that involve an integration over the phonon modes. This mesh is

TABLE I. Calculated lattice parameter  $a_0$  (in Å) and positional parameter of boron atoms  $x_B$  for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> given with experimental results. Zero-temperature theoretical lattice constants are calculated without including zero-point effect.

|                  |                    | Experimental                               |                      | Theoretical           |                |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Material         | Temperature<br>(K) | $a_0$ (Å)                                  | x <sub>B</sub>       | a <sub>0</sub><br>(Å) | x <sub>B</sub> |
| LaB <sub>6</sub> | 0                  |  |                      | 4.1277                | 0.1997         |
|                  | 10                 | 4.1527 <sup>a</sup> , 4.15112 <sup>b</sup> | 0.1993 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.1429                |                |
|                  | 50                 | 4.15121 <sup>b</sup>                       |                      | 4.1431                |                |
|                  | 100                | 4.1528 <sup>a</sup> , 4.15168 <sup>b</sup> | 0.1994 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.1436                |                |
|                  | 200                | 4.1542 <sup>a</sup> , 4.15345 <sup>b</sup> | 0.1994 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.1452                |                |
|                  | 300                | 4.1561 <sup>a</sup> , 4.15553 <sup>b</sup> | 0.1995 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.1474                |                |
| CeB <sub>6</sub> | 0                  |  |                      | 4.1542                | 0.2002         |
|                  | 10                 | 4.13493°                                   |                      | 4.1692                |                |
|                  | 60                 | 4.13522 <sup>c</sup>                       |                      | 4.1694                |                |
|                  | 100                | 4.13562 <sup>c</sup> , 4.1323 <sup>d</sup> | 0.19909 <sup>d</sup> | 4.1697                |                |
|                  | 220                | 4.13740 <sup>c</sup>                       |                      | 4.1715                |                |
|                  | 298                | 4.1397 <sup>d</sup>                        | 0.19923 <sup>d</sup> | 4.1731                |                |
|                  | 300                | 4.13899°                                   |                      | 4.1731                |                |

<sup>a</sup>Reference 64.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 65.

<sup>c</sup>Reference 66.

<sup>d</sup>Reference 67.

|                  | Method          | $B_0$                  | $B'_0$ | <i>C</i> <sub>11</sub> | <i>C</i> <sub>12</sub> | C <sub>44</sub> |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| LaB <sub>6</sub> | This work       | 180                    | 3.79   | 466                    | 37                     | 88              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 11) | 163                    |        | 453.3                  | 18.2                   | 90.1            |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 12) | 172                    |        |                        |                        |                 |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 13) | 188                    |        | 478                    | 43                     | 84              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 14) | $142 \pm 15$           |        |                        |                        |                 |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 15) | 184                    |        | 463                    | 45                     | 89              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 68) | $164 \pm 2, 173 \pm 7$ |        |                        |                        |                 |
|                  | Calc. (Ref. 15) | 185                    |        |                        |                        |                 |
|                  | Calc. (Ref. 22) | 182.4                  |        |                        |                        |                 |
| CeB <sub>6</sub> | This work       | 173                    | 3.91   | 452                    | 34                     | 98              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 16) | 166                    | 3.15   |                        |                        |                 |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 10) | 191                    |        | 472                    | 53                     | 78              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 9)  |                        |        | 406                    | -93                    | 78              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 17) | 168                    |        | 473                    | 16                     | 81              |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 17) | 182                    |        | 508                    | 19                     | 79              |

TABLE II. Bulk Modulus  $B_0$  (in GPa), pressure derivative of the bulk modulus  $B'_0$ , and elastic compliance constants (in GPa) of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>.

found to be satisfactory for the convergence of the calculation of thermal quantities.

To summarize, the following quantities were obtained from DFT and density-functional perturbation-theory (DFPT) calculations: (i) total energies at a range of lattice constants and (ii) for each lattice constant, the dynamical matrices for the  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  set of **q** points; Fourier interpolation was used to obtain the dynamical matrices on the  $64 \times 64 \times 64$  set of **q** points. This set of results was then used to calculate the thermal behavior, as described below. The thermal electronic contribution to the free energy and electronic entropy calculations are found to converge with a  $16 \times 16 \times 16 k$ -point sampling and Gaussian smearing of width 0.001 Ha.

### **III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

#### A. Atomic structure and lattice parameters

Rare-earth hexaborides crystallize in cubic CsCl-type structure which belong to the space group  $O_h^1(Pm\overline{3}m)$ . The rare-earth atom is located at the 1a (0, 0, 0) Wyckoff position while the octahedral boron molecule B<sub>6</sub> is placed in the 6*f* Wyckoff position with relative coordinate: (1/2, 1/2, *x*), where *x* is the positional parameter. The primitive unit cell contains seven atoms.

The static results for lattice constant  $a_0$ , the bulk modulus  $B_0$ , and the pressure derivative of the bulk modulus  $B'_0$  are obtained by fitting the static total energies versus lattice constant to Vinet equation of state,<sup>63</sup>

$$E(V) = E_0 + 9B_0V_0(e^{A(1-x)}[A^{-1}(1-x) - A^{-2}] + A^{-2}),$$
(13)

where  $V_0$  is the equilibrium volume,  $x = (V/V_0)^{1/3}$ ,  $B_0$  is the bulk modulus, and  $A = 3(B'_0 - 1)/2$ , where  $B'_0$  is the pressure derivative of the bulk modulus. The temperature-dependent

parameters of EOS ( $E_0$ ,  $V_0$ ,  $B_0$ , and  $B'_0$ ) are obtained from least-squares fitting procedure. They can be used to calculate the temperature-dependent pressure-volume relations and pressure and temperature dependence of bulk modulus as<sup>63</sup>

$$P(V) = \left[\frac{3(1-x)}{x^2}\right] B_0 e^{A(1-x)}$$
(14)

and

$$B(P) = -B_0 e^{[A(1-x)]} [(1-A)x^{-1} - 2x^{-2} + A].$$
(15)

Table I shows the equilibrium lattice parameter  $a_0$  and positional parameter x for the materials LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> along with some experimental data in different temperatures. Considering the exclusion of the zero-point effects at zero temperature the calculated quantities of the present work are in a very good agreement with the experimental ones. The calculated lattice parameter of LaB<sub>6</sub> has 0.6% and of CeB<sub>6</sub> has only 0.5% discrepancy with the measurements.

The calculated and experimental bulk moduli, its pressure derivative and elastic constants are given in Table II. Experimental results given in the table show large discrepancies among themselves partly because of different techniques used in obtaining them. For LaB<sub>6</sub>, the calculated bulk modulus of the present study best agrees with the recent study of Ref. 15 with a discrepancy of about 2%. The calculated bulk modulus value of CeB<sub>6</sub> slightly overestimates the reported ultrasonic measurement of Lüthi *et al.*<sup>17</sup> For CeB<sub>6</sub>, the experimental elastic constants study of Ref. 9 reports a negative  $C_{12}$  value which was not found in our calculations or in other experiments.<sup>10,17</sup> Our calculated elastic constants of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> are comparable with each other.

|                  |                 | $A_{1g}(R)$ | $E_g(R)$  | $T_{2g}(R)$ | T <sub>1u</sub> (IR) | T <sub>1u</sub> (IR) | T <sub>1g</sub> | $T_{2u}$ |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|
| LaB <sub>6</sub> | This work       | 1273        | 1116      | 694         | 848                  | 176                  | 576             | 503      |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 69) | 1258        | 1120      | 682         |                      |                      |                 |          |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 70) | 1245        | 1115      | 675         |                      |                      |                 |          |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 71) | 1240        | 1152-1112 | 690-670     | 1095-1070            | 210-170              | 533-500-460     | 790-700  |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 14) | 1251        | 1116      | 677         |                      | 186                  | 438             |          |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 68) | 1253        | 1129      | 678         |                      |                      |                 |          |
| γ                | This work       | 1.41        | 1.32      | 1.07        | 1.09                 | 2.76                 | 0.12            | 1.25     |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 14) | 1.1         | 1.0       | 0.8         |                      | -0.6                 | 2.1             |          |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 68) | 1.53        | 1.21      | 0.99        |                      |                      |                 |          |
| CeB <sub>6</sub> | This work       | 1228        | 1083      | 695         | 835                  | 193                  | 582             | 497      |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 72) | 1270        | 1142      | 680         |                      |                      |                 |          |
|                  | Expt. (Ref. 73) |             |           |             | 866                  |                      |                 |          |
| γ                | This work       | 1.45        | 1.36      | 0.95        | 1.04                 | 2.38                 | 0.08            | 1.26     |

TABLE III. The Brillouin-zone-center phonon frequencies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) and mode-Grüneisen parameters ( $\gamma$ ) of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>.

#### **B.** Phonons

# 1. Normal modes at the Brillouin-zone center

In rare-earth hexaborides, the primitive cell contains seven atoms, giving rise to 21 normal modes. The group theory analysis allows decomposing the vibrations at the  $\Gamma$ point as

$$\Gamma = A_{1g} \oplus E_g \oplus T_{1g} \oplus T_{2g} \oplus 3T_{1u} \oplus T_{2u}.$$

One of the  $T_{1u}$  modes is acoustic mode and corresponds to a pure translation of the whole crystal. Three of the optical modes with symmetries  $A_{1g}$ ,  $E_g$ , and  $T_{2g}$  are Raman (R) active. The remaining two  $T_{1u}$  modes are infrared (IR) active,  $T_{1g}$  and  $T_{2u}$  modes are optically inactive.

In Table III we have presented the calculated Brillouinzone-center phonon frequencies and mode-Grüneisen parameters along with the available experimental data. As it is clear from the table, for both of the materials, our results are in good agreement with the experimental ones except in some modes with those reported by Ref. 71. For the frequencies that have an experimental counterpart, the error is equal or less than 5%. This difference is much smaller for  $LaB_6$  (  $\leq$ 1.7%). In the Raman-scattering measurements, it was found that the frequencies of the  $A_{1g}$  and  $E_g$  modes of hexaborides decrease systematically with increasing lattice constant.<sup>69,72</sup> Experimentally, Raman frequencies (lattice constant) of the CeB<sub>6</sub> is somewhat higher (lower) than LaB<sub>6</sub>. In our calculations, to obtain phonon frequencies of these materials, we have used the theoretical lattice constants which was found to be higher for LaB<sub>6</sub>. Because of that, in our results the frequencies of the aforementioned Raman modes of  $CeB_6$  are found to be lower than those of  $LaB_6$ contrary to the experiments. The experimental mode-Grüneisen parameters of the Raman study of Teredesai et al.<sup>14</sup> is about 30% lower than our calculated ones but considering the recent high-pressure Raman study of Godwal et  $al.^{68}$  the discrepancy is only around 8%.

The displacement patterns of the Brillouin-zone-center modes are given in Fig. 1 together with the symbols for the irreducible representations. The degenerate modes are represented only once. One of the  $T_{1u}$  mode corresponds to the acoustic phonon with displacement of the whole lattice to the same direction. The other  $T_{1u}$  is the only optical mode that the boron octahedra move undeformed. The rest of the modes are associated with deformations of the boron octahedra. The breathing  $A_{1g}$  mode and  $E_g$  modes are B-B bond-stretching modes. The deformations of these modes are particularly large so they have the highest frequencies.

# 2. Static-dispersion curves, phonon density of states, and mode-Grüneisen parameters

In this section we present the results of phonon-dispersion curves, phonon density of states, and dispersion in mode-Grüneisen parameters calculated at the theoretical equilibrium volume. The calculated phonon-dispersion curves and phonon density of states for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> are displayed in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively, along with the available experimental<sup>18,19</sup> and theoretical<sup>24</sup> results. The dispersion curves are showed along the high-symmetry direction X- $\Gamma$ -R-M- $\Gamma$  of the Brillouin zone.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Eigendisplacement patterns of the normal modes of hexaborides at the Brillouin-zone center. (R: Raman active, IR: infrared active, and Ac.: acoustic modes.)



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Phonon-dispersion relations of  $LaB_6$ . Solid lines show the results of the present study and the dashed lines are the frozen-phonon calculations of Monnier and Delley (Ref. 24). Experimental values (circles) are taken from Smith *et al.* (Ref. 18). (b) Phonon density of states of  $LaB_6$ . Solid lines show the results of the present study and the dashed lines are obtained by Kunii (Ref. 74) using the experimental-dispersion relation of Smith *et al.* (Ref. 18).

The details of the calculation of phonon density of states and thermodynamical quantities are explained in Ref. 40. Phonon density of states is obtained by a root sampling (or histogram) method. Sampling a  $64 \times 64 \times 64$  Monkhorst-Pack grid for phonon wave vectors **q** is found to be sufficient in order to get the mean relative error in each channel of phonon density of states.

For both LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, experimental phonon-dispersion frequencies are available only up to 480 cm<sup>-1</sup> and have a good agreement with the calculated results as can be seen from Figs. 2 and 3. The rapid flattening of the dispersion of the acoustic modes away from the center of the BZ is described excellently which was not achieved by the previous *ab initio* investigation of the phonons of LaB<sub>6</sub> by Monnier



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Phonon-dispersion relations of CeB<sub>6</sub>. Solid lines show the results of the present study and experimental values (circles) are taken from Kunii *et al.* (Ref. 19). (b) Phonon density of states of CeB<sub>6</sub> calculated in this study.

and Delley.<sup>24</sup> The differences showed in Fig. 2 are probably due to the basis set limitation or *k*-point setting in the calculations of Monnier and Delley.<sup>24</sup> For both Figs. 2 and 3, the discrepancies between the calculated and measured phonon frequencies at the R point around ~400 cm<sup>-1</sup> might occur from two possibilities: as it is also conjectured by Ref. 24, neutron measurements around the BZ boundaries might have had problems because of the sample size and lower neutron flux available at higher energies. Another possibility is that there might be some processes that cannot be accounted in the framework of DFPT around R point.

The available experimental and theoretical data are very limited for phonon density of states. Kunii<sup>74</sup> obtained the density of states of LaB<sub>6</sub> by point-contact spectroscopy where the peaks are situated around 97, 194, 306, and 403 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In that study, phonon density of states is also calculated from the neutron-scattering experiment done by Smith *et al.*<sup>18</sup> Schell *et al.*<sup>75</sup> have also obtained similar peaks from inelastic-neutron-scattering experiment on polycrystal-line LaB<sub>6</sub>. Our calculated results are compatible with the reported peaks in Refs. 74 and 75 except 194 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak which was also not observed in neutron-scattering experiment of Smith *et al.*<sup>18</sup>

The anharmonicity of the vibrations can be examined by computing the mode-Grüneisen parameters, defined for the mode  $\lambda$  at the wave vector **q** by

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{q}\lambda} = -\frac{V}{\omega_{\mathbf{q}\lambda}(V)} \frac{\partial \omega_{\mathbf{q}\lambda}(V)}{\partial V},\tag{16}$$

where V is the volume of the unit cell. Negative mode-Grüneisen parameters correspond to a decrease in the mode frequency with decreasing volume and are indicative of structural phase transitions. Also negative  $\gamma_{\alpha\lambda}$  might lead to negative thermal expansion. The mode-Grüneisen parameters of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> are found to be positive throughout the high-symmetry directions of the Brillouin zone and are displayed in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. Since the acoustic branches vanish linearly with a slope depending on the direction of approach to the Brillouin-zone center, the corresponding mode-Grüneisen parameters are discontinuous at the  $\Gamma$  point. The  $\gamma_{q\lambda}$ 's for the optical branches are found to be very similar in shape and magnitude for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> while the acoustic mode-Grüneisen parameters of LaB<sub>6</sub> are consistently higher than those of CeB<sub>6</sub> along the whole region of the Brillouin zone as shown in Fig. 4. One prominent feature of the Raman spectroscopy of RB<sub>6</sub>'s is a lowfrequency mode which has strong intensity at room temperature but disappears at very low temperatures. This mode, which should not be Raman active based on symmetry considerations, was originally observed at around 200 cm<sup>-1</sup> and assigned to optical  $T_{1u}$  mode<sup>76</sup> while later it was attributed to the two-phonon scattering by the BZ boundary LA phonons by Ogita et al.,<sup>6</sup> among others. Based on a comparison of the frequency of this mode versus the lattice constant for different  $RB_6$ 's, it was conjectured that the mode is anomalous, i.e., its frequency decreases with decreasing lattice constant. The calculated zone-boundary LA phonon frequencies for  $LaB_6$  (CeB<sub>6</sub>) are 108, 103, and 104 (110, 115, and 116) cm<sup>-1</sup> at X, R, and M points, respectively. They are, consistently,



FIG. 4. (Color online) The calculated mode-Grüneisen parameters of (a)  $LaB_6$  and (b)  $CeB_6$ . Dashed lines are the acoustic modes and solid lines are the optical modes.

higher for CeB<sub>6</sub> than for LaB<sub>6</sub> which is inline with the experimental case. But, our calculated positive acoustic mode-Grüneisen parameters for both LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> indicate that there is no anomaly in volume dependence of the zoneboundary acoustic modes. This finding does not rule out the two-photon scattering possibility for the observed mode but it calls into question the argument used by Ref. 6 to account for the decreasing mode frequency with decreasing cage space of the rare-earth atom. Findings of the present study indicate that the observed behavior depends on the difference in interaction between the rare-earth ion and the boron framework rather than the different cage space in each  $RB_6$ .

### C. Thermodynamical properties

Temperature-dependent phonon contributions to Helmholtz free energy  $\Delta F_{vib}[\omega_{qj}(V), T]$  of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, computed from Eq. (6), are displayed in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. These calculations are done at the relevant static equilibrium lattice constant. Because of zero-point motion, the zero-temperature value of  $\Delta F$  does not vanish. This quantity can be calculated from the asymptotic expression of Eq. (6) as

$$\Delta F_0 = \int_0^{\omega_L} \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} g(\omega) d\omega.$$
 (17)

Calculated effect of zero-point energy upon the volume and bulk modulus for LaB<sub>6</sub> is  $\Delta V_0/V_0=0.011$  and  $\Delta B_0/B_0$ =-0.026. Similarly for CeB<sub>6</sub> we find,  $\Delta V_0/V_0=0.011$  and  $\Delta B_0/B_0=-0.025$ . The zero-point energy corrected equilibrium lattice constant of LaB<sub>6</sub> (CeB<sub>6</sub>) is 4.145 Å (4.171 Å) which is closer (further) to the experimental low-temperature



FIG. 5. (Color online) Vibrational contribution to Helmholtz free energy for (a)  $LaB_6$  and (b)  $CeB_6$  and vibrational contribution to entropy for (c)  $LaB_6$  and (d)  $CeB_6$  together with experimental results (circles) of Refs. 77 and 80 for  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$ , respectively. The solid (dotted) lines show the vibrational (vibrational plus thermal electronic) contributions.

value of 4.153 Å (Ref. 64) [4.132 Å (Ref. 67)] than the noncorrected value. The correction to the bulk modulus is within the range of differences between the different experimental reports.

The vibrational and the sum of vibrational and electronic entropy of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  are calculated from Eqs. (4) and (12) and displayed in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), respectively. It is clear from Fig. 5(c) that the electronic contribution to the entropy for  $LaB_6$  is small and the sum and the vibrational contributions are indistinguishable in the plot ( $S_{el}$  reaches  $1.5k_B$  at 800 K for LaB<sub>6</sub>). On the other hand,  $S_{el}$  for CeB<sub>6</sub> is sizeable as can be discerned from Fig. 5(d). The roomtemperature experimental entropy data ( $S_{RT} = 10k_B$ ) for LaB<sub>6</sub> exists<sup>77,78</sup> and is in perfect agreement with our calculated value  $(S_{RT}=10.11k_B)$ , as can be seen from Fig. 5(c).  $S_{RT}$  of  $CeB_6$  is measured as  $11.8k_B$  by Muratov *et al.*<sup>79</sup> and Westrum et al.<sup>80</sup> which is about 14% higher than our computed value  $(S_{RT}=10.26k_{R})$ . The discrepancy between the experimental and the calculated values stems from the fact that our calculated value corresponds to the sum of vibrational and electronic entropy while CeB<sub>6</sub> has also magnetic entropy which is not accounted for in our calculations. The difference of  $\sim 1.54k_B$  is close to  $k_B \ln 6$  which is the maximum magnetic entropy possible for  ${}^{2}F_{5/2}$  multiplet of 4f electron of cerium atoms.<sup>21,81</sup> The magnetic contribution can also be calculated with DFT derived parameters by using a model Hamiltonian such as Heisenberg model,<sup>82</sup> which is not considered in the present work.

Magnetic entropy of rare-earth hexaborides with magnetic rare-earth element is obtained by subtracting the entropy of LaB<sub>6</sub> from that of the rare earth by assuming that the vibrational and electronic entropy of all  $RB_6$  compounds are approximately equal.<sup>21,81</sup> We display the difference between the vibrational and total (vibrational+electronic) entropies of CeB<sub>6</sub> and LaB<sub>6</sub> in Fig. 6. One should note that vibrational entropy of LaB<sub>6</sub> is relatively higher than that of CeB<sub>6</sub> but the higher electronic entropy of CeB<sub>6</sub> makes the difference in the total entropy small. The upper portion of Fig. 6 shows temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy CeB<sub>6</sub> which are obtained by taking the difference between our calculated entropy and measured total entropy of CeB<sub>6</sub>.<sup>80</sup> Two different



FIG. 6. (Color online) Difference between the calculated entropies of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  (squares) and the difference between the experimental entropy (Ref. 80) and the calculated entropy for  $CeB_6$  (circles).

curves correspond to the calculations including only the vibrational effects and sum of vibrational and electronic contributions. As can be seen from the figure, inclusion of the electronic entropy is crucial to obtain a constant magnetic entropy at higher temperatures.

To calculate the thermal properties, the free energy of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> were calculated as a function of volume and temperature. Calculations were performed on a primitive cubic cell at a series of 11 equidistant lattice constants (4.058–4.195 Å) for LaB<sub>6</sub> and (4.084–4.222 Å) for CeB<sub>6</sub>. At each different temperature we calculated the *ab initio* free energy as a function of volume, and then performed a least-squares fit of the results to a Vinet equation of state<sup>63</sup> [Eq. (13)]. The equilibrium volume at temperature *T*, *V*<sub>0</sub>(*T*) is obtained by minimizing free energy with respect to *V*. The volume thermal expansion is defined as

$$\eta(T) = \frac{V_0(T) - V_0(T_c)}{V_0(T_c)},$$
(18)

where  $T_c$  is the reference temperature of 294 K. Our results for volume thermal expansion of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> are displayed in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. In the figure, the dotted lines represent the volume expansion calculated by considering only the vibrational degrees of freedom while the solid line represent  $\eta$  due to both vibrational and electronic effects while the circles are experimental data from Refs. 65 and 66. As expected, based on the free energies in Fig. 5, the electronic contribution to  $\eta$  for LaB<sub>6</sub> (CeB<sub>6</sub>) is negligible (sizable). The overall agreement for volume thermal expansion is perfect for both materials.

The linear thermal-expansion coefficient is defined as  $\alpha(T) = \frac{1}{a(T)} \frac{da}{dT}$ , where a(T) is the equilibrium lattice constant at temperature *T*. The temperature dependencies of the linear thermal-expansion coefficients for lanthanum and cerium hexaborides are given in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), respectively. The agreement with respect to the experimental results of



FIG. 7. (Color online) Thermal volume expansion of (a)  $LaB_6$  and (b)  $CeB_6$ . Experimental data are taken from the studies of Sirota *et al.* with Ref. 65 for  $LaB_6$  and Ref. 66 for  $CeB_6$ . The solid (dotted) lines represent the vibrational (vibrational plus thermal electronic) contributions.

Ref. 66 is better for  $LaB_6$  (CeB<sub>6</sub>) at low (high) temperatures. Including the effect of thermal electronic contributions make the agreement for CeB<sub>6</sub> somewhat better. There are two prominent features in the experimental low-temperature linear-expansion coefficient of CeB<sub>6</sub> below 10 K and around 40 K. The peak below 10 K is attributed to the Kondo effect while the peak around 40 K is thought to be related to Jahn-Teller effect or the flatness of the acoustic modes.<sup>66</sup> While Kondo effect is outside the scope of the present study, the calculated vibrational contribution to the expansion coefficient indicates that the peak at 40 K is not due to the lattice effects.

The temperature dependence of the bulk modulus of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  are displayed in Fig. 9(a). As an expected result, bulk modulus value decreases with increasing temperature



FIG. 8. (Color online) Temperature dependence of linearexpansion coefficient of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$ . The experimental values are from the study of Sirota *et al.* (Ref. 66). The solid (dotted) lines represent the vibrational (vibrational plus thermal electronic) contributions.



FIG. 9. (Color online) Temperature dependence of (a) bulk modulus and (b) its pressure derivative of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$ . The solid (dotted) lines represent the vibrational (vibrational plus thermal electronic) contributions.

for both materials. Including the thermal electronic contributions increases the rate of change in *B* with *T* at higher temperatures. We have also calculated the temperature dependence of the pressure derivative of the bulk modulus and presented it in Fig. 9(b) for LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>. Unlike bulk modulus, its pressure derivative increases with temperature and this increment is mostly linear.

In Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) we display the specific heat of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, respectively. The solid (dotted) line is our result for the specific heat at constant volume (pressure). Both of Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) contain four curves denoted as  $C_v$  (vib) which is calculated from Eq. (8) and contains only the vibrational contributions,  $C_v$  (vib+el) calculated as the sum of Eqs. (8) and (9) and contains both electronic and the



FIG. 10. (Color online) Temperature dependence of constant volume and constant pressure specific heat of (a)  $LaB_6$  and (b)  $CeB_6$ . For  $LaB_6$ , the experimental values are from Ref. 20 (circles) and Ref. 83 (diamonds), for  $CeB_6$  values are from Ref. 20 (circles) and Ref. 79 (diamonds).



FIG. 11. (Color online) Pressure dependence of unit-cell volume of (a)  $LaB_6$  and (b)  $CeB_6$  at several temperatures. The solid lines in figures are for T=294 K. Dashed lines are separated by 100 from 0 to 800 K. The experimental values in (a) are from Ref. 12 (triangles), Ref. 14 (circles), and Ref. 68 (diamonds). The experimental values in (b) are from the study of Leger *et al.* (Ref. 16) (circles).

vibrational effects,  $C_p$  (vib) and  $C_p$  (vib+el) are the constant pressure specific heat calculated from Eq. (10) by using the corresponding  $C_v$ . To be guide to eye, we should mention that the order of these quantities from lower to higher in Fig. 10(b) is  $C_v(\text{vib}) < C_p(\text{vib}) < C_v(\text{vib+el}) < C_p(\text{vib+el})$ . At low temperatures, our first-principles results agree well with experiment for LaB<sub>6</sub> with a slight underestimation while for  $CeB_6$  the agreement is satisfactory with a slight overestimation. At higher temperatures, for both materials, the calculated constant pressure specific heat overestimates the experimental results which is a well-known issue in ab initio calculations. Inclusion of thermal electronic effects makes the agreement somewhat worse at the high temperatures. In CeB<sub>6</sub>, there exists two peaks in experimental specific-heat values at temperature lower than 20 K because of the Kondo effect which is not accessible with the methodology used in the present study.

Pressure dependence of unit-cell volume of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub> are displayed and compared to experimental data in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The solid lines in both figures are for T=294 K while dashed lines are for various temperatures from 2 to 800 K separated by 100 K. For both materials, pressure dependence of the unit-cell volume does not change too much with respect to temperature in the given range. In the experimental study of Teredesai et al.14 it has been reported that for  $LaB_6$ , there exists a phase transition from cubic phase to orthorhombic phase at around 10 GPa, which is not observed in the recent study of Godwal et al.<sup>68</sup> For the cubic phase of two materials, the agreement between the calculated and experimental values is very good with a slight underestimation. For CeB<sub>6</sub>, if one takes into account the spread in the experimental values of Ref. 16, the agreement is better. For LaB<sub>6</sub>, the agreement between the experimental data of Ref. 68 and our calculated results is excellent as can be seen from Fig. 11(a).

From the results presented so far, one question concerning the *ab initio* calculation of thermodynamical quantities is the relation between the error in calculating the static equilibrium lattice properties, such as lattice constant and bulk modulus, and ensuing error in the calculated thermodynamical quantities. While investigating the thermal properties of Cu, Narasimhan and de Gironcoli<sup>33</sup> suggested that a better value for the static value of  $a_0$  and  $B_0$  would be a good indicator of a better description of finite-temperature properties. Since the lattice constant and bulk modulus values for the materials considered in this paper are in a good agreement with experimental measurements, our calculated thermodynamical quantities give compatible results with the experimental values.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

We have done a comprehensive ab initio investigation of elastic, lattice-dynamical, and thermodynamical properties of hexaborides of La and Ce within the density-functional and density-functional perturbation-theory frameworks by using quasiharmonic approximation to account for the anharmonicity of the vibrations. LDA is found to underestimate (overestimate) the lattice constant of  $LaB_6$  (CeB<sub>6</sub>) by 0.5%. The calculated bulk modulus of LaB6 is found to be slightly higher than that of CeB<sub>6</sub> which are both in the limits of experimentally reported values. The effect of the zero-point motion on the volume and bulk modulus of the both compounds are found to be similar; the volume is enlarged by 1.1% while the bulk modulus decreases by  $\approx 2.5\%$  compared to the no zero-point case. This finding is expected because zero-point motion can be considered as a negative pressure which causes expansion of the volume and an accompanied softening which is indicated by the decreasing bulk modulus.

Concerning the elastic constants of LaB<sub>6</sub> and CeB<sub>6</sub>, we have found that the calculated  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  ( $C_{44}$ ) of CeB<sub>6</sub> are lower (higher) than those of LaB<sub>6</sub>. The results reported here would be helpful in resolving the great disparity in reported experimental values of  $C_{12}$ , especially for CeB<sub>6</sub> which is reported to be in the range -93 (Ref. 9) to 53 GPa.<sup>10</sup> Our calculated value is closer to that of Ref. 10.

We have computed the zone-center vibrational frequencies and their eigendisplacements and compared the results to the Raman scattering and IR measurements reported in the literature. The Raman-active modes involve displacement of boron atoms and are expected to be similar in both of the compounds because the rare-earth atoms are thought to interact weakly with the covalently bonded boron octahedra. Our results indicate that there is a appreciable effect of rare-earth boron interaction manifested in especially for the  $A_{1g}$  mode frequency of LaB<sub>6</sub> which is around 4% higher than that of CeB<sub>6</sub>.

The dispersion of mode frequencies and their volume dependence along with the projected phonons density of states at zero pressure were calculated and compared to the literature data. The rapid flattening of the acoustic modes as one goes away from the center of the BZ, which is thought to have a signature in low-temperature thermal properties, is found to be well reproduced for both of the compounds. The phonon partial density of states indicate that the lowfrequency sharp peak is due to motion of the rare-earth species while the higher frequency modes are almost solely due to motion of boron octahedra in various patterns. The mode-Grüneisen parameters were found to be positive across all the investigated directions which indicate that mode frequencies increase under pressure. Implication of this finding concerning the low-frequency anomalous Raman mode of RB<sub>6</sub> compounds is that the cage space frequency of zoneboundary acoustic mode frequency needs to be reconsidered.

The entropy and specific heat of  $LaB_6$  is used as nonmagnetic reference data for the rare-earth hexaborides. We have investigated the vibrational and electronic entropy of  $LaB_6$  and  $CeB_6$  and found that the vibrational entropy of  $LaB_6$  is appreciably higher than that of  $CeB_6$  while for the electronic entropy the opposite is valid. As a result the difference in total entropy of the two hexaborides can be considered as a measure of the magnetic entropy of  $CeB_6$ . For the other magnetic lanthanides a similar conclusion can be reached only after an investigation of the electronic entropy.

We have also considered the temperature-dependent thermal properties such as volume thermal expansion, linearexpansion coefficient, bulk modulus and its pressure derivative, and constant volume and constant pressure specific heat. Calculated quantities were found to be in reasonable agreement with the experimental data except for the specific heat and linear-expansion coefficient of CeB<sub>6</sub> at low temperatures. The discrepancy is due to the Kondo effect which is not considered in the present study.

- <sup>1</sup>J. M. Tarascon, J. Etourneau, P. Dordor, P. Hagenmuller, M. Kasaya, and J. M. D. Coey, J. Appl. Phys. **51**, 574 (1980).
- <sup>2</sup>S. Kunii, T. Kasuya, K. Kadowaki, M. Date, and S. B. Woods, Solid State Commun. **52**, 659 (1984).
- <sup>3</sup>P. A. Alekseev, J. M. Mignot, J. Rossat-Mignod, V. N. Lazukov, I. P. Sadikov, E. S. Konovalova, and Y. B. Paderno, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 7, 289 (1995).
- <sup>4</sup>T. Komatsubara, N. Sato, S. Kunii, I. Oguro, Y. Furukawa, Y. Onuki, and T. Kasuya, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **31-34**, 368 (1983).
- <sup>5</sup>D. Mandrus, B. C. Sales, and R. Jin, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 012302 (2001).

- <sup>6</sup>N. Ogita, S. Nagai, N. Okamoto, M. Udagawa, F. Iga, M. Sera, J. Akimitsu, and S. Kunii, Phys. Rev. B 68, 224305 (2003).
- <sup>7</sup>T. Hasegawa, N. Ogita, and M. Udagawa, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **176**, 012031 (2009).
- <sup>8</sup>N. Ogita, T. Hasegawa, M. Udagawa, F. Iga, and S. Kunii, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **176**, 012032 (2009).
- <sup>9</sup>T. Goto, A. Tamaki, S. Kunii, T. Nakajima, T. Fujimura, T. Kasuya, T. Komatsubarra, and S. B. Woods, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **31-34**, 419 (1983).
- <sup>10</sup>S. Nakamura, T. Goto, S. Kunii, K. Iwashita, and A. Tamaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63**, 623 (1994).
- <sup>11</sup>T. Tanaka, J. Yoshimoto, M. Ishli, E. Bannai, and S. Kawai, Solid

State Commun. 22, 203 (1977).

- <sup>12</sup>T. Lundström, B. Lönnberg, B. Törmä, J. Etourneau, and J. M. Tarascon, Phys. Scr. 26, 414 (1982).
- <sup>13</sup>S. Nakamura, T. Goto, M. Kasaya, and S. Kunii, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **60**, 4311 (1991).
- <sup>14</sup>P. Teredesai, D. V. S. Muthu, N. Chandrabhas, S. Meenakshi, V. Vijayakumar, P. Modak, R. S. Rao, B. K. Godwal, S. K. Sikka, and A. K. Sood, Solid State Commun. **129**, 791 (2004).
- <sup>15</sup>A. Baranovskiy, G. Grechnev, V. Fil, T. Ignatova, A. Logosha, A. Panfilov, I. Svechkarev, N. Shitsevalova, V. Filippov, and O. Eriksson, J. Alloys Compd. **442**, 228 (2007).
- <sup>16</sup>J. Leger, J. Rossat-Mignod, S. Kunii, and T. Kasuya, Solid State Commun. **54**, 995 (1985).
- <sup>17</sup>B. Lüthi, S. Blumenröder, B. Hillebrands, E. Zirngiebl, G. Güntherodt, and K. Winzer, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter **58**, 31 (1984).
- <sup>18</sup>H. G. Smith, G. Dolling, S. Kunii, M. Kasaya, B. Liu, K. Takegahara, T. Kasuya, and T. Goto, Solid State Commun. 53, 15 (1985).
- <sup>19</sup>S. Kunii, J. Effantin, and J. Rossat-Mingnod, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1029 (1997).
- <sup>20</sup>Y. Peysson, C. Ayache, B. Salce, J. Rossat-Mignod, S. Kunii, and T. Kasuya, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **47-48**, 63 (1985).
- <sup>21</sup>Y. Peysson, C. Ayache, J. Rossat-Mignod, S. Kunii, and T. Kasuya, J. Phys. (Paris) **47**, 113 (1986).
- <sup>22</sup>X. Guo-Liang, C. Jing-Dong, X. Yao-Zheng, L. Xue-Feng, L. Yu-Fang, and Z. Xian-Zhou, Chin. Phys. Lett. **26**, 056201 (2009).
- <sup>23</sup>S. Shang, Y. Wang, and Z.-K. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 75, 024302 (2007).
- <sup>24</sup>R. Monnier and B. Delley, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 193403 (2004).
- <sup>25</sup>N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Saunders College, Philadelphia, 1976).
- <sup>26</sup>S. Biernacki and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 290 (1989).
- <sup>27</sup> P. Pavone, K. Karch, O. Schütt, W. Windl, D. Strauch, P. Giannozzi, and S. Baroni, Phys. Rev. B 48, 3156 (1993).
- <sup>28</sup>J. Xie, S. P. Chen, S. de Gironcoli, and S. Baroni, Philos. Mag. B 79, 911 (1999).
- <sup>29</sup>P. Carrier, R. Wentzcovitch, and J. Tsuchiya, Phys. Rev. B 76, 064116 (2007).
- <sup>30</sup>J. Xie, S. de Gironcoli, S. Baroni, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B 59, 965 (1999).
- <sup>31</sup>A. A. Quong and A. Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 56, 7767 (1997).
- <sup>32</sup> A. Debernardi, M. Alouani, and H. Dreyssé, Phys. Rev. B 63, 064305 (2001).
- <sup>33</sup>S. Narasimhan and S. de Gironcoli, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064302 (2002).
- <sup>34</sup> J. Xie, S. P. Chen, J. S. Tse, S. deGironcoli, and S. Baroni, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 9444 (1999).
- <sup>35</sup>N. E. Christensen, D. J. Boers, J. L. van Velsen, and D. L. Novikov, Phys. Rev. B **61**, R3764 (2000).
- <sup>36</sup>B. Grabowski, T. Hickel, and J. Neugebauer, Phys. Rev. B 76, 024309 (2007).
- <sup>37</sup>Z. Li and J. S. Tse, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 14531 (2000).
- <sup>38</sup>G. J. Ackland, X. Huang, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 214104 (2003).
- <sup>39</sup>C. Hu, D. Chen, Y. Wang, and K. Yang, J. Alloys Compd. **450**, 369 (2008).
- <sup>40</sup>C. Lee and X. Gonze, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 8610 (1995).
- <sup>41</sup>A. Kuwabara, T. Tohei, T. Yamamoto, and I. Tanaka, Phys. Rev.

B 71, 064301 (2005).

- <sup>42</sup>S. Minamoto, M. Kato, K. Konashi, and Y. Kawazoe, J. Nucl. Mater. **385**, 18 (2009).
- <sup>43</sup>B. B. Karki, R. M. Wentzcovitch, S. de Gironcoli, and S. Baroni, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8793 (2000).
- <sup>44</sup>B. B. Karki, R. M. Wentzcovitch, S. de Gironcoli, and S. Baroni, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 14750 (2000).
- <sup>45</sup>P. Piekarz, P. T. Jochym, K. Parlinski, and J. Łazewski, J. Chem. Phys. **117**, 3340 (2002).
- <sup>46</sup> Y. Xu, L. Zhang, T. Cui, Y. Li, Y. Xie, W. Yu, Y. Ma, and G. Zou, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 214103 (2007).
- <sup>47</sup>H.-Y. Wang, H. Xu, T.-T. Huang, and C.-S. Deng, Eur. Phys. J. B 62, 39 (2008).
- <sup>48</sup>I. Hamdi, M. Aouissi, A. Qteish, and N. Meskini, Phys. Rev. B 73, 174114 (2006).
- <sup>49</sup>P. Brüsch, *Phonons: Theory and Experiments I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1982).
- <sup>50</sup>Y. Wang, Z.-K. Liu, and L.-Q. Chen, Acta Mater. **52**, 2665 (2004).
- <sup>51</sup>R. E. Watson and M. Weinert, Phys. Rev. B 30, 1641 (1984).
- <sup>52</sup>X. Gonze et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 2582 (2009).
- <sup>53</sup>S. Goedecker, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. (USA) 18, 1605 (1997).
- <sup>54</sup>M. C. Payne, M. P. Teter, D. C. Allan, T. A. Arias, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 1045 (1992).
- <sup>55</sup>X. Gonze, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 4383 (1996).
- <sup>56</sup>X. Gonze, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 10337 (1997).
- <sup>57</sup>X. Gonze and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 10355 (1997).
- <sup>58</sup>D. R. Hamann, X. Wu, K. M. Rabe, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 035117 (2005).
- <sup>59</sup>N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- <sup>60</sup>J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13244 (1992).
- <sup>61</sup> http://opium.sourceforge.net/
- <sup>62</sup>H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).
- <sup>63</sup>P. Vinet, J. H. Rose, J. Ferrante, and J. R. Smith, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1, 1941 (1989).
- <sup>64</sup>C. H. Booth, J. L. Sarrao, M. F. Hundley, A. L. Cornelius, G. H. Kwei, A. Bianchi, Z. Fisk, and J. M. Lawrence, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224302 (2001).
- <sup>65</sup>N. Sirota, V. Novikov, V. Vinokurov, and Y. Paderno, Phys. Solid State 40, 1856 (1998).
- <sup>66</sup>N. Sirota, V. Novikov, and A. Novikov, Phys. Solid State **42**, 2093 (2000).
- <sup>67</sup>S. Sato, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **52**, 310 (1985).
- <sup>68</sup>B. K. Godwal, E. A. Petruska, S. Speziale, J. Yan, S. M. Clark, M. B. Kruger, and R. Jeanloz, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 172104 (2009).
- <sup>69</sup> M. Ishii, T. Tanaka, E. Bannai, and S. Kawai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 41, 1075 (1976).
- <sup>70</sup>H. Scholz, W. Bauhofer, and K. Ploog, Solid State Commun. 18, 1539 (1976).
- <sup>71</sup>Z. Yahia, S. Turrell, J.-P. Mercurio, and G. Turrell, J. Raman Spectrosc. 24, 207 (1993).
- <sup>72</sup>K. Kojima, K. Ohbayashi, T. Hihara, S. Kunii, T. Komatsubara, and T. Kasuya, Phys. Lett. A **72**, 51 (1979).
- <sup>73</sup>M. Udagawa *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 314 (2002), Supplement.
- <sup>74</sup>S. Kunii, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 361 (1988).
- <sup>75</sup>G. Schell, H. Winter, H. Rietschel, and F. Gompf, Phys. Rev. B 25, 1589 (1982).
- <sup>76</sup>E. Zirngiebl, H. Woike, S. Blumenroder, G. Guntherodt, A. Heidel, and R. R. Arons, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 54-57, 443

(1986).

- <sup>77</sup>E. F. Westrum, Jr., J. T. S. Andrews, B. H. Justice, and D. A. Johnson, J. Chem. Thermodyn. **34**, 239 (2002).
- <sup>78</sup>M. Schlesinger, P. Liao, and K. Spear, J. Phase Equilib. **20**, 73 (1999).
- <sup>79</sup> V. B. Muratov, A. S. Bolgar, P. I. Loboda, and V. V. Morozov, Powder Metall. Met. Ceram. **27**, 984 1988).
- <sup>80</sup>E. F. Westrum, Jr., B. H. Justice, H. L. Clever, and D. A. Johnson, J. Therm Anal. Calorim. **70**, 361 (2002).
- <sup>81</sup>T. Fujita, M. Suzuki, T. Komatsubara, S. Kunii, T. Kasuya, and T. Ohtsuka, Solid State Commun. **35**, 569 (1980).
- <sup>82</sup>F. Körmann, A. Dick, B. Grabowski, B. Hallstedt, T. Hickel, and J. Neugebauer, Phys. Rev. B 78, 033102 (2008).
- <sup>83</sup>V. V. Novikov, Phys. Solid State **43**, 300 (2001).